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## Preface

This book uses MATLAB ${ }^{\circledR}$ to analyze various applications in mathematics and mechanics. The authors hope to encourage engineers and scientists to consider this modern programming environment as an excellent alternative to languages such as FORTRAN or $\mathrm{C}++$. MATLAB ${ }^{1}$ embodies an interactive environment with a high level programming language supporting both numerical and graphical commands for two- and three-dimensional data analysis and presentation. The wealth of intrinsic mathematical commands to handle matrix algebra, Fourier series, differential equations, and complex-valued functions makes simple calculator operations of many tasks previously requiring subroutine libraries with cumbersome argument lists.

We analyze problems, drawn from our teaching and research interests, emphasizing linear and nonlinear differential equation methods. Linear partial differential equations and linear matrix differential equations are analyzed using eigenfunctions and series solutions. Several types of physical problems are considered. Among these are heat conduction, harmonic response of strings, membranes, beams, and trusses, geometrical properties of areas and volumes, flexure and buckling of indeterminate beams, elastostatic stress analysis, and multi-dimensional optimization.

Numerical integration of matrix differential equations is used in several examples illustrating the utility of such methods as well as essential aspects of numerical approximation. Attention is restricted to the Runge-Kutta method which is adequate to handle most situations. Space limitation led us to omit some interesting MATLAB features concerning predictor-corrector methods, stiff systems, and event locations.

This book is not an introductory numerical analysis text. It is most useful as a reference or a supplementary text in computationally oriented courses emphasizing applications. The authors have previously solved many of the examples in FORTRAN. Our MATLAB solutions consume over three hundred pages (over twelve thousand lines). Although few books published recently present this much code, comparable FORTRAN versions would probably be signifcantly longer. In fact, the conciseness of MATLAB was a primary motivation for writing the book.

The programs contain many comments and are intended for study as separate entities without an additional reference. Consequently, some deliberate redundancy

[^0]exists between program comments and text discussions. We also list programs in a style we feel will be helpful to most readers. The source listings show line numbers adjacent to the MATLAB code. MATLAB code does not use line numbers or permit goto statements. We have numbered the lines to aid discussions of particular program segments. To conserve space, we often place multiple MATLAB statements on the same line when this does not interrupt the logical flow.

All of the programs presented are designed to operate under the 6.x version of MATLAB and Microsoft Windows. Both the text and graphics windows should be simultaneously visible. A windowed environment is essential for using capabilities like animation and interactive manipulation of three dimensional figures. The source code for all of the programs in the book is available from the CRC Press website at http://www.crcpress.com. The program collection is organized using an independent subdirectory for each of the thirteen chapters.

This third edition incorporates much new material on time dependent solutions of linear partial differential equations. Animation is used whenever seeing the solution evolve in time is helpful. Animation illustrates quite well phenomena like wave propagation in strings and membranes. The interactive zoom and rotation features in MATLAB are also valuable tools for interpreting graphical output.

Most programs in the book are academic examples, but some problem solutions are useful as stand-alone analysis tools. Examples include geometrical property calculation, differentiation or integration of splines, Gauss integration of arbitrary order, and frequency analysis of trusses and membranes.

A chapter on eigenvalue problems presents applications in stress analysis, elastic stability, and linear system dynamics. A chapter on analytic functions shows the efficiency of MATLAB for applying complex valued functions and the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) to harmonic and biharmonic functions. Finally, the book concludes with a chapter applying multidimensional search to several nonlinear programming problems.

We emphasize that this book is primarily for those concerned with physical applications. A thorough grasp of Euclidean geometry, Newtonian mechanics, and some mathematics beyond calculus is essential to understand most of the topics. Finally, the authors enjoy interacting with students, teachers, and researchers applying advanced mathematics to real world problems. The availability of economical computer hardware and the friendly software interface in MATLAB makes computing increasingly attractive to the entire technical community. If we manage to cultivate interest in MATLAB among engineers who only spend part of their time using computers, our primary goal will have been achieved.

Howard B. Wilson
Louis H. Turcotte
David Halpern
hwilson@bama.ua.edu turcotte@rose-hulman.edu
david.halpern@ua.edu
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## Chapter 1

## Introduction

### 1.1 MATLAB: A Tool for Engineering Analysis

This book presents various MATLAB applications in mechanics and applied mathematics. Our objective is to employ numerical methods in examples emphasizing the appeal of MATLAB as a programming tool. The programs are intended for study as a primary component of the text. The numerical methods used include interpolation, numerical integration, finite differences, linear algebra, Fourier analysis, roots of nonlinear equations, linear differential equations, nonlinear differential equations, linear partial differential equations, analytic functions, and optimization methods. Many intrinsic MATLAB functions are used along with some utility functions developed by the authors. The physical applications vary widely from solution of linear and nonlinear differential equations in mechanical system dynamics to geometrical property calculations for areas and volumes.

For many years FORTRAN has been the favorite programming language for solving mathematical and engineering problems on digital computers. An attractive alternative is MATLAB which facilitates program development with excellent error diagnostics and code tracing capabilities. Matrices are handled efficiently with many intrinsic functions performing familiar linear algebra tasks. Advanced software features such as dynamic memory allocation and interactive error tracing reduce the time to get solutions. The versatile but simple graphics commands in MATLAB also allow easy preparation of publication quality graphs and surface plots for technical papers and books. The authors have found that MATLAB programs are often signifantly shorter than corresponding FORTRAN versions. Consequently, more time is available for the primary purpose of computing, namely, to better understand physical system behavior.

The mathematical foundation needed to grasp most topics presented here is covered in an undergraduate engineering curriculum. This should include a grounding in calculus, differential equations, and knowledge of a procedure oriented programming language like FORTRAN. An additional course on advanced engineering mathematics covering linear algebra, matrix differential equations, and eigenfunction solutions of partial differential equations will also be valuable. The MATLAB programs were written primarily to serve as instructional examples in classes traditionally referred to as advanced engineering mathematics and applied numerical methods. The greatest benefit to the reader will probably be derived through study of the programs relat-
ing mainly to physics and engineering applications. Furthermore, we believe that several of the MATLAB functions are useful as general utilities. Typical examples include routines for spline interpolation, differentiation, and integration; area and inertial moments for general plane shapes; and volume and inertial properties of arbitrary polyhedra. We have also included examples demonstrating natural frequency analysis and wave propagation in strings and membranes.

MATLAB is now employed in more than two thousand universities and the user community throughout the world numbers in the thousands. Continued growth will be fueled by decreasing hardware costs and more people familiar with advanced analytical methods. The authors hope that our problem solutions will motivate analysts already comfortable with languages like FORTRAN to learn MATLAB. The rewards of such efforts can be considerable.

### 1.2 MATLAB Commands and Related Reference Materials

MATLAB has a rich command vocabulary covering most mathematical topics encountered in applications. The current section presents instructions on: a) how to learn MATLAB commands, b) how to examine and understand MATLAB's lucidly written and easily accessible "demo" programs, and c) how to expand the command language by writing new functions and programs. A comprehensive online help system is included and provides lengthy documentation of all the operators and commands. Additional capabilities are provided by auxiliary toolboxes. The reader is encouraged to study the command summary to get a feeling for the language structure and to have an awareness of powerful operations such as null,orth,eig, and fft.

The manual for The Student Edition of MATLAB should be read thoroughly and kept handy for reference. Other references [47, 97, 103] also provide valuable supplementary information. This book extends the standard MATLAB documentation to include additional examples which we believe are complementary to more basic instructional materials.

Learning to use help, type, dbtype, demo, and diary is important to understanding MATLAB. help function name (such as help plot) lists available documentation on a command or function generically called "function name." MATLAB responds by printing introductory comments in the relevant function (comments are printed until the first blank line or first MATLAB command after the function heading is encountered). This feature allows users to create online help for their own functions by simply inserting appropriate comments at the top of the function. The instruction type function_name lists the entire source code for any function where source code is available (the code for intrinsic functions stored in compiled binary for computational efficiency cannot be listed). Consider the following list of typical examples

| Command <br> help help <br> help demos <br> type linspace | Resulting Action <br> discusses use of the help command <br> lists names of various demo programs <br> lists the source code for the function which generates a vec- <br> tor of equidistant data values <br> outputs a message indicating that plot is a built-in function <br> executes the source code in a function named intro which |
| :--- | :--- |
| type plot |  |
| intro | illustrates various MATLAB functions. <br> lists the source code for the intro demo program. By study- <br> ing this example, readers can quickly learn many MATLAB |
| type intro | commands <br> demonstrates X-Y graphing <br> demonstrates X-Y-Z graphing <br> provides instructions on how results appearing on the com- <br> mand screen can be saved into a file for later printing, edit- |
| graf3d | ing, or merging with other text |
| inelp diary | instructs MATLAB to record, into a file called fil name, <br> all text appearing on the command screen until the user <br> types diary off. The diary command is especially useful <br> for making copies of library programs such as zerodemo <br> initiates access to a lengthy set of programs demonstrating |
| demo | the functionality of MATLAB. It is also helpful to source |
| list some of these programs such as: zerodemo, fitdemo, |  |

### 1.3 Example Problem on Financial Analysis

Let us next analyze a problem showing several language constructs of MATLAB programming. Most of this book is devoted to solving initial value and boundary value problems for physical systems. For sake of variety we study briefly an elementary example useful in business, namely, asset growth resulting from compounded investment return.

The differential equation

$$
Q^{\prime}(t)=R Q(t)+S \exp (A t)
$$

describes growth of investment capital earning a rate of investment return $R$ and augmented by a saving rate $S \exp (A t)$. The general solution of this first order linear equation is

$$
Q(t)=\exp (R t)\left[Q(0)+\int_{0}^{t} S \exp ((A-R) t) d t\right]
$$

A realistic formulation should employ inflation adjusted capital defined by

$$
q(t)=Q(t) \exp (-I t)
$$

where $I$ denotes the annual inflation rate. Then a suitable model describing capital accumulation over a saving interval of $t_{1}$ years, followed by a payout period of $t_{2}$ years, is characterized as

$$
q^{\prime}(t)=r q(t)+\left[s\left(t \leq t_{1}\right)-p \exp \left(-a t_{1}\right)\left(t>t_{1}\right)\right] \exp (a t), \quad q(0)=q_{0} .
$$

The quantity $\left(t \leq t_{1}\right)$ equals one for $t \leq t_{1}$ and is zero otherwise. This equation also uses inflation adjusted parameters $r=R-I$ and $a=A-I$. The parameter $s$ quantifies the initial saving rate and $p$ is the payout rate starting at $t=t_{1}$.

It is plausible to question whether continuous compounding is a reasonable alternative to a discrete model employing assumptions such as quarterly or yearly compounding. It turns out that results obtained, for example, using discrete monthly compounding over several years differ little from those produced with the continuous model. Since long term rates of investment return and inflation are usually estimated rather than known exactly, the simplified formulas for continuous compounding illustrate reasonably well the benefits of long term investment growth. Integrating the differential equation for the continuous compounding model gives

$$
q(t)=q_{0} \exp (r t)+s\left[h(t)-\left(t>t_{1}\right) \exp \left(a t_{1}\right) h\left(t-t_{1}\right)\right]-p\left(t>t_{1}\right) h\left(t-t_{1}\right)
$$

where $h(t)=[\exp (r t)-\exp (a t)] /(r-a)$. The limiting case for $r=a$ is also dealt with appropriately in the program below. At time $T_{2}=t_{1}+t_{2}$ the final capital $q_{2}=q\left(T_{2}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{2}= & q_{0} \exp \left(r T_{2}\right)+\frac{s}{r-a}\left[\exp \left(r t_{1}\right)-\exp \left(a t_{1}\right)\right] \exp \left(r t_{2}\right) \\
& -\frac{p}{r-a}\left[\exp \left(r t_{2}\right)-\exp \left(a t_{2}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, for known $r, a, t_{1}, t_{2}$, the four quantities $q_{2}, q_{0}, s, p$ are linearly related and any particular one of these values can be found in terms of the other three. For instance, when $q_{0}=q_{2}=0$, the saving factor $s$ needed to provide a desired payout factor $p$ can be computed from the useful equation

$$
s=p\left[1-\exp \left((a-r) t_{2}\right)\right] /\left[\exp \left(r t_{1}\right)-\exp \left(a t_{1}\right)\right]
$$

A MATLAB program using the above equations was written to compute and plot $q(t)$ for general combinations of the nine parameters $R, A, I, t_{1}, t_{2}, q_{0}, s, p, q_{2}$. The program allows data to be passed through the call list of function finance, or the interactive input is activated when no call list data is passed. Finance calls function inputv to read data and the function savespnd to evaluate $q(t)$. First we will show some numerical results and then discuss selected parts of the code. Consider a case where someone initially starting with $\$ 10,000$ of capital expects to save for 40 years
and subsequently draw $\$ 50,000$ annually from savings for 20 years, at which time the remaining capital is to be $\$ 100,000$. Assume that the investment rate before inflation is $R=8$ while the inflation rate is $I=4$. During the 60 year period, annual savings, as well as the pension payout amount, are to be increased to match inflation, so that $A=4$. The necessary value of $s$ and a plot of the inflation adjusted assets as a function of time are to be determined. The program output shows that when the unknown value of $s$ was input as nan (meaning Not-a-Number in IEEE arithmetic), a corrected value of $\$ 6417$ was computed. This says that, with the assumed rate of investment return, saving at an initial rate of $\$ 6417$ per year and continually increasing that amount to match inflation will suffice to provide the desired inflation adjusted payout. Furthermore, the inflation adjusted financial capital accumulated at the end of 40 years is $\$ 733,272$. The related graph of $q(t)$ duplicates the data listed on the text screen. The reader may find it interesting to repeat the illustrative calculation assuming $R=11$, in which case the saving coefficient is greatly reduced to only \$1060.

### 1.4 Computer Code and Results

A computer code which analyzes the above equations and presents both numerical and graphical results appears next. First we show the program output, and then discuss particular aspects of the program.

### 1.4.1 Computer Output

```
>> finance;
    ANALYSIS OF THE SAVE-SPEND PROBLEM BY SOLVING
q'(t) =r*q(t)+[s*(t<=t1) -p*(t>t1)*exp(-a*t1)]*exp (a*t)
where r=R-I, a=A-I, and q(0)=q0
To list parameter definitions enter y
otherwise enter n ? y
INPUT QUANTITIES:
R - annual percent earnings on assets
I - annual percent inflation rate
A - annual percent increase in savings
        to offset inflation
r,a - inflation adjusted values of R and I
t1 - saving period (years), 0<t<t1
t2 - payout period (years), t1<t<(t1+t2)
s - saving rate at t=0, ($K). Saving is
```

```
    expressed as s*exp(a*t), 0<t<t1
p - payout rate at t=t1, ($K). Payout is
    expressed as
    -p*exp(a*(t-t1)), t1<t<(t1+t2)
q0 - initial savings at t=0, ($K)
q2 - final savings at t=T2=t1+t2, ($K)
```

OUTPUT QUANTITIES:
q - vector of inflation adjusted savings
values for $0<=t<=$ ( $\mathrm{t} 1+\mathrm{t} 2$ )
t - vector of times (years) corresponding
to the components of $q$
q1 - value of savings at $t=t 1$, when the
saving period ends
Press return to continue
Input $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{I}($ try $11,4,4)$ ? 8,4,4
Input t1,t2 (try 40,20) ? 40,20
Input q0,s,p,q2 (try 20,5, nan,40) ? 20, nan,50,100

## PROGRAM RESULTS

| t1 | t2 | R | $A$ | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40.000 | 20.000 | 8.000 | 4.000 | 4.000 |
| q0 | q1 | q2 | s | p |
| 20.000 | 733.272 | 100.000 | 6.417 | 50.000 |

>>


Figure 1.1: Accumulated Assets versus Time

### 1.4.2 Discussion of the MATLAB Code

Let us examine the following program listing. The line numbers, which are not part of the actual code, are helpful for discussing particular parts of the program. A numbered listing can be obtained with the MATLAB command dbtype.
Line Comments

1-2 Three dots ... are used to continue function finance to handle the long argument list. The output list duplicates some input items to handle cases involving interactive input.
3-16 Comment lines always begin with the \% symbol. At the interactive command level in MATLAB, typing help followed by a function name will print documentation in the first unbroken sequence of comments in a function or script file.
20-25 The output heading is printed. Note that $q^{\prime \prime}(t)$ is used to print $q^{\prime}(t)$ because special characters such as ' or \% must be repeated.
29-50 Intrinsic function char is used to store descriptions of program variable in a character matrix.
59 Function nargin checks whether the number of input variables is zero. If so, data values are read interactively.
68-69 Function inputv reads several variables on the same line.
70-78 While $1, \ldots$, end code sequence loops repeatedly to check data input. Break exits to line 80 if data are OK.
85-97 Set multiplier constants to solve for one unknown variable among q0, s, p, q2.
99-105 Determine time vectors to evaluate the solution. Cases where t1 or t2 are zero require special treatment.
108-112 Intrinsic function isnan is used to identify the variable which was input as nan.
115-116 User defined function savespnd is used to evaluate $q(t)$ and $q(t 1)$.
119-127 Program results are printed with a chosen format. The statement $\mathrm{b}=$ inline('blanks(j)', j ') just shortens the name for intrinsic function blanks.
130-139 Draw the graph along with a title and axis labels.
141-153 Create a label containing data values. Position it on the graph.
154 Turn the grid off and bring the graph to the foreground.
158-176 Function savespnd evaluates $q(t)$. The formula for $r=a$ results from the limiting form of $\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{t})$ as parameter a tends to r .
180-213 Function inputv generalizes the intrinsic function input to read several variables on the same line. Inputv is used often throughout this text.

### 1.4.3 Code for Financial Problem

## Program finance

```
function [q,t,R,A,I,t1,t2,s,p,q0,q1,q2]=finance...
    (R,A,I,t1,t2,s,p,q0,q2)
% [q,t,R,A,I,t1,t2,s,p,q0,q1,q2]=finance...
% (R,A,I,t1,t2,s,p,q0,q2)
%~
%
% This function solves the SAVE-SPEND PROBLEM
% where funds earning interest are accumulated
% during one period and paid out in a subsequent
% period. The value of assets is adjusted to
% account for inflation. This problem is
% governed by the differential equation
% q'(t)=r*q(t)+[s*(t<=t1) . . 
% -p*(t>t1)*exp(-a*t1)]*exp(a*t) where
% r=R-I, a=A-I and the remaining parameters
% are defined below
% User m functions required: inputv, savespnd
disp(' '), disp([', ',..
'ANALYSIS OF THE SAVE-SPEND PROBLEM BY SOLVING'])
disp(...
['q''(t)=r*q(t)+[s*(t<=t1)-p*(t>t1)*', ...
'}\operatorname{exp(-a*t1)]*exp(a*t)']), disp(...
'where r=R-I, a=A-I, and q(0)=q0'), disp(' ')
% Create a character variable containing
% definitions of input and output quantities
explain=char('INPUT QUANTITIES:',...
'R - annual percent earnings on assets',...
'I - annual percent inflation rate',...
'A - annual percent increase in savings',...
, to offset inflation',...
'r,a - inflation adjusted values of R and I',...
't1 - saving period (years), 0<t<t1',...
't2 - payout period (years), t1<t<(t1+t2)',...
's - saving rate at t=0, ($K). Saving is',...
, expressed as s*exp(a*t), 0<t<t1',\ldots
'p - payout rate at t=t1, ($K). Payout is',...
, expressed as',...
```

```
        -p*exp(a*(t-t1)), t1<t<(t1+t2)',...
    'q0 - initial savings at t=0, ($K)',...
    'q2 - final savings at t=T2=t1+t2, ($K)',' ',...
    'OUTPUT QUANTITIES:',...
    'q - vector of inflation adjusted savings',...
    , values for 0 <= t <= (t1+t2)',...
    't - vector of times (years) corresponding',...
    , to the components of q',...
    'q1 - value of savings at t=t1, when the',...
    , saving period ends',' ');
    % NOTE: WHEN R,I,A,T1,T2 ARE KNOWN,THEN FIXING
    % ANY THREE OF THE VALUES q0,s,p,q2 DETERMINES
    % THE UNKNOWN VALUE WHICH SHOULD BE GIVEN AS
    % nan IN THE DATA INPUT.
    % Read data interactively when input data is not
    % passed through the call list
    if nargin==0
    disp('To list parameter definitions enter y')
querry=input('otherwise enter n ? ','s');
if querry=='Y' | querry=='y'
disp(explain); disp('Press return to continue')
pause, disp(' ')
end
% Read multiple variables on the same line
        [R,A,I]=inputv('Input R,A,I (try 11,4,4) ? ');
    [t1,t2]=inputv('Input t1,t2 (try 40,20) ? ');
while 1
    [q0,s,p,q2]=inputv(...
    'Input q0,s,p,q2 (try 20,5,nan,40) ? ');
        if sum(isnan([q0,s,p,q2]))==1, break; end
        fprintf(['\nDATA ERROR. ONE AND ONLY ',...
            'ONE VALUE AMONG\n','THE PARAMETERS ',...
            'q0,s,p,q2 CAN EQUAL nan \n\n'])
    end
end
nt=101; T2=t1+t2; r=(R-I)/100; a=(A-I)/100;
c0=exp(r*T2);
% q0,s,p,q2 are related by q2=c0*q0+c1*s+c2*p
% Check special case where t1 or t2 are zero
if t1==0
```

```
disp(' '), disp('s is set to zero when t1=0')
    s=0; c1=0;
else
c1=savespnd(T2,t1,0,R,A,I,1,0);
end
if t2==0
disp(' '), disp('p is set to zero when t2=0')
    p=0; c2=0;
else
c2=savespnd(T2,t1,0,R,A,I,0,1);
end
if t1==0 | t2==0
t=linspace(0,T2,nt)';
else
    n1=max(2,fix(t1/T2*nt));
    n2=max(2,nt-n1)-1;
    t=[t1/n1*(0:n1),t1+t2/n2*(1:n2)]';
end
% Solve for the unknown parameter
if isnan(q0), q0=(q2-s*c1-p*c2)/c0;
elseif isnan(s), s=(q2-q0*c0-p*c2)/c1;
elseif isnan(p), p=(q2-q0*c0-s*c1)/c2;
else, q2=q0*c0+s*c1+p*c2;
end
% Compute results for q(t)
q=savespnd(t,t1,q0,R,A,I,s,p);
q1=savespnd(t1,t1,q0,R,A,I,s,p);
% Print formatted results
b=inline('blanks(j)','j'); B=b(3); d='%8.3f';
u=[d,B,d,B,d,B,d,B,d,'\n']; disp(' ')
disp([b(19),'PROGRAM RESULTS'])
disp([' t1 t2 R',...
fprintf(u,t1,t2,R,A,I), disp(' ')
disp([' q0 q1 q2',...
    ' s p'])
fprintf(u,q0,q1,q2,s,p), disp(' '), pause(1)
129: % Show results graphically
130: plot(t,q,'k')
```

128:

131:
title(['INFLATION ADJUSTED SAVINGS WHEN ',... 'S = ',num2str(s),' AND P = ', num2str(p)]);
titl=...
['TOTAL SAVINGS WHEN T1 = ',num2str(t1),...
, , T2 = ',num2str(t2),', s = ',num2str(s),...
', $p=$ ',num2str( p$)]$; title(titl)
xlabel('TIME IN YEARS')
ylabel('TOTAL SAVINGS IN \$K')
\% Character label showing data parameters
label=char (. . .
sprintf('R = \%8.3f', R),...
sprintf('I = \%8.3f', I),...
sprintf('A $\left.=\% 8.3 f^{\prime}, A\right), \ldots$
sprintf('q0 $=\% 8.3 f$ ', q0),..
sprintf('q1 = \%8.3f', q1),...
sprintf('q2 = \%8.3f',q2));
w=axis; ymin=w(3); dy=w(4)-w(3);
xmin=w(1); dx=w(2)-w(1);
ytop=ymin+.8*dy; Dy=.065*dy;
xlft=xmin+0.04*dx;
text(xlft,ytop,label)
grid off, shg
$\%==========================================$
function $q=$ savespnd(t,t1,q0,R,A,I,s,p)
\%
\% q=savespnd(t,t1,q0,R,A,I,s,p)
$\%$
\% This function determines $q(t)$ satisfying
$\% q^{\prime}(t)=r * q+[s *(t<=t 1)-p *(t>t 1) * \ldots$
$\% \exp (-a * t 1)] * \exp (a * t)$, with $q(0)=q 0$,
$\% r=(R-I) / 100 ; a=(A-I) / 100$
$\mathrm{r}=(\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{I}) / 100 ; \mathrm{a}=(\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{I}) / 100 ; \mathrm{c}=\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{T}=\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{t} 1$;
if $r^{\sim}=a$
$\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{q} 0 * \exp (\mathrm{r} * \mathrm{t})+\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{c} *(\exp (\mathrm{r} * \mathrm{t})-\exp (\mathrm{a} * \mathrm{t})) .$.
$-(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{s} * \exp (\mathrm{a} * \mathrm{t} 1)) / \mathrm{c} *(\mathrm{~T}>0) . *(\ldots$
$\exp (r * T)-\exp (a * T))$;
else \% limiting case as a=>r
$\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{q} 0 * \exp (\mathrm{r} * \mathrm{t})+\mathrm{s} * \mathrm{t} . * \exp (\mathrm{r} * \mathrm{t}) \ldots$
$-(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{s} * \exp (\mathrm{r} * \mathrm{t} 1)) . * \mathrm{~T} \cdot *(\mathrm{~T}>0) . * \exp (\mathrm{r} * \mathrm{~T}) ;$

176: end
177:

179:
180: function varargout=inputv(prompt)
181: \%
182: \% [a1, a2, ..., a_nargout]=inputv (prompt)
\%~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
\%
\% This function reads several values on one
\% line. The items should be separated by
\% commas or blanks.
\%

| $\%$ prompt | A string preceding the <br> $\%$ <br> data entry. It is set |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\%$ | to ? , if no value of |

205:
206: if nargin==0, prompt=' ? '; end
207: u=input(prompt,'s'); v=eval(['[',u,']']);
208: ni=length(v); no=nargout;
209: varargout=cell(1,no); k=min(ni,no);
210: for $j=1: k$, varargout $\{j\}=v(j)$; end
211: if $\mathrm{no}>\mathrm{ni}$
212: for $j=n i+1: n o$, varargout $\{j\}=n a n ;$ end
213: end

## Chapter 2

## Elementary Aspects of MATLAB Graphics

### 2.1 Introduction

MATLAB's capabilities for plotting curves and surfaces are versatile and easy to understand. In fact, the effort required to learn MATLAB would be rewarding even if it were only used to construct plots, save graphic images, and output publication quality graphs on a laser printer. Numerous help features and well-written demo programs are included with MATLAB. By executing the demo programs and studying the relevant code, users can quickly understand the techniques necessary to implement graphics within their programs. This chapter discusses a few of the graphics commands. These commands are useful in many applications and do not require extensive time to master. This next section provides a quick overview of the basics of using MATLAB's graphics. The subsequent sections in this chapter present several additional examples (summarized in the table below) involving interesting applications which use these graphics primitives.

| Example | Purpose |
| :--- | :--- |
| Polynomial Inter- <br> polation | 2-D graphics and polynomial interpolation <br> functions |
| Conformal <br> Mapping | 2-D graphics and some aspects of complex <br> numbers |
| Pendulum Motion | 2-D graphics animation and ODE solution |
| Linear Vibration <br> Model | Animated spring-mass response |
| String Vibration | 2-D and 3-D graphics for a function of form <br> $y(x, t)$ |
| Space Curve Ge- <br> ometry | 3-D graphics for a space curve |
| Intersecting Sur- <br> faces | 3-D graphics and combined surface plots |

### 2.2 Overview of Graphics

The following commands should be executed since they will accelerate the understanding of graphics functions, and others, included within MATLAB.

| help help | discusses use of help command. |
| :--- | :--- |
| help |  |
| help general |  |
| help more |  |
| help diary |  |
| help plotxy |  |
| help plotxyz |  |
| help graphics |  |
| help demos |  |
| intro | lists various utility commands. <br> describes how to control output paging. <br> describes how to save console output to a file. <br> describes 2D plot functions. <br> describes 3D plot functions. <br> describes more general graphics features. <br> lists names of various demo programs. <br> executes the intro program showing MATLAB <br> commands including fundamental graphics capa- <br> bilities. <br> describes several numerical analysis programs <br> contained in MATLAB. <br> lists a function employed in several of the MAT- <br> help funfun <br> type humps <br> fplotdemo <br> help peaks <br> executes program fplotdemo which plots the <br> function named humps. <br> describes a function peaks used to illustrate sur- <br> face plots. <br> executes the function peaks to produce an inter- <br> esting surface plot. <br> executes a demo program to draw a curve through <br> data input interactively. |
| spline2d |  |

The example programs can be studied interactively using the type command to list programs of interest. Library programs can also be inspected and printed using the MATLAB editor, but care should be taken not to accidentally overwrite the original library files with changes. Furthermore, text output in the command window can be captured in several ways. Some of these are: (1) Use the mouse to highlight material of interest. Then use the "Print Selected" on the file menu to send output to the printer; (2) Use CTRL-C to copy outlined text to the clipboard. Then open a new file and use CTRL-V to paste the text into the new file; and (3) Use a diary command such as diary mysave.doc to begin printing subsequent command window output into the chosen file. This printing can be turned off using diary off. Then the file can be edited, modified, or combined with other text using standard editor commands.

More advanced features of MATLAB graphics, including handle graphics, control of shading and light sources, creation of movies, etc., exceed the scope of the present text. Instead we concentrate on using the basic commands listed below and on producing simple animations. The advanced graphics can be mastered by studying the

MATLAB manuals and relevant demo programs. The principal graphing commands discussed here are

| Command | Purpose |
| :--- | :--- |
| plot <br> xlabel, ylabel,, <br> zlabel <br> title | draw two-dimensional graphs |
| define axis labels |  |
| axis |  |
| legend | define graph title |
| shg | set various axis parameters (min, max, etc.) |
| text | bring graphics window to foreground |
| grid | place text at selected locations |
| mesh | turns grid lines on or off |
| surf | draw surface using colored lines |
| hold | draw surface using colored patches |
| view | fix the graph limits between successive plots |
| drawnow | change surface viewing position |
| empty graphics buffer immediately |  |
| zoom | magnify graph or surface plot |
| clf | clear graphics window |
| contour | draw contour plot |
| ginput | read coordinates interactively |

All of these commands, along with numerous others, are extensively documented by the help facilities in MATLAB. The user can get an introduction to these capabilities by typing "help plot" and by running the demo programs. The accompanying code for the demo program should be examined since it provides worthwhile insight into how MATLAB graphics is used.

### 2.3 Example Comparing Polynomial and Spline Interpolation

Many familiar mathematical functions such as $\arctan (x), \exp (x), \sin (x)$, etc. can be represented well near $x=0$ by Taylor series expansions. If a series expansion converges rapidly, taking a few terms in the series may produce good polynomial approximations. Assuming such a procedure is plausible, one approach to polynomial approximation is to take some data points, say $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right), 1 \leq i \leq n$ and determine the polynomial of degree $n-1$ passing through those points. It appears reasonable that using evenly spaced data is appropriate and that increasing the number of polynomial terms should improve the accuracy of the approximating function. However, it
has actually been shown that a polynomial through points on a function $y(x)$, where the $x$ values are evenly spaced, often gives approximations which are not smooth between the data points and tend to oscillate at the ends of the interpolating interval [20]. Attempting to reduce the oscillation by increasing the polynomial order makes matters worse. Surprisingly, a special set of unevenly spaced points bunching data near the interval ends according to

$$
x_{j}=(a+b) / 2+(a-b) / 2 \cos [\pi(j-1 / 2) / n], \quad 1 \leq j \leq n
$$

for the interval $a \leq x \leq b$ turns out to be preferable. This formula defines what are called the Chebyshev points optimally chosen in the sense described by Conte and de Boor [20].

The program below employs MATLAB functions polyfit, polyval, and spline to produce interpolated approximations to the known function $1 /\left(1+x^{2}\right)$. The example illustrates how strongly the spacing of the data points for polynomial interpolation can influence results, and also shows that a spline interpolation can be a better choice than high order polynomials. A least square fit polynomial of degree $n$ through data points defined by vectors $\left(x_{d}, y_{d}\right)$ is given by

$$
p(x)=\operatorname{polyval}\left(\operatorname{polyfit}\left(x_{d}, y_{d}, n\right), x\right)
$$

When the polynomial order is one less than the number of data points, the polynomial passes through the data points exactly, but it may still produce unsatisfactory interpolation because of large oscillations between the data points. A preferable approximation is often provided by function spline giving a piecewise cubic curve with continuous first and second derivatives. The program passes polynomials of degree ten through a set of evenly spaced points and a set of Chebyshev points lying in the range $-4 \leq x \leq 4$. A spline curve passed through the equidistant points is constructed in addition to a least square polynomial fit employing 501 points. Two graphs are created which show results for $x \geq 0$. Only results for positive $x$ were plotted to provide more contrast between different interpolation results. Figure 2.1 plots the exact function, the spline curve, and the polynomial through the equidistant data. The polynomial is clearly an unsatisfactory approximation, whereas the spline appears to deviate imperceptibly from the exact function. By using the interactive zoom feature in MATLAB graphics, parts of the graph can be magnified so the difference between the spline and exact results is clearly visible. Figure 2.2 compares the exact function with a polynomial employing the Chebyshev points. This result is much better than what is produced with equidistant data. An approximation generated from a least square fit polynomial and 501 data points is also shown. This curve fits the exact function unpredictably and significantly misses the desired values at $x=0$ and $x= \pm 4$. While general conclusions about interpolation should not be drawn from this simple example, it certainly implies that high order polynomial interpolation over a large range of the independent variable should be used cautiously.

The graphics functions used in the program include plot, title, xlabel, ylabel, and legend. Some other features of the program are summarized in the table preceding the code listing.

SPLINE CURVE AND POLYNOMIAL USING EVEN SPACING


Figure 2.1: Spline and Polynomial Interpolation Using Equidistant Points


Figure 2.2: Interpolation Using Chebyshev Points and 501 Least Square Points

| Line | Operation |
| :---: | :--- |
| $12,17,21$ | several inline functions are defined |
| 27 | function linspace generates vector of equidistant points |
| $27,28,34-37$ | inline functions called |
| 38 | intrinsic spline function is used |
| 45,57 | graph legends created |
| 52,64 | graph images saved to files |

## Program polyplot

```
function polyplot
\% Example: polyplot
\% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
\% This program illustrates polynomial and
\% spline interpolation methods applied to
\(\%\) approximate the function \(1 /\left(1+x^{\wedge} 2\right)\).
\%
\% User inline functions used:
\% cbp, Ylsq, yexact
\% Function for Chebyshev data points
cbp=inline ([' \((\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}) / 2+(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}) / 2 * \cos (\mathrm{pi} / \mathrm{n} *\) ', . .
    '(1/2:n))'], 'a', 'b', 'n');
\% Polynomial of degree \(n\) to least square fit
\% data points in vectors \(x d, y d\)
Ylsq=inline('polyval(polyfit(xd,yd,n), x)', ...
'xd','yd','n','x');
\% Function to be approximated by polynomials
yexact=inline('1./(1+abs(x). 'p)', 'p', 'x');
\% Set data parameters. Functions linspace and
\% cbp generate data with even and Chebyshev
\% spacing
\(\mathrm{n}=10\); \(\mathrm{nd}=\mathrm{n}+1\); \(\mathrm{a}=-4\); \(\mathrm{b}=4\); \(\mathrm{p}=2\);
xeven=linspace(a,b,nd); yeven=yexact( \(p, x e v e n\) );
\(\mathrm{xcbp}=\operatorname{cbp}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{nd})\); ycbp=yexact \((\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{xcbp})\);
nlsq=501; \% Number of least square points
xlsq=linspace(a,b,nlsq); ylsq=yexact(p,xlsq);
\% Compute interpolated functions for plotting
```

```
xplt=linspace(0,b,121); yplt=yexact(p,xplt);
yyeven=Ylsq(xeven, yeven,n,xplt);
yycbp=Ylsq(xcbp,ycbp,n,xplt);
yylsq=Ylsq(xlsq,ylsq,n,xplt);
yyspln=spline(xeven,yeven,xplt);
% Plot results
j=6:nd; % Plot only data points for x>=0
plot(xplt,yplt,'-',xplt,yyeven,'--',...
xplt,yyspln,'.',xeven(j),yeven(j),...
    's','linewidth',2)
    legend('Exact Function',...
    'Poly. for Even Spacing',...
        'Spline Curve',...
    'Interpolation Points',2)
title(['SPLINE CURVE AND POLYNOMIAL ',...
    'USING EVEN SPACING'])
xlabel('x axis'), ylabel('function values')
% print(gcf,'-deps','splpofit')
shg, pause
plot(xplt,yplt,'-',xplt,yycbp,'--',...
xplt,yylsq,'.',xcbp(j),ycbp(j),'s',...
    'linewidth',2)
legend('Exact Function',...
    'Poly. for Chebyshev Points',...
    'Least Square Poly. Fit',...
    'Interpolation Points',1)
title(['LEAST SQUARE POLY. AND POLY. ',...
    'USING CHEBYSHEV POINTS'])
    xlabel('x axis'), ylabel('function values')
    % print(gcf,'-deps','lsqchfit')
    shg, disp(' '), disp('All Done')
```


### 2.4 Conformal Mapping Example

This example involves analytic functions and conformal mapping. The complex function $w(z)$ which maps $|z| \leq 1$ onto the interior of a square of side length 2 can be written in power series form as

$$
w(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{k} z^{4 k+1}
$$

where

$$
b_{k}=c\left[\frac{(-1)^{k}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{k}}{k!(4 k+1)}\right], \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{k}=1
$$

and $c$ is a scaling coefficient chosen to make $z=1$ map to $w=1$ (see reference [75]). Truncating the series after some finite number of terms, say $m$, produces an approximate square with rounded corners. Increasing $m$ reduces the corner rounding but convergence is rather slow so that using even a thousand terms still gives perceptible inaccuracy. The purpose of the present exercise is to show how a polar coordinate region characterized by

$$
z=r e^{\imath \theta}, r_{1} \leq r \leq r_{2}, \theta_{1} \leq \theta \leq \theta_{2}
$$

transforms and to exhibit an undistorted plot of the region produced in the $w$-plane. The exercise also emphasizes the utility of MATLAB for handling complex arithmetic and complex functions. The program has a short driver squarrun and a function squarmap which computes points in the $w$ region and coefficients in the series expansion. Salient features of the program are summarized in the table below.

Results produced when $0.5 \leq r \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \theta \leq 2 \pi$ by a twenty-term series appear in Figure 2.3. The reader may find it interesting to run the program using several hundred terms and take $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi / 2$. The corner rounding remains noticeable even when $m=1000$ is used. Later in this book we will visit the mapping problem again to show that a better approximation is obtainable using rational functions.

| Routine | Line | Operation |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| squarrun | $20-41$ | functions input, disp, fprintf, and read are <br> used to input data interactively. Several dif- <br> ferent methods of printing were used for pur- <br> poses of illustration rather than necessity. <br> function squarmap generates results. <br> function genprint is a system dependent rou- <br> tine which is used to create plot files for later <br> printing. <br> functions linspace and ones are used to gen- <br> erate points in the z-plane. <br> series coefficients are computed using <br> cumprod and the mapping is evaluated using <br> polyval with a matrix argument. <br> squarmap <br> scale limits are calculated to allow an undis- <br> torted plot of the geometry. Use is made of <br> MATLAB functions real and imag. |
| cubrange | $51-33-73$ | loops are executed to plot the circumferential <br> lines first and the radial lines second. <br> function which determines limits for a square <br> or cube shaped region. |



Figure 2.3: Mapping of a Square Using a 20-Term Polynomial

## MATLAB Example

## Program squarrun

```
function squarrun
% Example: squarrun
% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
%
% Driver program to plot the mapping of a
% circular disk onto the interior of a square
% by the Schwarz-Christoffel transformation.
%
% User m functions required:
% squarmap, inputv, cubrange
% Illustrate use of the functions input and
% inputv to interactively read one or several
% data items on the same line
fprintf('\nCONFORMAL MAPPING OF A SQUARE ')
fprintf('BY USE OF A\n')
fprintf('TRUNCATED SCHWARZ-CHRISTOFFEL ')
fprintf('SERIES\n\n')
fprintf('Input the number of series ')
fprintf('terms used ')
m=input('(try 20)? ');
% Illustrate use of the function disp
disp('')
str=['\nInput the inner radius, outer ' ...
    'radius and number of increments ',...
    '\n(try .5,1,8)\n'];
fprintf(str);
% Use function inputv to input several variables
[r1,r2,nr]=inputv;
% Use function fprintf to print more
% complicated heading
str=['\nInput the starting value of ' ...
    'theta, the final value of theta \n' ...
    'and the number of theta increments ' ...
        '(the angles are in degrees) ' ...
```

```
        '\n(try 0,360,120)\n'];
    fprintf(str); [t1,t2,nt]=inputv;
    % Call function squarmap to make the plot
    hold off; clf;
    [w,b]=squarmap(m,r1,r2,nr,t1,t2,nt+1);
    % Save the plot
    % print -deps squarplt
    disp(' '); disp('All Done');
    %================================================
    function [w,b]=squarmap(m,r1,r2,nr,t1,t2,nt)
    %
% [w,b]=squarmap(m,r1,r2,nr,t1,t2,nt)
% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
% This function evaluates the conformal mapping
% produced by the Schwarz-Christoffel
1: % transformation w(z) mapping abs(z)<=1 inside
% a square having a side length of two. The
3: % transformation is approximated in series form
64: % which converges very slowly near the corners.
65: %
6:% m - number of series terms used
67: % r1,r2,nr - abs(z) varies from r1 to r2 in
68: % nr steps
69: % t1,t2,nt - arg(z) varies from t1 to t2 in
0:% nt steps (t1 and t2 are measured
71:% in degrees)
72: % w - points approximating the square
73: % b - coefficients in the truncated
4: % series expansion which has the
75: %
6:%
7: %
w(z)=sum({j=1:m},b(j)*z*(4*j-3))
%
% User m functions called: cubrange
%----------------------------------------------------
81:
% Generate polar coordinate grid points for the
%: map. Function linspace generates vectors
% with equally spaced components.
r=linspace(r1,r2,nr)';
```

```
t=pi/180*linspace(t1,t2,nt);
    \(z=(r * \operatorname{ones}(1, n t)) . *(\operatorname{ones}(n r, 1) * \exp (i * t)) ;\)
\% Use high point resolution for the
\% outer contour
touter=pi/180*linspace (t1, t2, 10*nt) ;
zouter \(=r 2 * \exp\) (i*touter) ;
\% Compute the series coefficients and
\% evaluate the series
\(\mathrm{k}=1\) :m-1;
\(\mathrm{b}=\) cumprod \(([1,-(\mathrm{k}-.75) . *(\mathrm{k}-.5) . /(\mathrm{k} . *(\mathrm{k}+.25))])\);
\(\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{b} / \operatorname{sum}(\mathrm{b})\); \(\mathrm{w}=\mathrm{z} . * \mathrm{polyval}\left(\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{m}:-1: 1), \mathrm{z}^{\wedge} 4\right)\);
wouter=zouter.*polyval(b(m:-1:1), zouter. \(\left.{ }^{\wedge} 4\right)\);
\% Determine square window limits for plotting
uu=real ([w(:); wouter (:)]);
vv=imag([w(:); wouter (: )]);
rng=cubrange([uu,vv],1.1);
axis('square'); axis(rng); hold on
\% Plot orthogonal grid lines which represent
\% the mapping of circles and radial lines
\(x=r e a l(w) ; y=i m a g(w)\);
xo=real (wouter) ; yo=imag(wouter) ;
plot ( \(x, y,{ }^{\prime}-k\) ', \(x(1: \text { end }-1,:)^{\prime}, y(1: e n d-1,:)^{\prime}, \ldots\)
'-k',xo,yo,'-k')
\% Add a title and axis labels
title(['Mapping of a Square Using a ', ...
    num2str(m),'-term Polynomial'])
xlabel('x axis'); ylabel('y axis')
figure (gcf); hold off;
\(\%===========================================\)
function range=cubrange(xyz,ovrsiz)
\%
\% range=cubrange (xyz, ovrsiz)
\% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
\% This function determines limits for a square
127: \% or cube shaped region for plotting data values
128: \% in the columns of array xyz to an undistorted
129: \% scale
130: \%
```

```
131: % xyz - a matrix of the form [x,y] or [x,y,z]
132:% where x,y,z are vectors of coordinate
133: % points
134: % ovrsiz - a scale factor for increasing the
135:% window size. This parameter is set to
% one if only one input is given.
%
% range - a vector used by function axis to set
% window limits to plot x,y,z points
140:% undistorted. This vector has the form
% [xmin,xmax,ymin,ymax] when xyz has
% only two columns or the form
% [xmin,xmax,ymin,ymax,zmin,zmax]
% when xyz has three columns.
%
% User m functions called: none
%-------------------------------------------------
148:
    if nargin==1, ovrsiz=1; end
    pmin=min(xyz); pmax=max(xyz); pm=(pmin+pmax)/2;
    pd=max(ovrsiz/2*(pmax-pmin));
    if length(pmin)==2
        range =pm([1, 1, 2, 2])+pd*[-1,1, -1,1];
    else
        range =pm([llllllll
    end
    %================================================
159:
60: % function varargout=inputv(prompt)
161: % See Appendix B
```


### 2.5 Nonlinear Motion of a Damped Pendulum

Motion of a simple pendulum is one of the most familiar dynamics examples studied in physics. The governing equation of motion can be satisfactorily linearized for small oscillations about the vertical equilibrium position, whereas nonlinear effects become important for large deflections. For small deflections, the analysis leads to a constant coefficient linear differential equation. Solving the general case requires elliptic functions seldom encountered in routine engineering practice. Nevertheless, the pendulum equation can be handled very well for general cases by numerical integration.

Suppose a bar of negligible weight is hinged at one end and has a particle of mass $m$ attached to the other end. The bar has length $l$ and the deflection from the vertical static equilibrium position is called $\theta$. Assuming that the applied forces consist of the particle weight and a viscous drag force proportional to the particle velocity, the equation of motion is found to be

$$
\theta^{\prime \prime}(\tau)+\frac{c}{m} \theta^{\prime}(t)+\frac{g}{l} \sin (\theta)=0
$$

where $\tau$ is time, $c$ is a viscous damping coefficient, and $g$ is the gravity constant. Introducing dimensionless time, $t$, such that $\tau=\sqrt{l / g} t$ gives

$$
\theta^{\prime \prime}(t)+2 \varsigma \theta^{\prime}(t)+\sin (\theta)=0
$$

where $\varsigma=\sqrt{l / g} c /(2 m)$ is called the damping factor. When $\theta$ is small enough for $\sin (\theta)$ to be approximated well by $\theta$, then a constant coefficient linear equation solvable by elementary means is obtained. In the general situation, a solution can still be obtained numerically without resorting to higher transcendental functions. If we use $\varsigma=0.10$ for illustrative purposes, and let

$$
z=\left[\theta(t) ; \theta^{\prime}(t)\right]
$$

then the original differential equation expressed in first order matrix form is

$$
z^{\prime}(t)=[z(2) ;-0.2 z(2)-\sin (z(1)] .
$$

An inline function suitable for use by the ode45 integrator in MATLAB is simply


A program was written to integrate the pendulum equation when the angular velocity $\omega_{0}$ for $\theta=0$ is specified. For the undamped case, it is not hard to show that a starting angular velocity exceeding 2 is sufficient to push the pendulum over the top, but the pendulum will fall back for values smaller than two. For the amount of viscous damping chosen here, a value of about $\omega_{0}=2.42$ barely pushes the pendulum over the top, whereas the top is not reached for $\omega_{0}=2.41$. These cases vividly illustrate that, for a nonlinear system, small changes in initial conditions can sometimes produce very large changes in the response of the system.

In the computer program that follows, a driver function runpen controls input, calls the differential equation solver ode45, as well as a function animpen which plots $\theta$ versus $t$, and performs animation by drawing successive positions of the pendulum. Because the animation routine is very simple and requires little knowledge of MATLAB graphics, the images and the titles flicker somewhat. This becomes particularly evident unless the graph axes are left off. A better routine using more detailed graphics commands to eliminate the flicker problem is presented in Article 2.7 on wave motion in a string. The current program permits interactive input repeatedly specifying the initial angular velocity, or two illustrative data cases can be run by executing the command runpen(1). The differential equation for the problem is defined as function zdot on lines 26 and 27. This equation is integrated numerically


Figure 2.4: Angular Deflection versus Time for Pendulum Pushed Over the Top
by calls to function ode45 on lines 59,75 , and 80 . Integration tolerance values were chosen at line 30, and a time span for the simulation is defined interactively at lines 46 and 47. Function penanim(t,th,titl,tim) plots theta versus time and animates the system response by computing the range of ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ ) values, fixing the window size to prevent distortion, and sequentially plotting positions of the pendulum to show the motion history. The output results produced by runpen(1) are shown below for reference.


Figure 2.5: Partial Motion Trace for Pendulum Pushed Over the Top


Figure 2.6: Angular Displacement versus Time for Pendulum Almost Pushed Over the Top

## ALMOST OVER THE TOP FOR W0=2.41



Figure 2.7: Partial Motion Trace for Pendulum Almost Pushed Over the Top

## Program pendulum

```
function pendulum(rundemo)
% pendulum(rundemo)
% This example analyzes damped oscillations of
% a simple pendulum and animates the motion.
% The governing second order differential
% equation is
%
% theta"(t) + 0.2*theta'(t)+sin(theta) = 0
% Type pendulum with no argument for inter-
% active input. Type pendulum(1) to run two
% example problems
% The equation of motion can be written as
% two first order equations:
% theta'(t)=w; w'(t)=-. 2*W-sin(theta)
% Letting z=[theta; w], then
% z'(t)=[z(2); -0.2*z(2)-sin(z(1))]
disp(' ')
disp(' DAMPED PENDULUM MOTION DESCRIBED BY')
disp(' theta"(t)+0.2*theta''(t)+sin(theta) = 0')
% Create an inline function defining the
% differential equation in matrix form
zdot=inline(...
    '[z(2);-0.2*z(2)-sin(z(1))]','t','z');
% Set ode45 integration tolerances
ops=odeset('reltol',1e-5,'abstol',1e-5);
% Interactively input angular velocity repeatedly
if nargin==0
    while 1, close, disp(' ')
        disp('Select the angular velocity at the lowest')
        disp('point. Values of 2.42 or greater push the')
        disp(...
        'the pendulum over the top. Input zero to stop.')
        w0=input('w0 = ? > ');
```

```
    if isempty(w0) | w0==0
        disp(' '), disp('All Done'), disp(' '), return
        end
        disp(' ')
        t=input(['Input a vector of time values ',...
                        '(Try 0:.1:30) > ? ']);
        disp(' ')
        titl=input('Input a title for the graphs : ','s');
        disp(' '), disp(...
        'Input 1 to leave images of all positions shown')
        trac=input(...
            'in the animation, otherwise input 0 > ? ');
        % Specify the initial conditions and solve the
        % differential equation using ode45
        theta0=0; z0=[theta0;w0];
        [t,th] =ode45(zdot,t,z0,ops);
        % Animate the motion
        animpen(t,th(:, 1),titl,.05,trac)
    end
% Run two typical data cases
else
        % Choose time limits for the solution
        tmax=30; n=351; t=linspace(0,tmax,n);
        disp(' ')
        disp('Press return to see two examples'), pause
        W0=2.42; W0=num2str(w0);
        [t,th]=ode45(zdot,t, [0;w0],ops);
        titl=['PUSHED OVER THE TOP FOR WO = ',WO];
        animpen(t,th(:,1), titl,.05), pause(2)
        w0=2.41; W0=num2str(w0);
        [t, th]=ode45(zdot,t, [0;w0],ops);
        titl=['NEARLY PUSHED OVER THE TOP FOR WO = ',WO];
        animpen(t,th(:,1),titl,.05)
        close, disp(' '), disp('All Done'), disp(' ')
end
```

```
\(\%==============================================\)
function animpen(t,th,titl,tim,trac)
\%
\% animpen(t,th,titl,tim,trac)
\%
\% This function plots theta versus \(t\) and animates
\% the pendulum motion
\%
\(\% \mathrm{t}\) - time vector for the solution
\(\%\) th - angular deflection values defining the
\(\%\) pendulum positions
\% titl - a title shown on the graphs
\(\%\) tim - a time delay between successive steps of
\(\% \quad\) the animation. This is used to slow down
\% the animation on fast computers
\(\%\) trac - 1 if successive positions plotted in the
\(\% \quad\) animation are retained on the screen, 0
if each image is erased after it is
drawn
if nargin<5, trac=0; end; if nargin<4, tim=.05; end;
if nargin<3, titl=''; end
\% Plot the angular deflection
plot(t,180/pi*th(:,1),'k'), xlabel('time')
ylabel('angular deflection (degrees)'), title(titl)
grid on, shg, disp(' ')
disp('Press return to see the animation'), pause
\% print -deps penangle
\(\mathrm{nt}=\) length (th) ; \(\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{zeros}(\mathrm{nt}, 1)\);
\(x=[z, \sin (t h)] ; y=[z,-\cos (t h)]\);
hold off, close
if trac
    axis \(([-1,1,-1,1])\), axis square, axis off, hold on
end
for \(j=1: n t\)
    \(X=x(j,:) ; Y=y(j,:) ;\)
    plot(X,Y,'k-', X(2), Y(2), 'ko', 'markersize', 12)
    if ~trac
    axis([-1,1,-1,1]), axis square, axis off
        end
        title(titl), drawnow, shg
        if tim>0, pause(tim), end
```

```
end
% if trac==1, print -deps pentrace, end
pause(1),hold off
```


### 2.6 A Linear Vibration Model

Important aspects of linear vibration theory are illustrated by the one-dimensional motion of a mass subjected to an elastic restoring force, a viscous damping force proportional to the velocity, and a harmonically varying forcing function. The related differential equation is
$m x^{\prime \prime}(t)+c x^{\prime}(t)+k x(t)=f_{1} \cos (\omega t)+f_{2} \sin (\omega t)=\operatorname{real}\left(\left(f_{1}-i f_{2}\right) \exp (i \omega t)\right)$
with initial conditions of $x(0)=x_{0}$ and $x^{\prime}(0)=v_{0}$. The general solution is the sum of a particular solution to account for the forcing function, and a homogeneous solution corresponding to a zero right hand side. The initial conditions are applied to the sum of the two solution components. The particular solution is given by

$$
X(t)=\operatorname{real}(F \exp (i \omega t))
$$

with

$$
F=\left(f_{1}-i f_{2}\right) /\left(k-m \omega^{2}+i c \omega\right) .
$$

The initial conditions given by this particular solution are

$$
X(0)=\operatorname{real}(F)
$$

and

$$
X^{\prime}(0)=\operatorname{real}(i \omega F) .
$$

The characteristic equation for the homogeneous equation is

$$
m s^{2}+c s+k=0
$$

which has roots

$$
s_{1}=(-c+r) /(2 m), \quad s_{2}=(-c-r) /(2 m), \quad r=\sqrt{c^{2}-4 m k} .
$$

Then the homogeneous solution has the form

$$
u(t)=d(1) \exp \left(s_{1} t\right)+d(2) \exp \left(s_{2} t\right)
$$

where

$$
d=\left[1,1 ; s_{1}, s_{2}\right] \backslash\left[x_{0}-X(0) ; v_{0}-X^{\prime}(0)\right]
$$

and the complete solution is

$$
x(t)=u(t)+X(t) .
$$

A couple of special cases arise. The first corresponds to zero damping and a forcing function matching the undamped natural frequency, i.e.,

$$
c=0, \omega=\sqrt{k / m} .
$$

This case can be avoided by including a tiny amount of damping to make $c=$ $2 \sqrt{m k} / 10^{6}$. The second case happens when the characteristic roots are equal. This is remedied by perturbing the value of $c$ to $\left(1+10^{-6}\right)$ times $c$. Such small changes in a system model where realistic physical parameters are only known approximately will not affect the final results significantly.

In practice, enough damping often exists in the system to make the homogeneous solution components decay rapidly so the total solution approaches the particular solution with the displacement having the same frequency as the forcing function but out of phase with that force. To illustrate this effect, a program was written to solve the given differential equation, plot $x(t)$, and show an animation for a block connected to a wall with a spring and sliding on a surface with viscous damping resistance. Applying the oscillating force of varying magnitude on the block helps illustrate how the homogeneous solution dies out and the displacement settles into a constant phase shift relative to the driving force.

The following program either reads data interactively or runs a default data example. The solution procedure described above is implemented in function smdsolve. For arbitrary values of the system parameters, $x(t)$ is plotted and a simple animation scheme is used to plot the block, a spring, and the applied force throughout the time history. Figure 2.8 shows $x(t)$ for the default data case. The input data values for this case use

$$
\left[m, c, k, f_{1}, f_{2}, w, x_{0}, v_{0}, \operatorname{tmax}, n t\right]<=>[1,3,1,1,0,2,0,2,30,250] .
$$

Note that near $t=11$, the transient and forced solution components interact so that the block almost pauses momentarily. However, the solution then quickly approaches the steady state. Figure 2.9 shows the final position of the mass and the applied force at the end of the chosen motion cycle.


Figure 2.8: Plot of $\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{t})$ for a Linear Harmonic Oscillator

FORCED MOTION WITH DAMPING


Figure 2.9: Block Sliding On a Plane with Viscous Damping

```
function [t,X,m,c,k,f1,f2,w,x0,v0]= smdplot(example)
%
% [t,X,m,c,k,f1,f2,w,x0,v0]= smdplot(example)
% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
% This function plots the response and animates the
% motion of a damped linear harmonic oscillator
% characterized by the differential equation
% m*x''+c*x'+k*x=f1*cos(w*t)+f2*sin(w*t)
% with initial conditions x(0)=x0, x'(0)=v0.
% The animation depicts forced motion of a block
% attached to a wall by a spring. The block
% slides on a horizontal plane which provides
% viscous damping.
% example - Omit this parameter for interactive input.
% Use smdplot(1) to run a sample problem.
% t,X - time vector and displacement response
%m,c,k - mass, damping coefficient,
% spring stiffness constant
% f1,f2,w - force components and forcing frequency
% x0,v0 - initial position and velocity
%
% User m functions called: spring smdsolve inputv
%
pltsave=0; disp(' '), disp(...
, SOLUTION OF '), disp(...
'M*X" + C*X'' + K*X = F1*COS(W*T) + F2*SIN (W*T)')
disp(...
, WITH ANIMATION OF THE RESPONSE')
disp(' ')
% Example data used when nargin > 0
if nargin > 0
    m=1; c=.3; k=1; f1=1; f2=0; w=2; x0=0; v0=2;
    tmax=25; nt=250;
else % Interactive data input
    [m, c,k]=inputv(...
                                    'Input m, c, k (try 1, .3, 1) >> ? ');
        [f1,f2,w]=inputv(...
```

```
                    'Input f1, f2, w (try 1, 0, 2) >> ? ');
        [x0,v0]=inputv(...
                            'Input x0, v0 (try 0, 2) >> ? ');
        [tmax,nt]=inputv(...
                            'Input tmax, nt (try 30, 250) >> ? ');
    end
    t=linspace(0,tmax,nt);
    X=smdsolve(m, c,k,f1,f2,w, x0,v0,t);
% Plot the displacement versus time
plot(t,X,'k'), xlabel('time')
ylabel('displacement'), title(...
'FORCED RESPONSE OF A DAMPED HARMONIC OSCILLATOR')
grid on, shg, disp(' ')
if pltsave, print -deps smdplotxvst; end
disp('Press return for response animation')
pause
% Add a block and a spring to the displacement
xmx=max(abs(X)); X=X/1.1/xmx;
xb=[0,0,1,1,0,0]/2; yb=[0,-1,-1,1,1,0]/2;
% Make an arrow tip
d=.08; h=.05;
xtip=[0,-d,-d,0]; ytip=[0,0,0,h,-h,0];
% Add a spring and a block to the response
[xs,ys]=spring; nm=length(X); ns=length(xs);
nb=length(xb); x=zeros(nm,ns+nb); y= [ys,yb];
for j=1:nm, x(j,:)=[-1+(1+X(j))*xs,X(j)+xb];end
xmin=min(x(:)); xmax=max(x(:)); d=xmax-xmin;
xmax=xmin+1.1*d; r=[xmin,xmax,-2,2];
rx=r([1 1 1 2]); ry=[.5,-.5,-.5]; close;
% Plot the motion
for j=1:nm
    % Compute and scale the applied force
    f=f1*\operatorname{cos(w*t(j))+f2*sin(w*t(j));}
    f=.5*f; fa=abs(f); sf=sign(f);
    xj=x(j,:); xmaxj=max(xj);
    if sf>0
            xforc=xmaxj+[0,fa,fa+xtip];
```

```
        else
        xforc=xmaxj+[fa,0,-xtip];
        end
        % Plot the spring, block, and force
        % plot(xj,y,rx,ry,'k',xforc,ytip,'r')
        %plot(xj,y,'k-',rx,ry,'k-',xforc,ytip,'k-')
        plot(xj,y,'k-',xforc,ytip,'k-',...
            rx,ry,'k-','linewidth',1)
        title('FORCED MOTION WITH DAMPING')
        xlabel('FORCED MOTION WITH DAMPING')
        axis(r), axis('off'), drawnow
        figure(gcf), pause(.05)
end
if pltsave, print -deps smdplotanim; end
disp(' '), disp('All Done')
%=====================================
function [x,y] = spring(len,ht)
% This function generates a set of points
% defining a spring
if nargin==0, len=1; ht=.125; end
x=[0,.5,linspace (1, 11, 10), 11.5,12];
y=[ones (1,5);-ones (1,5)];
y=[0;0;y(:);0;0]'; y=ht/2/max(y)*y;
x=len/max (x)*x;
%======================================
function [x,v]=smdsolve(m,c,k,f1,f2,w,x0,v0,t)
%
% [x,v]=smdsolve(m, c,k,f1,f2,w,x0,v0,t)
%
% This function solves the differential equation
%m*x''(t)+c*x'(t)+k*x(t)=f1*\operatorname{cos}(w*t)+f2*sin(w*t)
% with x(0)=x0 and x'(0)=v0
126: % m,c,k - mass, damping and stiffness coefficients
127: % f1,f2 - magnitudes of cosine and sine terms in
128: % the forcing function
129: % w - frequency of the forcing function
130: % t - vector of times to evaluate the solution
131: % x,v - computed position and velocity vectors
```

125: \%

```
132:
ccrit=2*sqrt(m*k); wn=sqrt(k/m);
% If the system is undamped and resonance will
% occur, add a little damping
if c==0 & w==wn; c=ccrit/1e6; end;
% If damping is critical, modify the damping
% very slightly to avoid repeated roots
if c==ccrit; c=c*(1+1e-6); end
% Forced response solution
a=(f1-i*f2)/(k-m*W^2+i*c*W);
X0=real(a); V0=real(i*W*a);
X=real(a*exp(i*w*t)); V=real(i*w*a*exp(i*W*t));
% Homogeneous solution
r=sqrt(c^2-4*m*k);
s1=(-c+r)/(2*m); s2=(-c-r)/(2*m);
p=[1,1;s1,s2]\[x0-X0;v0-V0];
% Total solution satisfying the initial conditions
x=X+real (p(1)*exp(s1*t)+p(2)*exp(s2*t));
v=V+real (p(1)*s1*exp(s1*t)+p(2)*s2*exp(s2*t));
%=====================================
% function [a1,a2,...,a_nargout]=inputv(prompt)
% See Appendix B
```


### 2.7 Example of Waves in an Elastic String

One-dimensional wave propagation is illustrated well by the response of a tightly stretched string of finite length released from rest with given initial deflection. The transverse deflection $y(x, t)$ satisfies the wave equation

$$
a^{2} y_{x x}=y_{t t}
$$

and the general solution for an infinite length string, released from rest, is given by

$$
y(x, t)=[F(x-a t)+F(x+a t)] / 2
$$

where $F(x)$ is the initial deflection for $-\infty<x<\infty$. The physical interpretation for this equation is that the initial deflection splits in two parts translating at speed $a$,with one part moving to the right and the other moving to the left. The translating
wave solution can be adapted to handle a string of finite length $l$ by requiring

$$
y(0, t)=y(l, t)=0 .
$$

These end conditions, along with initial deflection $f(x)$ ( defining $F(x)$ between 0 and $l$ ), are sufficient to continue the solution outside the original interval. We write the initial condition for the finite length string as

$$
y(x, 0)=f(x), 0<x<l .
$$

To satisfy the end conditions, $F(x)$ must be an odd-valued function of period $2 l$. Introducing a function $g(x)$ such that

$$
g(x)=f(x), 0 \leq x \leq l
$$

and

$$
g(x)=-f(2 l-x), l<x \leq 2 l
$$

leads to

$$
F(x)=\boldsymbol{\operatorname { s i g n }}(x) g(\mathbf{r e m}(\mathbf{a b s}(x), 2 l))
$$

where the desired periodicity is achieved using the MATLAB remainder function, rem. This same problem can also be solved using a Fourier sine series (see chapter 9). For the present we concentrate on the solution just obtained.

A program was written to implement the translating wave solution when $f(x)$ is a piecewise linear function computed using interp1. The system behavior can be examined from three different aspects. 1) The solution $y(x, t)$ for a range of $x$ and $t$ values describes a surface. 2) The deflection curve at a particular time $t_{0}$ is expressed as $y\left(x, t_{0}\right), 0<x<l$. 3) The motion history at a particular point $x_{0}$ is $y\left(x_{0}, t\right), t \geq 0$. The nature of $F(x)$ implies that the motion has a period of $2 l / a$. Waves striking the boundary are reflected in inverted form so that for any time $y(x, t+l / a)=-y(x, t)$. The character of the motion is typified by the default data case the program uses to define a triangular initial deflection pattern where

$$
a=1, l=1, x d=[0,0.33,0.5,0.67,1], y d=[0,0,-1,0,0]
$$

The program reads the wave speed, the string length, and data points specifying the initial deflection. The solution is evaluated for a range of $x, t$ values. The function plot3 was used to create Figure 2.10, which is a three-dimensional plot of traces of the string deflection for a sequence of times. Figure 2.11 shows the string position at $t=0.33$. Figure 2.12 plots the deflection history at position $x=0.25$. Finally, a function to animate the solution over two motion cycles illustrates how the initial deflection splits, translates, and reflects from the boundaries. In an attempt to illustrate successive positions assumed in the animation, traces of the motion for a brief period are shown in Figure 2.13


Figure 2.10: String Position as a Function of Position and Time


Figure 2.11: $\quad$ String Deflection when $t=0.33$


Figure 2.12: Motion at Quarterpoint of the String


Figure 2.13: Motion Trace Over a Short Time Interval

## MATLAB Example

## Program strngrun

```
function strngrun(rundemo)
\%
\% strngrun(rundemo)
\%
\% This function illustrates propagation of
\% waves in a tightly stretched string having
\% given initial deflection. Calling strngrun
\% with no input argument causes data to be
\% read interactively. Otherwise, strngrun(1)
\% executes a sample data case.
\%
\% User m functions called: strngwav animate
pltsav=0; \% flag to save or not save graphs
disp(' ')
disp('WAVE PROPAGATION IN A STRING'), disp(' ')
if nargin==0 \% Input data interactively
    [a,len]=inputv(['Input wave speed (a) and ',...
    'string length (len) > ? ']);
        disp(' ')
        disp(['Enter the number of interior ',...
            'data points (the fixed'])
        disp(['end point coordinates are ',...
            'added automatically)'])
        n=input('? '); if isempty(n), return, end
        \(x d=z e r o s(n+2,1)\); \(x d(n+2)=l e n\);
        \(y d=z e r o s(n+2,1) ; \operatorname{disp}('\),
        disp(['The string stretches between ',...
                'fixed endpoints at'])
        disp(['x=0 and \(x=\), , num2str (len), '.']), disp(' ')
        disp(['Enter ', num2str (n), ...
            sets of \(x, y\) to specify interior'])
        disp(['initial deflections ',...
            '(one pair per line)'])
        for \(j=2: n+1,[x d(j), y d(j)]=i n p u t v ; ~ e n d ;\)
        disp(' ')
disp('Input tmax and the number of time steps')
[tmax, nt]=inputv('(Try len/a and 40) > ? ');
        disp(' ')
```

```
disp('Specify position x=x0 where the time')
x0=input(...
            'history is to be evaluated (try len/4) > ? ');
disp(' ')
disp('Specify time t=t0 when the deflection')
t0=input('curve is to be plotted > ? ');
disp(' ')
titl=input('Input a graph title > ? ','s');
else % Example for triangular initial deflection
a=1; len=1; tmax=len/a; nt=40;
xd=[0,.33,.5,.67,1]*len; yd=[0,0,-1,0,0];
% Different example for a truncated sine curve
% xd=linspace(0,len,351); yd=sin(3*pi/len*xd);
% k=find(yd<=0); xd=xd(k); yd=yd(k);
x0=0.25*len; t0=0.33*len/a;
titl='TRANSLATING WAVE OVER HALF A PERIOD';
end
nx=80; x=0:len/nx:len; t=0:tmax/nt:tmax;
h=max(abs(yd)); xplot=linspace(0,len,201);
tplot=linspace(0,max(t),251)';
[Y,X,T]=strngwav(xd,yd,x,t,len,a);
plot3(X',T',Y','k'); xlabel('x axis')
ylabel('time'), zlabel('y(x,t)'), title(titl)
if pltsav, print(gcf,'-deps','strngplot3'); end
drawnow, shg, disp(' ')
disp('Press return to see the deflection')
disp(['when t = ',num2str(t0)]), pause
[yt0,xx,tt]=strngwav(xd,yd, xplot,t0,len,a);
close; plot(xx(:),yt0(:),'k')
xlabel('x axis'), ylabel('y(x,t0)')
title(['DEFLECTION WHEN T = ',num2str(t0)])
axis([min(xx),max(xx),-h,h])
if pltsav, print(gcf,'-deps','strngyxt0'); end
drawnow, shg
disp(' ')
disp('Press return to see the deflection history')
```

```
disp(['at x = ',num2str(x0)]), pause
yx0=strngwav(xd,yd,x0,tplot,len,a);
plot(tplot,yx0,'k')
xlabel('time'), ylabel('y(x0,t)')
title(...
    ['DEFLECTION HISTORY AT X = ',num2str(x0)])
axis([0,max(t),-h,h])
if pltsav, print(gcf,'-deps','strngyx0t'); end
drawnow, shg
disp(' ')
disp('Press return to see the animation')
disp('over two periods of motion'), pause
x=linspace(0,len,101); t=linspace(0,4*len/a,121);
    [Y,X,T]=strngwav(xd,yd,x,t,len,a);
titl='MOTION OVER TWO PERIODS';
animate(X(1,:),Y',titl,.1), pause(2)
    if pltsav, print(gcf,'-deps','strnganim'); end
disp(' '), disp('All Done')
%==================================================
function [Y,X,T]=strngWav(xd,yd,x,t,len,a)
%
% [Y,X,T]=strngwav(xd,yd,x,t,len,a)
% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
% This function computes the dynamic response of
% a tightly stretched string released from rest
% with a piecewise linear initial deflection. The
% string ends are fixed.
%
% xd,yd - data vectors defining the initial
% deflection as a piecewise linear
                                    function. xd values should be increasing
                                    and lie between 0 and len
124: % x,t - position and time vectors for which the
% solution is evaluated
% len,a - string length and wave speed
if nargin<6, a=1; end; if nargin <5, len=1; end
xd=xd(:); yd=yd(:); p=2*len;
```

130:

```
    \% If end values are not zero, add these points
    if \(x d(e n d) \sim=1 e n, ~ x d=[x d ; l e n] ; y d=[y d ; 0] ; ~ e n d\)
    if \(\mathrm{xd}(1)^{\sim}=0, \mathrm{xd}=[0 ; \mathrm{xd}]\); \(\mathrm{yd}=[0 ; \mathrm{yd}]\); end
nd=length(xd);
\% Eliminate any repeated abscissa values
\(\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{find}(\operatorname{diff}(\mathrm{xd})==0)\); tiny=len/1e6;
if length \((k)>0, x d(k)=x d(k)+t i n y ; ~ e n d\)
\% Extend the data definition for len \(<\mathrm{x}<2 *\) len
\(x d=[x d ; p-x d(n d-1:-1: 1)] ; y d=[y d ;-y d(n d-1:-1: 1)] ;\)
\([\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{T}]=\) meshgrid \((\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{t})\); \(\mathrm{xp}=\mathrm{X}+\mathrm{a} * \mathrm{~T}\); \(\mathrm{xm}=\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{a} * \mathrm{~T}\);
shape=size(xp); \(x p=x p(:) ; ~ x m=x m(:) ;\)
\% Compute the general solution for a piecewise
\% linear initial deflection
\(\mathrm{Y}=(\operatorname{sign}(\mathrm{xp}) . *\) interp1(xd,yd,rem(abs(xp), \(), \ldots\)
    'linear', 'extrap')+sign(xm).*interp1(xd,yd,...
        rem(abs(xm), p),'linear','extrap'))/2;
    \(\mathrm{Y}=\) reshape ( Y , shape) ;
    \(\%============================================\)
    function animate(x,y,titl,tim,trace)
    \%
    \% animate(x,y,titl,tim,trace)
    \%
    \% This function performs animation of a 2D curve
    \(\% \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\) - arrays with columns containing curve positions
    \% for successive times. x can also be a single
    \% vector if \(x\) values do not change. The animation
    \(\% \quad\) is done by plotting ( \(x(:, j), y(:, j)\) ) for
    \(\% \quad j=1: \operatorname{size}(y, 2)\).
    \% titl- title for the graph
    \% tim - the time in seconds between successive plots
167: if nargin<5, trace=0; else, trace=1; end;
if nargin<4, tim=.05; end
    if nargin<3, trac=''; end; [np,nt]=size(y);
    if \(\min (\operatorname{size}(x))==1, j=o n e s(1, n t) ; x=x(:) ;\)
    else, \(j=1: n t\); end; ax=newplot;
    if trace, XOR='none'; else, XOR='xor'; end
    \(r=[\min (x(:)), \max (x(:)), \min (y(:)), \max (y(:))] ;\)
    \%axis('equal') \% Needed for an undistorted plot
    axis(r), \% axis('off')
```

166:

```
curve = line('color','k','linestyle','-',...
    'erase',XOR, 'xdata',[],'ydata', []);
    xlabel('x axis'), ylabel('y axis'), title(titl)
    for k = 1:nt
        set(curve,'xdata',x(:,j(k)),'ydata',y(:,k))
        if tim>0, pause(tim), end, drawnow, shg
    end
    %==================================================
    % function varargout=inputv(prompt)
    % See Appendix B
```


### 2.8 Properties of Curves and Surfaces

In this section some properties of space curves and surfaces are studied. Examples illustrating the graphics capabilities of MATLAB to describe three-dimensional geometries are given. Readers should also study the demo examples and intrinsic documentation on functions such as plot3, surf, and mesh to appreciate the wealth of plotting options available.

### 2.8.1 Curve Properties

A space curve is a one-dimensional region representable in parametric form as

$$
\boldsymbol{R}(t)=\hat{\boldsymbol{\imath}} x(t)+\hat{\boldsymbol{\jmath}} y(t)+\hat{\boldsymbol{k}} z(t), a<t<b
$$

where $\hat{\boldsymbol{\imath}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\jmath}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{k}}$ are Cartesian base vectors, and $t$ is a scalar parameter such as arc length $s$ or time. At each point on the curve, differential properties naturally lead to a triad of orthonormal base vectors $\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}, \hat{\mathbf{N}}$, and $\hat{\mathbf{B}}$ called the tangent, the principal normal, and the binormal. The normal vector points toward the center of curvature and the binormal is defined by $\hat{\boldsymbol{T}} \times \hat{\mathbf{N}}$ to complete the triad. Coordinate planes associated with the triad are the normal plane containing $\hat{\mathbf{N}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{B}}$, the tangent plane containing $\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{B}}$, and the osculating plane containing $\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{N}}$. Two other scalar properties of interest are the curvature $\kappa$ (the reciprocal of the curvature radius) and the torsion $\tau$, which quantifies the rate at which the triad twists about the direction of $\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}$ as a generic point moves along the curve. When a curve is parameterized in terms of arc length $s$, the five quantities just mentioned are related by the Frenet formulas [91] which are

$$
\frac{d \hat{\boldsymbol{T}}}{d s}=\kappa \hat{\mathbf{N}}, \frac{d \hat{\mathbf{B}}}{d s}=-\tau \hat{\mathbf{N}}, \frac{d \hat{\mathbf{N}}}{d s}=-\kappa \hat{\boldsymbol{T}}+\tau \hat{\mathbf{B}} .
$$

Since most curves are not easily parameterized in terms of arc length, more convenient formulas are needed for computing $\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}, \hat{\mathbf{N}}, \hat{\mathbf{B}}, \kappa$, and $\tau$. All the desired quantities can be found in terms of $\boldsymbol{R}^{\prime}(t), \boldsymbol{R}^{\prime \prime}(t)$, and $\boldsymbol{R}^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)$. Among the five properties,
only torsion, $\tau$, depends on $\boldsymbol{R}^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)$. The pertinent formulas are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\boldsymbol{T}}=\frac{\boldsymbol{R}^{\prime}(t)}{\left|\boldsymbol{R}^{\prime}(t)\right|}, \hat{\mathbf{B}}=\frac{\boldsymbol{R}^{\prime}(t) \times \boldsymbol{R}^{\prime \prime}(t)}{\left|\boldsymbol{R}^{\prime}(t) \times \boldsymbol{R}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|} \\
& \hat{\mathbf{N}}=\hat{\mathbf{B}} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{T}}, \kappa=\frac{\left|\boldsymbol{R}^{\prime}(t) \times \boldsymbol{R}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|}{\left|\boldsymbol{R}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\tau=\frac{\hat{\mathbf{B}} \cdot R^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)}{\left|\boldsymbol{R}^{\prime}(t) \times \boldsymbol{R}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|}
$$

When the independent variable $t$ means time we get

$$
\mathbf{V}=\text { velocity }=\frac{d \boldsymbol{R}}{d t}=\frac{d s}{d t} \frac{d \boldsymbol{R}}{d s}=v \hat{\boldsymbol{T}}
$$

where $v$ is the magnitude of velocity called speed. Differentiating again leads to

$$
\frac{d \mathbf{V}}{d t}=\text { acceleration }=\frac{d v}{d t} \hat{\boldsymbol{T}}+\kappa v^{2} \hat{\mathbf{N}}
$$

so the acceleration involves a tangential component with magnitude equal to the time rate of change of speed, and a normal component of magnitude $\kappa v^{2}$ directed toward the center of curvature. The torsion is only encountered when the time derivative of acceleration is considered. This is seldom of interest in Newtonian mechanics.

A function cryprp3d was written to evaluate $\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}, \hat{\mathbf{N}}, \hat{\mathbf{B}}, \kappa$, and $\tau$ in terms of $\boldsymbol{R}^{\prime}(t)$, $\boldsymbol{R}^{\prime \prime}(t)$, and $\boldsymbol{R}^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)$. Another function aspiral applies crvprp3d to the curve described by

$$
\boldsymbol{R}(t)=\left[\left(r_{o}+k t\right) \cos (t) ;\left(r_{o}+k t\right) \sin (t) ; h t\right]
$$

where $t$ is the polar coordinate angle for cylindrical coordinates. Figure 2.14 depicts results generated from the default data set where

$$
r_{o}=2 \pi, k=1, h=2,2 \pi \leq t \leq 8 \pi,
$$

with 101 data points being used. A cross section normal to the surface would produce a right angle describing the directions of the normal and binormal at a typical point. The spiral itself passes along the apex of the right angle. This surface illustrates how the intrinsic triad of base vectors changes position and direction as a point moves along the curve.

An additional function cryprpsp was written to test how well cubic spline interpolation approximates curve properties for the spiral. MATLAB provides function spline to connect data points by a piecewise cubic interpolation curve having continuous first and second derivatives [27]. This function utilizes other intrinsic functions ${ }^{1}$ such as unmkpp, mkpp, and ppval. Although basic MATLAB does not

[^1]

Figure 2.14: Spiral Showing Osculating and Rectifying Planes
include functions for spline differentiation, this can be remedied by the short function splined which computes first and second derivatives of the interpolation curve defined by function spline. In our example using spline interpolation, approximation of $\tau$ was not obtained because a cubic spline only has its first two derivatives continuous. Approximations for $\boldsymbol{R}^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)$ could have been generated by interpolating the computed values of $\boldsymbol{R}^{\prime}(t)$ and differentiating the results twice. That idea was not explored. To assess the accuracy of the spline interpolation, values for $\operatorname{norm}\left(\hat{\mathbf{B}}-\hat{\mathbf{B}}_{\text {approx }}\right)$ and $\left|\left(k-k_{\text {approx }}\right) / k\right|$ were obtained at 101 sample points along the curve. Results depicted in Figure 2.15 show errors in the third decimal place except near the ends of the interpolation interval where a "not a knot" boundary condition is employed [27].


Figure 2.15: Error Plot

## Program Output and Code

## Program splinerr

```
function splinerr
\% Example: splinerr
\%
\%
\% This program calculates the binormal and
\% curvature error for a spiral space curve.
\%
\% User m functions called:
\% aspiral, crvprpsp crvprp3d cubrange splined
\%
clear; hold off; clf;
\([R, T, N, B, K A P]=\) aspiral; m=size(R,2);
\([r, t, n, b, k]=\operatorname{crvprpsp}(R, m)\);
disp(' ') ; disp(...
'Press [Enter] to show error curves'); pause
errv=sqrt (sum ((B-b) . \({ }^{\text {² }}\) ) ) ;
errk=abs((KAP-k)./KAP); hold off; clf;
semilogy(1:m,errv,'k-',1:m,errk,'k--');
xlabel('point index'); ylabel('error measure');
title('Error Plot');
legend('Binormal error','Curvature error',3);
figure(gcf); disp(' ')
disp('Press [Enter] to finish') ; pause
disp(' '), disp('All done'), disp(' ')
\(\%===========================================\)
function \([R, T, N, B, k a p, t a u, a r c l e n]=\)
                                    aspiral(r0,k,h,t)
\%
\(\% \quad[R, T, N, B, k a p, t a u, a r c l e n]=a s p i r a l(r 0, k, h, t)\)
\%
\%
\% This function computes geometrical properties
\% of a spiral curve having the parametric
\% equation
\%
\(\% \quad \mathrm{R}=[(\mathrm{r} 0+\mathrm{k} * \mathrm{t}) * \cos (\mathrm{t}) ;(\mathrm{r} 0+\mathrm{k} * \mathrm{t}) * \sin (\mathrm{t}) ; \mathrm{h} * \mathrm{t}]\)
\%
```

```
    \% A figure showing the curve along with the
    2: \% osculating plane and the rectifying plane
    3: \% at each point is also drawn.
    \%
    : \% r0,k,h - parameters which define the spiral
    \(\% \mathrm{t}\) - a vector of parameter values at
    \(\% \quad\) which the curve is evaluated from
    \(\% \quad\) the parametric form.
    9: \%
    \% R - matrix with columns containing
    \(\% \quad\) position vectors for points on the
        curve
    T,N,B - matrices with columns containing the
    \% tangent,normal, and binormal vectors
    \% kap - vector of curvature values
    \% tau - vector of torsion values
    \(\%\) arclen - value of arc length approximated as
    \(\% \quad\) the sum of chord values between
    \(\% \quad\) successive points
    60: \%
    1: \% User m functions called:
    \% crvprp3d, cubrange
```



```
if nargin==0
    \(\mathrm{k}=1\); \(\mathrm{h}=2\); \(\mathrm{r} 0=2 * \mathrm{pi} ; \mathrm{t}=\) linspace ( \(2 * \mathrm{pi}, 8 * \mathrm{pi}, 101\) );
end
\% Evaluate R, R'(t), R''(t) and R''' (t) for
\% the spiral
\(\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{t}(:)^{\prime} ; \mathrm{s}=\mathrm{sin}(\mathrm{t}) ; \mathrm{c}=\cos (\mathrm{t}) ; \mathrm{kc}=\mathrm{k} * \mathrm{c}\); ks=k*s;
\(r k=r 0+\mathrm{k} * \mathrm{t}\); \(\mathrm{rks}=\mathrm{rk} . * \mathrm{~s}\); rkc=rk.*c; \(\mathrm{n}=\) length (t) ;
\(\mathrm{R}=[\mathrm{rkc} ; \mathrm{rks} ; \mathrm{h} * \mathrm{t}]\); R1=[kc-rks;ks+rkc;h*ones(1,n)];
R2 \(=[-2 * k s-r k c ; 2 * k c-r k s ; \operatorname{zeros}(1, n)]\);
R3 \(=[-3 * k c+r k s ;-3 * k s-r k c ; z e r o s(1, n)]\);
\% Obtain geometrical properties
[T, N, B, kap, tau] =crvprp3d(R1, R2, R3) ;
\(\operatorname{arclen}=\operatorname{sum}\left(\operatorname{sqrt}\left(\operatorname{sum}\left((R(:, 2: n)-R(:, 1: n-1)){ }^{\wedge} 2\right)\right)\right)\);
\% Generate points on the osculating plane and
\% the rectifying plane along the curve.
\(\mathrm{w}=\operatorname{arclen} / 100 ; \mathrm{Rn}=\mathrm{R}+\mathrm{w} * \mathrm{~N} ; \mathrm{Rb}=\mathrm{R}+\mathrm{w} * \mathrm{~B}\);
\(X=[\operatorname{Rn}(1,:) ; R(1,:) ; \operatorname{Rb}(1,:)]\);
\(Y=[\operatorname{Rn}(2,:) ; R(2,:) ; R b(2,:)]\);
```

```
Z=[Rn(3,:);R(3,:);Rb(3,:)];
% Draw the surface
v=cubrange([X(:),Y(:),Z(:)]); hold off; clf; close;
surf(X,Y,Z); axis(v); xlabel('x axis');
ylabel('y axis'); zlabel('z axis');
title(['Spiral Showing Osculating and ', ...
    'Rectifying Planes']); grid on; drawnow;
figure(gcf);
%================================================
function [T,N,B,kap,tau]=crvprp3d(R1,R2,R3)
%
% [T,N,B,kap,tau]=crvprp3d(R1,R2,R3)
1:%
%
% This function computes the primary
% differential properties of a three-dimensional
% curve parameterized in the form R(t) where t
% can be arc length or any other convenient
% parameter such as time.
108: %
109: % R1 - the matrix with columns containing R'(t)
110: % R2 - the matrix with columns containing R''(t)
111: % R3 - the matrix with columns containing
112: % R'''(t). This matrix is only needed
113:% when torsion is to be computed.
114: %
115: % T - matrix with columns containing the
116: % unit tangent
117: % N - matrix with columns containing the
118: % principal normal vector
119: % B - matrix with columns containing the
120: % binormal
121: % kap - vector of curvature values
122: % tau - vector of torsion values. This equals
123:% [] when R3 is not given
124: %
125: % User m functions called: none
126:%
127:
128: nr1=sqrt(dot(R1,R1)); T=R1./nr1(ones(3,1),:);
129: R12=cross(R1,R2); nr12=sqrt(dot(R12,R12));
130: B=R12./nr12(ones(3,1),:); N=cross(B,T);
```

131: kap=nr12./nr1.^3;

132:
133: $\%$ Compute the torsion only when $R$, ', (t) is given
134: if nargin==3, tau=dot(B,R3)./nr12;
135: else, tau= [] ; end
136:

139: function [R,T,N,B,kappa]=crvprpsp(Rd,n)
140: \%
141: \% [R,T,N,B,kappa]=crvprpsp(Rd,n)
142: \%
43: \%
144: \% This function computes spline interpolated
$145: \%$ values for coordinates, base vectors and
146: \% curvature obtained by passing a spline curve
147: \% through data values given in Rd.
48: \%
149: \% Rd - a matrix containing $x, y$ and $z$ values
50 \% in rows 1, 2 and 3.
151: \% n - the number of points at which properties are to be evaluated along the curve

- a 3 by $n$ matrix with columns containing coordinates of interpolated points on the curve
157: \% points on the curve
158: \% T,N,B - matrices of dimension 3 by $n$ with
159: \% columns containing components of the
160: \% unit tangent, unit normal, and unit
161: \% binormal vectors
162: \% kappa - a vector of curvature values
163: \%
164: \% User m functions called:
165: \% splined, crvprp3d
166:
167:
168: \% Create a spline curve through the data points,
169: \% and evaluate the derivatives of R .
70: $n d=\operatorname{size}(R d, 2)$; $t d=0: n d-1$; $\mathrm{t}=\operatorname{linspace(0,nd-1,n);~}$
171: ud=Rd(1,:)+i*Rd(2,:); u=spline(td,ud,t);
172: u1=splined(td,ud,t); u2=splined(td,ud,t,2);
173: ud3=Rd(3,:); z=spline(td,ud3,t);
174: $z 1=s p l i n e d(t d, u d 3, t) ; ~ z 2=s p l i n e d(t d, u d 3, t, 2)$;
175: $R=[r e a l(u) ; i m a g(u) ; z] ; R 1=[r e a l(u 1) ; i m a g(u 1) ; z 1] ;$

```
R2=[real(u2);imag(u2);z2];
```

\% Get curve properties from crvprp3d
$[T, N, B, k a p p a]=\operatorname{crvprp} 3 d(R 1, R 2) ;$
$\%==========================================$
function val=splined(xd,yd,x,if2)
\%
\% val=splined(xd,yd,x,if2)
$\%$ ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
$\%$
\% This function evaluates the first or second
\% derivative of the piecewise cubic
\% interpolation curve defined by the intrinsic
\% function spline provided in MATLAB.If fewer
$\%$ than four data points are input, then simple
\% polynomial interpolation is employed
\%
\% xd,yd - data vectors determining the spline
\% curve produced by function spline
$\% \mathrm{x} \quad$ - vector of values where the first or
the second derivative are desired
\% if2 - a parameter which is input only if
$\% \quad y^{\prime \prime}(x)$ is required. Otherwise, $y^{\prime}(x)$
$\% \quad$ is returned.
\% for the spline
\%
\% User m functions called: none
$\mathrm{n}=$ length ( xd ) ; $[\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}]=\operatorname{unmkpp}($ spline ( $\mathrm{xd}, \mathrm{yd})$ ) ;
if $n>3 \%$ Use a cubic spline
if nargin==3, $c=[3 * c(:, 1), 2 * c(:, 2), c(:, 3)]$;
else, $c=[6 * c(:, 1), 2 * c(:, 2)]$; end
val=ppval $(\operatorname{mkpp}(\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}), \mathrm{x})$;
else \% Use a simple polynomial
$\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{polyder}(\mathrm{polyfit}(\mathrm{xd}(:), \mathrm{yd}(:), \mathrm{n}-1))$;
if nargin==4, $c=$ polyder (c); end
val=polyval( $c, x$ );
end
$\%=============================================$
\% function range=cubrange (xyz,ovrsiz)
\% See Appendix B

### 2.8.2 Surface Properties

Surfaces are two-dimensional regions described parametrically as

$$
\boldsymbol{R}(u, v)=\hat{\boldsymbol{\imath}} x(u, v)+\hat{\boldsymbol{\jmath}} u(u, v)+\hat{\boldsymbol{k}} z(u, v)
$$

where $u$ and $v$ are scalar parameters. This parametric form is helpful for generating a grid of points on the surface as well as for computing surface tangents and the surface normal. Holding $v$ fixed while $u$ varies generates a curve in the surface called a $u$ coordinate line. A tangent vector to the $u$-line is given by

$$
g_{u}=\frac{\partial R}{\partial u}=\hat{\boldsymbol{\imath}} \frac{\partial x}{\partial u}+\hat{\boldsymbol{\jmath}} \frac{\partial y}{\partial u}+\hat{\boldsymbol{k}} \frac{\partial z}{\partial u} .
$$

Similarly, holding $u$ fixed and varying $v$ produces a $v$-line with tangent vector

$$
g_{v}=\frac{\partial R}{\partial v}=\hat{\boldsymbol{\imath}} \frac{\partial x}{\partial v}+\hat{\boldsymbol{\jmath}} \frac{\partial y}{\partial v}+\hat{\boldsymbol{k}} \frac{\partial z}{\partial v} .
$$

Consider the following cross product.

$$
g_{u} \times g_{v} d u d v=\hat{n} d S
$$

In this equation $\hat{n}$ is the unit surface normal and $d S$ is the area of a parallelogram shaped surface element having sides defined by $g_{u} d u$ and $g_{v} d v$.

The intrinsic functions $\operatorname{surf}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Z})$ and mesh $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Z})$ depict surfaces by showing a grid network and related surface patches characterized when parameters $u$ and $v$ are varied over constant limits. Thus, values

$$
\left(u_{\imath}, v_{\jmath}\right), 1 \leq \imath \leq n, 1 \leq \jmath \leq m
$$

lead to matrices

$$
X=\left[x\left(u_{\imath}, v_{\jmath}\right)\right], Y=\left[y\left(u_{\imath}, v_{\jmath}\right)\right], Z=\left[z\left(u_{\imath}, v_{\jmath}\right)\right]
$$

from which surface plots are obtained. Function surf colors the surface patches whereas mesh colors the grid lines.

As a simple example, consider the ellipsoidal surface described parametrically as

$$
x=a \cos \theta \cos \phi, y=b \cos \theta \sin \phi, z=c \sin \theta
$$

where $-\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2},-\pi \leq \phi \leq \pi$. The surface equation evidently satisfies the familiar equation

$$
\left(\frac{x}{a}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{y}{b}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{z}{c}\right)^{2}=1
$$

for an ellipsoid. The function elipsoid(a,b,c) called with $a=2, b=1.5, c=1$ produces the surface plot in Figure 2.18.

Many types of surfaces can be parameterized in a manner similar to the ellipsoid. We will examine two more problems involving a torus and a conical frustum. Consider a circle of radius $b$ lying in the $x z$-plane with its center at $[a, 0,0]$. Rotating the circle about the $z$-axis produces a torus having the surface equation

$$
x=[a+b \cos \theta] \cos \phi, y=[a+b \cos \theta], \sin \phi, z=b \sin \phi
$$

where $-\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi,-\pi \leq \phi \leq \pi$.
This type of equation is used below in an example involving several bodies. Let us also produce a surface covering the ends and side of a conical frustum (a cone with the top cut off). The frustum has base radius $r_{b}$, top radius $r_{t}$, and height $h$, with the symmetry axis along the $z$-axis. The surface can be parameterized using an azimuthal angle $\theta$ and an arc length parameter relating to the axial direction. The lateral side length is

$$
r_{s}=\sqrt{h^{2}+\left(r_{b}-r_{t}\right)^{2}}
$$

Let us take $0 \leq s \leq\left(r_{b}+r_{s}+r_{t}\right)$ and describe the surface $R(s, \theta)$ by coordinate functions

$$
x=r(s) \cos \theta, y=r(s) \sin \theta, z=z(s)
$$

where $0 \leq \theta \leq 2 \pi$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
r(s)=s, 0 \leq s \leq r_{b} \\
r(s)=r_{b}+\frac{\left(r_{t}-r_{b}\right)\left(s-r_{b}\right)}{r_{s}}, z=\frac{h\left(s-r_{b}\right)}{r_{s}}, r_{b} \leq s \leq\left(r_{b}+r_{s}\right) \\
r(s)=r_{b}+r_{s}+r_{t}-r, z=h,\left(r_{b}+r_{s}\right) \leq s \leq\left(r_{b}+r_{s}+r_{t}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

The function frus produces a grid of points on the surface in terms of $r_{b}, r_{t}, h$, the number of increments on the base, the number of increments on the side, and the number of increments on the top. Figure 2.16 shows the plot generated by frus.

An example called srfex employs the ideas just discussed and illustrates how MATLAB represents several interesting surfaces. Points on the surface of an annulus symmetric about the $z$-axis are created, and two more annuli are created by interchanging axes. A pyramid with a square base is also created and the combination of four surfaces is plotted by finding a data range to include all points and then plotting each surface in succession using the hold instruction (See Figure 2.16). Although the rendering of surface intersections is not perfect, a useful description of a fairly involved geometry results. Combined plotting of several intersecting surfaces is implemented in a general purpose function surfmany. The default data case for surfmany produces the six=legged geometry shown in Figure 2.17.

This section is concluded with a discussion of how a set of coordinate points can be moved to a new position by translation and rotation of axes. Suppose a vector

$$
r=\hat{\boldsymbol{\imath}} x+\hat{\boldsymbol{\jmath}} y+\hat{\boldsymbol{k}} z
$$



## Figure 2.16: Spike and Intersecting Toruses

undergoes a coordinate change which moves the initial coordinate origin to ( $X_{o}, Y_{o}, Z_{o}$ ) and moves the base vectors $\hat{\boldsymbol{\imath}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\jmath}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{k}}$ into $\hat{e}_{1}, \hat{e}_{2}, \hat{e}_{3}$. Then the endpoint of $r$ passes to

$$
\boldsymbol{R}=\hat{\boldsymbol{\imath}} X+\hat{\boldsymbol{\jmath}} Y+\hat{\boldsymbol{k}} Z=R_{o}+\hat{e}_{1} x+\hat{e}_{2} y+\hat{e}_{3} z
$$

where

$$
\boldsymbol{R}_{o}=\hat{\boldsymbol{\imath}} X_{o}+\hat{\boldsymbol{\jmath}} Y_{o}+\hat{\boldsymbol{k}} Z_{o} .
$$

Let us specify the directions of the new base vectors by employing the columns of a matrix $V$ where we take

$$
\hat{e}_{3}=\frac{V(:, 1)}{\operatorname{norm}[V(:, 1)]} .
$$

If $V(:, 2)$ exists we take $V(:, 1) \times V(:, 2)$ and unitize this vector to produce $\hat{e}_{2}$. The triad is completed by taking $\hat{e}_{1}=\hat{e}_{2} \times \hat{e}_{3}$. In the event that $V(:, 2)$ is not provided, we use $[1 ; 0 ; 0]$ and proceed as before. The functions rgdbodmo and rotatran can be used to transform points in the manner described above.

## SEVERAL SURFACES COMBINED



Figure 2.17: Surface With Six Legs

ROTATED AND TRANSLATED ELLIPSOID


Figure 2.18: Rotated and Translated Ellipsoid Surfaces

### 2.8.3 Program Output and Code

## Function srfex

```
function [x1,y1,x2,y2,x3,y3,xf,yf,zf]=
                    srfex(da,na,df,nf)
% [x1,y1,x2,y2,x3,y3,xf,yf,zf]=
% srfex(da,na,df,nf)
%
%
% This graphics example draws three toruses
% intersecting a spike.
%
% User m functions called: frus, surfmany
if nargin==0
    da=[4.0,.45]; na=[42,15];
    df=[2.2,0,15]; nf=[43,4];
end
% Create a torus with polygonal cross section.
% Data for the torus is stored in da and na
r0=da(1); r1=da(2); nfaces=na(1); nlat=na(2);
t=linspace(0,2*pi,nlat)';
xz=[r0+r1*cos(t),r1*sin(t)];
z1=xz(:,2); z1=z1(:,ones(1,nfaces+1));
th=linspace(0,2*pi,nfaces+1);
x1=xz(:,1)*cos(th); y1=xz(:,1)*sin(th);
y2=x1; z2=y1; x2=z1; y3=x2; z3=y2; x3=z2;
% Create a frustum of a pyramid. Data for the
% frustum is stored in df and nf
rb=df(1); rt=df(2); h=df(3);
[xf,yf,zf]=frus(rb,rt,h,nf); zf=zf-.35*h;
% Plot four figures combined together
hold off; clf; close;
surfmany(x1,y1,z1,x2,y2,z2,x3,y3,z3,xf,yf,zf)
xlabel('x axis'); ylabel('y axis');
zlabel('z axis');
title('Spike and Intersecting Toruses');
axis equal; axis('off');
colormap([1 1 1]); figure(gcf); hold off;
```

```
\% print -deps srfex
\(\%==========================================\)
function \([X, Y, Z]=f r u s(r b, r t, h, n, n o p l o t)\)
\%
```




```
\%
\% This function computes points on the surface
\(\%\) of a conical frustum which has its axis along
\% the \(z\) axis.
\%
\% rb,rt,h - the base radius,top radius and
\% height
\% n - vector of two integers defining the
\(\% \quad\) axial and circumferential grid
\% increments on the surface
\% noplot - parameter input when no plot is
\% desired
\%
\(\% \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Z}\) - points on the surface
\%
\% User m functions called: none
if nargin==0
    \(r b=2 ; r t=1 ; h=3 ; n=[23,35]\);
end
th=linspace \((0,2 * \mathrm{pi}, \mathrm{n}(2)+1)\) ' \(-\mathrm{pi} / \mathrm{n}(2)\);
sl=sqrt (h^2+(rb-rt)^2); s=sl+rb+rt;
\(\mathrm{m}=\operatorname{ceil}(\mathrm{n}(1) / \mathrm{s} *[\mathrm{rb}, \mathrm{sl}, \mathrm{rt}])\);
rbot=linspace (0,rb,m(1));
rside=linspace(rb,rt,m(2));
rtop=linspace(rt,0,m(3));
\(r=[r b o t, r s i d e(2: e n d), r t o p(2: e n d)] ;\)
hbot=zeros (1,m(1));
hside=linspace(0,h,m(2)) ;
htop=h*ones (1,m(3));
\(H=[h b o t, h \operatorname{side}(2: e n d)\), htop (2:end)];
\(\mathrm{Z}=\) repmat ( \(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{n}(2)+1,1\) );
xy=exp(i*th)*r; X=real(xy); Y=imag(xy);
if nargin<5
        surf(X,Y,Z) ; title('Frustum'); xlabel('x axis')
        ylabel('y axis'), zlabel('z axis')
```

```
        grid on, colormap([1 1 1 1]);
        figure(gcf);
    end
    %===============================================
function surfmany(varargin)
%function surfmany(x1,y1,z1,x2,y2,z2,\ldots
% x3,y3,z3,..,xn,yn,zn)
% This function plots any number of surfaces
% on the same set of axes without shape
% distortion. When no input is given then a
% six-legged solid composed of spheres and
% cylinders is shown.
%
% User m functions called: none
%------------------------------------------------
if nargin==0
    % Default data for a six-legged solid
    n=10; rs=.25; d=7; rs=2; rc=.75;
        [xs,ys,zs]=sphere; [xc,yc,zc]=cylinder;
        xs=rs*xs; ys=rs*ys; zs=rs*zs;
        xc=rc*xc; yc=rc*yc; zc=2*d*zc-d;
        x1=xs; y1=ys; z1=zs;
        x2=zs+d; y2=ys; z2=xs;
        x3=zs-d; y3=ys; z3=xs;
        x4=xs; y4=zs-d; z4=ys;
        x5=xs; y5=zs+d; z5=ys;
        x6=xs; y6=ys; z6=zs+d;
        x7=xs; y7=ys; z7=zs-d;
        x8=xc; y8=yc; z8=zc;
        x9=zc; y9=xc; z9=yc;
        x10=yc; y10=zc; z10=xc;
varargin={x1,y1,z1,x2,y2,z2,x3,y3,z3,...
x4,y4,z4,x5,y5,z5,x6,y6,z6,x7,y7,z7,...
x8,y8,z8,x9,y9,z9,x10,y10,z10};
end
% Find the data range
n=length(varargin);
r=realmax*[1, -1,1,-1,1,-1];
s=inline('min([a;b])','a','b');
b=inline('max([a;b])','a','b');
for k=1:3:n
```

```
    x=varargin{k}; y=varargin{k+1};
    z=varargin{k+2};
    x=x(:); y=y(:); z=z(:);
    r(1)=s(r(1),x); r(2)=b(r(2),x);
    r(3)=s(r(3),y); r(4)=b(r(4),y);
    r(5)=s(r(5),z); r(6)=b(r(6),z);
    end
    % Plot each surface
    hold off, newplot
    for k=1:3:n
    x=varargin{k}; y=varargin{k+1};
    z=varargin{k+2};
    surf(x,y,z); axis(r), hold on
    end
146:
147: % Set axes and display the combined plot
148: axis equal, axis(r), grid on
149: xlabel('x axis'), ylabel('y axis')
150: zlabel('z axis')
151: title('SEVERAL SURFACES COMBINED')
152: % colormap([127/255 1 212/255]); % aquamarine
153: colormap([1 1 1]);, figure(gcf), hold off
```


## Function rgdbodmo

```
function [X,Y,Z]=rgdbodmo(x,y,z,v,RO)
%
% [X,Y,Z]=rgdbodmo(x,y,z,v,RO)
%
%
% This function transforms coordinates x,y,z to
% new coordinates X,Y,Z by rotating and
% translating the reference frames. When no
% input is given, an example involving an
% ellipsoid is run.
%
% x,y,z - initial coordinate matrices referred
to base vectors [1;0;0], [0;1;0] and
[0;0;1]. Columns of v are used to
create new basis vectors i,j,k such
that a typical point [a;b;c] is
transformed into [A;B;C] according
                        to the equation
```

```
    % [A;B;C]=R0(:)+[i,j,k]*[a;b;c]
    % v - a matrix having three rows and either
    %
    %
    %
% RO - a vector which translates the rotated
% coordinates when R0 is input.
    Otherwise no translation is imposed.
    7: %
    8: % X,Y,Z - matrices containing the transformed
    % coordinates
    %
    % User m functions called: elipsoid, rotatran
if nargin==0
    [x,y,z]=elipsoid(1,1,2, [17,33],0);R0=[3;4;5];
    v=[[1;1;1],[1;1;0]];
end
[n,m]=size(x); XYZ=[x(:),y(:),z(:)]*rotatran(v)';
X=XYZ(:,1); Y=XYZ(:,2); Z=XYZ(:,3);
if ~isempty(RO)
    X=X+R0(1); Y=Y+R0(2); Z=Z+R0 (3);
end
X=reshape(X,n,m); Y=reshape(Y,n,m);
Z=reshape(Z,n,m);
if nargin==0
    close; surf(X,Y,Z), axis equal, grid on
    title('ROTATED AND TRANSLATED ELLIPSOID')
    xlabel('x axis'), ylabel('y axis')
    zlabel('z axis'),colormap([[1 1 1]); shg
end
%=================================================
function [x,y,z]=elipsoid(a,b,c,n,noplot)
%
% [x,y,z]=elipsoid(a,b,c,n,noplot)
%
% This function plots an ellipsoid having semi-
% diameters a,b,c
% a,b,c - semidiameters of the ellipsoid defined
% by (x/a)^2+(y/b)^2+(z/c)^2=1
1:% n - vector [nth,nph] giving the number of
% theta values and phi values used to plot
3:% the surface
```

```
% noplot - omit this parameter if no plot is desired
% x,y,z - matrices of points on the surface
%
% User m functions called: none
%---------------------------------------------------
if nargin==0, a=2; b=1.5; c=1; n=[17,33]; end
nth=n(1); nph=n(2);
th=linspace(-pi/2,pi/2,nth)'; ph=linspace(-pi,pi,nph);
x=a*\operatorname{cos}(th)*\operatorname{cos}(\textrm{ph}); y=b*\operatorname{cos(th)}*\operatorname{sin}(\textrm{ph});
z=c*sin(th)*ones(size(ph));
if nargin<5
    surf(x,y,z); axis equal
    title('ELLIPSOID'), xlabel('x axis')
    ylabel('y axis'), zlabel('z axis')
    colormap([1 1 1 1]); grid on, figure(gcf)
end
%================================================
function mat=rotatran(v)
%
% mat=rotatran(v)
% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
% This function creates a rotation matrix based
% on the columns of v.
%
% v - a matrix having three rows and either
% one or two columns which are used to
% create an orthonormal triad [i,j,k]
% returned in the columns of mat. The
% third base vector k is defined as
v(:,1)/norm(v(:,1)). If v has two
columns then, v(:,1) and v(:,2) define
the xz plane with the direction of j
defined by cross(v(:,1),v(:2)). If only
v(:,1) is input, then v(:,2) is set
to [1;0;0].
% to [1;0;0].
%
% mat - the matrix having columns containing
the basis vectors [i,j,k]
%
% User m functions called: none
%-------------------------------------------------
```

108:

109: $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{v}(:, 1) / \operatorname{norm}(\mathrm{v}(:, 1))$;

```
if size(v,2)==2, p=v(:,2); else, p=[1;0;0]; end
j=cross(k,p); nj=norm(j);
if nj~}=
    j=j/nj; mat=[cross(j,k),j,k];
else
mat=[[0;1;0], cross(k, [0;1;0]),k];
end
```


## Chapter 3

## Summary of Concepts from Linear Algebra

### 3.1 Introduction

This chapter briefly reviews important concepts of linear algebra. We assume the reader already has some experience working with matrices, and linear algebra applied to solving simultaneous equations and eigenvalue problems. MATLAB has excellent capabilities to perform matrix operations using the fastest and most accurate algorithms currently available. The books by Strang [96] and Golub and Van Loan [47] give comprehensive treatments of matrix theory and of algorithm developments accounting for effects of finite precision arithmetic. One beautiful aspect of matrix theory is that fairly difficult proofs often lead to remarkably simple results valuable to users not necessarily familiar with all of the theoretical developments. For instance, the property that every real symmetric matrix of order $n$ has real eigenvalues and a set of $n$ orthonormal eigenvectors can be understood and used by someone unfamiliar with the proof. The current chapter summarizes a number of fundamental matrix properties and some of the related MATLAB functions. The intrinsic matrix functions use highly efficient algorithms originally from the LINPACK and EISPACK libraries which have now been superceded by LAPACK. [34, 42, 89]. Dr. Cleve Moler, the Chairman and Chief Scientist at The MathWorks, contributed to development of these systems. He also wrote the first version of MATLAB. Readers should simultaneously study the current chapter and the MATLAB demo program on linear algebra.

### 3.2 Vectors, Norms, Linear Independence, and Rank

Consider an $n$ by $m$ matrix

$$
A=\left[a_{\imath \jmath}\right], 1 \leq \imath \leq n, 1 \leq \jmath \leq m,
$$

having real or complex elements. The shape of a matrix is computed by size $(A)$ which returns a vector containing $n$ and $m$. The matrix obtained by conjugating the matrix elements and interchanging columns and rows is called the transpose.

Transposition is accomplished with a ' operator, so that

$$
A_{-} \text {transpose }=A^{\prime} .
$$

Transposition without conjugation of the elements can be performed as $A .{ }^{\prime}$ or as $\operatorname{conj}\left(A^{\prime}\right)$. Of course, whenever $A$ is real, $A^{\prime}$ is simply the traditional transpose.

The structure of a matrix $A$ is characterized by the matrix rank and sets of basis vectors spanning four fundamental subspaces. The rank $r$ is the maximum number of linearly independent rows or columns in the matrix. We discuss these spaces in the context of real matrices. The basic subspaces are:

1. The column space containing all vectors representable as a linear combination of the columns of $A$. The column space is also referred to as the range or the span.
2. The null space consisting of all vectors perpendicular to every row of $A$.
3. The row space consisting of all vectors which are linear combinations of the rows of $A$.
4. The left null space consisting of all vectors perpendicular to every column of $A$.

MATLAB has intrinsic functions to compute rank and subspace bases

- matrix_rank $=\operatorname{rank}(A)$
- column_space $=\boldsymbol{o r t h}(A)$
- null_space $=\mathbf{n u l l}(A)$
- row_space $=\boldsymbol{\operatorname { o r t h }}\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$
- left_null_space $=\boldsymbol{n u l l}\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$

The basis vectors produced by null and orth are orthonormal. They are generated using the singular value decomposition algorithm [47]. The MATLAB function to perform this type of computation is named svd.

### 3.3 Systems of Linear Equations, Consistency, and Least Squares Approximation

Let us discuss the problem of solving systems of simultaneous equations. Representing a vector $B$ as a linear combination of the columns of $A$ requires determination of a vector $X$ to satisfy

$$
A X=B \Longleftrightarrow \sum_{\jmath=1}^{m} A(:, \jmath) x(\jmath)=B
$$

where the $\jmath$ 'th column of $A$ is scaled by the $\jmath$ 'th component of $X$ to form the linear combination. The desired representation is possible if and only if $B$ lies in the column space of $A$. This implies the consistency requirement that $A$ and $[A, B]$ must have the same rank. Even when a system is consistent, the solution will not be unique unless all columns of $A$ are independent. When matrix $A$, with $n$ rows and $m$ columns, has rank $r$ less than $m$, the general solution of $A X=B$ is expressible as any particular solution plus an arbitrary linear combination of $m-r$ vectors forming a basis for the null space. MATLAB gives the solution vector as $X=A \backslash B$. When $r$ is less than $m$, MATLAB produces a least squares solution having as many components as possible set equal to zero.

In instances where the system is inconsistent, regardless of how $X$ is chosen, the error vector defined by

$$
E=A X-B
$$

can never be zero. An approximate solution can be obtained by making $E$ normal to the columns of $A$. We get

$$
A^{\prime} A X=A^{\prime} B
$$

which is known as the system of normal equations. They are also referred to as least squares error equations. It is not difficult to show that the same equations result by requiring $E$ to have minimum length. The normal equations are always consistent and are uniquely solvable when $\operatorname{rank}(A)=m$. A comprehensive discussion of least squares approximation and methods for solving overdetermined systems is presented by Lawson and Hanson [62]. It is instructive to examine the results obtained from the normal equations when $A$ is square and nonsingular. The least squares solution would give

$$
X=\left(A^{\prime} A\right)^{-1} A^{\prime} B=A^{-1}\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{-1} A^{\prime} B=A^{-1} B
$$

Therefore, the least squares solution simply reduces to the exact solution of $A X=B$ for a consistent system. MATLAB handles both consistent and inconsistent systems as $X=A \backslash B$. However, it is only sensible to use the least squares solution of an inconsistent system when $A X$ produces an acceptable approximation to $B$. This implies

$$
\operatorname{norm}(A X-B)<t o l * \operatorname{norm}(B)
$$

where $t o l$ is suitably small.
A simple but important application of overdetermined systems arises in curve fitting. An equation of the form

$$
y(x)=\sum_{\jmath=1}^{m} f_{\jmath}(x) c_{\jmath}
$$

involving known functions $f_{\jmath}(x)$, such as $x^{\jmath-1}$ for polynomials, must approximately match data values $\left(X_{\imath}, Y_{\imath}\right), 1 \leq \imath \leq n$, with $n>m$. We simply write an overdetermined system

$$
\sum_{\jmath=1}^{n} f_{\jmath}\left(X_{\imath}\right) c_{\jmath} \approx Y_{\imath}, 1 \leq \imath \leq n
$$

and obtain the least squares solution. The approximation is acceptable if the error components

$$
e_{\imath}=\sum_{\jmath=1}^{m} f_{\jmath}\left(X_{\imath}\right) c_{\jmath}-Y_{\imath}
$$

are small enough and the function $y(x)$ is also acceptably smooth between the data points.

Let us illustrate how well MATLAB handles simultaneous equations by constructing the steady-state solution of the matrix differential equation

$$
M \ddot{x}+C \dot{x}+K x=F_{1} \cos (\omega t)+F_{2} \sin (\omega t)
$$

where $M, C$, and $K$ are constant matrices and $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ are constant vectors. The steady-state solution has the form

$$
x=X_{1} \cos (\omega t)+X_{2} \sin (\omega t)
$$

where $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are chosen so that the differential equation is satisfied. Evidently

$$
\dot{x}=-\omega X_{1} \sin (\omega t)+\omega X_{2} \cos (\omega t)
$$

and

$$
\ddot{x}=-\omega^{2} x .
$$

Substituting the assumed form into the differential equation and comparing sine and cosine terms on both sides yields

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(K-\omega^{2} M\right) X_{1}+\omega C X_{2}=F_{1} \\
-\omega C X_{1}+\left(K-\omega^{2} M\right) X_{2}=F_{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

The equivalent partitioned matrix is

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c|c}
\left(K-\omega^{2} M\right) & \omega C \\
\hline-\omega C & \left(K-\omega^{2} M\right)
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
X_{1} \\
\hline X_{2}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
F_{1} \\
\hline F_{2}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

A simple MATLAB function to produce $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ when $M, C, K, F_{1}, F_{2}$, and $\omega$ are known is

```
function [x1,x2,xmax]=forcresp (m,c,k,f1,f2,w)
kwm=k-(w*w)*m; wc=w*c;
x=[kwm,wc;-wc,kwm]\[f1;f2]; n=length(f1);
x1=x(1:n); x2=x(n+1:2*n);
xmax=sqrt(x1.*x1+x2.*x2);
```

The vector, xmax, defined in the last line of the function above, has components specifying the maximum amplitude of each component of the steady-state solution.

The main computation in this function occurs in the third line, where matrix concatenation is employed to form a system of $2 n$ equations with $x$ being the concatenation of $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$. The fourth line uses vector indexing to extract $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ from $x$. The notational simplicity of MATLAB is elegantly illustrated by these features: a) any required temporary storage is assigned and released dynamically, b) no looping operations are needed, c) matrix concatenation and inversion are accomplished with intrinsic functions using matrices and vectors as sub-elements of other matrices, and d) extraction of sub-vectors is accomplished by use of vector indices. The important differential equation just discussed will be studied further in Article 3.5 .3 where eigenvalues and complex arithmetic are used to obtain a general solution satisfying arbitrary initial conditions.

### 3.4 Applications of Least Squares Approximation

The idea of solving an inconsistent system of equations in the least squares sense, so that some required condition is approximately satisfied, has numerous applications. Typically, we are dealing with a large number of equations (several hundred is common) involving a smaller number of parameters used to closely fit some constraint. Linear boundary value problems often require the solution of a differential equation applicable in the interior of a region while the function values are known on the boundary. This type of problem can sometimes be handled by using a series of functions which satisfy the differential equation exactly. Weighting the component solutions to approximately match the remaining boundary condition may lead to useful results. Below, we examine three instances where least squares approximation is helpful.

### 3.4.1 A Membrane Deflection Problem

Let us illustrate how least squares approximation can be used to compute the transverse deflection of a membrane subjected to uniform pressure. The transverse deflection $u$ for a membrane which has zero deflection on a boundary $L$ satisfies the differential equation

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}}=-\gamma,(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) \text { inside } \mathrm{L}
$$

where $\gamma$ is a physical constant. Properties of harmonic functions [18] imply that the differential equation is satisfied by a series of the form

$$
u=\gamma\left[\frac{-|z|^{2}}{4}+\sum_{\jmath=1}^{n} c_{\jmath} \operatorname{real}\left(z^{\jmath-1}\right)\right]
$$



Figure 3.1: Surface Plot of Membrane
where $z=x+\imath y$ and constants $c_{\jmath}$ are chosen to make the boundary deflection as small as possible, in the least squares sense. As a specific example, we analyze a membrane consisting of a rectangular part on the left joined with a semicircular part on the right. The surface plot in Figure 3.1 and the contour plot in Figure 3.2 were produced by the function membran listed below. This function generates boundary data, solves for the series coefficients, and constructs plots depicting the deflection pattern. The results obtained using a twenty-term series satisfy the boundary conditions quite well.


Figure 3.2: Membrane Surface Contour Lines

## MATLAB Example

## Function membran

```
function [dfl,cof]=membran(h,np,ns,nx,ny)
% [dfl,cof]=membran(h,np,ns,nx,ny)
% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
% This function computes the transverse
% deflection of a uniformly tensioned membrane
% which is subjected to uniform pressure. The
% membrane shape is a rectangle of width h and
% height two joined with a semicircle of
% diameter two.
%
% Example use: membran(0.75,100,50,40,40);
%
% h - the width of the rectangular part
% np - the number of least square points
% used to match the boundary
% conditions in the least square
% sense is about 3.5*np
% ns - the number of terms used in the
%
approximating series to evaluate
deflections. The series has the
form
dfl = }\begin{array}{rl}{\operatorname{abs}(z\mp@subsup{)}{}{\wedge}2/4+}\\{}&{\operatorname{sum({j=1:ns},\operatorname{cof}(j)*}}\\{}&{real(\mp@subsup{z}{}{\wedge}(j-1)))}
- the number of x points and y points
used to compute deflection values
on a rectangular grid
- computed array of deflection values
- coefficients in the series
% approximation
%
% User m functions called: none
if nargin==0
    h=.75; np=100; ns=50; nx=40; ny=40;
end
% Generate boundary points for least square
```

```
% approximation
z=[exp(i*linspace(0,pi/2,round(1.5*np))),...
    linspace(i,-h+i,np),...
    linspace(-h+i,-h,round(np/2))];
z=z(:); xb=real(z); xb=[xb;xb(end:-1:1)];
yb=imag(z); yb=[yb;-yb(end:-1:1)]; nb=length(xb);
% Form the least square equations and solve
% for series coefficients
a=ones(length(z),ns);
for j=2:ns, a(:,j)=a(:,j-1).*z; end
cof=real(a)\(z.*conj(z))/4;
% Generate a rectangular grid for evaluation
% of deflections
xv=linspace(-h,1,nx); yv=linspace(-1,1,ny);
[x,y]=meshgrid(xv,yv); z=x+i*y;
% Evaluate the deflection series on the grid
dfl=-z.*conj(z)/4+ ...
    real(polyval(cof(ns:-1:1),z));
    % Set values outside the physical region of
    % interest to zero
    dfl=real(dfl).*(1-((abs(z)>=1)&(real(z)>=0)));
    % Make surface and contour plots
    hold off; close; surf(x,y,dfl);
    xlabel('x axis'); ylabel('y axis');
    zlabel('deflection'); view(-10,30);
    title('Membrane Deflection'); colormap([\begin{array}{lll}{1}&{1}&{1}\end{array}]);
shg, disp(...
'Press [Enter] to show a contour plot'), pause
% print -deps membdefl;
contour(x,y,dfl,15,'k'); hold on
plot(xb,yb,'k-'); axis('equal'), hold off
xlabel('x axis'); ylabel('y axis');
title('Membrane Surface Contour Lines'), shg
% print -deps membcntr
```


### 3.4.2 Mixed Boundary Value Problem for a Function Harmonic Inside a Circular Disk

Problems where a partial differential equation is to be solved inside a region with certain conditions imposed on the boundary occur in many situations. Often the differential equation is solvable exactly in a series form containing arbitrary linear combinations of known functions. An approximation procedure imposing the boundary conditions to compute the series coefficients produces a satisfactory solution if the desired boundary conditions are found to be well satisfied. Consider a mixed boundary value problem in potential theory [73] pertaining to a circular disk of unit radius. We seek $u(r, \theta)$ where function values are specified on one part of the boundary and normal derivative values are specified on the remaining part. The mathematical formulation is

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial \theta^{2}}=0,0 \leq r<1,0 \leq \theta \leq 2 \pi \\
u(1, \theta)=f(\theta),-\alpha<\theta<\alpha \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}(1, \theta)=g(\theta), \alpha<\theta<2 \pi-\alpha
\end{gathered}
$$

The differential equation has a series solution of the form

$$
u(r, \theta)=c_{0}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r^{n}\left[c_{n} \cos (n \theta)+d_{n} \sin (n \theta)\right]
$$

where the boundary conditions require

$$
c_{0}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[c_{n} \cos (n \theta)+d_{n} \sin (n \theta)\right]=f(\theta),-\alpha<\theta<\alpha
$$

and

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\left[c_{n} \cos (n \theta)+d_{n} \sin (n \theta)\right]=g(\theta), \alpha<\theta<2 \pi-\alpha
$$

The series coefficients can be obtained by least squares approximation. Let us explore the utility of this approach by considering a particular problem for a field which is symmetric about the $x$-axis. We want to solve

$$
\begin{gathered}
\nabla^{2} u=0, r<1 \\
u(1, \theta)=\cos (\theta),|\theta|<\pi / 2 \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}(1, \theta)=0, \pi / 2<|\theta| \leq \pi
\end{gathered}
$$

This problem characterizes steady-state heat conduction in a cylinder with the left half insulated and the right half held at a known temperature. The appropriate series solution is

$$
u=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{n} r^{n} \cos (n \theta)
$$

subject to

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{n} \cos (n \theta)=\cos (\theta) \text { for }|\theta|<\pi / 2
$$

and

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n c_{n} \cos (n \theta)=0 \text { for } \pi / 2<|\theta| \leq \pi
$$

We solve the problem by truncating the series after a hundred or so terms and forming an overdetermined system derived by imposition of both boundary conditions. The success of this procedure depends on the series converging rapidly enough so that a system of least squares equations having reasonable order and satisfactory numerical condition results. It can be shown by complex variable methods (see Muskhelishvili [73]) that the exact solution of our problem is given by

$$
u=\operatorname{real}\left[z+z^{-1}+\left(1-z^{-1}\right) \sqrt{z^{2}+1}\right] / 2,|z| \leq 1
$$

where the square root is defined for a branch cut along the right half of the unit circle with the chosen branch being that which equals +1 at $z=0$. Readers familiar with analytic function theory can verify that the boundary values of $u$ yield

$$
\begin{gathered}
u(1, \theta)=\cos (\theta),|\theta| \leq \pi / 2 \\
u(1, \theta)=\cos (\theta)+\sin (|\theta| / 2) \sqrt{2|\cos (\theta)|}, \pi / 2 \leq|\theta| \leq \pi
\end{gathered}
$$

A least squares solution is presented in function mbvp. Results from a series of 100 terms are shown in Figure 3.3. The series solution is accurate within about one percent error except for points near $\theta=\pi / 2$. Although the results are not shown here, using 300 terms gives a solution error nowhere exceeding 4 percent. Hence the least squares series solution provides a reasonable method to handle the mixed boundary value problem.


Figure 3.3: Mixed Boundary Value Problem Solution

## MATLAB Example

## Program mbyprun

```
function mbvprun(nser,nf,ng,neval)
% Example: mbvprun(nser,nf,ng,neval)
%
% Mixed boundary value problem for a function
% harmonic inside a circle.
% User m functions required:
% mbvp
disp('Calculating');
% Set data for series term and boundary
% condition points
if nargin==0
    nser=80; nf=100; ng=100; neval=500;
end
% Compute the series coefficients
[cof,y]=mbvp('cos',pi/2,nser,nf,ng,neval);
% Evaluate the exact solution for comparison
thp=linspace(0,pi,neval)';
y=cos(thp*(0:nser-1))*cof;
ye=cos(thp)+sin(thp/2).* ...
    sqrt(2*abs(cos(thp))).*(thp>=pi/2);
% Plot results showing the accuracy of the
% least square solution
thp=thp*180/pi; plot(thp,y,'-',thp,y-ye,'--');
xlabel('polar angle');
ylabel('function value and error')
title(['Mixed Boundary Value Problem ', ...
    'Solution for ',int2str(nser),' Terms']);
legend('Function value','Solution Error');
figure(gcf); % print -deps mbvp
%================================================
function [cof,y]= ...
mbvp(func,alp,nser,nf,ng,neval)
```



```
% Evaluate the solution on the boundary
thp=linspace(0,pi,neval)';
y=cos(thp*(0:nser-1))*cof;
```


### 3.4.3 Using Rational Functions to Conformally Map a Circular Disk onto a Square

Another problem illustrating the value of least squares approximation arises in connection with an example discussed earlier in Section 2.4 where a slowly convergent power series was used to map the interior of a circle onto the interior of a square [75]. It is sometimes possible for slowly convergent power series of the form

$$
w=f(z)=\sum_{\jmath=0}^{N} c_{\jmath} z^{\jmath},|z| \leq 1
$$

to be replaceable by a rational function

$$
w=\frac{\sum_{\jmath=0}^{n} a_{\jmath} z^{\jmath}}{1+\sum_{\jmath=1}^{m} b_{\jmath} z^{\jmath}} .
$$

Of course, the polynomial is simply a special rational function form with $m=0$ and $n=N$. This rational function implies

$$
\sum_{\jmath=0}^{n} a_{\jmath} z^{\jmath}-w \sum_{\jmath=1}^{m} b_{\jmath} z^{\jmath}=w
$$

Coefficients $a_{\jmath}$ and $b_{\jmath}$ can be computed by forming least square equations based on boundary data. In some cases, the resulting equations are rank deficient and it is safer to solve a system of the form $U Y=V$ as $Y=\boldsymbol{p i n v}(U) * V$ rather than using $Y=U \backslash V$. The former solution uses the pseudo inverse function pinv which automatically sets to zero any solution components that are undetermined.

Two functions ratcof and raterp were written to compute rational function coefficients and to evaluate the rational function for general matrix arguments. These functions are useful to examine the conformal mapping of the circular disk $|z| \leq 1$ onto the square defined by $|\operatorname{real}(w)| \leq 1,|\operatorname{imag}(w)| \leq 1$. A polynomial approximation of the mapping function has the form

$$
w / z=\sum_{\jmath=0}^{N} c_{\jmath}\left(z^{4}\right)^{\jmath}
$$

where $N$ must be quite large in order to avoid excessive corner rounding. If we evaluate $w$ versus $z$ on the boundary for large $N$ (500 or more), and then develop


Figure 3.4: Rational Function Map Close to a Corner
a rational function fit with $n=m=10$, a reasonably good representation of the square results without requiring a large number of series terms. The following program illustrates the use of functions ratcof and raterp. It also includes a function sqmp to generate coefficients in the Schwarz-Christoffel series.(See Chapter 11 for further discussion.) Figure 3.4 shows the geometry mapping produced near a corner.

## MATLAB Example

## Program makratsq

1: function [ctop, cbot]=makratsq
2: \% Example: [ctop,cbot]=makratsq
3: \%
4: \% Create a rational function map of a unit disk
5: \% onto a square.
6: \%
7: \% User m functions required:
8: \% sqmp, ratcof, raterp
$9:$

```
disp(' ');
disp('RATIONAL FUNCTION MAPPING OF A CIRCULAR');
disp(' DISK ONTO A SQUARE'); disp(' ');
disp('Calculating'); disp(' ');
% Generate boundary points given by the
% Schwarz-Christoffel transformation
nsc=501; np=401; ntop=10; nbot=10;
z=exp(i*linspace(0,pi/4,np));
w=sqmp(nsc, 1, 1,1,0,45,np);
w=mean(real(w))+i*imag(w);
z=[z,\operatorname{conj(z)]; w=[w,conj(w)];}
% Compute the series coefficients for a
% rational function fit to the boundary data
[ctop,cbot]=ratcof(z.^4,w./z,ntop,nbot);
ctop=real(ctop); cbot=real(cbot);
% The above calculations produce the following
% coefficients
% [top,bot]=
% 1.0787 1.4948
1.5045 0.1406
0.0353 -0.1594
-0.1458 0.1751
0.1910 -0.1513
-0.1797 0.0253
0.0489 0.2516
0.2595 0.1069
0.0945 0.0102
0.0068 0.0001
% Generate a polar coordinate grid to describe
% the mapping near the corner of the square.
% Then evaluate the mapping function.
r1=.95; r2=1; nr=12;
t1=.9*pi/4; t2=1.1*pi/4; nt=101;
[r,th]=meshgrid(linspace(r1,r2,nr), ...
    linspace(t1,t2,nt));
z=r.*exp(i*th); w=z.*raterp(ctop,cbot,z.^4);
% Plot the mapped geometry
close; u=real(w); v=imag(w);
plot(u,v,'k',u',v','k'), axis equal
title('Rational Function Map Close to a Corner');
```

    xlabel('real axis'); ylabel('imaginary axis');
    figure(gcf); \% print -deps ratsqmap
    \(\%===========================================\)
    function \([\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{b}]=\operatorname{sqmp}(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{r} 1, \mathrm{r} 2, \mathrm{nr}, \mathrm{t} 1, \mathrm{t} 2, \mathrm{nt})\)
    61: \%
    62: \% [w, b] $=\operatorname{sqmp}(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{r} 1, \mathrm{r} 2, \mathrm{nr}, \mathrm{t} 1, \mathrm{t} 2, \mathrm{nt})$
63: \%
64: \% This function evaluates the conformal
65: \% mapping produced by the Schwarz-Christoffel
66: \% transformation $\mathrm{w}(\mathrm{z})$ mapping abs (z)<=1 inside
67: \% a square having a side length of two. The
68: \% transformation is approximated in series form
9: \% which converges very slowly near the corners.
\% This function is the same as squarmap of
7: \% chapter 2 with no plotting.
2: \%
73: \% m - number of series terms used
74: \% r1,r2,nr - abs(z) varies from r1 to r2 in
75: \% nr steps
76: \% t1,t2,nt - $\arg (z)$ varies from $t 1$ to t2 in
77: \% nt steps (t1 and t2 are
78: \% measured in degrees)
79: \% w - points approximating the square
80: \% b - coefficients in the truncated
1: \% series expansion which has
82: \% the form
83: \%
4: \% $\quad \mathrm{w}(\mathrm{z})=\operatorname{sum}(\{j=1: m\}, b(j) * z *(4 * j-3))$
85: \%
6: \% User m functions called: none.

88:
89: \% Generate polar coordinate grid points for the
90 \% map. Function linspace generates vectors with
1: \% equally spaced components.
r=linspace(r1,r2,nr)';
$\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{pi} / 180 *$ linspace ( $\mathrm{t} 1, \mathrm{t} 2, \mathrm{nt}$ ) ;
$\mathrm{z}=(\mathrm{r} * \operatorname{ones}(1, \mathrm{nt})) . *($ ones $(\mathrm{nr}, 1) * \exp (\mathrm{i} * \mathrm{t}))$;
\% Compute the series coefficients and evaluate
\% the series
$\mathrm{k}=1$ :m-1;
$\mathrm{b}=\operatorname{cumprod}([1,-(\mathrm{k}-.75) . *(\mathrm{k}-.5) . /(\mathrm{k} . *(\mathrm{k}+.25))])$;

```
b=b/sum(b); w=z.*polyval(b(m:-1:1),z.^4);
\(\%==========================================\)
    function \([a, b]=r a t c o f(x d a t a, y d a t a, n t o p, n b o t)\)
\%
\% [a,b]=ratcof(xdata, ydata,ntop,nbot)
\%
\%
\% Determine a and b to approximate ydata as
\% a rational function of the variable xdata.
\% The function has the form:
\%
\(\% \quad y(x)=\operatorname{sum}(1=>n t o p)\left(a(j) * x^{\wedge}(j-1)\right) /\)
    \(\left(1+\operatorname{sum}(1=>n b o t)\left(b(j) * x^{\wedge}(j)\right)\right)\)
\%
\% xdata,ydata - input data vectors (real or
\% complex)
\% ntop, nbot - number of series terms used in
                                    the numerator and the
                                    denominator.
\% denominator.
\%
\% User m functions called: none
\%---------------------------------------------------
ydata=ydata(:); xdata=xdata(:);
m=length(ydata) ;
if nargin==3, nbot=ntop; end;
\(\mathrm{x}=\) ones \((\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ntop}+\mathrm{nbot})\); \(\mathrm{x}(:\), ntop+1)=-ydata.*xdata;
for \(i=2: n t o p, x(:, i)=x d a t a . * x(:, i-1)\); end
for \(i=2\) :nbot
    \(x(:, i+n t o p)=x d a t a . * x(:, i+n t o p-1)\);
end
ab=pinv(x)*ydata; \%ab=x\ydata;
\(a=a b(1:\) ntop \() ; ~ b=a b(n t o p+1: n t o p+n b o t) ;\)
\(\%===========================================\)
function \(y=r a t e r p(a, b, x)\)
\%
\% \(\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{raterp}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{x})\)
41: \% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
142: \% This function interpolates using coefficients
143: \% from function ratcof.
144: \%
```

```
145: % a,b - polynomial coefficients from function
146: % ratcof
147: % x - argument at which function is evaluated
148: % y - computed rational function values
149: %
150: % User m functions called: none.
%------------------------------------------------
152:
a=flipud(a(:)); b=flipud(b(:));
y=polyval(a,x)./(1+x.*polyval(b,x));
```


### 3.5 Eigenvalue Problems

### 3.5.1 Statement of the Problem

Another important linear algebra problem involves the computation of nonzero vectors $X$ and numbers $\lambda$ such that

$$
A X=\lambda X
$$

where $A$ is a square matrix of order $n$ having elements which may be real or complex. The number $\lambda$, which can also be real or complex, is called the eigenvalue corresponding to the eigenvector $X$. The eigenvalue equation implies

$$
[I \lambda-A] X=0
$$

so that $\lambda$ values must be selected to make $I \lambda-A$ singular. The polynomial

$$
f(\lambda)=\operatorname{det}(I \lambda-A)=\lambda^{n}+c_{1} \lambda^{n-1}+\ldots+c_{n}
$$

is called the characteristic equation and its roots are the eigenvalues. It can be factored into

$$
f(\lambda)=\left(\lambda-\lambda_{1}\right)\left(\lambda-\lambda_{2}\right) \cdots\left(\lambda-\lambda_{n}\right) .
$$

The eigenvalues are generally complex numbers and some of the roots may be repeated. In the usual situation, distinct roots $\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}$ yield $n$ linearly independent eigenvectors obtained by solving

$$
\left(A-\lambda_{\jmath} I\right) X_{\jmath}=0,1 \leq \jmath \leq n .
$$

The case involving repeated eigenvalues is more complicated. Suppose a particular eigenvalue such as $\lambda_{1}$ has multiplicity $k$. Then the general solution of

$$
\left(A-\lambda_{1} I\right) X=0
$$

will yield as few as one, or as many as $k$, linearly independent vectors. If fewer than $k$ independent eigenvectors are found for any root of multiplicity $k$, then matrix $A$ is
called defective. Occurrence of a defective matrix is not typical. It usually implies special behavior of the related physical system. The combined set of eigenvectors can be written as

$$
A\left[X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}\right]=\left[X_{1} \lambda_{1}, \cdots, X_{n} \lambda_{n}\right]=\left[X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}\right] \operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right)
$$

or

$$
A U=U \Lambda
$$

where $U$ has the eigenvectors as columns and $\Lambda$ is a diagonal matrix with eigenvalues on the diagonal. When the eigenvectors are independent, matrix $U$, known as the modal matrix, is nonsingular. This allows $A$ to be expressed as

$$
A=U \Lambda U^{-1}
$$

which is convenient for various computational purposes. With repeated eigenvalues, the modal matrix is sometimes singular and the last form of decomposition fails. However, the eigenvectors are always independent when the eigenvalues are distinct. For the important special case of a symmetric matrix, a linearly independent set of eigenvectors always exists, even when some eigenvalues are repeated.

A matrix $A$ is symmetric if $A=A^{\prime}$ where $A^{\prime}$ is obtained by interchanging columns and rows, and conjugating all elements. Symmetric matrices always have real eigenvalues and a linearly independent set of eigenvectors which can be orthonormalized. The eigenvectors $X_{\jmath}$ and $X_{k}$ for any two unequal eigenvalues automatically satisfy an orthogonality condition

$$
X_{\jmath}^{\prime} X_{k}=0, \jmath \neq k
$$

Eigenvectors for the same repeated eigenvalue are not automatically orthogonal. Nevertheless, they can be replaced by an equivalent orthogonal set by applying a process called Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization [47]. In cases we care about here, the symmetric matrix $A$ always has real elements. Therefore the eigenvalues are real with eigenvectors satisfying $X_{\imath}{ }^{\prime} X_{\jmath}=\delta_{\imath \jmath}$, where $\delta_{\imath \jmath}$ is the Kronecker delta symbol. The orthogonality condition is equivalent to the statement that $U^{\prime} U=I$, so a real symmetric matrix can be expressed as

$$
A=U \Lambda U^{\prime}
$$

It is important in MATLAB that the symmetry condition $A^{\prime}=A$ be satisfied perfectly. This implies a zero value for $\max \left(\max \left(\operatorname{abs}\left(\mathrm{A}^{\left.\left.\left.-A^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)}\right.\right.\right.$ ). Sometimes, results that would be symmetric if roundoff error did not occur may produce unsymmetric results contrary to expectation. For example, $A=B C B^{\prime}$ should be symmetric if $C$ is symmetric. Replacing $A$ by $\left(A+A^{\prime}\right) / 2$ finally will assure perfect symmetry. The MATLAB function eig computes eigenvalues and eigenvectors. When a matrix is symmetric, eig generates real eigenvalues and orthonormalized eigenvectors.

An important property of symmetric matrices and the related orthonormal eigenvector set occurs in connection with quadratic forms expressed as

$$
F(Y)=Y^{\prime} A Y
$$

where $Y$ is an arbitrary real vector and $A$ is real symmetric. The function $F(Y)$ is a one-by-one matrix; hence, it is a scalar function. The algebraic sign of the form for arbitrary nonzero choices of $Y$ is important in physical applications. Let us use the eigenvector decomposition of $A$ to write

$$
F=Y^{\prime} U \Lambda U^{\prime} Y=\left(U^{\prime} Y\right)^{\prime} \Lambda\left(U^{\prime} Y\right)
$$

Taking $X=U^{\prime} Y$ and $Y=U X$ gives

$$
F=X^{\prime} \Lambda X=\lambda_{1} x_{1}^{2}+\lambda_{2} x_{2}^{2}+\lambda_{3} x_{3}^{2}+\ldots+\lambda_{n} x_{n}^{2} .
$$

This diagonal form makes the algebraic character of $F$ evident. If all $\lambda_{\imath}$ are positive, then $F$ is evidently positive whenever $X$ has at least one nonzero component. Then the quadratic form is called positive definite. If the eigenvalues are all positive or zero, the form is called positive semidefinite since the form cannot assume a negative value but can equal zero without having $X=0$. When both negative and positive eigenvalues occur, the form can change sign and is termed indefinite. When the eigenvalues are all negative, the form is classified as negative definite. Perhaps the most important of these properties is that a necessary and sufficient condition for the form to be positive definite is that all eigenvalues of $A$ be positive.

An important generalization of the standard eigenvalue problem has the form

$$
A X=\lambda B X
$$

for arbitrary $A$ and nonsingular $B$. If $B$ is well conditioned, then it is computationally attractive to simply solve

$$
B^{-1} A X=\lambda X
$$

In general, it is safer, but much more time consuming, to call eig as

```
[EIGVECS,EIGVALS] =eig(A,B)
```

This returns the eigenvectors as columns of EIGVECS and also gives a diagonal matrix EIGVALS containing the eigenvalues.

### 3.5.2 Application to Solution of Matrix Differential Equations

One of the most familiar applications of eigenvalues concerns the solution of the linear, constant-coefficient matrix differential equation

$$
B Y^{\prime}(t)=A Y(t), Y(0)=Y_{0}
$$

Component solutions can be written as

$$
Y(t)=X e^{\lambda t}, Y^{\prime}(t)=\lambda X e^{\lambda t}
$$

where $X$ and $\lambda$ are constant. Substitution into the differential equation gives

$$
(A-\lambda B) X e^{\lambda t}=0
$$

Since $e^{\lambda t}$ cannot vanish we need

$$
A X=\lambda B X
$$

After the eigenvalues and eigenvectors have been computed, a general solution is constructed as a linear combination of component solutions

$$
Y=\sum_{\jmath=1}^{n} X_{\jmath} e^{\lambda_{\jmath} t} c_{\jmath}
$$

The constants $c_{\jmath}$ are obtained by imposing the initial condition

$$
Y(0)=\left[X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right] c .
$$

Assuming that the eigenvectors are linearly independent we get

$$
c=\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]^{-1} Y_{0} .
$$

### 3.5.3 The Structural Dynamics Equation

Eigenvalues are also useful to solve the important second order matrix differential equation for which a particular solution was constructed earlier using real arithmetic. We will now use complex arithmetic and the versatile matrix notation provided in MATLAB. Structural mechanics applications often lead to the second order matrix differential equation

$$
M \ddot{X}(t)+C \dot{X}(t)+K X(t)=F_{1} \cos (\omega t)+F_{2} \sin (\omega t)
$$

where $M, C, K$ are constant matrices of order $n$, and $F_{1}, F_{2}$ are constant vectors of length $n$, and $\omega$ is the forcing function frequency. Initial conditions of the form

$$
X(0)=X_{0}, \quad \dot{X}(0)=V_{0}
$$

also apply. Solving this initial value problem involves combining a particular solution and a homogeneous solution. The solution we present below applies subject to the restriction that 1) the eigenvalues of the homogeneous equation should be nonzero and 2) if matrix $C$ is zero, then $i \omega$ must not coincide with an eigenvalue of the homogeneous differential equation. The particular solution is

$$
X_{p}(t)=\operatorname{real}\left(a e^{i \omega t}\right), a=\left[K-M \omega^{2}+i C \omega\right] \backslash\left[F_{1}-i F_{2}\right] .
$$

where we must assume that the implied matrix inversion exists. The particular solution satisfies initial conditions

$$
X_{p}(0)=\operatorname{real}(a), \quad \dot{X}_{p}(0)=\operatorname{real}(i a \omega)
$$

The particular solution plus the homogeneous solution, $X_{h}(t)$, must satisfy the general initial conditions. Let us introduce

$$
Z(t)=\left[X_{h}(t) ; \dot{X}_{h}(t)\right]
$$

which obeys the homogeneous first order equation

$$
\dot{Z}(t)=A Z(t), A=[\mathbf{e y e}(n, n), \operatorname{zeros}(n, n) ;-M \backslash[K, C]]
$$

and can be determined using the eigenvectors and eigenvalues of $A$. Denoting the matrix of eigenvectors as $U$ and the column of eigenvalues as $\Lambda$, we find that

$$
Z(t)=U \operatorname{diag}(D) \exp (i \Lambda t)
$$

where

$$
D=U \backslash\left[X_{0}-X_{p}(0) ; V_{0}-\dot{X}_{p}(0)\right]
$$

to satisfy the initial conditions. With $t$ taken as a row of time values, the homogeneous solution is obtained as the first $n$ rows of $Z$, and the total solution is just

$$
X(t)=X_{p}(t)+X_{h}(t)
$$

A program was written to solve the structural dynamics equation. Error checks are made for the exceptional cases mentioned above. If the system is undamped $(C=0)$ and $i \omega$ matches an eigenvalue of $A$, then program execution terminates. Occurrence of zero or repeated eigenvalues is also avoided. The program consists of a driver named strdyneq which reads data from a function provided by the user. An example function named threemass is included as a model for data preparation. Function fhremk constructs the general solution of the equation. Results of the computation can be plotted one component at a time. In addition to plotting, the program outputs the eigenvalues, a matrix of solution components, and vectors showing the lower and upper limits of motion for each degree for freedom in the system. Function strdyneq calls fhrmck at lines 25 and 34 . The name of a function defining the input data is requested. Users can employ function threemass to test the program. Threemass models a configuration of three identical masses sliding on a smooth horizontal plane and connected by four identical springs and viscous dampers. The outer two masses are connected to walls and are subjected to forces having equal magnitude but opposite direction. The middle mass has no driving force. The system is initially at rest with zero deflection when forcing functions are applied which nearly resonate with the fourth eigenvalue of the damped homogeneous system. This example was devised to illustrate how the system response grows rapidly when the forcing function is nearly resonant. Function fhrmck does most of the computation work which occurs at lines 108-109, 132-134, and 139-140. This example illustrates nicely the power of the intrinsic matrix operators provided in MATLAB. A final caveat about the solution method using eigenvalues is that it is somewhat limited by special cases like repeated eigenvalues or a forcing function resonant with a natural frequency. Numerical integration solvers like ode45 are not vulnerable to such difficulties.

## MATLAB Example

## Output Using Function Threemass

strdyneq;

SOLUTION OF THE DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION
$\mathrm{M}^{*} \mathrm{Y}^{\prime \prime}+\mathrm{C} * \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}+\mathrm{K} * \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{F} 1 * \operatorname{COS}(\mathrm{~W} * \mathrm{~T})+\mathrm{F} 2 *$ SIN $\left(\mathrm{W}^{*} \mathrm{~T}\right)$
Give the name of a function to create data values (Try threemass as an example)
>? threemass
Input coordinate number, tmin and tmax (only press return to stop execution)>? 1,0,50

The value of $i * w$ is at distance 0.050001
from the eigenvalue -0.05+1.4133i

Input coordinate number, tmin and tmax
(only press return to stop execution) >? $2,0,50$

Input coordinate number, tmin and tmax (only press return to stop execution)>?

The system eigenvalues are:
lam =

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -0.0146-0.7652 i \\
& -0.0146+0.7652 i \\
& -0.0500-1.4133 i \\
& -0.0500+1.4133 i \\
& -0.0854-1.8458 i \\
& -0.0854+1.8458 i
\end{aligned}
$$

Range of solution values for final times is:
$\operatorname{maxy}=$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
6.4255 & 0.0000 & 6.4935
\end{array}
$$

miny =

$$
-6.4935 \quad-0.0000 \quad-6.4255
$$

All done


Figure 3.5: Motion of Mass 1 in Threemass Model


Figure 3.6: Motion of Mass 2 in Threemass Model

## Motion of First and Second Mass

## MATLAB Code

```
function [t,y,lam]=strdyneq
\%
\% [t,y,lam]=strdyneq
\%
\% This program integrates the structural dynamics
\% equation characterized by a general second order
\% matrix differential equation having a harmonic
\% forcing function. Input involves mass, stiffness,
\(\%\) and damping matrices as well as force magnitudes,
\% a forcing frequency, and initial conditions. Data
\% parameters for the program are created in a user
\% supplied function provided by the user. (For an
\% example, see function threemass shown below.)
titl=['\nSOLUTION OF THE DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION\n',...
\(\left.{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{M} * \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}+\mathrm{C} * \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}+\mathrm{K} * \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{F} 1 * \mathrm{COS}(\mathrm{W} * \mathrm{~T})+\mathrm{F} 2 * \operatorname{SIN}(\mathrm{~W} * \mathrm{~T}) \backslash \mathrm{n} \backslash \mathrm{n}{ }^{\prime}\right]\);
fprintf(titl);
disp(...
'Give the name of a function to create data values')
disp('(Try threemass as an example)')
name=input('>? ','s');
eval(['[m,c,k,f1,f2,w,nt,y0,v0]=',name,';']); jj=1;
while 1
    fprintf('\nInput coordinate number, tmin and tmax')
    fprintf('\n(only press return to stop execution)')
    [j, t1, t2]=inputv('>? ');
    if isnan(j), break; end; J=int2str(j);
    [t, y, lam] =fhrmck(m, c, k,f1,f2,w,[t1, t2], nt, y0, v0);
    if isnan(t), return, end
    [dif,h]=min(abs(lam-i*w)); lj=num2str(lam(h));
    if \(j j==1, ~ j j=j j+1 ; ~ d i s p('\),
        disp(['The value of \(i * w\) is at distance ',...
                    num2str (dif)])
        disp(['from the eigenvalue ',lj])
    end
    plot(t,y(:,j),'k-'), xlabel('time')
    ylabel(['y(', J,')'])
    title(['RESPONSE VARIABLE NUMBER ', J])
    grid on, shg, dumy=input(' ','s');
end
```

```
fprintf('\nThe system eigenvalues are:\n')
display(lam)
fprintf(...
'Range of solution values for final times is: \({ }^{n}\) ')
maxy=max(y); miny=min(y); display(maxy)
display(miny), fprintf('All done\n')
\(\%=============================================\)
function \([m, c, k, f 1, f 2, w, n t, y 0, v 0]=\) threemass
\%
\% [m, c,k,f1,f2,w,nt,y0,v0]=threemass
\% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
\% This function creates data for a three mass
\(\%\) system. The name of the function should be
\% changed to specify different problems. However,
\% the output variable list should remain unchanged
\% for compatibility with the data input program.
\(m=e y e(3,3) ; k=[2,-1,0 ;-1,2,-1 ; 0,-1,2] ; c=.05 * k ;\)
\% Data to excite the highest mode
\(\mathrm{f} 1=[-1 ; 0 ; 1] ; \mathrm{f} 2=[0 ; 0 ; 0] ; \mathrm{w}=1.413\); nt=1000;
\% Data to excite the lowest mode
\(\% \mathrm{f} 1=[1 ; 1 ; 1] ; \mathrm{f} 2=[0 ; 0 ; 0]\); \(\mathrm{w}=.7652\); \(\mathrm{nt}=1000\);
\% Homogeneous initial conditions
\(\mathrm{y} 0=[-.5 ; 0 ; .5] ; \mathrm{v} 0=z \operatorname{eros}(3,1) ; \mathrm{y} 0=0 * y 0\);
\(\%=============================================\)
function \([t, y, l a m]=f h r m c k(m, c, k, f 1, f 2, w, t l i m, n t, y 0, v 0)\)
\%
\% [t,y,lam]=fhrmck(m,c,k,f1,f2,w,tlim,nt,y0,v0)
\% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
\% This function uses eigenfunction analysis to solve
\% the matrix differential equation
\(\% \quad m * y{ }^{\prime \prime}(t)+c * y{ }^{\prime}(t)+k * y(t)=f 1 * \cos (w * t)+f 2 * \sin (w * t)\)
\(\%\) with initial conditions of \(y(0)=y 0, y^{\prime}(0)=v 0\)
\% The solution is general unless 1) a zero or repeated
\% eigenvalue occurs or 2) the system is undamped and
\% the forcing function matches a natural frequency.
\% If either error condition occurs, program execution
\% terminates with t and y set to nan.
```



```
        disp('The system is undamped and the forcing')
```

        disp('The system is undamped and the forcing')
        disp(['function resonates with ',...
        disp(['function resonates with ',...
            'eigenvalue ',lj])
            'eigenvalue ',lj])
        disp('Execution is terminated.')
        disp('Execution is terminated.')
        disp(' '), t=nan; y=nan; return
        disp(' '), t=nan; y=nan; return
    else
else
% Determine the particular solution
% Determine the particular solution
a=(-w^2*m+k+i*w*c)\(f1-i*f2);
a=(-w^2*m+k+i*w*c)\(f1-i*f2);
yp=real(a*exp(i*w*t));
yp=real(a*exp(i*w*t));
yp0=real(a); vp0=real(i*w*a);
yp0=real(a); vp0=real(i*w*a);
end
end
% Scale the homogeneous solution to satisfy the
% Scale the homogeneous solution to satisfy the
% initial conditions
% initial conditions
U=U*diag(U\[y0-yp0; v0-vp0]);
U=U*diag(U\[y0-yp0; v0-vp0]);
yh=real(U(1:n,:)*exp(lam*t));
yh=real(U(1:n,:)*exp(lam*t));
% Combine results to obtain the total solution
% Combine results to obtain the total solution
t=t(:); y=[yp+yh]';
t=t(:); y=[yp+yh]';
% Show data graphically only for default case
% Show data graphically only for default case
if nargin==0
if nargin==0
waterfall(t,(1:n),y'), xlabel('time axis')
waterfall(t,(1:n),y'), xlabel('time axis')
ylabel('mass index'), zlabel('Displacements')
ylabel('mass index'), zlabel('Displacements')
title(['DISPLACEMENT HISTORY FOR A ',...
title(['DISPLACEMENT HISTORY FOR A ',...
int2str(n),'-MASS SYSTEM'])
int2str(n),'-MASS SYSTEM'])
colormap([1,0,0]), shg
colormap([1,0,0]), shg
end

```
end
```


### 3.6 Computing Natural Frequencies for a Rectangular Membrane

One of the most useful applications of eigenvalue problems occurs in natural frequency calculations for linear systems. Let us examine finite difference approximation for the natural frequencies of a rectangular membrane and how well the approximate results compare with exact values. Consider a tightly stretched elastic membrane occupying a region $R$ in the $(x, y)$ bounded by a curve $L$ on which the transverse deflection is zero. The differential equation and boundary conditions governing the transverse motion $U(x, y, t)$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
T\left(U_{x x}+U_{y y}\right) & =\rho U_{t t},(x, y) \epsilon R, \\
U(x, y, t) & =0,(x, y) \epsilon L,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $T$ and $\rho$ denote membrane tension and mass density. The natural vibration modes are motion states where all points of the system simultaneously move with the same frequency, which says $U(x, y, t)=u(x, y) \sin (\Omega t)$. It follows that $u(x, y)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{x x}+u_{y y} & =-\omega^{2} u,(x, y) \epsilon R \\
u(x, y) & =0,(x, y) \epsilon L
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\omega=\sqrt{\frac{\rho}{T}} \Omega$. In the simple case of a rectangular membrane lying in the region such that $0 \leq x \leq a$ and $0 \leq y \leq b$, the natural frequencies and mode shapes turn out to be

$$
\omega_{n m}=\sqrt{\left(\frac{n \pi}{a}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{m \pi}{b}\right)^{2}}, u_{n m}=\sin \left(\frac{n \pi x}{a}\right) \sin \left(\frac{m \pi y}{b}\right)
$$

where $n$ and $m$ are positive integers. It is interesting to see how closely these values can be reproduced when the partial differential equation is replaced by a second order finite difference approximation defined on a rectangular grid. We introduce grid points expressed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x(i)=(i-1) \Delta_{x}, i=1, \ldots, N \\
& y(j)=(j-1) \Delta_{y}, j=1, \ldots, M
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\Delta_{x}=a /(N-1), \Delta_{y}=b /(M-1),
$$

and we call $u(i, j)$ the value of $u$ at $x(i), y(j)$. Then the Helmholtz equation is replaced by an algebraic eigenvalue problem of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{y}^{2}[u(i-1, j) & -2 u(i, j)+u(i+1, j)]+\Delta_{x}^{2}[u(i, j-1) \\
& -2 u(i, j)+u(i, j+1)]=\lambda u(i, j)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\lambda=\left(\Delta_{x} \Delta_{y} \omega\right)^{2}
$$

and associated homogeneous boundary conditions

$$
u(1, j)=u(N, j)=u(i, 1)=u(i, M)=0 .
$$

This combination of equations can be rearranged into familiar matrix form as

$$
A u=\lambda u, B u=0 .
$$

The MATLAB function null can be used to solve the boundary condition equations. We write as $u=Q z$ where $Q=\operatorname{null}(B)$ has orthonormal columns. Substituting into the eigenvalue equation and multiplying both sides by $Q^{\prime}$ then yields a standard eigenvalue problem of the form $C z=\lambda z$ where $C=Q^{\prime} A Q$. Denoting the eigenvector matrix of $C$ by $V$, the eigenvector matrix of the original problem is obtained as $u=Q V$, and the desired eigenvalues are simply those of matrix $C$.

A short function named recmemfr was written to form and solve the algebraic equations just discussed. Although the ideas are simple, indexing the double indexed quantities pertaining to the finite difference grid is slightly tedious. Intrinsic functions ind2sub and sub2ind are helpful to perform the indexing. Lines 32-34 of rememfr compute a subset of the lowest frequency values and sort these in ascending order. Lines $37-45$ form the homogeneous boundary conditions, and lines 51-56 construct the discretized Helmholtz equation at interior node points. The main computation work is done in lines 59-61 where null and eig are used. Finally, the results are sorted, the modal arrays are reshaped, and results are plotted to compare the approximate and exact frequencies. In the graph shown below for the case where $(a, b)=(2,1)$, the frequencies obtained using the finite differences are seen to be consistently low. Furthermore, the 50 'th frequency is off by about 14 percent, even though 200 grid points were used. Applications leading to eigenvalue problems occur frequently. The ideas touched on in this simple example will be encountered again in Chapters 9 and 10. Readers may find it interesting to modify this example using a higher order difference approximation to see how much the frequency estimates improve.


Figure 3.7: Approximate and Exact Frequencies for a Rectangular Membrane

## Function recmemfr

```
function [w,wex,modes,x,y,nx,ny,ax,by]=recmemfr(...
ax,by,nx,ny,noplt)
%
% [w,wex,modes,x,y,nx,ny,ax,by]=recmemfr(a,b,nx,ny,noplt)
%
% This function employs finite difference methods to
% estimate the natural frequencies and mode shapes of
% a rectangular membrane having fixed edges.
% ax, by - membrane side lengths along the x and y axes
% nx,ny - number of finite difference points taken in
% the x and y directions including the edges
% w - vector of (nx-2)*(ny-2) frequencies obtained
% by finite difference approximation of the
% wave equation. These are arranged in
% increasing order
% wex - vector of exact frequencies
% modes - three dimensional array containing the mode
% shapes for various frequencies. The array
% size is [nx,ny,(nx-2)*(nx-2)] denoting
%
%
%
%
% x,y - vectors defining the finite difference grid
% noplt - optional parameter included if no plot of
% the approximate and exact frequencies is to
% be made
if nargin==0; ax=2; nx=20; by=1; ny=10; end
dx=ax/(nx-1); dy=by/(ny-1);
na=(1:nx-1)'/ax; nb=(1:ny-1)/by;
% Compute exact frequencies for comparison
wex=pi*sqrt (repmat (na.^2,1,ny-1)+repmat (nb . `2,nx-1,1));
wex=sort(wex(:)'); x=linspace(0,ax,nx);
y=linspace(0,by,ny); neig=(nx-2)*(ny-2); nvar=nx*ny;
% Form equations to fix membrane edges
k=0; s=[nx,ny]; c=zeros(2*(nx+ny),nvar);
for j=1:nx
    m=sub2ind(s, [j,j],[1,ny]); k=k+1;
```

```
        c(k,m(1))=1; k=k+1; c(k,m(2))=1;
end
for j=1:ny
    m=sub2ind(s,[1,nx],[j,j]); k=k+1;
    c(k,m(1))=1; k=k+1; c(k,m(2))=1;
end
% Form frequency equations at interior points
k=0; a=zeros(neig,nvar); b=a;
phi=(dx/dy)^2; psi=2*(1+phi);
for i=2:nx-1
    for j=2:ny-1
        m=sub2ind(s,[i-1,i,i+1,i,i],[j,j,j,j-1,j+1]);
        k=k+1; a(k,m(1))=-1; a(k,m(2))=psi; a(k,m(3))=-1;
        a(k,m(4))=-phi; a(k,m(5))=-phi; b(k,m(2))=1;
    end
    end
    % Compute frequencies and mode shapes
    q=null(c); A=a*q; B=b*q; [modes,lam]=eig(B\A);
    [lam,k]=sort(diag(lam)); w=sqrt(lam)'/dx;
    modes=q*modes(:,k); modes=reshape(modes(:),nx,ny,neig);
    % Plot first fifty approximate and exact frequencies
    if nargin>4, return, end
    m=1:min([50,length(w), length(wex)]);
    pcter=100*(wex(m)-w(m))./wex(m);
    clf; plot(m,wex(m),'k-',m,w(m),'k.',m,pcter,'k--')
    xlabel('frequency number');
    ylabel('frequency and % error')
    legend('exact frequency','approx. frequency',...
        'percent error',2)
    s=['MEMBRANE FREQUENCIES FOR AX / BY = ',...
    num2str(ax/by,5),' AND ',num2str(nx*ny),...
    , GRID POINTS'];
title(s), grid on, shg
% print -deps recmemfr
```


### 3.7 Column Space, Null Space, Orthonormal Bases, and SVD

One remaining advanced topic discussed in this chapter is the factorization known as singular value decomposition, or SVD. We will briefly explain the structure of

SVD and some of its applications. It is known that any real matrix having $n$ rows, $m$ columns, and rank $r$ can be decomposed into the form

$$
A=U S V^{\prime}
$$

where

- $U$ is an orthogonal $n$ by $n$ matrix such that $U^{\prime} U=I$
- $V$ is an orthogonal $m$ by $m$ matrix such that $V^{\prime} V=I$
- $S$ is an $n$ by $m$ diagonal matrix of the form

$$
S=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
\sigma_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \sigma_{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \sigma_{r} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{r}$ are positive numbers on the main diagonal with $\sigma_{\imath} \geq \sigma_{\imath+1}$. Constants $\sigma_{\jmath}$ are called the singular values with the number of nonzero values being equal to the rank $r$.

To understand the structure of this decomposition, let us study the case where $n \geq m$. Direct multiplication gives

$$
A^{\prime} A V=V \operatorname{diag}\left(\left[\sigma_{1}^{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{r}^{2}, \operatorname{zeros}(1, m-r)\right]\right)
$$

and

$$
A A^{\prime} U=U \operatorname{diag}\left(\left[\sigma_{1}^{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{r}^{2}, \operatorname{zeros}(1, n-r)\right]\right)
$$

Consequently, the singular values are square roots of the eigenvalues of the symmetric matrix $A^{\prime} A$. Matrix $V$ contains the orthonormalized eigenvectors arranged so that $\sigma_{\imath} \geq \sigma_{\imath+1}$. Although the eigenvalues of $A^{\prime} A$ are obviously real, it may appear that this matrix could have some negative eigenvalues leading to pure imaginary singular values. However, this cannot happen because $A^{\prime} A Y=\lambda Y$ implies $\lambda=(A Y)^{\prime}(A Y) /\left(Y^{\prime} Y\right)$, which clearly is nonnegative. Once the eigenvectors and eigenvalues of $A^{\prime} A$ are computed, columns of matrix $U$ can be found as orthonormalized solutions of

$$
\left[A^{\prime} A-\sigma_{\jmath} I\right] U_{\jmath}=0, \sigma_{\jmath}=0, \jmath>r .
$$

The arguments just presented show that performing singular value decomposition involves solving a symmetric eigenvalue problem. However, SVD requires additional computation beyond solving a symmetric eigenvalue problem. It can be very time consuming for large matrices. The SVD has various uses, such as solving the normal
equations. Suppose an $n$ by $m$ matrix $A$ has $n>m$ and $r=m$. Substituting the SVD into

$$
A^{\prime} A X=A^{\prime} B
$$

gives

$$
V \boldsymbol{\operatorname { d i a g }}\left(\sigma_{1}^{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{m}^{2}\right) V^{\prime} X=V S^{\prime} U^{\prime} B
$$

Consequently, the solution of the normal equations is

$$
X=V \operatorname{diag}\left(\sigma_{1}^{-2}, \ldots, \sigma_{m}^{-2}\right) S^{\prime} U^{\prime} B
$$

Another important application of the SVD concerns generation of orthonormal bases for the column space and the row space. The column space has dimension $r$ and the null space has dimension $m-r$. Consider a consistent system

$$
A X=B=U\left(S V^{\prime} X\right)
$$

Denote $S V^{\prime} X$ as $Y$ and observe that $y_{\jmath}=0$ for $\jmath>r$ since $\sigma_{\jmath}=0$. Because $B$ can be any vector in the column space, it follows that the first $r$ columns of $U$, which are also orthonormal, are a basis for the column space. Furthermore, the decomposition can be written as

$$
A V=U S
$$

This implies

$$
A V_{\jmath}=U_{\jmath} \sigma_{\jmath}=0, \jmath>r
$$

which shows that the final $m-r$ columns of $V$ form an orthonormal basis for the null space. The reader can verify that bases for the row space and left null space follow analogously by considering $A^{\prime}=V S^{\prime} U^{\prime}$, which simply interchanges the roles of $U$ and $V$.

MATLAB provides numerous other useful matrix decompositions such as LU, QR, and Cholesky. Some of these are employed in other sections of this book. The reader will find it instructive to read the built-in help information for MATLAB functions describing these decomposition methods. For instance, the command help $\backslash$ gives extensive documentation on the operation for matrix inversion.

### 3.8 Computation Time to Run a MATLAB Program

MATLAB is designed to perform matrix computation with maximum speed and accuracy. Consequently, most standard operations like matrix multiplication, Gauss reduction, eigenvalue calculation, SVD, etc. are implemented as highly optimized and compiled intrinsic functions. Efficient program execution requires optimal use of the built-in functions. Executing nested loops can take a lot of time, so using coding with nested loops should be avoided when computation time is important. To
illustrate how deeply nested loops can slow down execution speed we will compare slow multiplication of square matrices by a Fortran style triple loop, and fast multiplication using the intrinsic matrix multiply capability. The ratio of the slow time to the fast time is much larger than might initially be expected.

Before proceeding with our example, consider the difficulties of accurately timing a computational process. In the first place, the clock in Intel based systems has a resolution of about 0.06 sec , whereas the time for MATLAB to do a 100 by 100 matrix multiply is about 0.005 secs on a 733 Mhz Pentium 4 computer. This implies that, just to account for the crude clock increment, the matrix multiply has to be repeated at least 1200 times to get a total time accurate within one percent. However, this is not the only timing difficulty. MATLAB continuously performs housekeeping tasks such as memory management. The operating system and other programs running simultaneously in the background also use computer resources and affect recorded times. Hence, any timing of algorithmic processes in MATLAB should be done without having several other programs open. Even then, the authors have found that times recorded for the same computation done repeatedly often vary around five percent.

The following program named mattimer was written to compare slow and fast matrix multiplication. The program input includes the matrix order, the number of seconds a loop is performed to improve timing accuracy, and the number of times the basic timing operation is repeated to show how recorded times vary among successive computations. The program also gives the number of floating operations performed per second (Mflops). An $n$ by $n$ matrix multiply involves $n^{2}$ dot products each requiring $n$ adds and $n$ multiplies. Hence, the number of floating point operations is $2 n^{3}$. An order 100 matrix multiply done in 0.005 seconds would give 400 megaflops. Function multimer does the matrix multiply repeatedly and reads the elapsed time until the specified total number of seconds is reached. Performing loops and reading the clock takes some time, which is subtracted from the time to do the looping, matrix multiplication, and clock reading. We also perform the intrinsic matrix multiplication in a separate function so that both the fast and slow methods have the same computational overhead associated with a function call. Results are shown for matrices of order 100 and 1000. The fast time for an order 100 matrix multiply only took 0.00503 seconds giving 398 megaflops. By comparison, the slow method took more than eighteen hundred times as long as the fast method. This is comparable to making a one hour task take about two and a half months, working twenty-four hours a day, seven days a week. Evidently, intrinsic MATLAB matrix multiply works very well, but nested looping is slow. Something else worth noting is that a dense matrix of order 1000 does not stretch the capabilities of a modern microcomputer. Storing a million word double-precision array only takes 8 megabytes of RAM, which is a small fraction of the 128 megabytes or more typically provided for scientific work. Furthermore, the high order matrix multiply only took 4.6 seconds, which is roughly 1000 times as long as the order 100 time. It turns out that the time needed for most matrix operations increases like the cube of the order, even though a complicated calculation such as singular value decomposition may take around seventeen times as long as a Gauss elimination of the same order.

```
>> mattimer(100,10,60);
MATRIX MULTIPLY TIMING TEST
Get results for a single timer call
The repeated multiplication of matrices
of order }100\mathrm{ may take considerable time.
Fast multiply takes 0.0050238 secs.
Megaflops = 398.1034
Slow multiply takes 9.0714 secs.
Megaflops = 398.1034
tslow/tfast = 1805.6723
Get results for several timer calls
    tfast tslow ratio
    5.0473e-003 8.8899e+000 1.7613e+003
    5.0248e-003 8.8271e+000 1.7567e+003
    4.9948e-003 8.9685e+000 1.7956e+003
    5.0075e-003 8.8742e+000 1.7722e+003
    5.3775e-003 8.9599e+000 1.6662e+003
    4.9939e-003 8.8499e+000 1.7721e+003
    5.0013e-003 8.8271e+000 1.7650e+003
    5.0217e-003 8.9842e+000 1.7891e+003
    5.0182e-003 9.0785e+000 1.8091e+003
    4.9905e-003 8.9598e+000 1.7954e+003
```

Time variation defined by (max(t)-min(t))/mean(t)
Variation for tfast $=0.076656$
Variation for tslow $=0.028181$
>> mattimer(1000,0,60);
MATRIX MULTIPLY TIMING TEST
Get results for a single timer call
The repeated multiplication of matrices
of order 1000 may take considerable time.
Fast multiply takes 4.5699 secs.

```
Megaflops = 437.6421
Slow multiply takes 8882.3899 secs.
Megaflops = 0.22516
tslow/tfast = 1943.654
```


## Program mattimer

```
function mattimer(norder,ktimes,secs)
\%
\% mattimer(norder,ktimes,secs)
\%
if nargin==0
    norder=100; ktimes=10; secs=30;
end
fprintf('\nMATRIX MULTIPLY TIMING TEST\n\n')
disp('Get results for a single timer call')
multimer(norder,secs,1); t=zeros(ktimes,3);
secs=max(secs,30); if ktimes==0, return, end
disp('Get results for several timer calls')
for \(j=1: k t i m e s\)
    [t(j, 3), t(j,1),t(j,2)]=multimer (norder, secs);
end
\(\mathrm{T}=(\max (\mathrm{t})-\min (\mathrm{t})) . / \operatorname{mean}(\mathrm{t})\);
disp(...
, tfast tslow ratio')
for \(j=1\) :ktimes
    fprintf( \(\left.\% 13.4 \mathrm{e} \quad \% 13.4 \mathrm{e} \quad \% 13.4 \mathrm{e} \backslash \mathrm{n}^{\prime}, \mathrm{t}(\mathrm{j},:)\right)\)
end
disp(' '), disp(...
'Time variation defined by (max(t)-min(t))/mean(t)')
disp(['Variation for tfast \(=\) ', num2str(T(1))])
disp(['Variation for tslow \(=\) ', num2str (T(2))])
\(\%==========================================\)
```

33:
40: \% matrix multiply using the built-in matrix multiply
41: \% and the slow method employing scalar triple looping.
42: \% The ratio of compute times illustrates how much
43: \% faster compiled and vectorized matrix operations
44: \% can be compared to similar calculations using
45: \% interpreted code with scalar looping.
46: \% norder - order of the test matrices used. The
47: \% default for norder is 100.
$48: \%$ secs - number of seconds each computation is run
49: to get accurate timing. The default (and
50: \% minimum value) is thirty seconds.
\% doprint-
\% variable is given a value
\% ratio - ratio of slow to fast multiply times
\% tfast - time in seconds to perform a multiply
using the built-in precompiled matrix
multiply
$\%$ tslow - time in seconds to perform a multiply
by triple loop method
9: \%
\% User m functions called: matmultf matmults
1: \%
\% Typical results obtained using a Dell Dimension
\% XPS B733r computer with 128MB of RAM gave the
\% following values:
\%
6: $\%$ >> mattimer $(100,0,60)$;
67: \%
68: \% MATRIX MULTIPLY TIMING TEST
69: \%
\% Fast multiply takes 0.0050238 secs.
$\%$ Megaflops $=398.1034$
\%
\% Slow multiply takes 9.0714 secs.
\% Megaflops = 398. 1034
\%
$\%$ tslow/tfast $=1805.6723$

```
% >> mattimer(1000,0,60);
%
% MATRIX MULTIPLY TIMING TEST
%
% Fast multiply takes 4.5699 secs.
% Megaflops = 437.6421
%
% Slow multiply takes 8882.3899 secs.
% Megaflops = 0.22516
%
% tslow/tfast = 1943.654
% >>
% Find time to make a loop and call the clock
nmax=5e3; nclock=0; tstart=cputime;
while nclock<nmax
    tclock=cputime-tstart; nclock=nclock+1;
end
% Time to do one loop and call the timer
tclock=tclock/nclock;
if nargin<3, doprint=0; else, doprint=1; end
if nargin<2, secs=30; end; secs=max(secs,30);
if nargin==0, norder=100; end
a=rand(norder,norder); b=rand(norder,norder);
if doprint
    disp(' ')
    disp('The repeated multiplication of matrices')
    disp(['of order ',num2str(norder),...
        , may take considerable time.'])
    disp(' ')
end
% Time using intrinsic multiply function
pack; tfast=0; nfast=0; tstart=cputime;
while tfast<secs
    cf=matmultf(a,b); nfast=nfast+1;
        tfast=cputime-tstart;
end
tfast=tfast/nfast-tclock;
% Time using Fortran style, triple for:next looping
pack; tslow=0; nslow=0; tstart=cputime;
while tslow<secs
```

```
        cs=matmults(a,b); nslow=nslow+1;
        tslow=cputime-tstart;
end
tslow=tslow/nslow-tclock; ratio=tslow/tfast;
mflops=inline('num2str(2*n^3/1e6/t)','n','t');
if doprint
    disp(['Fast multiply takes ',...
                num2str(tfast),' secs.'])
    disp(['Megaflops = ',...
                mflops(norder,tfast)]), disp(' ')
        disp(['Slow multiply takes ',...
                num2str(tslow),' secs.'])
    disp(['Megaflops = ',...
        mflops(norder,tslow)]), disp(' ')
        disp(['tslow/tfast = ',...
            num2str(tslow/tfast)]), disp(' ')
    end
    %============================================
    function v=matmultf(a,b)
    % v=matmultf(a,b). Matrix multiply using
    % precompiled function in MATLAB
    v=a*b;
    %=============================================
    function v=matmults(a,b)
    % v=matmults(a,b). Matrix multiply using
    % Fortran like triple loop
    n=size(a,1); m=size(b,2); K=size(a,2);
    v=zeros(n,m);
    for i=1:n
        for j=1:m
            t=0;
            for k=1:K
                t=t+a(i,k)*b(k,j);
            end
            v(i,j)=t;
        end
    end
```


## Chapter 4

## Methods for Interpolation and Numerical Differentiation

### 4.1 Concepts of Interpolation

Next we study three types of one-dimensional interpolation: polynomial, piecewise linear, and cubic spline. The MATLAB functions implementing these methods are discussed along with some additional software developed by the authors to differentiate and integrate splines. A simple discussion of cubic spline interpolation formulated from the viewpoint of elastic beam flexure is given. The chapter concludes with a program to compute finite difference formulas for derivatives of general order.

Interpolation is a process whereby a function is approximated using data known at a discrete set of points. Typically we have points $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$ arranged such that $x_{i}<x_{i+1}$. These points are to be connected by a continuous interpolation function influenced by smoothness requirements such as: a) the function should not deviate greatly from the data at points lying between the data values; and $b$ ) the function should satisfy a differentiability condition such as continuity of first and second derivatives.

Piecewise linear interpolation simply connects successive points by straight lines. This has the disadvantage of producing a function with piecewise constant slope and finite slope discontinuities. An obvious cure for slope discontinuity is to use a curve such as a polynomial of degree $\mathrm{n}-1$ (through n points) to produce an interpolation function having all derivatives continuous. However, it was seen in Section 2.3 that a polynomial passing exactly through the data points may be highly irregular at intermediate values. Using polynomial interpolations higher than order five or six often produces disappointing results. An excellent alternative to allowing either slope discontinuities or demanding slope continuity of all orders is to use cubic spline interpolation. This method connects successive points by cubic curves joined such that function continuity as well as continuity of the first two function derivatives is achieved.

The MATLAB function polyfit(xd,yd,n) can be used to obtain coefficients in a polynomial of degree $n$ which either passes through points in data vectors ( $x d, y d$ ) or fits the data in the least square sense. Since a polynomial of degree $n-1$ can pass through $n$ data points, the computation $\mathrm{c}=$ polyfit(xd,yd,length(xd)-1) would produce coefficients in a polynomial passing through the data values. Evaluating the polyno-
mial for an array argument x is accomplished by $\mathrm{y}=$ polyval( $(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{x})$. Combining the two operations gives $y=$ polyval(polyfit(xd,yd,length(xd)-1), $x$ ). If the chosen polynomial order is less than length(xd)-1, then a polynomial fitting the data in the least square sense is produced. For example, a polynomial of order 4 might be fitted to several hundred points. Of course, how well the least square polynomial actually fits the data should be assessed by examining a plot of the curve and the data. MATLAB also has various utility functions to work with polynomials such as polyder, polyint, conv, and deconv which differentiate, integrate, multiply, and divide.

Function interp1(xd,yd,x,'method','extrap') is a general purpose interpolation function providing several types of interpolation including linear and spline. The default value for 'method' is 'linear', If the 'extrap' parameter is omitted, then a value of NaN (not a number) is returned for any input argument not lying between min(xd) and $\max (x d)$. Otherwise, extrapolation is performed using the interpolation functions for the outermost intervals. Readers should be cautious about extrapolating far outside the known data range, because this often leads to unreasonable results.

Engineering applications often use idealized functions which are piecewise linear and have finite jump discontinuities. Since function interp1 rejects cases where any successive values in the xd vector are equal, we remedy this situation with function $\operatorname{lintrp}(x d, y d, x)$ to search $x d$ for any repeated values and separate these values by a small fraction of $\max (\mathrm{xd})-\min (\mathrm{xd})$. Then interp1 is used to perform the interpolation as indicated below.

## Function lintrp

```
function \(y=1\) intrp(xd,yd,x)
\%
```



```
\% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
\% This function performs piecewise linear
\% interpolation through data values stored in
\% xd, yd, where xd values are arranged in
\% nondecreasing order. The function can handle
\% discontinuous functions specified when some
\% successive values in xd are equal. Then the
\% repeated xd values are shifted by a small
\% amount to remove the discontinuities.
\% Interpolation for any points outside the range
\(\%\) of \(x d\) is also performed by continuing the line
\% segments through the outermost data pairs.
\%
\% xd,yd - vectors of interpolation data values
\(\% \mathrm{x}\) - matrix of values where interpolated
\(\% \quad\) values are required
```

```
\%
\% y - matrix of interpolated values
\(\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{find}(\operatorname{diff}(\mathrm{xd})==0)\);
if length \((k)^{\sim}=0\)
    \(x d(\mathrm{k}+1)=\mathrm{xd}(\mathrm{k}+1)+(\mathrm{xd}(\mathrm{end})-\mathrm{xd}(1)) * 1 e 3 * \mathrm{eps} ;\)
end
y=interp1(xd,yd,x,'linear', 'extrap');
```


### 4.2 Interpolation, Differentiation, and Integration by Cubic Splines

Cubic spline interpolation is a versatile method to pass a smooth curve through a sequence of data points. The technique connects the data values with a curve having its third derivative piecewise constant. The curve is piecewise cubic with $y(x), y^{\prime}(x)$ and y"(x) continuous over the whole data range. The MathWorks markets a Spline Toolbox providing extensive capabilities to work with spline functions. A few functions from that toolbox are included in standard MATLAB. The intrinsic functions spline, ppval, mkpp, and unmkpp are extended here to handle differentiation and integration. Spline interpolation, viewed from Euler beam theory, is also discussed to amplify on the basic ideas. This simple formulation easily accommodates various end conditions. Readers wanting more detail on spline theory will find the books by de Boor [27] and by Ahlberg and Nilson [2] to be helpful.

Cubic spline theory is motivated by a mechanical drafting tool consisting of a flexible strip bent over several supports with heights adjustable to fit given data. Euler beam theory [9] shows that the deflection curve has third derivative values which are constant between successive supports. This implies that the curve is piecewise cubic and the third derivative values (relating to internal shear forces in beam analysis) can be determined to make the support deflections have chosen values. This is the basis of cubic spline interpolation. The method is attractive because the interpolation function $y(x)$ is obtainable analytically as well as $y^{\prime}(x), y^{\prime \prime}(x)$ and $\int y(x) d x$.

Let us formulate the problem mathematically by taking a piecewise constant form for $y^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)$ and integrating this repeatedly to get $y(x)$. We assume data points $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ with $x_{i}<x_{i+1}$. Each successive data pair can be connected by a cubic curve with $y^{\prime}(x)$ and $y^{\prime \prime}(x)$ required to be continuous at all interior data points. If values of $y^{\prime}(x)$ or $y^{\prime \prime}(x)$ are known at the curve ends, algebraic conditions to impose those values can be written. Using known values of end slope is appropriate, but specifying good second derivative values when end slopes are not known is usually not obvious. As an alternative, it is customary to apply smoothness conditions requiring continuity of $y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(x_{2}\right)$ and $y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(x_{n-1}\right)$. Books on spline theory [7, 2] refer to imposition of higher order continuity at interior points as "not-a-knot" conditions.

The piecewise constant third derivative of the interpolation function is described as

$$
y^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} c_{j}<x-x_{j}>^{0}
$$

where $c_{j}$ are constants to be determined, and the singularity function

$$
<x-a>^{n}=(x-a)^{n}(x>a)
$$

is used. This formula for $y^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)$ is easy to integrate, and making the curve pass through the data points is straightforward. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y^{\prime \prime}(x)=y_{1}^{\prime \prime}+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} c_{j}<x-x_{j}>^{1} \\
& y^{\prime}(x)=y_{1}^{\prime}+y_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(x-x_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} c_{j}<x-x_{j}>^{2} \\
& y(x)=y_{1}+y_{1}^{\prime}\left(x-x_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{2} y_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(x-x_{1}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{6} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} c_{j}<x-x_{j}>^{3} \\
& \int_{x_{1}}^{x} y(x) d x=y_{1}\left(x-x_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{2} y_{1}^{\prime}\left(x-x_{1}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{6} y_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(x-x_{1}\right)^{3} \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{24} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} c_{j}<x-x_{j}>^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

The interpolation function automatically goes through the first data point, and the remaining constants are required to satisfy
$y_{i}-y_{1}=y_{1}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}-x_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{2} y_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{i}-x_{1}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{6} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} c_{j}<x_{i}-x_{j}>^{3}, \quad i=2,3, \ldots, n$.
Since $n+1$ unknowns are present in the above system, two more end conditions must be included. Five familiar combinations of end conditions include: 1) the "not-a-knot" condition applied at each end; 2) the slope given at each end; 3) the slope given at the left end and the "not-a-knot" condition at the right end; 4) the "not-aknot" condition at the left end and the slope given at the right end; and 5) a periodic spline is created by making the first and last points have the same values of $y, y^{\prime}$, and $y^{\prime \prime}$.

Spline interpolation involves solution of linear simultaneous equations. A desktop computer solves a system of 200 equations in less than 0.03 seconds; so, the equation solving time is modest unless many points are used. The formulation described above is easy to understand, handles general end conditions, and includes interpolation,
differentiation, and integration. It was implemented in two general purpose functions spterp and spcof used below with function curvprop to compute the length and area bounded by a spline curve. Another function splineg using intrinsic function spline is also discussed at the end of the present article. The spline routines provided here are helpful additions for work with splines since they include spline differentiation and integration which do not come in the standard MATLAB package.

### 4.2.1 Computing the Length and Area Bounded by a Curve

The ideas just described were implemented in functions spterp and spcof which are called in the following program curvprop. This program computes the length of a spline curve and the area bounded by the curve. The length of a curve parameterized in complex form as

$$
z(t)=x(t)+i y(t), a \leq t \leq b
$$

can be computed as

$$
\text { length }=\int_{a}^{b} \mathbf{a b s}\left(z^{\prime}(t)\right) d t
$$

Furthermore, when the the curve is closed and is traversed in a counterclockwise direction, the area is given by

$$
\text { area }=\frac{1}{2} \int_{a}^{b} \mathbf{i m a g}\left(\operatorname{conj}(z(t)) z^{\prime}(t)\right) d t
$$

The curve length is meaningful for an open or closed curve, but the bounded area only makes sense for a closed curve. The next chapter discusses area properties for shapes bounded by several spline curves. Our present example assumes a simple geometry. It is worth mentioning that applying the last integral to an open curve gives the area enclosed within the curve combined with a line from the last point to the origin and a line from the origin to the first point. This fact is clarified in the next chapter which treats general areas bounded by several spline curves.

The following program curvprop passes a spline curve through data in vectors $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$. The length, bounded area, and a set of data points on the curve are computed. The curve is assumed to have a smoothly turning tangent. The default data example uses points on an ellipse with semi-diameters of two and one. Readers can verify that approximating the ellipse with a 21 point spline curve gives an area approximation accurate within 0.0055 percent and a boundary length accurate within 0.0068 percent. Of course, better accuracy is achievable with more data points.

### 4.2.2 Example: Length and Enclosed Area for a Spline Curve Function curvprop

1: function [area,leng, X,Y,closed]=curvprop(x,y,doplot)


```
47: closed=0; endc=1; zp=spterp(t,z,1,t,endc);
end
% Compute length and area
% plot(abs(zp)),shg,pause
leng=spterp(t,abs(zp),3,n,1);
area=spterp(t,1/2*imag(conj(z).*zp),3,n,1);
Z=spterp(t,z,0,1:1/4:n,endc);
X=real(Z); Y=imag(Z);
if nargin>2
        plot(X,Y,'-',x,y,'.'), axis equal
        xlabel('x axis'), ylabel('y axis')
        title('SPLINE CURVE'), shg
end
%==============================================
function [v,c]=spterp(xd,yd,id,x,endv,c)
%
% [v,c]=spterp(xd,yd,id,x,endv,c)
% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
%
% This function performs cubic spline interpo-
% lation. Values of y(x),y'(x),y''(x) or the
% integral(y(t)*dt, xd(1)..x) are obtained.
% Five types of end conditions are provided.
%
4: % xd, yd - data vectors with xd arranged in
% ascending order.
6: % id - id equals 0,1,2,3 to compute y(x),
7:% y'(x), integral(y(t)*dt,t=xd(1)..x),
78:% respectively.
9: % v - values of the function, first deriva-
tive, second derivative, or integral
from xd(1) to x
- the coefficients defining the spline
curve. If these values are input from
an earlier computation, then they
are not recomputed.
- vector giving the end conditions in
one of the following five forms:
endv=1 or endv omitted makes
c(2) and c(n-1) zero
endv=[2,left_end_slope,...
right_end_slope] to impose slope
```

```
    % values at each end
        endv=[3,left_end_slope] imposes the
    left end slope value and makes
    c(n-1) zero
endv=[4,right_end_slope] imposes the
    right end slope value and makes
    c(2) zero
endv=5 defines a periodic spline by
    making y,y',y" match at both ends
    if nargin<5 | isempty(endv), endv=1; end
    n=length(xd); sx=size(x); x=x(:); X=x-xd(1);
    if nargin<6, c=spcof(xd,yd,endv); end
    C=c(1:n); s1=c(n+1); m1=c(n+2); X=x-xd(1);
    if id==0 % y(x)
    v=yd(1)+s1*X+m1/2*X.*X+...
        powermat (x,xd,3)*C/6;
elseif id==1 % y'(x)
            v=s1+m1*X+powermat (x,xd,2)*C/2;
elseif id==2 % y''(x)
v=m1+powermat (x,xd,1)*C;
else % integral(y(t)*dt, t=xd(1)..x)
v=yd(1)*X+s1/2*X.*X+m1/6*X. `3+...
powermat(x,xd,4)*C/24;
end
v=reshape(v,sx);
%================================================
function c=spcof(x,y,endv)
%
% c=spcof(x,y,endv)
27:% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
128: % This function determines spline interpolation
129: % coefficients consisting of the support
130: % reactions concatenated with y' and y', at
131: % the left end.
132: % x,y - data vectors of interplation points.
133:% Denote n as the length of x.
134: % endv - vector of data for end conditions
135:% described in function spterp.
136: %
```

```
    % c - a vector [c(1);...;c(n+2)] where the
    % first n components are support
    % reactions and the last two are
    values of y'(x(1)) and y''(x(1)).
    if nargin<3, endv=1; end
    x=x(:); y=y(:); n=length(x); u=x(2:n)-x(1);
    a=zeros(n+2,n+2); a(1,1:n)=1;
    a(2:n,:)=[powermat (x (2:n), x,3)/6,u,u.*u/2] ;
    b=zeros(n+2,1); b(2:n)=y(2:n)-y(1);
    if endv(1)==1 % Force, force condition
        a(n+1,2)=1; a(n+2,n-1)=1;
    elseif endv(1)==2 % Slope, slope condition
        b(n+1)=endv (2); a(n+1,n+1)=1;
        b}(n+2)=endv(3); a(n+2,:)=..
    [((x(n)-x').^2)/2,1,x(n)-x(1)];
elseif endv(1)==3 % Slope, force condition
        b (n+1)=endv (2); a(n+1,n+1)=1; a(n+2,n-1)=1;
    elseif endv(1)==4 % Force, slope condition
        a(n+1,2)=1; b (n+2)=endv (2);
        a(n+2,: ) = [((x(n)-x').^2)/2,1,x(n)-x(1)];
    elseif endv(1)==5
        a(n+1,1:n)=x(n)-x'; b (n)=0;
        a(n+2,1:n)=1/2*(x(n)-x').^2;
        a(n+2,n+2)=x(n)-x(1);
    else
        error(...
        'Invalid value of endv in function spcof')
    end
    if endv(1)==1 & n<4, c=pinv(a)*b;
    else, c=a\b; end
```



```
    function a=powermat(x,X,p)
    %
    % a=powermat (x,X,p)
% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
% This function evaluates various powers of a
% matrix used in cubic spline interpolation.
%
% x,X - arbitrary vectors of length n and N
% a - an n by M matrix of elements such that
                                    a(i,j)=(x(i)>X(j))*abs(x(i)-X(j))^p
181:
```

```
x=x(:) ; n=length(x) ; X=X (:)'; N=length(X);
a=x (:,ones (1,N))-X(ones(n,1),:); a=a.*(a>0);
switch p, case 0, a=sign(a); case 1, return;
case 2, a=a.*a; case 3; a=a.*a.*a;
case 4, a=a.*a; a=a.*a; otherwise, a=a.^p; end
```


### 4.2.3 Generalizing the Intrinsic Spline Function in MATLAB

The intrinsic MATLAB function spline employs an auxiliary function unmk to create the piecewise polynomial definitions defining the spline. The polynomials can be differentiated or integrated, and then functions mkpp and ppval can be used to evaluate results. We have employed the ideas from those routines to develop functions splineg and splincof extending the minimal spline capabilities of MATLAB. The function splincof(xd,yd,endc) computes arrays b and c usable by mkpp and ppval. The data vector endc defines the first four types of end conditions discussed above. The function splineg(xd,yd,x,deriv,endc,b,c) handles the same kind of data as function spterp. Sometimes arrays b and c may have been created from a previous call to splineg or spterp. Whenever these are passed through the call list, they are used by splineg without recomputation. Readers wanting more details on spline concepts should consult de Boor's book [7].

Two examples illustrating spline interpolation are presented next. In the first program called, sinetrp, a series of equally spaced points between 0 and $2 \pi$ is used to approximate $y=\sin (x)$ which satisfies

$$
y^{\prime}(x)=\cos (x), y^{\prime \prime}(x)=-\sin (x), \int_{0}^{x} y(x) d x=1-\cos (x)
$$

The approximations for the function, derivatives, and the integral are evaluated using splineg. Results shown in Figure 4.1 are quite satisfactory, except for points outside the data interval $[0,2 \pi]$.


Figure 4.1: Spline Differentiation and Integration of $\sin (x)$

## Example: Spline Interpolation Applied to Sin(x)

## Program sinetrp

```
function sinetrp
% Example: sinetrp
% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
% This example illustrates cubic spline
% approximation of sin(x), its first two
% derivatives, and its integral.
%
% User m functions required:
% splineg, splincof
% Create data points on the spline curve
xd=linspace(0,2*pi,21); yd=sin(xd);
% Evaluate function values at a dense
% set of points
x=linspace(-pi/2,5/2*pi,61);
[y,b,c]=splineg(xd,yd,x,0);
yp=splineg(xd,yd,x,1,[],b,c);
ypp=splineg(xd,yd,x,2,[],b,c);
yint=splineg(xd,yd,x,3,[],b,c);
% Plot results
z=x/pi; zd=xd/pi;
plot(z,y,'k-',zd,yd,'ko',z,yp,'k:',...
    z,ypp,'k-.',z,yint,'k+');
title(['Spline Differentiation and ', ...
    'Integration of sin(x)']);
xlabel('x / pi'); ylabel('function values');
legend('y=sin(x)','data','y''(x)','y''''(x)', ...
    '\int y(x) dx',1); grid on
figure(gcf); pause;
% print -deps sinetrp
%================================================
function [val,b,c]=splineg(xd,yd,x,deriv,endc,b,c)
%
% [val,b,c]=splineg(xd,yd,x,deriv,endc,b,c)
%
%
```

        deriv=0 gives a vector for \(y(x)\)
        deriv=1 gives a vector for \(y^{\prime}(x)\)
        deriv=2 gives a vector for \(y^{\prime}\) '( \(x\) )
        deriv=3 gives a vector of values
            for integral ( \(\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{z}) * \mathrm{dz}\) ) from \(\mathrm{xd}(1)\)
            to \(x(j)\) for \(j=1\) :length ( \(x\) )
            - endc=1 makes \(y, \prime \prime(x)\) continuous at
                        \(x d(2)\) and \(x d(e n d-1)\).
                        endc=[2,left_slope,right_slope]
                        imposes slope values at both ends.
                        endc=[3,left_slope] imposes the left
                        end slope and makes the discontinuity
                        of \(y\) ',' at \(x d(e n d-1)\) small.
                        endc=[4,right_slope] imposes the right
                        end slope and makes the discontinuity
                        of \(y\) ',' at \(x d(2)\) small.
                        coefficients needed to perform the
                        spline interpolation. If these are not
                        given, function unmkpp is called to
                        generate them.
                            values \(y(x), y^{\prime}(x), y^{\prime \prime}(x)\) or
                                integral( \(y(z) d z, \quad z=x d(1) . . x\) ) for
                                deriv=0,1,2, or 3, respectively.
    if nargin<5 | isempty(endc), endc=1; end
if nargin<7, [b, c]=splincof(xd,yd,endc); end
$\mathrm{n}=$ length (xd) ; [N, M]=size(c);
switch deriv
case 0 \% Function value
val=ppval (mkpp (b, c) , x) ;
84:
85:
5: case 1 \% First derivative

```
        C=[3*c(:, 1), 2*c(:, 2), c(:, 3)];
        val=ppval(mkpp(b,C),x);
case 2 % Second derivative
    C=[6*c(:, 1), 2*c(:, 2)];
    val=ppval(mkpp(b,C),x);
case 3 % Integral values from xd(1) to x
    k=M:-1:1;
    C=[c./k(ones(N,1),:),zeros(N,1)];
    dx=xd(2:n)-xd(1:n-1); s=zeros(n-2,1);
    for j=1:n-2, s(j)=polyval(C(j,:),dx(j)); end
    C(:,5)=[0;cumsum(s)]; val=ppval(mkpp(b,C),x);
end
%================================================
function [b,c]=splincof(xd,yd,endc)
%
% [b, c]=splincof (xd,yd,endc)
% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
% This function determines coefficients for
% cubic spline interpolation allowing four
% different types of end conditions.
% xd,yd - data vectors for the interpolation
% endc - endc=1 makes y'''(x) continuous at
% xd(2) and xd(end-1).
endc=[2,left_slope,right_slope]
imposes slope values at both ends.
endc=[3,left_slope] imposes the left
end slope and makes the discontinuity
of y'',' at xd(end-1) small.
endc=[4,right_slope] imposes the right
end slope and makes the discontinuity
                                    of y''' at xd(2) small.
if nargin<3, endc=1; end;
type=endc(1); xd=xd(:); yd=yd(:);
switch type
case 1
    % y'''(x) continuous at the xd(2) and xd(end-1)
        [b,c]=unmkpp(spline(xd,yd));
```

```
131:
case 2
        % Slope given at both ends
        [b, c]=unmkpp(spline(xd, [endc(2);yd;endc(3)]));
case 3
    % Slope at left end given. Compute right end
    % slope.
    [b, c]=unmkpp(spline(xd,yd));
    c=[3*c(:, 1),2*c(:,2),c(:,3)];
    sright=ppval(mkpp(b,c),xd(end));
    [b,c]=unmkpp(spline(xd,[endc(2);yd;sright]));
case 4
    % Slope at right end known. Compute left end
    % slope.
    [b,c] =unmkpp(spline(xd,yd));
    c=[3*c(:, 1),2*c(:, 2),c(:,3)];
    sleft=ppval(mkpp(b,c),xd(1));
    [b, c]=unmkpp(spline(xd, [sleft;yd;endc(2)]));
end
```


### 4.2.4 Example: A Spline Curve with Several Parts and Corners

The final spline example illustrates interpolation of a two-dimensional curve where y cannot be expressed as a single valued function of $x$. Then we introduce a parameter $t_{j}$ having its value equal to the index J for each $\left(x_{j}, y_{j}\right)$ used. Interpolating $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ as continuous functions of $t$ produces a smooth curve through the data. Function matlbdat creates data points to define the curve and calls function spcry2d to compute points on a general plane curve. We also introduce the idea of 'corner points' where slope discontinuity allows the curve to make sharp turns needed to describe letters such as the ' $t$ ' in MATLAB. Each curve segment between successive pairs of corner points is parameterized using function spline. Results in Figure 4.2 show clearly that spline interpolation can represent a complicated curve. The related code appears after the figure. The same kind of parameterization used for two dimensions also works well for three dimensional curves.

## Example: Spline Curve Drawing the Word MATLAB

## Program matlbdat

```
function matlbdat
```

A Spline Curve Drawing the Word MATLAB


Figure 4.2: $\quad$ Spline Curve Drawing the Word MATLAB

```
% Example: matlbdat
%
% This example illustrates the use of splines
% to draw the word MATLAB.
%
% User m functions required: spcurv2d
x=[[llllllllllllllllll
    25}28830\32 37 32 30 32 35 37 37 38
    41 42 42 42 45 39 42 42 44 47 48 48
    47}474848153357 53 52 53 56 57 57
        58 61 63 62 61 64 66 64 61 64 67 67];
y=[[llllllllllllllllll
        53 52 56 61 61 61 56 51 55 61 55 52
        54 59 63 59 59 59 59 54 52 54 58 62
        58 53 51 55 60 61 60 54 51 55 61 55
        52 53 58 62 53 57 53 51 53 51 51 51];
x=x'; x=x(:); y=y'; y=y(:);
ncrnr=[17 22 26 27 28 29 30 31 36 42 47 52];
clf; [xs,ys]=curv2d(x,y,10,ncrnr);
plot(xs,ys,'k-',x,y,'k*'), axis off;
title('A Spline Curve Drawing the Word MATLAB');
figure(gcf);
% print -deps matlbdat
%==============================================
function [X,Y]=spcrv2d(xd,yd,nseg,icrnr)
%
% [X,Y]=spcrv2d(xd,yd,nseg,icrnr)
%
% This function computes points (X,Y) on a
% spline curve through (xd,yd) allowing slope
% discontinuities at points with corner
% indices in icrnr. nseg plot segments are
% used between each successive pair of points.
if nargin<4, icrnr=[]; end
if nargin<3, nseg=10; end
zd=xd(:)+i*yd(:); n=length(zd);
N=[1;sort(icrnr(:));n]; Z=zd(1);
if N(1)==N(2); N(1)=[]; end
if N(end)==N(end-1); N(end)=[] ; end
for k=1:length(N)-1
    zk=zd(N(k):N(k+1)); sk=length(zk)-1;
```

48:
49.
50

```
```

```
47: s=linspace(0,sk,1+sk*nseg)';
```

```
47: s=linspace(0,sk,1+sk*nseg)';
```

        Zk=spline(0:sk,zk,s); Z=[Z;Zk(2:end)];
    ```
        Zk=spline(0:sk,zk,s); Z=[Z;Zk(2:end)];
end
end
X=real(Z); Y=imag(Z) ;
```

X=real(Z); Y=imag(Z) ;

```

\subsection*{4.3 Numerical Differentiation Using Finite Differences}

Differential equation problems are sometimes solved using difference formulas to approximate the derivatives in terms of function values at adjacent points. Deriving difference formulas by hand can be tedious, particularly when unequal point spacing is used. For this reason, we develop a numerical procedure to construct formulas of arbitrary order and arbitrary truncation error. Of course, as the desired order of derivative and the order of truncation error increases, more points are needed to interpolate the derivative. We will show below that approximating a derivative of order \(k\) with a truncation error of order \(h^{m}\) generally requires \((k+m)\) points unless symmetric central differences are used. Consider the Taylor series expansion
\[
F(x+\alpha h)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{F^{(k)}(x)}{k!}(\alpha h)^{k}
\]
where \(F^{(k)}(x)\) means the \(k\) 'th derivative of \(F(x)\). This relation expresses values of \(F\) as linear combinations of the function derivatives at \(x\). Conversely, the derivative values can be cast in terms of function values by solving a system of simultaneous equations. Let us take a series of points defined by
\[
x_{\imath}=x+h \alpha_{\imath}, 1 \leq \imath \leq n
\]
where \(h\) is a fixed step-size and \(\alpha_{\imath}\) are arbitrary parameters. Separating some leading terms in the series expansion gives
\[
\begin{aligned}
& F\left(x_{\imath}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\alpha_{\imath}^{k}}{k!}\left[h^{k} F^{(k)}(x)\right]+\frac{\alpha_{\imath}^{n}}{n!}\left[h^{n} F^{(n)}(x)\right]+ \\
& \quad \frac{\alpha_{\imath}^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}\left[h^{(n+1)} F^{(n+1)}(x)\right]+\mathrm{O}\left(h^{n+2}\right), 1 \leq \imath \leq n .
\end{aligned}
\]

It is helpful to use the following notation:
```

$\alpha^{k}-$ a column vector with component $\imath$ being equal to $\alpha_{\imath}^{k}$
$f$ - a column vector with component $\imath$ being $F\left(x_{\imath}\right)$
$f p-$ a column vector with component $\imath$ being $h^{\imath} F^{(\imath)}(x)$
$A-\left[\alpha^{0}, \alpha^{1}, \ldots, \alpha^{n-1}\right]$, a square matrix with columns which
are powers of $\alpha$.

```

Then the Taylor series expressed in matrix form is
\[
f=A * f p+\frac{h^{n} F^{(n)}(x)}{n!} \alpha^{n}+\frac{h^{n+1} F^{(n+1)}(x)}{(n+1)!} \alpha^{n+1}+\mathrm{O}\left(h^{n+2}\right)
\]

Solving this system for the derivative matrix \(f p\) yields
\[
f p=A^{-1} f-\frac{h^{n} F^{(n)}(x)}{n!} A^{-1} \alpha^{n}-\frac{h^{n+1} F^{(n+1)}(x)}{(n+1)!} A^{-1} \alpha^{n+1}+\mathrm{O}\left(h^{n+2}\right) .
\]

In the last equation we have retained the first two remainder terms in explicit form to allow the magnitudes of these terms to be examined. Row \(k+1\) of the previous equation implies
\[
\begin{gathered}
F^{(k)}(x)=h^{-k}\left(A^{-1} f\right)_{k+1}-\frac{h^{n-k}}{n!} F^{(n)}(x)\left(A^{-1} \alpha^{n}\right)_{k+1}- \\
\frac{h^{n-k+1}}{(n+1)!} F^{(n+1)}(x)\left(A^{-1} \alpha^{n+1}\right)_{k+1}+\mathrm{O}\left(h^{n-k+1}\right) .
\end{gathered}
\]

Consequently, the rows of \(A^{-1}\) provide coefficients in formulas to interpolate derivatives. For a particular number of interpolation points, say \(N\), the highest derivative approximated will be \(F^{(N-1)}(x)\) and the truncation error will normally be of order \(h^{1}\). Conversely, if we need to compute a derivative formula of order \(k\) with the truncation error being \(m\), then it is necessary to use a number of points such that \(n-k=m\); therefore \(n=m+k\). For the case where interpolation points are symmetrically placed around the point where derivatives are desired, one higher power of accuracy order is achieved than might be expected. We can show, for example, that
\[
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d^{4} F(x)}{d x^{4}}=\frac{1}{h^{4}}(F(x-2 h)-4 F(x-h)+6 F(x)- \\
4 F(x+h)+F(x+2 h))+\mathrm{O}\left(h^{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
\]
because the truncation error term associated with \(h^{1}\) is found to be zero. At the same time, we can show that a forward difference formula for \(f^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)\) employing equidistant point spacing is
\[
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d^{3} F(x)}{d x^{3}}=\frac{1}{h^{3}}(-2.5 F(x)-9 F(x+h)+12 F(x+2 h)+ \\
7 F(x+3 h)-1.5 F(x+4 h))+\mathrm{O}\left(h^{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
\]

Although the last two formulas contain arithmetically simple interpolation coefficients, due to equal point spacing, the method is certainly not restricted to equal spacing. The following program contains the function derivtrp which implements the ideas just developed. Since the program contains documentation that is output when it is executed, no additional example problem is included.

\subsection*{4.3.1 Example: Program to Derive Difference Formulas \\ Output from Example}
finitdif;
COMPUTING \(\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{k})\), THE K'TH DERIVATIVE OF \(\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})\), BY FINITE DIFFERENCE APPROXIMATION

Input the derivative order (give 0 to stop, or ? for an explanation) > ?

Let \(\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})\) have its k 'th derivative denoted by \(\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{x})\). The finite difference formula for a stepsize h is given by:
\(F(x, k)=\operatorname{Sum}(c(j) * f(x+a(j) * h), \quad j=1: n) / h \wedge k+\ldots\) TruncationError
with \(m=n-k\) being the order of truncation
error which decreases like h^m according to:

TruncationError \(=-\left(h^{\wedge} m\right) *(e(1) * F(x, n)+\ldots\)
\(e(2) * F(x, n+1) * h+e(3) * F(x, n+2) * h \wedge 2+O(h \wedge 3))\)

Input the derivative order (give 0 to stop, or ? for an explanation) > 4

Give the required truncation order > 1

To define interpolation points \(\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{j})=\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{h} * \mathrm{a}(\mathrm{j})\), input at least 5 components for vector a.

Components of \(a>-2,-1,0,1,2\)
The formula for a derivative of order 4 is: \(F(x, k)=\operatorname{sum}(c(j) * F(X(j), j=1: n) / h \wedge 4+\operatorname{order}(h \wedge 1)\) where c is given by:
\[
\begin{array}{lllll}
1.0000 & -4.0000 & 6.0000 & -4.0000 & 1.0000
\end{array}
\]
and the truncation error coefficients are:
\[
\begin{array}{llll}
-0.0000 & 0.1667 & -0.0000 & 0.0125
\end{array}
\]

Input the derivative order (give 0 to stop,
```

or ? for an explanation) > 3
Give the required truncation order > 2
To define interpolation points X(j)=x+h*a(j),
input at least 5 components for vector a.
Components of a > 0,1,2,3,4
The formula for a derivative of order 3 is:
F(x,k)=sum(c(j)*F(X(j),j=1:n)/h^3+order(h^2)
where c is given by:

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
-2.5000 & 9.0000 & -12.0000 & 7.0000 & -1.5000
\end{array}
$$

```
and the truncation error coefficients are:
\[
\begin{array}{llll}
-1.7500 & -2.5000 & -2.1417 & -1.3750
\end{array}
\]

Input the derivative order (give 0 to stop, or ? for an explanation) > 0

\section*{Program finitdif}
```

function [c,e,m,crat,k,a]=finitdif(k,a)
\%
\% [c,e,m, crat,k, a]=finitdif(k,a)
\% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
\% This program computes finite difference formulas of
\% general order. For explanation of the input and
\% output parameters, see the following function
$\%$ findifco. When the program is executed without input
\% arguments, then input is read interactively.
if nargin==0, disp(' ') \% Use interactive input
disp ('COMPUTING $F(x, k)$, THE K''TH DERIVATIVE OF')
disp('f(x), BY FINITE DIFFERENCE APPROXIMATION')
disp(' ')
while 1
disp('Input the derivative order (give 0 to stop,')
K=input('or ? for an explanation) > ','s');
$\mathrm{k}=$ str2num (K) ;
if $\operatorname{strcmp}(K, ')$ ) $\operatorname{strcmp}\left(K, O^{\prime}\right) ; \operatorname{disp}(, '), r e t u r n$

```
```

        elseif strcmp(K,'?')
            disp(' '), disp(...
            'Let f(x) have its k''th derivative denoted by')
            disp(...
            'F(k,x). The finite difference formula for a')
            disp('stepsize h is given by:'), disp(' ')
            disp(...
            'F(x,k)=Sum(c(j)*f(x+a(j)*h), j=1:n)/h^k +...')
            disp(' TruncationError'), disp(' ')
            disp('with m=n-k being the order of truncation')
            disp(...
            'error which decreases like h`m according to:')
            disp(' ')
            disp('TruncationError=-(h^m)*(e(1)*F(x,n)+...')
            disp(...
            'e(2)*F(x,n+1)*h+e(3)*F(x,n+2)*h^2+0(h^3))')
            disp(' ')
        else
            disp(' ')
            m=input('Give the required truncation order > ');
            n=m+k; N=num2str(n); disp(' '), disp(...
            'To define interpolation points X(j)=x+h*a(j),')
            disp(['input at least ',N,...
                ' components for vector a.'])
            disp(' '), aa=input('Components of a > ','s');
            a=eval(['[',aa,']']); n=length(a); m=n-k;
            [c,e,m,crat]=findifco(k,a); disp(' '), disp(...
            ['The formula for a derivative of order ',...
            K,' is:'])
            disp(['F(x,k)=sum(c(j)*F(X (j),j=1:n)/hn', K, ...
                '+order(h^', num2str(m),')'])
            disp('where c is given by:')
            disp(' '), disp(c), disp(' ')
            disp(...
            'and the truncation error coefficients are:')
            disp(' '), disp(e)
        end
            end
    else
[c,e,m,crat]=findifco(k,a);
end
%====================================================
function [c,e,m,crat]=findifco(k,a)

```
```

65: %
66: % [c,e,m,crat]=findifco(k,a)
67: % ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
68: % This function approximates the k'th derivative
69: % of a function using function values at n
70: % interpolation points. Let f(x) be a general
71: % function having its k'th derivative denoted
72: % by F(x,k). The finite difference approximation
73: % for the k'th derivative employing a stepsize h
74: % is given by:
75: % F(x,k)=Sum(c(j)*f(x+a(j)*h), j=1:n)/h^k +
76: % TruncationError
77: % with m=n-k being the order of truncation
78: % error which decreases like h^m and
79: % TruncationError=(h^m)*(e(1)*F(x,n)+...
80:% e(2)*F(x,n+1)*h+e(3)*F(x,n+2)*h^2+0(h^3))
81: %
82: % a - a vector of length n defining the
83: % interpolation points x+a(j)*h where
84:% x is an arbitrary parameter point
85:% k - order of derivative evaluated at x
86: % c - the weighting coeffients in the
87: % difference formula above. c(j) is
88: % the multiplier for value f(x+a(j)*h)
89: % e - error component vector in the above
90: % difference formula
91: % m - order of truncation order in the
92: % formula. The relation m=n-k applies.
93: % crat - a matrix of integers such that c is
94:% approximated by crat(1,:)./crat(2,:)
95:
96: a=a(:); n=length(a); m=n-k; mat=ones(n,n+4);
97: for j=2:n+4; mat(:,j)=a/(j-1).*mat(:,j-1); end
98: A=pinv(mat(:,1:n)); ec=-A*mat(:,n+1:n+4);
99: c=A(k+1,:); e=-ec(k+1,:);
100: [ctop,cbot]=rat(c,1e-8); crat=[ctop(:)';cbot(:)'];

```

\section*{Chapter 5}

\section*{Gauss Integration with Geometric Property Applications}

\subsection*{5.1 Fundamental Concepts and Intrinsic Integration Tools in MATLAB}

Numerical integration methods approximate a definite integral by evaluating the integrand at several points and taking a weighted combination of those integrand values. The weight factors can be obtained by interpolating the integrand at selected points and integrating the interpolating function exactly. For example, the NewtonCotes formulas result from polynomial interpolation through equidistant base points. This chapter discusses concepts of numerical integration needed in applications.

Let us assume that an integral over limits \(a\) to \(b\) is to be evaluated. We can write
\[
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x=\sum_{\imath=1}^{n} W_{\imath} f\left(x_{\imath}\right)+E
\]
where \(E\) represents the error due to replacement of the integral by a finite sum. This is called an \(n\)-point quadrature formula. The points \(x_{\imath}\) where the integrand is evaluated are the base points and the constants \(W_{\imath}\) are the weight factors. Most integration formulas depend on approximating the integrand by a polynomial. Consequently, they give exact results when the integrand is a polynomial of sufficiently low order. Different choices of \(x_{\imath}\) and \(W_{\imath}\) will be discussed below.

It is helpful to express an integral over general limits in terms of some fixed limits, say -1 to 1 . This is accomplished by introducing a linear change of variables
\[
x=\alpha+\beta t .
\]

Requiring that \(x=a\) corresponds to \(t=-1\) and that \(x=b\) corresponds to \(t=1\) gives \(\alpha=(a+b) / 2\) and \(\beta=(b-a) / 2\), so that one obtains
\[
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x=\frac{1}{2}(b-a) \int_{-1}^{1} f\left[\frac{a+b}{2}+\frac{b-a}{2} t\right] d t=\int_{-1}^{1} F(t) d t
\]
where \(F(t)=f[(a+b) / 2+(b-a) t / 2](b-a) / 2\). Thus, the dependence of the integral on the integration limits can be represented parametrically by modifying the
integrand. Consequently, if an integration formula is known for limits -1 to 1 , we can write
\[
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x=\beta \sum_{\imath=1}^{n} W_{\imath} f\left(\alpha+\beta x_{\imath}\right)+E .
\]

The idea of shifting integration limits can be exploited further by dividing the interval \(a\) to \(b\) into several parts and using the same numerical integration formula to evaluate the contribution from each interval. Employing \(m\) intervals of length \(\ell=(b-a) / m\), we get
\[
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x=\sum_{\jmath=1}^{m} \int_{a+(\jmath-1) \ell}^{a+\jmath \ell} f(x) d x
\]

Each of the integrals in the summation can be transformed to have limits -1 to 1 by taking
\[
x=\alpha_{\jmath}+\beta t
\]
with
\[
\alpha_{\jmath}=a+(j-1 / 2) \ell \text { and } \beta=\ell / 2 .
\]

Therefore we obtain the identity
\[
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x=\sum_{\jmath=1}^{m} \cdot \frac{\ell}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} f\left(\alpha_{\jmath}+\beta t\right) d t
\]

Applying the same \(n\)-point quadrature formula in each of \(m\) equal intervals gives what is termed a composite formula
\[
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x=\frac{\ell}{2} \sum_{\jmath=1}^{m} \sum_{\imath=1}^{n} W_{\imath} f\left(\alpha_{\jmath}+\beta x_{\imath}\right)+E .
\]

By interchanging the summation order in the previous equation we get
\[
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x=\frac{\ell}{2} \sum_{\imath=1}^{n} W_{\imath} \sum_{\jmath=1}^{m} f\left(\alpha_{\jmath}+\beta x_{\imath}\right)+E .
\]

Let us now turn to certain choices of weight factors and base points. Two of the most widely used methods approximate the integrand as either piecewise linear or piecewise cubic. Approximating the integrand by a straight line through the integrand end points gives the following formula
\[
\int_{-1}^{1} f(x) d x=f(-1)+f(1)+E
\]

A much more accurate formula results by using a cubic approximation matching the integrand at \(x=-1,0,1\). Let us write
\[
f(x)=c_{1}+c_{2} x+c_{3} x^{2}+c_{4} x^{3} .
\]

Then
\[
\int_{-1}^{1} f(x) d x=2 c_{1}+\frac{2}{3} c_{3} .
\]

Evidently the linear and cubic terms do not influence the integral value. Also, \(c_{1}=\) \(f(0)\) and \(f(-1)+f(1)=2 c_{1}+2 c_{3}\) so that
\[
\int_{-1}^{1} f(x) d x=\frac{1}{3}[f(-1)+4 f(0)+f(1)]+E .
\]

The error \(E\) in this formula is zero when the integrand is any polynomial of order 3 or lower. Expressed in terms of more general limits, this result is
\[
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x=\frac{(b-a)}{6}\left[f(a)+4 f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)+f(b)\right]+E
\]
which is known as Simpson's rule.
Analyzing the integration error for a particular choice of integrand and quadrature formula can be complex. In practice, the usual procedure taken is to apply a composite formula with \(m\) chosen large enough so the integration error is expected to be negligibly small. The value for \(m\) is then increased until no further significant change in the integral approximation results. Although this procedure involves some risk of error, adequate results can be obtained in most practical situations.

In the subsequent discussions the integration error that results by replacing an integral by a weighted sum of integrand values will be neglected. It must nevertheless be kept in mind that this error depends on the base points, weight factors, and the particular integrand. Most importantly, the error typically decreases as the number of function values is increased.

It is convenient to summarize the composite formulas obtained by employing a piecewise linear or piecewise cubic integrand approximation. Using \(m\) intervals and letting \(\ell=(b-a) / m\), it is easy to obtain the composite trapezoidal formula which is
\[
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x=\ell\left[\frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}+\sum_{\jmath=1}^{m-1} f(a+\jmath \ell)\right]
\]

This formula assumes that the integrand is satisfactorily approximated by piecewise linear functions. The MATLAB function trapz implements the trapezoidal rule. A similar but much more accurate result is obtained for the composite integration formula based on cubic approximation. For this case, taking \(m\) intervals implies \(2 m+1\) function evaluations. If we let \(g=(b-a) /(2 m)\) and \(h=2 g\), then
\[
f_{\jmath}=f\left(x_{\jmath}\right) \text { where } x_{\jmath}=a+g_{\jmath}, \jmath=0,1,2, \ldots, 2 m
\]
with \(f\left(x_{0}\right)=f(a)\) and \(f\left(x_{2 m}\right)=f(b)\). Combining results for all intervals gives
\[
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x=\frac{h}{6}\left[f(a)+4 f_{1}+f(b)+\sum_{\imath=1}^{m-1}\left(4 f_{2 \imath+1}+2 f_{2 \imath}\right)\right]
\]

This formula, known as the composite Simpson rule, is one of the most commonly used numerical integration methods. The following function simpson works for an analytically defined function or a function defined by spline interpolating through discrete data.

\section*{Function for Composite Simpson Rule}
```

function area=simpson(funcname,a,b,n,varargin)
%
% area=simpson(funcname,a,b,n,varargin)
% -------------------------------------
% Simpson's rule integration for a general function
% defined analytically or by a data array
%
% funcname - either the name of a function valid
% for a vector argument x, or an array
% having two columns with x data in the
% first column and y data in the second
% column. If array data is given, then
% the function is determined by piecewise
% cubic spline interpolation.
% a,b - limits of integration
% n - odd number of function evaluations. If
% n is given as even, then the next
% higher odd integer is used.
% varargin - variable number of arguments passed
for use in funcname
% area - value of the integral when the integrand
% is approximated as a piecewise cubic
% function
%
% User functions called: function funcname in the
% argument list
%------------------------------------------------------------
if 2*fix(n/2)==n; n=n+1; end; n=max(n,3);
x=linspace(a,b,n);
if isstr(funcname)
y=feval(funcname,x,varargin{:});
else
y=spline(funcname(:,1),funcname(:,2),x);
end
area=(b-a)/(n-1)/3*(y(1)-y(n)+···

```

An important goal in numerical integration is to achieve accurate results with only a few function evaluations. It was shown for Simpson's rule that three function evaluations are enough to exactly integrate a cubic polynomial. By choosing the base point locations properly, a much higher accuracy can be achieved for a given number of function evaluations than would be obtained by using evenly spaced base points. Results from orthogonal function theory lead to the following conclusions. If the base points are located at the zeros of the Legendre polynomials (all these zeros are between -1 and 1) and the weight factors are computed as certain functions of the base points, then the formula
\[
\int_{-1}^{1} f(x) d x=\sum_{\imath=1}^{n} W_{\imath} f\left(x_{\imath}\right)
\]
is exact for a polynomial integrand of degree \(2 n-1\). Although the theory proving this property is not elementary, the final results are quite simple. The base points and weight factors for a particular order can be computed once and used repeatedly. Formulas that use the Legendre polynomial roots as base points are called Gauss quadrature formulas. In a typical application, Gauss integration gives much more accurate results than Simpson's rule for an equivalent number of function evaluations. Since it is equally easy to use, the Gauss formula is preferable to Simpson's rule.

MATLAB also has three functions quad and quad8 and quadl to numerically integrate by adaptive methods. These functions repeatedly modify approximations for an integral until the estimated error becomes smaller than a specified tolerance. In the current text, the function quadl is preferable over the other two functions, and quadl is always used when an adaptive quadrature function is needed. Readers should study carefully the system documentation for quadl to understand the various combinations of call list parameters allowed.

\subsection*{5.2 Concepts of Gauss Integration}

This section summarizes properties of Gauss integration which, for the same number of function evaluations, are typically much more accurate than comparable NewtonCotes formulas. It can be shown for Gauss integration [20] that
\[
\int_{-1}^{1} f(x) d x=\sum_{\jmath=1}^{n} w_{\jmath} f\left(x_{\jmath}\right)+E(f)
\]


Figure 5.1: Error Coefficient versus Number of Points for Gauss Integration
where the integration error term is
\[
E=\frac{2^{2 n-1}(n!)^{4}}{(2 n+1)[(2 n)!]^{3}} f^{(2 n)}(\xi),-1<\xi<1
\]

The base points in the Gauss formula of order \(n\) are the roots of the Legendre polynomial of order \(n\) and the weight factors are expressible concisely in terms of the base points. The quadrature error term for an \(n\)-point formula involves the integrand derivative of order \(2 n\), which implies a zero error for any polynomial of order \(2 n-1\) or lower. The coefficient of the derivative term in \(E\) decreases very rapidly with increasing \(n\), as can be seen in Figure 5.1.

For example, \(n=10\) gives a coefficient of \(2.03 \times 10^{-21}\). Thus, a function having well behaved high order derivatives can be integrated accurately with a formula of fairly low order. The base points \(x_{\jmath}\) are all distinct, lie between -1 and 1 , and are the eigenvalues of a symmetric tridiagonal matrix [26] which can be analyzed very rapidly with the function eigen. Furthermore, the weight factors are simply twice the squares of the first components of the orthonormalized eigenvectors. Because eigen returns orthonormalized eigenvectors for symmetric matrices, only lines 58-60 in function gequad given below are needed to compute the base points and weight factors.

\section*{Function for Composite Gauss Integration}
```

function [val,bp,wf]=gcquad(func,xlow,...
xhigh,nquad,mparts,varargin)
%
% [val,bp,wf]=gcquad(func,xlow,...
% xhigh,nquad,mparts,varargin)
%
%
% This function integrates a general function using
% a composite Gauss formula of arbitrary order. The
% integral value is returned along with base points
% and weight factors obtained by an eigenvalue based
% method. The integration interval is divided into
% mparts subintervals of equal length and integration
% over each part is performed with a Gauss formula
% making nquad function evaluations. Results are
% exact for polynomials of degree up to 2*nquad-1.
% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
% func - name of a function to be integrated
having an argument list of the form
func(x,p1,p2,...) where any auxiliary
parameters p1,p2,.. are passed through
variable varargin. Use [ ] for the
function name if only the base points
and weight factors are needed.
xlow,xhigh - integration limits
nquad - order of Gauss formula chosen
mparts - number of subintervals selected in
the composite integration
% varargin

- variable length parameter used to
pass additional arguments needed in
the integrand func
- numerical value of the integral
- vectors containing base points and
weight factors in the composite
integral formula
A typical calculation such as:
% Fun=inline('(sin(w*t).`2).*exp(c*t)','t','w','c');
%: A=0; B=12; nquad=21; mparts=10; w=10; c=8;
1: % [value,pcterr]=integrate(Fun,A,B,nquad,mparts,w, c);

```
```

% gives value = 1.935685556078172e+040 which is
% accurate within an error of 1.9e-13 percent.
%
% User m functions called: the function name passed
% in the argument list
%----------------------------------------------------
if isempty(nquad), nquad=10; end
if isempty(mparts), mparts=1; end
% Compute base points and weight factors
% for the single interval [-1,1]. (Ref:
% 'Methods of Numerical Integration' by
% P. Davis and P. Rabinowitz, page 93)
u=(1:nquad-1)./sqrt ((2*(1:nquad-1)). ^2-1);
[vc,bp]=eig(diag(u,-1)+diag(u,1));
[bp,k]=sort(diag(bp)); wf=2*vc(1,k)'.^2;
% Modify the base points and weight factors
% to apply for a composite interval
d=(xhigh-xlow)/mparts; d1=d/2;
dbp=d1*bp(:); dwf=d1*wf(:); dr=d*(1:mparts);
cbp=dbp(:,ones(1,mparts))+ ...
dr(ones(nquad, 1),:)+(xlow-d1);
cwf=dwf(:,ones(1,mparts)); wf=cwf(:); bp=cbp(:);
% Compute the integral
if isempty(func)
val=[];
else
f=feval(func,bp,varargin{:}); val=wf'*f(:);
end

```

\subsection*{5.3 Comparing Results from Gauss Integration and Function QUADL}

A program was written to compare the performance of the Gauss quadrature function gequad and the numerical integrator quadl provided in MATLAB. Quadl is a robust adaptive integration routine which efficiently handles most integrands. It can even deal with special integrals having singularities, like \(\log (x)\) or \(1 / \operatorname{sqrt}(x)\) at the origin. Integrating these functions from zero to one yields correct answers although messages occur warning about integrand singularities at the origin. No capabilities
are provided in quadl to directly handle vector integrands (except one component at a time), and no options are provided to suppress unwanted warning or error messages. In the timing program given below, warning messages from quadl were temporarily turned off for the tests.

Often there are examples involving vector-valued integrands that are to be integrated many times over fixed integration limits. A typical case is evaluation of coefficients in Fourier-Bessel series expansions. Then, computing a set of base points and weight factors once and using these coefficients repeatedly is helpful. To illustrate this kind of situation, let us numerically integrate the vector valued function
\[
f(x)=[\sqrt{x} ; \log (x) ; \operatorname{humps}(x) ; \exp (10 x) \cos (10 \pi x) ; \cos (20 \pi x-20 \sin (\pi x))]
\]
from \(x=0\) to \(x=1\). Several components of this function are hard to integrate numerically because \(\sqrt{x}\) has infinite slope at \(x=0, \log (x)\) is singular at \(x=0\), the fourth component is highly oscillatory with large magnitude variations, and the last component is highly oscillatory (integrating the last component gives the value of the integer order Bessel function \(J_{20}(20)\) ).

The following function quadtest uses functions quadl and gcquad to integrate \(f(x)\) from \(x=0\) to \(x=1\). The Gauss integration employs a formula of order 100 with one subinterval, so integrands are effectively approximated by polynomials of order 199. To achieve accurate timing, it was necessary to evaluate the integrals repeatedly until a chosen number of seconds elapsed. Then average times were computed. The program output shows that gequad was more accurate than quadl for all cases except for \(\log (x)\) involving a singular integrand. Computations times shown for each component of \(f(x)\) are the same when gcquad was used because the integration was done for all components at once, and then results were divided by five. The total time used by quadl was about 3.5 times as large as the time for qequad. We are not arguing that these results show gcquad is superior to quadl. However, it does imply that Gauss integration can be attractive in some instances. The geometry problems in the remainder of this chapter include boundary curves defined by cubic splines. Then, using Gauss integration of sufficiently high order produces exact results for the desired geometrical properties.

\section*{Output from Program quadtest}
>> quadtest(10);
PRESS RETURN TO BEGIN COMPUTATION > ?

INTEGRATION TEST COMPARING FUNCTIONS QUADL AND GCQUAD
The functions being integrated are:
sqrt (x)
\(\log (x)\)
humps ( \(x\) )
\(\exp (10 * x) .{ }^{*} \cos (10 * p i * x)\)
\(\cos (20 * p i * x-20 * \sin (p i * x))\)
\begin{tabular}{cccc}
\(c\) & Results Using & Function quadl \\
Integral & Function & Percent & Computation \\
values & evaluations & error & seconds \\
\(6.6667 \mathrm{e}-001\) & \(7.8000 \mathrm{e}+001\) & \(-1.9813 \mathrm{e}-004\) & \(6.9720 \mathrm{e}-003\) \\
\(-1.0000 \mathrm{e}+000\) & \(2.2900 \mathrm{e}+002\) & \(2.6064 \mathrm{e}-005\) & \(2.1071 \mathrm{e}-002\) \\
\(2.9858 \mathrm{e}+001\) & \(1.9800 \mathrm{e}+002\) & \(6.2164 \mathrm{e}-010\) & \(2.1162 \mathrm{e}-002\) \\
\(2.0263 \mathrm{e}+002\) & \(7.0800 \mathrm{e}+002\) & \(2.4425 \mathrm{e}-013\) & \(6.8660 \mathrm{e}-002\) \\
\(1.6475 \mathrm{e}-001\) & \(5.2800 \mathrm{e}+002\) & \(-1.0627 \mathrm{e}-008\) & \(5.1370 \mathrm{e}-002\) \\
\multicolumn{5}{c}{} \\
Results Using & Function gcquad \\
Integral & Function & Percent & Computation \\
values & evaluations & error & seconds \\
\(6.6667 \mathrm{e}-001\) & \(1.0000 \mathrm{e}+002\) & \(1.5215 \mathrm{e}-005\) & \(9.5628 \mathrm{e}-003\) \\
\(-9.9994 \mathrm{e}-001\) & \(1.0000 \mathrm{e}+002\) & \(-6.2513 \mathrm{e}-003\) & \(9.5628 \mathrm{e}-003\) \\
\(2.9858 \mathrm{e}+001\) & \(1.0000 \mathrm{e}+002\) & \(8.8818 \mathrm{e}-014\) & \(9.5628 \mathrm{e}-003\) \\
\(2.0263 \mathrm{e}+002\) & \(1.0000 \mathrm{e}+002\) & \(-4.1078 \mathrm{e}-013\) & \(9.5628 \mathrm{e}-003\) \\
\(1.6475 \mathrm{e}-001\) & \(1.0000 \mathrm{e}+002\) & \(-1.5543 \mathrm{e}-013\) & \(9.5628 \mathrm{e}-003\)
\end{tabular}
(Total time using quadl)/(Total time using gcquad) equals 3.5395
```

>>

```

\section*{Program Comparing Numerical Integration Methods}

1: function [L, G, names]=quadtest(secs)
2: \%
3: \% [L, G, names] =quadtest (secs)
4: \%
5: \% This program compares the accuracy and
6: \% computation times for several integrals
7: \% evaluated using quadl and gcquad
8: \%
\(9: \%\) secs - the number of seconds each integration
\(10: \% \quad\) is repeated to get accurate timing. The 1: \% default value is 60 seconds.
12: \% L,G - matrices with columns containing
\(\% \quad\) results from quadl and from gcquad.
\% The matrices are structured as:
\% [IntegralValue, PercentError,...
\% FunctionEvaluations,ComputationSeconds]
\% names - character matrix with rows
8: \% describing the functions
```

% which were integrated
%
% User functions called: ftest, gcquad
%---------------------------------------
global nvals
if nargin==0, secs=60; end
fprintf('\nPRESS RETURN TO BEGIN COMPUTATION > ')
pause
% Summary of the five integrands used
names=strvcat('sqrt(x)','log(x)','humps(x)',...
' }\operatorname{exp(10*x).*\operatorname{cos}(10*pi*x),},
'cos(20*pi*x-20*sin(pi*x))');
fprintf(['\n\nINTEGRATION TEST COMPARING',...
' FUNCTIONS QUADL AND GCQUAD\n'])
fprintf('\nThe functions being integrated are:\n')
disp(names)
% Compute exact values of integrals
exact=[2/3; -1; quadl(@humps,0,1,1e-12);
real((exp(10+10*pi*i)-1)/(10+10*pi*i));
besselj(20,20)];
% Find time to make a loop and call the clock
nmax=5000; nclock=0; t0=clock;
while nclock<nmax
nclock=nclock+1; tclock=etime(clock,t0);
end
tclock=tclock/nclock;
% Evaluate each integral individually. Repeat
% the integrations for secs seconds to get
% accurate timing. Save results in array L.
L=zeros(5,4); tol=1e-6; e=exact; warning off;
for k=1:5
nquad=0; tim=0; t0=clock;
while tim<secs
[v,nfuns]=quadl(@ftest,0,1,tol, [],k);
nquad=nquad+1; tim=etime(clock,t0);
end
tim=tim/nquad-tclock; pe=100*(v/e(k)-1);
L(k,:)=[v,nfuns,pe,tim];

```
```

end
warning on;
% Obtain time to compute base points and weight
% factors for a Gauss formula of order 100
nloop=100; t0=clock;
for j=1:nloop
[dumy,bp,wf]=gcquad([] , 0, 1, 100,1);
end
tbpwf=etime(clock,t0)/nloop;
% Perform the Gauss integration using a
% vector integrand. Save results in array G
ngquad=0; tim=0; t0=clock;
while tim<secs
v=ftest(bp,6)*wf ;
ngquad=ngquad+1; tim=etime(clock,t0);
end
tim=tim/ngquad+tbpwf-tclock; pe=100*(v./e-1);
G=[v,100*ones (5,1), pe,tim/5*ones (5, 1)];
format short e
disp(' ')
disp(' Results Using Function quadl')
disp(...
, Integral Function Percent Computation')
disp(...
, values evaluations error seconds')
disp(L)
disp(' Results Using Function gcquad')
disp(...
, Integral Function Percent Computation')
disp(...
, values evaluations error seconds')
disp(G)
format short
disp(['(Total time using quadl)/',...
'(Total time using gcquad)'])
disp(['equals ',...
num2str(sum(L(:, end))/sum(G(:, end)))])
disp(' ')
%================================================

```
```

function y=ftest(x,n)
% Integrands used by function quadl
global nvals
switch n
case 1, y=sqrt(x); case 2, y=log(x);
case 3, y=humps(x);
case 4, y=exp(10*x).*\operatorname{cos(10*pi*x);}
case 5, y=cos(20*pi*x-20*sin(pi*x));
otherwise
x=x(:)'; y= [sqrt(x); log(x);humps(x);
exp (10*x).*\operatorname{cos}(10*pi*x);
cos(20*pi*x-20*sin(pi*x))];
end
if n<6, nvals=nvals+length(x);
else, nvals=nvals+5*length(x); end
%==============================================
% function [val,bp,wf]=gcquad(func,xlow, . .
% xhigh,nquad,mparts,varargin)
% See Appendix B

```

\subsection*{5.4 Geometrical Properties of Areas and Volumes}

Geometrical properties of areas and volumes are often needed in physical applications such as linear stress analysis and rigid body dynamics. For example, consider a prismatic structural member having a general cross-section area denoted by \(A\) with a boundary curve \(L\). Analyzing the stresses occurring when the member undergoes axial compression and bi-axial bending leads to integrals of the form
\[
C_{n m}=\iint_{A} x^{n} y^{m} d x d y
\]
for integers n and m . The six most important cases and the related property names are:
\begin{tabular}{cccc}
\hline n & m & Symbol & Geometrical Parameter \\
0 & 0 & a & Area \\
1 & 0 & ax & First moment of area about the y-axis \\
0 & 1 & ay & First moment of area about the x-axis \\
2 & 0 & axx & Moment of inertia about the y-axis \\
1 & 1 & axy & Product of inertia with respect to the xy axes \\
0 & 2 & ayy & Moment of inertia about the x-axis \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The integral \(C_{n m}\) can be evaluated for very general shapes by converting the area integral to a line integral over the boundary. Then, approximating the boundary curve
parametrically (by spline interpolation, for example) and using numerical integration yield the desired values. Green's theorem [119] relates area integrals and line integrals according to
\[
\iint_{A}\left[\frac{\partial U}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial V}{\partial y}\right] d x d y=\oint_{L} U d y-V d x
\]
where \(U(x, y)\) and \(V(x, y)\) are single-valued and differentiable functions inside and on \(L\). This implies that
\[
\begin{aligned}
\iint_{A} x^{n} y^{m} d x d y & =\frac{1}{n+m+2} \iint_{A}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(x^{n+1} y^{m}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(x^{n} y^{m+1}\right)\right] d x d y \\
& =\frac{1}{n+m+2} \oint_{L} x^{n} y^{m}(x d y-y d x)
\end{aligned}
\]
provided \(n+m+2 \neq 0\). We can even have negative \(n\) provided \(x=0\) is outside \(L\), and negative \(m\) provided \(y=0\) is outside \(L\). The case \((n, m)=(0,-1)\) occurring in curved beam theory can also be treated by line integration, but we will confine attention to the six cases listed above.

If the boundary curve, \(L\), is parameterized as \(x(t), y(t), a \leq t \leq b\), then
\[
\oint_{L} x^{n} y^{m}(x d y-y d x)=\int_{a}^{b} x(t)^{n} y(t)^{m}\left[x(t) y^{\prime}(t)-y(t) x^{\prime}(t)\right] d t
\]
which is a one-dimensional integral amenable to numerical integration. When cubic spline interpolation is used to represent the boundary, then \(x(t) y^{\prime}(t)-y(t) x^{\prime}(t)\) is a piecewise polynomial function of degree four (not degree five as seems apparent at first glance). Since a Gaussian quadrature formula of order \(N\) integrates exactly any polynomial of degree \(2 N-1\) or less, the integral of interest can be integrated exactly by taking \(2 N-1 \geq 3 n+3 m+4\). For our case, using a composite Gauss formula of order six is appropriate. A program is given below to compute properties for a general geometry that can have several separate parts, and these parts may contain holes. The details of that program are discussed later.

The ideas for plane regions can be extended to three dimensions where volume, gravity center location, and inertia tensor are the quantities being computed. Let
\[
\mathbf{R}=[x ; y ; z]
\]
be the Cartesian radius vector for points in a three-dimensional region \(W\) covered by
a surface \(S\). The Gauss divergence theorem [119] implies
\[
\begin{aligned}
V & =\iiint_{W} d x d y d z=\frac{1}{3} \iint_{S} \boldsymbol{R} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}} d S \\
\mathbf{V}_{r} & =\iiint_{W} \boldsymbol{R} d x d y d z=\frac{1}{4} \iint_{S} \boldsymbol{R}(\boldsymbol{R} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}) d S \\
\mathbf{V}_{r r} & =\iiint_{W} \boldsymbol{R} \boldsymbol{R}^{\prime} d x d y d z=\frac{1}{5} \iint_{S} \boldsymbol{R} \boldsymbol{R}^{\prime}(\boldsymbol{R} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}) d S .
\end{aligned}
\]

In the last equation, \(\boldsymbol{R} \boldsymbol{R}^{\prime}\) is the matrix product \([x ; y ; z] *[x, y, z]\) and \(\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}\) is the outward directed unit surface normal. We refer to \(V, \mathbf{V}_{r}\), and \(\mathbf{V}_{r r}\) as the volume, the first moment of volume, and the second moment of volume. These quantities can be evaluated exactly for some special cases, such as polyhedra, and volumes of revolution.

The quantity \(\mathbf{V}_{r r}\) is useful in rigid body dynamics where the inertia tensor, \(I_{r r}\), is needed to compute the rotational kinetic energy. The inertia tensor for a body having unit mass density can be computed from \(\mathbf{V}_{r r}\) as
\[
I_{r r}=\operatorname{eye}(3,3) \operatorname{sum}\left(\operatorname{diag}\left(\mathbf{V}_{r r}\right)\right)-\mathbf{V}_{r r} .
\]

The corresponding inverse is
\[
\mathbf{V}_{r r}=\frac{\operatorname{eye}(3,3)}{2} \operatorname{sum}\left(\boldsymbol{\operatorname { d i a g }}\left(I_{r r}\right)\right)-I_{r r}
\]

To illustrate the computation of volume properties, consider the instance where the surface has a parametric equation of the form
\[
\boldsymbol{R}(u, v), \quad u_{1} \leq u \leq u_{2}, \quad v_{1} \leq v \leq v_{2} .
\]

For example, the ellipsoid defined by
\[
\left(\frac{x}{a}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{y}{b}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{z}{c}\right)^{2} \leq 1
\]
has a surface equation
\[
\boldsymbol{R}=[a \sin (u) \cos (v) ; b \sin (u) \sin (v) ; c \cos (v)], \quad 0 \leq u \leq \pi, \quad 0 \leq v \leq 2 \pi
\]

The unit surface normal and the differential of surface area can be computed as
\[
\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}} d S=\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{R}}{\partial u} \times \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{R}}{\partial v} d u d v
\]
where the order of the cross product is chosen so that the outward directed normal is produced. Then,
\[
(\boldsymbol{R} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}) d S=\operatorname{det}\left(\left[\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}_{u}, \boldsymbol{R}_{v}\right]\right)=D(u, v) d u d v
\]


Figure 5.2: Generation of a Volume of Revolution
and the integrals of interest become
\[
\begin{aligned}
& V=\frac{1}{3} \int_{v_{1}}^{v_{2}} \int_{u_{1}}^{u_{2}} D(u, v) d u d v \\
& \mathbf{V}_{r}=\frac{1}{4} \int_{v_{1}}^{v_{2}} \int_{u_{1}}^{u_{2}} \boldsymbol{R} D(u, v) d u d v \\
& \mathbf{V}_{r r}=\frac{1}{5} \int_{v_{1}}^{v_{2}} \int_{u_{1}}^{u_{2}} \boldsymbol{R} \boldsymbol{R}^{\prime} D(u, v) d u d v
\end{aligned}
\]

Note that the function \(D(u, v)\) vanishes at points where the radius vector \(\boldsymbol{R}\) is perpendicular to the surface normal. A useful instance of the parametric form occurs when a closed curve is rotated to form a volume of revolution as illustrated in Figure 5.2.

Consider a curve defined parametrically in the \((x, z)\) plane as \(x(t), z(t), a \leq t \leq\) \(b\). If the curve is rotated about the \(z\) axis through angular limits \(\theta_{1} \leq \theta \leq \theta_{2}\), the lateral surface of the body has a surface equation
\[
\boldsymbol{R}(t, \theta)=[\cos (\theta) ; \sin (\theta) ; 1] . *[x ; x ; z]
\]
and the volume property integrals reduce to
\[
\begin{aligned}
& V=\frac{1}{3} \oint_{L} x[x d z-z d x], \\
& \mathbf{V}_{r}=\frac{1}{4} \int_{\theta_{1}}^{\theta_{1}}[\cos (\theta) ; \sin (\theta) ; 1] d \theta \cdot * \oint_{L}\left[x^{2} ; x^{2} ; x z\right] d x d z \\
& \mathbf{V}_{r r}=\frac{1}{5} \int_{\theta_{1}}^{\theta_{1}}[\cos (\theta) ; \sin (\theta) ; 1] *[\cos (\theta), \sin (\theta), 1] d \theta . \\
& * \oint_{L}[x ; x ; z] *[x, x, z] x d x d z
\end{aligned}
\]

The line integrals in the last three formulas have similar structure to those for plane area properties, but the highest polynomial power in the integrands of the form \(x^{n} z^{m}\) is one higher than that encountered for plane area properties. Taking a composite Gauss formula of order seven produces exact results for the volume properties when a spline interpolated boundary curve is used. A program employing these formulas is developed below.

\subsection*{5.4.1 Area Property Program}

A program was written to compute the area, the centroidal coordinates, the inertial moments, and the product of inertia for general plane areas bounded by a series of spline curve segments. Shapes such as polygons, having one or more straight boundary segments, are also handled by allowing slope discontinuities at the ends of the straight segments. The program requires data points \(x d(j), y d(j), 1 \leq j \leq n d\) with the boundary traversed in a counterclockwise sense. The first and last points should be identical to make the curve closed. A set of point indices designating any slope discontinuities ( such as those at the corners of a square) are also needed.

A typical geometry for the program appears in Figure 5.3. Program data created by the function makcresq employs 27 data points. A multiply connected geometry is treated as if it were a simply connected region by introducing fictitious cuts connecting outer boundaries and inner holes. Disconnected parts are also joined with zero width strips. Including the cuts and strips has no effect on the area properties because related boundary segments are traversed twice, but in opposite directions. Consequently, the corresponding line integral contributions from the fictitious parts cancel. The complete boundary is parameterized as a spline curve in complex form
\[
z(t)=x(t)+i y(t), \quad 1 \leq t \leq n d
\]
with
\[
z(j)=x d(j)+i y d(j), \quad j=1,2, \ldots, n d
\]

HALF ANNULUS ABOVE A SQUARE WITH A HOLE


Figure 5.3: Geometry Showing Numbered Boundary Points

The boundary curve and its derivatives are piecewise polynomial functions. Exact results for the geometrical properties are obtained by using the function gequad to generate Gauss base points and weight factors for integration limits from 1 to \(n d\), and a number of integration segments equal to \(n d-1\). The various area properties are accumulated in vector mode for computational efficiency.

The function runaprop is the main driver for the program. It accepts boundary data, calls the function aprop to compute area properties, prints results, and plots the geometry. If no input data are given, the function makcresq is called to create data for the illustrative example. The plot produced by the program resembles the one shown, without inclusion of point indices.

The numerical output for our example has the following simple form:
```

>> runaprop;

```

GEOMETRICAL PROPERTY ANALYSIS USING FUNCTION APROP
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
A & XCG & YCG & AXX & AXY & AYY \\
16.7147 & 3.0000 & 4.1254 & 176.3369 & 206.8620 & 359.6076
\end{tabular}

\section*{Program for Properties of Spline Bounded Areas}
```

function [p,x,y,xd,yd]=areaprog(xd,yd,icrnr)
%
% [p,x,y,xd,yd]=areaprog(xd,yd,icrnr)
% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
% This function calls function aprop which
% computes geometrical properties for an area
% bounded by a spline curve through data
% points in (xd,yd).
%
% User functions called: aprop
if nargin==2,icrnr=[1,length(xd)]; end
titl='AREA IN THE XY PLANE';
if nargin==0
[xd,yd,icrnr]=makcrcsq;
titl=...
'HALF ANNULUS ABOVE A SQUARE WITH A HOLE';
end
disp(' ')
disp([', GEOMETRICAL PROPERTY ANALYSIS',...
USING FUNCTION APROP'])
[p,z]=aprop(xd,yd,icrnr); x=real(z); y=imag(z);
disp(' ');
disp([', A XCG YCG ', ...
AXX AXY AYY'])
disp(p), close, plot(xd,yd,'ko',x,y,'k-')
xlabel('x axis'), ylabel('y axis')
title(titl),axis(cubrange([x(:),y(:)],1.2));

```
```

axis square; shg
%===========================================
function [p,zplot]=aprop(xd,yd,kn)
%
% [p,zplot]=aprop(xd,yd,kn)
%
% This function determines geometrical properties
% of a general plane area bounded by a spline
% curve
%
% xd,yd - data points for spline interpolation
% with the boundary traversed in counter-
% clockwise direction. The first and last
points must match for boundary closure.

- vector of indices of points where the
slope is discontinuous to handle corners
like those needed for shapes such as a
rectangle.
- the vector [a,xcg,ycg,axx,axy,ayy]
containing the area, centroid coordinates,
moment of inertia about the y-axis,
product of inertia, and moment of inertia
about the x-axis
zplot - complex vector of boundary points for
plotting the spline interpolated geometry.
% The points include the numerical quadrature
% points interspersed with data values.
%
% User functions called: gcquad, curve2d
if nargin==0
td=linspace(0,2*pi,13); kn=[1,13];
xd=cos(td)+1; yd=sin(td)+1;
end
nd=length(xd); nseg=nd-1;
[dum,bp,wf]=gcquad([],1,nd,6,nseg);
[z,zplot,zp]=curve2d(xd,yd,kn,bp);
w=[ones(size(z)), z, z.*\operatorname{conj(z), z.^2].*...}
repmat(imag(conj(z).*zp),1,4);
v=(wf'*w)./[2,3,8,8]; vr=real(v); vi=imag(v);
p=[vr(1:2),vi(2),vr(3)+vr(4),vi(4),vr(3)-vr(4)];
p(2)=p(2)/p(1); p(3)=p(3)/p(1);
%===========================================

```

77 :
s: \% kn - vectors of point indices, between one
89:
1: \% t - a vector of parameter values at which
2: \%
з3:
94: \%
95:
96: \%
97: \% values using \(m\) steps between each
8: \% successive pair of points.
99: \% z - vector of points on the spline curve
: \% corresponding to the vector \(t\)
101: \% zplot - a complex vector of points suitable
: \% for plotting the geometry
103: \% zp - first derivative of \(z\) with respect to
104: \% \(\quad t\) for the same values of \(t\) as is used
105: \%
106: \%
\% User m functions called: splined
\%--------------------------------------------------
109:
nd=length(xd); \(z d=x d(:)+i * y d(:) ; ~ t d=(1: n d) ' ;\)
if isempty(kn), kn=[1;nd]; end
\(\mathrm{kn}=\operatorname{sort}(\mathrm{kn}(:))\); if \(\mathrm{kn}(1)^{\sim}=1\), \(\mathrm{kn}=[1 ; \mathrm{kn}]\); end
if \(\mathrm{kn}(\mathrm{end})^{\sim}=\mathrm{nd}, \mathrm{kn}=[\mathrm{kn} ; \mathrm{nd}]\); end
\(\mathrm{N}=\) length(kn)-1; m=round(abs(t(1)));
if \(-\mathrm{t}(1)==\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{t}=\mathrm{linspace}(1, \mathrm{nd}, 1+\mathrm{N} * \mathrm{~m})\) '; end
\(\mathrm{z}=[]\); \(\mathrm{zp}=[]\); zplot=[];
for \(\mathrm{j}=1: \mathrm{N}\)
118: \(k 1=k n(j)\); \(k 2=k n(j+1)\); \(K=k 1: k 2\);
119: \(k=f\) ind \((k 1<=t\) \& \(t<k 2)\);
120: if \(j==N\), \(k=f i n d(k 1<=t ~ \& ~ t<=k 2)\); end
121:
function \([z, z p l o t, z p]=c u r v e 2 d(x d, y d, k n, t)\)
\%
\% [z,zplot,zp]=curve2d(xd,yd,kn,t)

\% This function generates a spline curve through
\% given data points with corners(slope dis-
\% continuities) allowed as selected points.
\% xd,yd - real data vectors of length nd
\% defining the curve traversed in
\% counterclockwise order.
\% and nd, where slope discontinuities
\% occur
points on the spline curve are
computed. The components of \(t\) normally
range from one to nd, except when \(t\) is
a negative integer, -m . Then t is
replaced by a vector of equally spaced
to compute z
    if \({ }^{\text {isempty }}(\mathrm{k})\)
```

        zk=spline(K,zd(K),t(k)); z=[z;zk];
        zplot=[zplot;zd(k1);zk];
        if nargout==3
            zp=[zp;splined(K,zd(K),t(k))];
        end
        end
    end
zplot=[zplot;zd(end)];
%===========================================
function [x,y,icrnr]=makcrcsq
%
% [x, y, y, icr~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
% This function creates data for a geometry
% involving half of an annulus placed above a
% square containing a square hole.
%
% x,y - data points characterizing the data
% icrnr - index vector defining corner points
%
% User m functions called: none
%-------------------------------------------------
xshift=3.0; yshift=3.0;
a=2; b=1; narc=7; x0=0; y0=2*a-b;
xy=[a,-a,-b, b, b,-b,-b,-a,-a, a, a;
a, a, b, b,-b,-b, b, a,-a,-a, a]';
theta=linspace(0,pi,narc)';
c=cos(theta); s=sin(theta);
xy=[xy;[x0+a*c,y0+a*s]];
c=flipud(c); s=flipud(s);
xy=[xy; [x0+b*c,y0+b*s]; [a,y0] ; [a,a]];
x=xy(:,1)+xshift; y=xy(:,2)+yshift;
icrnr=[(1:12)';11+narc;12+narc; ...
11+2*narc;12+2*narc;13+2*narc] ;
%===========================================
% function [val,bp,wf]=gcquad(func,xlow,...
% xhigh,nquad,mparts,varargin)
% See Appendix B
%==========================================

```
```

% function range=cubrange(xyz,ovrsiz)
% See Appendix B
%===========================================
% function val=splined(xd,yd,x,if2)
% See Appendix B

```
170:

\subsection*{5.4.2 Program Analyzing Volumes of Revolution}

Since the geometrical property computation for a volume of revolution is quite similar to that for area properties, the same functions gcquad and curve2d used in the area program are employed below to compute the volume, centroidal coordinates, and inertia tensor of a solid generated by rotating a spline curve through arbitrary angular limits about the z axis. In the following program, the function volrevol calls a general purpose function volrev which computes the geometrical properties and plots the related volume of revolution. The function volrev depends on gcquad, curve2d, function rotasurf to plot the body surface, and function anglefun which deals with rotation angle dependence. The function returns volume properties and surface coordinates on the solid. Area properties of the cross section are also obtained.

The geometry in Figure 5.4 was analyzed. The area, rotated through 270 degrees, consists of the bottom half of a semicircle capped on the outer radius by a square which is also capped by a smaller semicircle. Results from volrev were confirmed to agree closely with another function srfv, which is discussed in the next section. Because the function srfv employs triangular surface elements, the two computational models are not identical. This accounts for the slight difference in numerical results.

In conclusion, the volume property program to handle volumes of revolution for spline interpolated cross sections was found to be a useful extension of the methods developed earlier for properties of areas.

\section*{Computer Output from Volume of Revolution Program}

\footnotetext{
>> volrevol
PROPERTIES OF A VOLUME OF REVOLUTION
Results Using Function VOLREV
Volume \(=59.1476\)
\(\mathrm{Rg}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}0.91028 & 0.910280 .13755\end{array}\right]\)
Inertia Tensor \(=\)
\(1.0 \mathrm{e}+003\) *
\(0.57730 .1168-0.0090\)
\(0.11680 .5773-0.0090\)
-0.0090-0.0090 1.1010
Area Properties
}
```

area xcentr zcentr.
2.96384.2350 0.1045
axx axz azz
53.8408 1.7264 1.3101
Results Using Function SRFV
Volume = 59.1056
Rg=[0.91016 0.91016 0.13749]
Inertia Tensor =
1.0e+003*
0.5768 0.1167-0.0090
0.1167 0.5768-0.0090
-0.0090-0.0090 1.0999
>>

```

VOLUME OF REVOLUTION


Figure 5.4: Partial Volume of Revolution

\section*{Program for Properties of a Volume of Revolution}

1: function volrevol
2: \%
3: \% volrevol
4: \%

5: \% This program determines geometrical properties
6: \% for a solid generated by rotating a closed spline
7: \% curve through an arbitrary angle about the z-axis.
\(\%\) A detailed description of the geometry is given in
\% function volrev.
\%
\% User m functions called: volrev srfv
。
\% Data for a cross section consisting of the lower
\% half of a circle plus a square capped by the
\% upper half of a smaller semicircle. The geometry
\(\%\) is rotated through 270 degrees about the \(z\)-axis.
18:
```

n1=9; t1=-pi:pi/n1:0; n2=6; t2=0:pi/n2:pi;

```
\(\mathrm{Zd}=[0, \exp (\mathrm{i} * \mathrm{t} 1), 1 / 2+\mathrm{i}+\exp (\mathrm{i} * \mathrm{t} 2) / 2,0]\);
xd=real(Zd)+4; \(z d=i m a g(Z d)\);
th=[-pi/2,pi]; nth=31;
\(\mathrm{kn}=[1,2, \mathrm{n} 1+2, \mathrm{n} 1+3, \mathrm{n} 1+\mathrm{n} 2+3, \mathrm{n} 1+\mathrm{n} 2+4]\);
\% Compute the geometrical properties
[v,rg, Irr, x,y,z,aprop]=volrev(...
                                    xd,zd,kn,th,nth);
disp(' ')
disp('PROPERTIES OF A VOLUME OF REVOLUTION')
disp(' ')
disp('Results Using Function VOLREV')
disp(['Volume = ', num2str(v)]), \%disp(' ')
disp(['Rg = [', num2str(rg(:)'),']']), \%disp(' ')
disp('Inertia Tensor ='), disp(Irr), \%disp(' ')
disp('Area Properties'), \%disp(' ')
disp(' area xcentr zcentr.')
disp(aprop(1:3))
disp(' axx axz azz')
disp(aprop(4:6))
\% Run a second case to generate a dense set of
\% surface coordinates to check results using
\% function srfv.
N1=61; T1=-pi:pi/N1:0; N2=41; T2=0:pi/N2:pi;
\(\mathrm{Zd}=[0, \exp (\mathrm{i} * \mathrm{~T} 1), 1 / 2+i+\exp (\mathrm{i} * \mathrm{~T} 2) / 2,0]\);
xxd=real(Zd)+4; zzd=imag(Zd);
th=[-pi/2,pi]; Nth=121;
```

Kn=[1,2,N1+2,N1+3,N1+N2+3,N1+N2+4];
[V,Rg, IRR, X , Y , Z] = volrev(. . .
xxd,zzd,Kn,th,Nth,1);
[vt,rct,vrrt]=srfv(X,Y,Z);
disp('Results Using Function SRFV')
disp(['Volume = ',num2str(vt)])
disp(['Rg = [',num2str(rct(:)'),']'])
disp('Inertia Tensor ='), disp(vrrt)
%==========================================
function [v,rg,Irr,X,Y,Z, aprop,xd,zd,kn]=...
volrev(xd,zd,kn,th,nth,noplot)
%
% [v,rg, Irr,X,Y,Z, aprop,xd,zd,kn]=...
% volrev(xd,zd,kn,th,nth,noplot)
%
% This function computes geometrical properties
% for a volume of revolution resulting when a
% closed curve in the (x,z) plane is rotated,
% through given angular limits, about the z axis.
% The cross section of the volume is defined by
% a spline curve passed through data points
% (xd,zd) in the same manner as was done in
% function areaprop for plane areas.
% xd,zd - data vectors defining the spline interpolated boundary, which is traversed in a counterclockwise direction

- indices of any points where slope discontinuity is allowed to turn sharp corners
- vector of volume properties containing [v, xcg, ycg, zcg, vxx, vyy, vzz,... vxy, vyz, vzx] where $v$ is the volume, (xcg,ycg,zcg) are coordinates of the centroid, and the remaining properties are volume integrals of the following integrand: [x.^, y.^2, z.^2, xy, yz, zx]*dxdyxz
93: \% X,Y,Z - data arrays containing points on the surface of revolution. Plotting these

```

```

        (x. \(\left.\left.{ }^{\wedge} 2\right) . * z, \quad x . *(z . \wedge 2)\right] . * r e p m a t(d a, 1,9) ;\)
    \(\mathrm{p}=\left(\mathrm{wf}(:)^{\prime} * \mathrm{p}\right) . /\left[\begin{array}{llllllll}2 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 5 & 5\end{array}\right]\);
    \% Scale area properties by multipliers involving
    \% the rotation angle for the volume
    f=anglefun(th(2))-anglefun(th(1));
    \(\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{f}(1) * \mathrm{p}(2) ; \mathrm{rg}=\mathrm{f}\left(\left[\begin{array}{lll}2 & 3 & 1\end{array}\right]\right) . * \mathrm{p}\left(\left[\begin{array}{lll}4 & 4 & 5\end{array}\right]\right) / \mathrm{v}\);
    \(\operatorname{vrr}=\left[\mathrm{f}\left(\left[\begin{array}{lll}4 & 5 & 2\end{array}\right]\right) ; \mathrm{f}\left(\left[\begin{array}{lll}5 & 6 & 3\end{array}\right]\right) ; \mathrm{f}\left(\left[\begin{array}{lll}2 & 3 & 1\end{array}\right]\right)\right] . * \ldots\)
        [p([7 7 8 8]); \(\left.p\left(\left[\begin{array}{lll}7 & 7 & 8\end{array}\right]\right) ; p\left(\left[\begin{array}{lll}8 & 8 & 9\end{array}\right]\right)\right] ;\)
    Irr=eye (3) *sum(diag (vrr))-vrr;
    aprop \(=[p(1), p(2: 3) / p(1), p(4: 6)]\);
    \(\%================================\)
    function f=anglefun(t)
    \% f=anglefun computes multipliers involving
    \(\% \mathrm{t}\), the rotation angle of the volume.
    \(c=\cos (t) ; s=\sin (t)\);
    \(f=[t, s,-c,(t+c * s) / 2, s * s / 2,(t-c * s) / 2] ;\)
    \(\%=====================================\)
    function \([x, y, z, x d, z d]=r o t a s u r f(x d, z d, t h, n t h, n o p l o t)\)
    \% [x,y,z,xd,zd]=rotasurf(xd,zd,th,nth,noplot)
\% This function generates points on a surface of
\% revolution generated by rotating an area in
\% the ( $x, z$ ) plane about the $z$-axis
\%
$\% \mathrm{xd}, \mathrm{yz}$ - coordinate data for the curve in the
$\% \quad(x, y)$ which forms the cross section
\% th - [ThetaMin,ThetaMax] defining limits of
rotation angle about the $z$-axis
$\%$ nth - number of theta values used to
\% surface values
\% noplot - option given any value if no plot is
$\%$ desired. Otherwise omit this value.
$\% \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ - arrays of points on the surface
\%
\% User m functions called: none

```

```

if nargin==0
$\mathrm{n} 1=9$; $\mathrm{t} 1=-\mathrm{pi}: \mathrm{pi} / \mathrm{n} 1: 0$; n2=6; $\mathrm{t} 2=0: \mathrm{pi} / \mathrm{n} 2: \mathrm{pi} ;$
$Z d=[0, \exp (i * t 1), 1 / 2+i+\exp (i * t 2) / 2,0]$;
xd=real(Zd)+4; zd=imag(Zd);

```
180:
```

        th=[-pi/2,pi]; nth=31;
    end
    xd=xd(:); zd=zd(:); nd=length(xd);
    t=linspace(th(1),th(2),nth);
    x=xd*cos(t); y=xd*sin(t); z=repmat(zd,1,nth);
    if nargin==5, return; end
    close; surf(x,y,z), title('VOLUME OF REVOLUTION')
    xlabel('x axis'), ylabel('y axis')
    zlabel('z axis'), colormap([1 1 1]); hold on
    fill3(x(:,1),y(:,1),z(:,1),'w')
    fill3(x(:,end),y(:,end),z(:,end),'w')
    axis equal, grid on, hold off, shg
    %==========================================
    % function [z,zplot,zp]=curve2d(xd,yd,kn,t)
    % See Appendix B
    %==========================================
    % function [val,bp,wf]=gcquad(func,xlow,...
    % xhigh,nquad,mparts,varargin)
    % See Appendix B
    %==========================================
    % function range=cubrange(xyz,ovrsiz)
    % See Appendix B
    %========================================
    % function val=splined(xd,yd,x,if2)
    % See Appendix B
    %===========================================
    % function [v,rc,vrr]=srfv(x,y,z)
    % See Appendix B
    ```

\subsection*{5.5 Computing Solid Properties Using Triangular Surface Elements and Using Symbolic Math}

In this section a numerical method is developed to compute properties of a solid covered by triangular surface elements. An example problem is analyzed by a nu-
merical method and also by use of the symbolic math toolbox. Results of the two analyses are compared.

Many familiar solid bodies such as an ellipsoid, a conical frustum, or a torus have surfaces readily parameterized by equations of the form
\[
\boldsymbol{R}=\boldsymbol{R}(u, v), \quad U_{1} \leq u \leq U_{2}, \quad V_{1} \leq v \leq V_{2}
\]

This is the type of equation implied when MATLAB function surf uses rectangular \(X, Y, Z\) coordinate arrays to depict a curvilinear coordinate net covering a surface. The surface is approximated by a series of quadrilateral surface patches. Geometrical properties of the related solid can be computed approximately by dividing each quadrilateral into two triangular patches, and accumulating the surface integral contributions of the triangles. This approach is attractive because the surface integral properties of triangles can be computed exactly, and all triangles can be processed in parallel. Although the geometrical properties for a solid covered by triangular patches can be computed exactly, the reader should realize that many surface elements may be required to achieve several digit accuracy for highly curved surfaces.

To fix our ideas, consider the solid in Figure 5.5 which resembles a twisted rope. This body has its outer surface (as distinguished from its ends) described by the following set of equations:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& x=x_{0}+\rho \cos (p), \quad y=y_{0}+\rho \sin (p), \quad z=z_{0}-\xi \sin (m p)+\eta \cos (m p), \\
& \rho=a+\xi \cos (m p)+\eta \sin (m p), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 2 \pi, \quad 0 \leq p \leq 3 \pi \\
& \xi=b \cos (t)|\cos (t)|, \quad \eta=b \sin (t)|\cos (t)|
\end{aligned}
\]

The cross section of the solid is two circular disks touching tangentially. The solid is swept out as the centroid of the area (where the circles touch) moves along a helical path and twists simultaneously. The parameter choices used in our example are
\[
a=3, b=1, m=6, x_{0}=y_{0}=0, z_{0}=3 \pi / 2
\]
which places the centroid of the solid on the \(y\)-axis and makes the ends of the rope lie in the \(x z\) plane. Then the geometrical property contributions from both end surfaces are zero because \(\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \cdot \boldsymbol{R}\) vanishes on the ends.

Let us next think about a solid with its surface composed of triangular patches. For a generic patch with corners at \(\boldsymbol{R}_{i}, \boldsymbol{R}_{j}, \boldsymbol{R}_{k}\), denote the surface area as \(S_{T}\) and the unit surface normal as \(\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}\). Then
\[
\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}} S_{T}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{j}-\boldsymbol{R}_{i}\right) \times\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{k}-\boldsymbol{R}_{i}\right),
\]
and the triangle centroid is at
\[
\boldsymbol{R}_{C}=\frac{1}{3}\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{i}+\boldsymbol{R}_{j}+\boldsymbol{R}_{k}\right) .
\]

If \(h\) is the normal distance from the origin to the plane containing the triangle, then \(h=\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \cdot \boldsymbol{R}_{i}\) and \(S_{T}=\left|\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}} S_{T}\right|\). The first two volume properties are just
\[
V_{T}=\frac{1}{3} \iint_{S_{T}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \cdot \boldsymbol{R} d S=\frac{h}{3} S_{T}
\]

TWISTED ROPE


Figure 5.5: Solid Resembling a Twisted Rope
and
\[
\left(\mathbf{V}_{\boldsymbol{R}}\right)_{T}=\frac{1}{4} \iint_{S_{T}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \cdot \boldsymbol{R}) \boldsymbol{R} d S=\frac{h}{4} S_{T} \boldsymbol{R}_{C}
\]

The remaining inertial property integral is
\[
\left(\mathbf{V}_{\boldsymbol{R} \boldsymbol{R}}\right)_{T}=\frac{1}{5} \iint_{S_{T}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \cdot \boldsymbol{R}) \boldsymbol{R} \boldsymbol{R}^{\prime} d S=\frac{h}{60} S_{T}\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{i} \boldsymbol{R}_{i}^{\prime}+\boldsymbol{R}_{j} \boldsymbol{R}_{j}^{\prime}+\boldsymbol{R}_{k} \boldsymbol{R}_{k}^{\prime}+9 \boldsymbol{R}_{C} \boldsymbol{R}_{C}^{\prime}\right)
\]

These formulas were used to develop the function \(\mathbf{s r f v}\) which computes geometrical properties for a surface described by the same type of data arrays as those used by the function surf. Each quadrilateral patch is divided into two triangles, and the contributions of all triangles are accumulated in vectorized mode for computational efficiency.

The function ropesymu in the following program calls function twistrope to perform numerical computation, function twistprop to perform symbolic computation, and function ropedraw to plot the geometry of the twisted rope. Twistrope calls the function srfv which is a general routine to compute properties of solid bodies modeled with triangular surface elements. The numerical example employs point arrays of dimension 804 by 100 to obtain the numerical solution. Results for the numerical and symbolic computations are shown next along with the computer code. Note that the numerical and exact solutions agreed within 0.2 percent. The numerical solution took about 1.3 secs compared with 314 seconds for the symbolic solution. Even though the symbolic solution took 238 times as long to compute as the numerical solution, the symbolic coding was simple and might be appealing in specific situations where the related integrals can be evaluated exactly.

\subsection*{5.6 Numerical and Symbolic Results for the Example}
```

    COMPARISON OF NUMERICAL AND SYMBOLIC
    GEOMETRICAL PROPERTIES FOR A TWISTED ROPE
FOR THE TRIANGULAR SURFACE PATCH MODEL
Volume = 44.3239
Rg = [1.6932e-015 0.64979 3.0068e-015]
Irr =
548.6015 -0.0000 29.0040
-0.0000 548.6015 -0.0000
29.0040 -0.0000 423.7983
Computation Time = 1.3194 Secs.

```
```

FOR THE SYMBOLIC MODEL
Volume = 44.4132
Rg = [0 0.64982 0]
Irr =
549.7423 0 29.0639
0 549.7423
29.0639 0 424.7014

```
Computation Time \(=314.28\) Secs.
```

    NUMERICAL APPROXIMATION ERROR USING TRIANGULAR
    SURFACE PATCHES. THE ERROR VALUES ARE DEFINED AS
NORM(APPROX. -EXACT) /NORM(EXACT)
Volume Error = 0.0020102
Centroidal Radius Error = 4.7287e-005
Inertia Tensor Error = 0.0020768

```
COMPARISON OF SOLUTION TIMES
(Symbolic Time)/(Numerical Time) \(=238.1992\)

\section*{Program ropesymu}

1: function [vn,rcn,irrn,vs,rcs,irrs,times,nt,np]=...
2: \(\quad\) ropesymu( \(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{XO}, \mathrm{YO}, \mathrm{ZO}, \mathrm{nt}, \mathrm{np}\) )
\% [vn,rcn,irrn,vs,rcs,irrs,times,nt,np]=ropesymu(...


8: \% This program computes geometrical properties of a
9: \% twisted rope having a cross section which is two
: \% circles of diameter B touching tangentially. The
11: \% tangency point is at distance A from the rotation
2: \% axis z. As the area is rotated, it is also twisted
\(13: \%\) in a helical fashion. For a complete revolution
14: \% about the \(z\) axis, the area is twisted through \(m\)
\(15: \%\) turns. The resulting surface resembles a rope
16: \% composed of two strands. Two results are obtained
17: \% 1) by a numerical method where the surface is
18: \% modeled with triangular surface patches and
19: \% 2) by symbolic math. See functions twistrope and
20: \% twistprop for descriptions of the problem parameters.
```

\% Numerical results and computation times for the two
\% methods are compared, and the related surface
\% geometry is plotted
\%
\% User functions called: twistrope twistprop ropedraw
\%--------------------------------------------------------1
if nargin==0 \% Default data case
$A=3 ; B=1 ; m=6 ; n p=201 ; n t=25$;
$\mathrm{XO}=0 ; \mathrm{YO}=0 ; \mathrm{ZO}=-3 * \mathrm{pi} / 2 ; \mathrm{M}=6$;
end
disp(' ')
disp(' COMPARISON OF NUMERICAL AND SYMBOLIC')
disp('GEOMETRICAL PROPERTIES FOR A TWISTED ROPE')
\% Run the first time to get a crude grid for plotting
[vn,rcn, irrn, $x, y, z, c]=t w i s t r o p e(A, B, M, X 0, Y 0, Z 0, n t, n p) ;$
\% Numerical solution using a dense point grid to get
\% close comparison with exact results. Calculations
$\%$ are run repeatedly for accurate timing.
$\mathrm{Nt}=4 * \mathrm{nt}$; $\mathrm{Np}=4 * \mathrm{np}$; $\mathrm{n}=50$; tic;
for $\mathrm{i}=1: \mathrm{n}$
[vn, rcn, irrn]=twistrope(A, B, M, XO, YO, ZO, Nt , Np) ;
end
timn=toc/n;
\% Perform the symbolic analysis. This takes a long
\% time.
tic;
[v,rc, vrr, vs, rcs,irrs]=twistprop (A, B, M, XO, YO , ZO) ;
tims=toc; times=[timn,tims];
disp(' ')
disp('FOR THE TRIANGULAR SURFACE PATCH MODEL')
disp(['Volume $=$ ', num2str (vn)])
$\operatorname{disp}([' R g=[', \operatorname{num} 2 \operatorname{str}(r c n(:) '), ']$ '])
disp('Irr $=$ '), disp(irrn)
disp(['Computation Time $=$, , num2str (timn),' Secs.'])
\% Print numerical comparisons of results
disp(' ')
disp('FOR THE SYMBOLIC MODEL')

```
7:
```

disp(['Volume = ',num2str(vs)])
disp(['Rg = [',num2str(rcs(:)'),']'])
disp('Irr = '), disp(irrs)
disp(['Computation Time = ',num2str(tims),' Secs.'])
disp(' ')
disp(' NUMERICAL APPROXIMATION ERROR USING TRIANGULAR')
disp('SURFACE PATCHES. THE ERROR VALUES ARE DEFINED AS')
disp(' NORM(APPROX.-EXACT)/NORM(EXACT)')
evol=abs(vn-vs)/vs; erad=norm(rcs(:)-rcn(:))/norm(rcs);
einert=norm(irrn-irrs)/norm(irrs);
disp(['Volume Error = ',num2str(evol)])
disp(['Centroidal Radius Error = ',num2str(erad)])
disp(['Inertia Tensor Error = ',num2str(einert)])
disp(' ')
disp('COMPARISON OF SOLUTION TIMES')
disp(['(Symbolic Time)/(Numerical Time) = ',...
num2str(tims/timn)])
disp(' ')
% Draw the surface using a crude grid to avoid
% crowded grid lines
ropedraw(A,B,np,nt,M, X0, YO, Z0);
%============================================
function [x,y,z,t]=ropedraw(a,b,np,nt,m,x0,y0,z0)
%
% [x,y,z,t]=ropedraw(a,b,np,mp,m,x0,y0,z0)
%
% This function draws the twisted rope.
if nargin==0
a=3; b=1; np=200; nt=25; m=6;
x0=0; y0=0; z0=-3*pi/2;
end
% Draw the surface
t=linspace(0,2*pi,nt); p=linspace(0,3*pi,np)';
t=repmat(t,np,1); p=repmat(p,1,nt);
xi=b*\operatorname{cos(t).*abs(cos(t)); eta=b*sin(t).*abs(cos(t));}
rho=a+xi.*\operatorname{cos}(m*p)+eta.*sin(m*p);
x=rho.*\operatorname{cos}(p)+x0; y=rho.*sin(p)+y0;
z=-xi.*sin(m*p)+eta.*\operatorname{cos}(m*p)+p+z0;
close; surf(x,y,z,t), title('TWISTED ROPE')

```
xlabel('x axis'), ylabel('y axis'), zlabel('z axis')
111: colormap('prism(4)'), axis equal, hold on 112:
\% Fill the ends
fill3(x(1,:),y(1,:),z(1,:),'w')
fill3(x(end,:),y(end,:),z(end,:),'w')
view( \([-40,10])\), hold off, shg
\(\%=========================================\)
120: function \([\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{rc}, \mathrm{vrr}, \mathrm{V}, \mathrm{Rc}, \mathrm{Irr}]=\mathrm{twistprop}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{X} 0, \mathrm{YO}, \mathrm{ZO})\)
121: \%
122: \% [v,rc,vrr, V, Rc, Irr]=twistprop(A, B, M, XO, YO , ZO)
123:
124: \% This function computes geometrical properties of
125: \% a twisted rope. Exact results are obtained using
126: \% symbolic math to evaluate three surface integrals
127: \% for the volume, centroidal radius, and inertia
128: \% tensor. The symbolic calculations take about five
129: \% minutes to run.
130: \%
131: \% A,B,N - parameters defining the twisted rope
132: \% XO,YO,ZO - center coordinates for the centroid of
133: \% the twisted rope
134: \% v,rc - symbolic formulas for the volume and
135: \%
centroid radius
136: \% vrr - symbolic formula for integral of
137: \% r*r'*d(vol)
138: \% V,Rc - numerical values for volume and
139: \% centroid radius
140: \% Irr - numerical value for the inertia tensor
141:
142: if nargin==0
    \(A=6 ; B=1 ; M=6 ; X 0=1 ; Y 0=2 ; Z O=3 ;\)
144: end
145:
146: syms a b m t p xi eta rho x y z r rt rp real
147: syms x0 y0 z0 real
148: syms n dv dv1 v vr1 vr rg vrr1 vrr real
149: \(a=\operatorname{sym}(A) ; b=s y m(B) ; ~ P i=s y m(' p i ') ;\)
150: \(x 0=s y m(X 0)\); \(y 0=s y m(Y 0)\); \(z 0=s y m(Z 0)\);
151
152: \% Surface equation for the twisted rope
153: \(\mathrm{xi}=\mathrm{b} * \cos (\mathrm{t}) * \mathrm{abs}(\cos (\mathrm{t}))\) )
154: \(\mathrm{eta}=\mathrm{b} * \sin (\mathrm{t}) * \mathrm{abs}(\cos (\mathrm{t}))\);
```

    rho=a+xi*\operatorname{cos(m*p)+eta*sin(m*p);}
    x=rho*\operatorname{cos (p)+x0; y=rho*sin(p)+y0;}
    z=-xi*\operatorname{sin}(m*p)+eta*\operatorname{cos}(m*p)+p+z0;
    Pi=sym('pi');
    % Tangent vectors
    r=[x;y;z]; rt=diff(r,t); rp=diff(r,p);
    % Integrate to get the volume
    dv=det([r,rp,rt]); dv1=int(dv,t,0,2*Pi);
    v=simple(int(dv1,p,0,3*Pi)/3);
    % First moment of volume
    vr1=int(r*dv,t,0,2*Pi);
    vr=simple(int(vr1,p,0,3*Pi)/4);
    % Radius to the centroid
    rc=simple(vr/v);
    % Integral of r*r'*d(vol)
    vrr1=int(r*r'*dv,t,0,2*Pi);
    vrr=simple(int(vrr1,p,0,3*Pi)/5);
    % Obtain numerical values
    V=double(subs(v,{a,b,m,x0,y0,z0},...
    {A,B,M,XO,YO,ZO}));
    Rc=double(subs(rc,{a,b,m,x0,y0,z0},...
    {A,B,M,XO,YO,ZO}));
    Irr=double(subs(vrr, {a, b,m, x0, y0, z0},...
    {A,B,M,XO,YO,ZO}));
    % Rigid body inertia tensor for a
    % body of unit mass density
    Irr=eye(3,3)*sum(diag(Irr))-Irr;
    %============================================
    function [v,rc,vrr,x,y,z,t]=twistrope(...
a,b,m,x0,y0,z0,nt,np)
%
195: % [v,rc,vrr, x,y,z,t]=twistrope(...
196: % a,b,m,x0,y0,z0,nt,nm)
198: % Geometrical properties of a twisted rope.
199: % This example takes 1.3 seconds to run

```
197: \%
```

if nargin<8, np=321; end; if nargin<7, nt=161; end
if nargin==0
a=6; b=1; m=6; x0=1; y0=2; z0=3;
end
t=linspace(0,2*pi,nt); p=linspace(0,3*pi,np)';
t=repmat(t,np,1); p=repmat(p,1,nt);
% Surface equation for the twisted rope
xi=b*\operatorname{cos(t).*abs(cos(t));}
eta=b*sin(t).*abs(cos(t));
rho=a+xi.*cos(m*p)+eta.*sin(m*p);
x=rho.*\operatorname{cos}(p)+x0; y=rho.*sin(p)+y0;
z=-xi.*\operatorname{sin}(m*p)+eta.*\operatorname{cos}(m*p)+p+z0;
[v,rc,vrr]=srfv(x,y,z);
%============================================
function [v,rc,vrr]=srfv(x,y,z)
%
% [v,rc,vrr]=srfv(x,y,z)
%
%
% This function computes the volume, centroidal
% coordinates, and inertial tensor for a volume
226: % covered by surface coordinates contained in
227: % arrays x,y,z
229: % x,y,z - matrices containing the coordinates
230:% of a grid of points covering the
231:% surface of the solid
232: % v - volume of the solid
233: % rc - centroidal coordinate vector of the
234: % solid
235: % vrr - inertial tensor for the solid with the
238: % User functions called: scatripl proptet
%-------------------------------------------------
241: % p=inline(...
242: % 'v*(eye(3)*(r(:)''*r(:))-r(:)*r(:)'')','v','r');
244: %d=mean([x(:),y(:),z(:)]);

```
228: \%
236: \%
237: \%
240:
243:
```

%x=x-d(1); y=y-d(2); z=z-d(3);
[n,m]=size(x); i=1:n-1; I=i+1; j=1:m-1; J=j+1;
xij=x(i,j); yij=y(i,j); zij=z(i,j);
xIj=x(I,j); yIj=y(I,j); zIj=z(I,j);
xIJ=x(I,J); yIJ=y(I,J); zIJ=z(I,J);
xiJ=x(i,J); yiJ=y(i,J); ziJ=z(i,J);
% Tetrahedron volumes
v1=scatripl(xij,yij,zij,xIj,yIj,zIj,xIJ,yIJ,zIJ);
v2=scatripl(xij,yij,zij,xIJ,yIJ,zIJ,xiJ,yiJ,ziJ);
v=sum(sum(v1+v2));
% First moments of volume
X1=xij+xIj+xIJ; X2=xij+xIJ+xiJ;
Y1=yij+yIj+yIJ; Y2=yij+yIJ+yiJ;
Z1=zij+zIj+zIJ; Z2=zij+zIJ+ziJ;
vx=sum(sum(v1.*X1+v2.*X2));
vy=sum(sum(v1.*Y1+v2.*Y2));
vz=sum(sum(v1.*Z1+v2.*Z2));
% Second moments of volume
vrr=proptet(v1,xij,yij,zij,xIj,yIj,zIj,...
xIJ,yIJ,zIJ,X1,Y1,Z1)+...
proptet(v2,xij,yij,zij,xIJ,yIJ,zIJ,...
xiJ,yiJ,ziJ,X2,Y2,Z2);
rc=[vx,vy,vz]/v/4; vs=sign(v);
v=abs(v)/6; vrr=vs*vrr/120;
vrr=[vrr([[1 4 5]), vrr([4 2 6 6]), vrr([[$$
\begin{array}{lll}{5}&{6}&{3}\end{array}
$$])]';
vrr=eye(3,3)*sum(diag(vrr))-vrr;
%vrr=vrr-p(v,rc)+p(v,rc+d); rc=rc+d;
%=============================================
function v=scatripl(ax,ay,az,bx,by,bz,cx,cy,cz)
%
% v=scatripl(ax,ay,az,bx,by,bz,cx,cy,cz)
% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
% Scalar triple product dot(cross(a,b),c) where
% the cartesian components of vectors a,b,and c
% are given in arrays of the same size.
v=ax.*(by.*cz-bz.*cy)+ay.*(bz.*cx-bx.*cz)...
+az.*(bx.*cy-by.*cx);

```

290: 291:
```

% ===========================================
function vrr=tensprod(v,x,y,z)
%
% vrr=tensprod(v,x,y,z)
%
% This function forms the various components
% of v*R*R'. The calculation is vectorized
% over arrays of points
vxx=sum(sum(v.*x.*x)); vyy=sum(sum(v.*y.*y));
vzz=sum(sum(v.*z.*z)); vxy=sum(sum(v.*x.*y));
vxz=sum(sum(v.*x.*z)); vyz=sum(sum(v.*y.*z));
vrr=[vxx; vyy; vzz; vxy; vxz; vyz];
% =========================================
function vrr=proptet(v,x1,y1,z1,x2,y2,z2,...
x3,y3,z3,xc,yc,zc)
%
% vrr=proptet(v,x1,y1,z1,x2,y2,z2,x3,y3,z3,...
% xc,yc,zc)
% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
% This function computes tensor properties of a
% tetrahedron with its base being a triangular
% surface and its apex at the origin
vrr=tensprod(v,x1,y1,z1)+tensprod(v,x2,y2,z2)+...
tensprod(v,x3,y3,z3)+tensprod(v, xc,yc,zc);

```

\subsection*{5.7 Geometrical Properties of a Polyhedron}

A polyhedron is a solid covered by polygonal faces. Since polyhedra with sufficiently many faces can approximate volumes of complex shape, computing the volume, centroidal position, and inertia tensor of a polyhedron has useful applications. A polyhedron can be treated as the combination of a number of pyramids with bases which are the polyhedron faces and apexes located at the coordinate origin. Once the geometrical properties of a pyramid are known, results for a polyhedron are found by combining results for all faces [111].

Consider a general volume \(V\) covered by surface \(S\). It follows from the divergence theorem of Gauss [59] that
\[
\iiint_{V} X^{n} Y^{m} Z^{\ell} d X d Y d Z=\frac{1}{n+m+\ell+3} \iint_{S} X^{n} Y^{m} Z^{\ell}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \cdot \boldsymbol{R}) d S
\]
where \(\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}\) is the outward directed surface normal and \(\boldsymbol{R}\) is the column vector \([X ; Y ; Z]\).

This formula implies
\[
\begin{aligned}
& V=\iiint_{V} d X d Y d Z=\frac{1}{3} \iint_{S} \hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \cdot \boldsymbol{R} d S \\
& \mathbf{V}_{\boldsymbol{R}}=\iiint_{V} \boldsymbol{R} d X d Y d Z=\frac{1}{4} \iint_{S} \boldsymbol{R}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \cdot \boldsymbol{R}) d S
\end{aligned}
\]
and
\[
\mathbf{V}_{\boldsymbol{R} \boldsymbol{R}}=\iiint_{V} \boldsymbol{R} \boldsymbol{R}^{\prime} d X d Y d Z=\frac{1}{5} \iint_{S} \boldsymbol{R} \boldsymbol{R}^{\prime}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \cdot \boldsymbol{R}) d S
\]
where \(\boldsymbol{R}^{\prime}\) means the transpose of \(\boldsymbol{R}\). Let us apply these formulas to a pyramid with the apex at \(\boldsymbol{R}=0\) and the base being a planar region \(S_{b}\) of area \(A\). For points on the side of the pyramid of height \(h\) we find that \(\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \cdot \boldsymbol{R}=0\), and for points on the base \(\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \cdot \boldsymbol{R}=h\). Consequently
\[
\begin{aligned}
& V=\frac{1}{3} \iint_{S_{b}} h d S=\frac{h}{3} A \\
& \mathbf{V}_{\boldsymbol{R}}=\frac{1}{4} \iint_{S_{b}} \boldsymbol{R} h d S=\frac{h}{4} \iint_{S_{b}} \boldsymbol{R} d S \\
& \mathbf{V}_{\boldsymbol{R} \boldsymbol{R}}=\frac{1}{5} \iint_{S_{b}} \boldsymbol{R} \boldsymbol{R}^{\prime} h d S=\frac{h}{5} \iint_{S_{b}} \boldsymbol{R}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{R}^{\prime} d S
\end{aligned}
\]

The volume is equal to one third of the height times the base area, regardless of the base shape. If \(\boldsymbol{R}_{b}\) and \(\boldsymbol{R}_{p}\) signify the centroidal radii of the base and the pyramid volume, respectively, we get
\[
\boldsymbol{R}_{p}=\frac{\mathbf{V}_{\boldsymbol{R}}}{V}=\frac{\frac{h}{4} \boldsymbol{R}_{b} A}{\frac{h}{3} A}=\frac{3}{4} \boldsymbol{R}_{b}
\]

Therefore, the centroid of the volume lies \(\frac{3}{4}\) of the way along a line from the apex to the centroid of the base. For any planar area it is not hard to show that the area \(A\) and unit surface normal \(\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}\) can be computed using the line integral
\[
\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}} A=\frac{1}{2} \oint_{L} \boldsymbol{R} \times d \boldsymbol{R}
\]

The last formula simplifies for a polygon having corners at \(\boldsymbol{R}_{1}, \boldsymbol{R}_{2}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{R}_{n}\) to yield
\[
\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}} A=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\jmath=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{R}_{\jmath} \times \boldsymbol{R}_{\jmath+1} \text { where } \boldsymbol{R}_{n+1}=\boldsymbol{R}_{1}
\]

To compute the first and second area moments for a general planar area, it is helpful to introduce coordinates centered anywhere in the plane containing the base. We let
\[
\boldsymbol{R}=\boldsymbol{R}_{0}+\hat{\imath} x+\hat{\boldsymbol{\jmath}} y
\]
where \(\boldsymbol{R}_{0}\) is a vector to a point in the plane of the base, and \(\hat{\imath}\) and \(\hat{\boldsymbol{\jmath}}\) are orthonormal unit vectors which are tangent to the plane and are chosen such that \(\hat{\boldsymbol{\imath}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\jmath}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}\) form a right-handed system. The local coordinates \((x, y)\) can be computed using
\[
x=\left(\boldsymbol{R}-\boldsymbol{R}_{0}\right)^{\prime} \hat{\imath} \text { and } y=\left(\boldsymbol{R}-\boldsymbol{R}_{0}\right)^{\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\jmath}} .
\]

Then we get
\[
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{V}_{\boldsymbol{R}} & =\frac{h}{4} \iint_{S_{b}}\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{0}+\hat{\boldsymbol{\imath}} x+\hat{\boldsymbol{\jmath}} y\right) d x d y \\
& =\frac{h}{4}\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{0}+\hat{\boldsymbol{\imath}} \bar{x}+\hat{\boldsymbol{\jmath}} \bar{y}\right) A \\
& =\frac{h}{4} \boldsymbol{R}_{b} A
\end{aligned}
\]
where \((\bar{x}, \bar{y})\) are the centroidal coordinates of the area measured relative to the local axes. Similarly we have
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{V}_{\boldsymbol{R} \boldsymbol{R}}=\frac{h}{5} \iint_{S_{b}}\left[\boldsymbol{R}_{0} \boldsymbol{R}_{0}^{\prime}+\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{0} \hat{\imath}^{\prime}+\hat{\boldsymbol{\imath}} \boldsymbol{R}_{0}^{\prime}\right) x+\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{0} \hat{\boldsymbol{\jmath}}^{\prime}+\hat{\boldsymbol{\jmath}} \boldsymbol{R}_{0}^{\prime}\right) y+\right. \\
&\left.\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\imath}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\jmath}}^{\prime}+\hat{\boldsymbol{\jmath}} \hat{\imath}^{\prime}\right) x y+\hat{\boldsymbol{\imath}} \hat{\imath}^{\prime} x^{2}+\hat{\boldsymbol{\jmath}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\jmath}}^{\prime} y^{2}\right] d x d y \\
&=\frac{h}{5}\left[\boldsymbol{R}_{0} \boldsymbol{R}_{0}^{\prime}+\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{0} \hat{\imath}^{\prime}+\hat{\imath} \boldsymbol{R}_{0}^{\prime}\right) \bar{x}+\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{0} \hat{\boldsymbol{\jmath}}^{\prime}+\hat{\boldsymbol{\jmath}} \boldsymbol{R}_{0}^{\prime}\right) \bar{y}\right] A+ \\
& \frac{h}{5}\left[\hat{\boldsymbol{\imath}} \hat{\imath}^{\prime} A_{x x}+\hat{\boldsymbol{\jmath}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\jmath}}^{\prime} A_{y y}+\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\imath}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\jmath}}^{\prime}+\hat{\boldsymbol{\jmath}} \hat{\imath}^{\prime}\right) A_{x y}\right]
\end{aligned}
\]
where
\[
A_{x x}=\iint_{S_{b}} x^{2} d x d y, A_{x y}=\iint_{S_{b}} x y d x d y, A_{y y}=\iint_{S_{b}} y^{2} d x d y
\]

The formula for \(\mathbf{V}_{\boldsymbol{R} \boldsymbol{R}}\) simplifies when \(\boldsymbol{R}_{0}\) is chosen as the centroidal radius \(\boldsymbol{R}_{b}\). Then \(\bar{x}=\bar{y}=0\) so that
\[
\mathbf{V}_{\boldsymbol{R} \boldsymbol{R}}=\frac{h}{5}\left[\boldsymbol{R}_{b} \boldsymbol{R}_{b}^{\prime} A+\hat{\boldsymbol{\imath}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\imath}}^{\prime} A_{x x}^{b}+\hat{\boldsymbol{\jmath}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\jmath}}^{\prime} A_{y y}^{b}+\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\imath}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\jmath}}^{\prime}+\hat{\boldsymbol{\jmath}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\imath}}^{\prime}\right) A_{x y}^{b}\right]
\]
with the quantities \(A_{x x}^{b}, A_{y y}^{b}, A_{x y}^{b}\) denoting reference to the centroidal axes.
The analysis to compute polyhedron properties can now be completed using vector algebra along with area property calculations of the type introduced earlier. To
define data for a particular polyhedron we provide vectors \(x, y, z\) containing global coordinates of all corners. We also employ a matrix named idface having a row dimension equal to the number of faces on the polyhedron and a column dimension equal to the largest number of corners on any face. Row \(\imath\) of idface consists of corner indices of the \(\imath\) 'th face with the row being padded with zeros on the right if necessary. Each face is traversed in the counterclockwise sense relative to the outward normal. Consider a figure showing a triangular block with a hole, having twelve corners and eight faces as shown in Figure 5.6. The required geometry descriptions are defined in example polhdrun. The results produced for this example are
```

>> polhdrun;
v = 15
rc =
0.0000
2.6667
1.3333
vrr =
5.0000 0.0000 0.0000
0.0000 120.8333 60.4167
0.0000 60.4167 40.8333
irr =

```

These values can be easily verified by manual calculations.


Figure 5.6: Surface Plot of a General Polyhedron

\section*{Program polhdrun}
```

function polhdrun
% Example: polhdrun
%
%
% This program illustrates the use of routine
% polhedrn to calculate the geometrical
% properties of a polyhedron.
%
% User m functions called:
% crosmat, polyxy, cubrange, pyramid,
% polhdplt, polhedrn
x=[[2 [1 2 2 2 2 2 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0]-1;
y=[[0
z=[0}00
idface=[lllllllllll}
1
1}708\mp@code{2}000000; ..
2
7 9 12 10 11 12 9 8; ...
4 10 12 6 0 0 0 0; ...
4
5 6 12 11 0 0 0 0];
polhdplt(x,y,z,idface,[1,1,1]);
[v,rc,vrr,irr]=polhedrn(x,y,z,idface)
%===============================================
function [v,rc,vrr,irr]=polhedrn(x,y,z,idface)
%
% [v,rc,vrr,irr]=polhedrn(x,y,z,idface)
%
%
% This function determines the volume,
% centroidal coordinates and inertial moments
% for an arbitrary polyhedron.
%
% x,y,z - vectors containing the corner
% indices of the polyhedron
% idface - a matrix in which row j defines the
% corner indices of the j'th face.

```
```

N
43: %
44: %
45:%
46: %
47: %
48: %
49: %
50: %
51:% v - the volume of the polyhedron
52: % rc - the centroidal radius
53: % vrr - the integral of R*R'*d(vol)
54: % irr - the inertia tensor for a rigid body
5: % of unit mass obtained from vrr as
%
%
% User m functions called: pyramid
%---------------------------------------------
60:
r=[x(:),y(:),z(:)]; nf=size(idface,1);
v=0; vr=0; vrr=0;
for k=1:nf
i=idface(k,:); i=i(find(i>0));
[u,ur,urr]=pyramid(r(i,:));
v=v+u; vr=vr+ur; vrr=vrr+urr;
end
rc=vr/v; irr=eye(3,3)*sum(diag(vrr))-vrr;
%===============================================
function [area,xbar,ybar,axx,axy,ayy]=polyxy(x,y)
%
% [area, xbar, ybar, axx, axy, ayy]=polyxy (x,y)
%
%
% This function computes the area, centroidal
% coordinates, and inertial moments of an
% arbitrary polygon.
%
1:% x,y
s2:%
3:%
84: %
5:%
6: % area - the polygon area

```
\% xbar,ybar - the centroidal coordinates
\% axx - integral of \(x^{\wedge} 2 * d x d y\)
\% axy - integral of \(x y * d x d y\)
\(\%\) ayy - integral of \(y^{\wedge} 2 * d x d y\)
\%
\% User m functions called: none

4:
\(\mathrm{n}=1\) : length ( x ) ; \(\mathrm{n} 1=\mathrm{n}+1\);
\(x=[x(:) ; x(1)] ; y=[y(:) ; y(1)]\);
\(a=(x(n) . * y(n 1)-y(n) . * x(n 1)) ' ;\)
area=sum (a)/2; a6=6*area;
xbar=a*(x(n)+x(n1))/a6; ybar=a*(y(n)+y(n1))/a6;
ayy \(=a *\left(\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{n}) .{ }^{\wedge} 2+\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{n}) . * y(\mathrm{n} 1)+\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{n} 1) . \wedge 2\right) / 12\);
\(a x y=a *(x(n) . *(2 * y(n)+y(n 1))+x(n 1) . * \ldots\)
( \(2 * y(n 1)+y(n))) / 24\);
\(\mathrm{axx}=\mathrm{a} *(\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{n}) . \wedge 2+\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{n}) . * \mathrm{x}(\mathrm{n} 1)+\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{n} 1) . \wedge 2) / 12\);
\(\%========================================\)
function [v, vr, vrr,h,area, n\(]=\mathrm{pyramid}(\mathrm{r})\)
108: \%
109: \% [v,vr,vrr,h,area, n]=pyramid(r)
110: \%
11: \%
112: \% This function determines geometrical
113: \% properties of a pyramid with the apex at the
114: \% origin and corner coordinates of the base
115: \% stored in the rows of \(r\).
116: \%
117: \% r - matrix containing the corner
118: \% coordinates of a polygonal base stored
119: \(\% \quad\) in the rows of matrix \(r\).
120: \%
121: \(\%\) v - the volume of the pyramid
122: \% vr - the first moment of volume relative to
123: \% the origin
124: \% vrr - the second moment of volume relative
125: \% to the origin
126: \% h - the pyramid height
127: \% area - the base area
128: \% n - the outward directed unit normal to
129: \% the base
130: \%
131: \% User m functions called: crosmat, polyxy
```

ns=size(r,1);

```
na=sum(crosmat(r,r([2:ns, 1],:)))'/2;
area=norm(na); n=na/area; p=null(n');
i=p(:,1); j=p(:,2);
if \(\operatorname{det}([p, n])<0, j=-j\); end;
r1=r(1,:); rr=r-r1(ones(ns,1),:);
\(\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{rr} * \mathrm{i}\); \(\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{rr} * \mathrm{j}\);
[areat, xc, yc, axx, axy, ayy]=polyxy (x,y);
\(\mathrm{rc}=\mathrm{r} 1^{\prime}+\mathrm{xc} * \mathrm{i}+\mathrm{yc} * \mathrm{j}\); \(\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{r} 1 * \mathrm{n}\);
\(\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{h} * \mathrm{area} / 3\); vr=v*3/4*rc;
axx=axx-area*xc^2; ayy=ayy-area*yc^2;
axy=axy-area*xc*yc;
vrr=h/5*(area*rc*rc'+axx*i*i'+ayy*j*j'+ ...
axy*(i*j'+j*i'));
148:
153: \% polhdplt(x,y,z,idface, colr)

156: \% This function makes a surface plot of an
157: \% arbitrary polyhedron.
158: \%
\(159: \% \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}\) - vectors containing the corner
160: \% indices of the polyhedron
161: \% idface - a matrix in which row \(j\) defines the
162: \% corner indices of the j'th face.
163: \% Each face is traversed in a
164: \% counterclockwise sense relative to
165 \% the outward normal. The column
166: \% dimension equals the largest number
167: \% of indices needed to define a face.
168: \%
169: \%
170: \%
    Rows requiring fewer than the
    maximum number of corner indices are
    padded with zeros on the right.
171: \% colr - character string or a vector
172: \% defining the surface color
173: \%
174: \% User m functions called: cubrange
175:

176:
```

if nargin<5, colr=[1 0 1]; end
hold off, close; nf=size(idface,1);
v=cubrange([x(:),y(:),z(:)],1.1);
for k=1:nf
i=idface(k,:); i=i(find(i>0));
xi=x(i); yi=y(i); zi=z(i);
fill3(xi,yi,zi,colr); hold on;
end
axis(v); grid on;
xlabel('x axis'); ylabel('y axis');
zlabel('z axis');
title('Surface Plot of a General Polyhedron');
figure(gcf); hold off;
%===============================================
function c=crosmat(a,b)
%
% c=crosmat(a,b)
%
%
% This function computes the vector cross
% product for vectors stored in the rows
% of matrices a and b, and returns the
% results in the rows of c.
%
% User m functions called: none
%------------------------------------------------
c=[a(:, 2).*b(:, 3)-a(:,3).*b(:, 2),...
a(:, 3).*b(:, 1)-a(:, 1).*b(:, 3),...
a(:, 1).*b(:, 2)-a(:, 2).*b(:, 1)];
%===============================================
% function range=cubrange(xyz,ovrsiz)
% See Appendix B

```

\subsection*{5.8 Evaluating Integrals Having Square Root Type Singularities}

Consider the problem of evaluating the following three integrals having square root type singularities at one or both ends of the integration interval:
\[
I_{1}=\int_{a}^{b} \frac{f(x)}{\sqrt{x-a}} d x \quad, \quad I_{2}=\int_{a}^{b} \frac{f(x)}{\sqrt{b-x}} d x \quad, \quad I_{3}=\int_{a}^{b} \frac{f(x)}{\sqrt{(x-a)(b-x)}} d x
\]

The singularities in these integrals can be removed using substitutions \(x-a=\) \(t^{2}, b-x=t^{2}\), and \((x-a)(b-x)=(b+a) / 2+(b-a) / 2 \cos (t)\) which lead to
\[
\begin{aligned}
& I_{1}=2 \int_{0}^{\sqrt{b-a}} f\left(a+t^{2}\right) d t \quad, \quad I_{2}=2 \int_{0}^{\sqrt{b-a}} f\left(b-t^{2}\right) d t \\
& I_{3}=\int_{0}^{\pi} f\left(\frac{b+a}{2}+\frac{b-a}{2} \cos (t)\right) d t .
\end{aligned}
\]

These modified integrals can be evaluated using gequad or quadl by creating integrands with appropriate argument shifts. Two integration functions quadgsqrt and quadlsqrt were written to handle each of the three integral types. Shown below is a program called sqrtquadtest which computes results for the case where \(f(x)=e^{u x} \cos (v x)\) with constants \(u\) and \(v\) being parameters passed to the integrators using the varargin construct in MATLAB. Function quadgsqrt uses Gauss quadrature to evaluate \(I_{1}\) and \(I_{2}\), and uses Chebyshev quadrature [1] to evaluate \(I_{3}\). When \(f(x)\) is a polynomial, then taking parameter norder in function quadgsqrt equal to the polynomial order gives exact results. With norder taken sufficiently high, more complicated functions can also be integrated accurately. Function quadlsqrt evaluates the three integral types using the adaptive integrator quadl, which accommodates \(f(x)\) of quite general form. The program shown below integrates the test function for parameter choices corresponding to \([a, b, u, v]=[1,4,3,10]\) with norder \(=10\) in quadgsqrt and tol=1e-12 in quadlsqrt . Output from the program for this data case appears as comments at lines 14 thru 35 of sqrtquadtest. The integrators apparently work well and give results agreeing to fifteen digits. However, quadlsqrt took more than four hundred times as long to run as quadgsqrt. Furthermore, the structure of quadgsqrt is such that it could easily be modified to accommodate a form of \(f(x)\) which returns a vector.

\subsection*{5.8.1 Program Listing}

\section*{Singular Integral Program}

1: function \([v g, t g, v L, t L, p c t d i f f]=s q r t q u a d t e s t\)
```

%
% [vg,tg,vL,tL,pctdiff]=sqrtquadtest
%~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
% This function compares the accuracy and
% computation time for functions quadgsqrt
% and quadlsqrt to evaluate:
% integral(exp(u*x)*\operatorname{cos(v*x)/radical(x), a<x<b)}
% where radical(x) is sqrt(x-a), sqrt(b-x), or
% sqrt((x-a)*(b-x))
%--------------------------------------
% Program Output
% >> sqrtquadtest;
% EVALUATING INTEGRALS WITH SQUARE ROOT TYPE
% SINGULARITIES AT THE END POINTS
% Function integrated:
% ftest(x,u,v)=exp(u*x).*\operatorname{cos}(v*x)
% a = 1 b = 4
%u = 3 v = 10
% Results from function gquadsqrt
%4.836504484e+003 -8.060993912e+003 -4.264510048e+003
% Computation time = 0.0159 sec.
% Results from function quadlsqrt
%4.836504484e+003 -8.060993912e+003 -4.264510048e+003
% Computation time = 7.03 sec.
% Percent difference for the two methods
% -3.6669e-012 -1.5344e-012 1.4929e-012
% >>
%---------------------------------------
% The test function
ftest=inline('exp(u*x).*\operatorname{cos(v*x)','x','u', 'v');}
% Limits and function parameters
a=1; b=4; u=3; v=10;
nloop=100; tic;

```
```

for j=1:nloop
v1g=quadgsqrt(ftest,1,a,b,40,1,u,v);
v2g=quadgsqrt(ftest, 2,a,b,40,1,u,v);
v3g=quadgsqrt(ftest,3,a,b,40,1,u,v);
end
vg=[v1g,v2g,v3g]; tg=toc/nloop;
disp(',)
disp('EVALUATING INTEGRALS WITH SQUARE ROOT TYPE')
disp(' SINGULARITIES AT THE END POINTS')
disp(' ')
disp('Function integrated:')
disp('ftest(x,u,v)=exp(u*x).*\operatorname{cos}(v*x)')
disp(' ')
disp(['a = ',num2str(a),' b = ',num2str(b)])
disp(['u = ',num2str(u),' v = ',num2str(v)])
disp(' ')
disp('Results from function gquadsqrt')
fprintf('%17.9e %17.9e %17.9e\n',vg)
disp(['Computation time = ',num2str(tg),' sec.'])
tol=1e-12; tic;
v1L=quadlsqrt(ftest,1,a,b,tol, [],u,v) ;
v2L=quadlsqrt(ftest,2,a,b,tol,[],u,v);
v3L=quadlsqrt(ftest,3,a,b,tol, [],u,v);
vL=[v1L,v2L,v3L]; tL=toc;
disp(' ')
disp('Results from function quadlsqrt')
fprintf('%17.9e %17.9e %17.9e\n',vL)
disp(['Computation time = ',num2str(tL),' sec.'])
pctdiff=100*(vg-vL)./vL; disp(' ')
disp('Percent difference for the two methods')
fprintf('%13.4e %12.4e %12.4e\n',pctdiff)
%===========================================
function v=quadgsqrt(...
func,type,a,b,norder,nsegs,varargin)
%
% v=quadgsqrt(func,type,a,b,norder,nsegs,varargin)
%
%~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
%
% This function evaluates an integral having a

```

96: \% The integrand has the form:
97: \% func(x)/sqrt(x-a) if type==1.
s: \% func \((x) /\) sqrt \((b-x)\) if type \(==2\).
\(\%\) func \((x) /\) sqrt \(((x-a) *(b-x))\) if type==3.
\% The integration interval is subdivided into
\(\%\) nsegs subintervals of equal length.
\%
\% func - a character string or function handle
\% naming a function continuous in the
\% interval from \(x=a\) to \(x=b\)
\(\%\) type - 1 if the integrand is singular at \(\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{a}\)
2 if the integrand is singular at \(\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{b}\)
3 if the integrand is singular at both \(x=a\) and \(x=b\).
\(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\) - integration limits with \(\mathrm{b}>\mathrm{a}\)
\% norder - polynomial interpolation order within
\(\% \quad\) each interval. Lowest norder is 20.
\(\%\) nsegs - number of integration subintervals
\%
\% User m functions called: gcquad
\%
\% Reference: Abromowitz and Stegun, 'Handbook of
\% Mathematical Functions', Chapter 25
\% -----------------------------------------------1
if nargin<6, nsegs=1; end;
if nargin<5, norder=50; end
switch type
case \(1 \%\) Singularity at the left end.
\% Use Gauss quadrature
[dumy, bp,wf]=gcquad ( . . .
',, 0, sqrt (b-a), norder +1, nsegs) ;
\(\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{bp} . \wedge^{\wedge}\); \(\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{feval}\) (func, t , varargin\{:\});
\(\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{wf}(:)^{\prime} * \mathrm{y}(:) * 2\);
case \(2 \%\) Singularity at the right end.
\% Use Gauss quadrature
[dumy, bp,wf] =gcquad (. . .
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { '', } 0 \text {, sqrt (b-a), norder+1,nsegs); } \\
& \mathrm{t}=\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{bp} . \wedge 2 \text {; } \mathrm{y}=\mathrm{feval} \text { (func,t, varargin\{:\}); } \\
& \text { v=wf(:)'*y(:)*2; } \\
& \text { case } 3 \text { \% Singularity at both ends. }
\end{aligned}
\]
```

                    % Use Chebyshev integration
        n=norder; bp=cos(pi/(2*n+2)*(1:2:2*n+1));
        c1=(b+a)/2; c2=(b-a)/2; t=c1+c2*bp;
        y=feval(func,t,varargin{:});
        v=pi/(n+1)*sum(y);
    end
    %===========================================
    function v=quadlsqrt(fname,type,a,b,tol,trace,varargin)
%
% v=quadlsqrt(fname,type,a,b,tol,trace,varargin)
%
%
% This function uses the MATLAB integrator quadl
% to evaluate integrals having square root type
% singularities at one or both ends of the
% integration interval a < x < b .
% The integrand has the form:
% func(x)/sqrt(x-a) if type==1.
% func(x)/sqrt(b-x) if type==2.
% func(x)/sqrt((x-a)*(b-x)) if type==3.
%
% func - the handle for a function continuous
% from x=a to x=b
% type - 1 if the integrand is singular at x=a
2 if the integrand is singular at x=b
3 if the integrand is singular at both
x=a and x=b.
% a,b - integration limits with b > a
if nargin<6 | isempty(trace), trace=0; end
if nargin<5 | isempty(tol), tol=1e-8; end
if nargin<7
varargin{1}=type; varargin{2}=[a,b];
varargin{3}=fname;
else
n=length(varargin); c=[a,b]; varargin{n+1}=type;
varargin{n+2}=c; varargin{n+3}=fname;
end
if type==1 | type==2
v=2*quadl(@fshift,0,sqrt(b-a),···
tol,trace,varargin{:});
else

```
```

        v=quadl(@fshift,0,pi,tol,trace,varargin{:});
    end
    %==========================================
    function u=fshift(x,varargin)
    % u=fshift(x,varargin)
    % This function shifts arguments to produce
    % a nonsingular integrand called by quadl
    N=length(varargin); fname=varargin{N};
    c=varargin{N-1}; type=varargin{N-2};
    a=c(1); b=c(2); c1=(b+a)/2; c2=(b-a)/2;
    switch type
        case 1, t=a+x.^2; case 2, t=b-x.^2;
        case 3, t=c1+c2*cos(x);
    end
    if N>3, u=feval(fname,t,varargin{1:N-3});
    else, u=feval(fname,t); end
    %===========================================
    % function [val,bp,wf]=gcquad(func,xlow,...
    % xhigh,nquad,mparts,varargin)
    % See Appendix B
    ```

\subsection*{5.9 Gauss Integration of a Multiple Integral}

Gauss integration can be used to evaluate multiple integrals having variable limits. Consider the instance typified by the following triple integral
\[
I=\int_{c_{1}}^{c_{2}} \int_{b_{1}(z)}^{b_{2}(z)} \int_{a_{1}(y, z)}^{a_{2}(y, z)} F(x, y, z) d x d y d z
\]

This integral can be changed into one with constant limits by the substitutions
\[
\begin{aligned}
& z=c_{p}+c_{m} u,-1 \leq u \leq 1, \\
& y=b_{p}+b_{m} t,-1 \leq t \leq 1 \\
& x=a_{p}+a_{m} s,-1 \leq s \leq 1
\end{aligned}
\]
where
\[
\begin{aligned}
& c_{p}=\frac{c_{2}+c_{1}}{2}, c_{m}=\frac{c_{2}-c_{1}}{2}, \\
& b_{p}=\frac{b_{2}+b_{1}}{2}, b_{m}=\frac{b_{2}-b_{1}}{2}, \\
& a_{p}=\frac{a_{2}+a_{1}}{2}, a_{m}=\frac{a_{2}-a_{1}}{2} .
\end{aligned}
\]

The above integral becomes
\[
I=\int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1} c_{m} b_{m} a_{m} f(s, t, u) d s d t d u
\]
where
\[
\begin{aligned}
& f(s, t, u)=F\left(a_{p}+a_{m} s, b_{p}+b_{m} t, c_{p}+c_{m} u\right), \\
& a_{m}=a_{m}(y, z)=a_{m}\left(b_{p}+b_{m} t, c_{p}+c_{m} u\right), \\
& b_{m}=b_{m}(z)=b_{m}\left(c_{p}+c_{m} u\right) .
\end{aligned}
\]

Thus, the integral has the form
\[
I=\int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1} G(s, t, u) d s d t d u
\]
where
\[
G=c_{m} b_{m} a_{m} f
\]

Performing the integration over each limit using an \(n\)-point quadrature formula with weight factors \(w_{\imath}\) and base points \(x_{\imath}\) yields
\[
I=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{\jmath=1}^{n} \sum_{\imath=1}^{n} w_{k} w_{\jmath} w_{\imath} G\left(x_{\imath}, x_{\jmath}, x_{k}\right)
\]

A function allowing an integrand and integration limits of general form was developed. An example is considered where the inertial moment of a sphere having unit radius, unit mass density, and centered at \((0,0,0)\) is to be obtained about an axis through \(x=2, y=0\), parallel to the \(z\)-axis. The related integral
\[
I=\int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-\sqrt{1-z^{2}}}^{\sqrt{1-z^{2}}} \int_{-\sqrt{1-y^{2}-z^{2}}}^{\sqrt{1-y^{2}-z^{2}}}\left[(x-2)^{2}+y^{2}\right] d x d y d z
\]
has a value of \(88 \pi / 15\). Shown below is a function quadit3d and related limit and integrand functions. The function triplint(n) computes the ratio of the numerically integrated function to the exact result. The function specification triplint(20) yields a value of 1.000067 . Even though the triple integration procedure is not computationally very fast, it is nevertheless robust enough to produce accurate results when a sufficiently high integration order is chosen.

\subsection*{5.9.1 Example: Evaluating a Multiple Integral}

\section*{Triple Integration Program}
```

function val=triplint(n)
%
% val=triplint(n)
%
% Triple integration example on inertial
% moment of a sphere.
%
% User m functions called: fsphere, bs1, bs2,
%
as1, as2
if nargin==0, n=20; end
val=quadit3d('fsphere',[-1,1],'bs1','bs2',...
'as1','as2',n)/(88*pi/15);
%==============================================
function s = quadit3d(f,c,b1,b2,a1,a2,w)
%
% s = quadit3d(f,c,b1,b2,a1,a2,w)
% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
% This function computes the iterated integral
%
% s = integral(...
% f(x,y,z), x=a1..a2, y=b1..b2, z=c1..c2)
%
% where a1 and a2 are functions of y and z, b1
% and b2 are functions of z, and c is a vector
% containing constant limits on the z variable.
% Hence, as many as five external functions may
% be involved in the call list. For example,
% when the integrand and limits are:
%
3: % f = x. ^2+y^2+z^^2
34: % a2 = sqrt(4-y^2-z^2)
35: % a1 = -a2
36: % b2 = sqrt(4-z^2)
37: % b1 = -b2
38: % c = [-2,2]
40: % Then the exact value is 128*pi/5.

```
39: \%
```

41: % The approximation produced from a }20\mathrm{ point
42: % Gauss formula is accurate within . O07 percent.
43: %
44: % f - a function f(x,y,z) which must return
5:% a vector value when x is a vector,
46: % and y and z are scalar.
47: % a1,a2 - integration limits on the x variable
8:% which may specify names of functions
49:% or have constant values. If a1 is a
50:% function it should have a call list
51:% of the form a1(y,z). A similar form
applies to a2.
54:% which may specify functions of z or
5:% have constant values.
56:% c - a vector defined by c=[c1,c2] where
57: % c1 and c2 are fixed integration
58:% limits for the z direction.
59: % W
60: %
61: % three possible forms. If w is omitted,
2:% a Gauss formula of order 12 is used.
63: %
4: % formula of order }n\mathrm{ is used. If }\textrm{w}\mathrm{ is an
64:
65:%
66: %
67: %
%

- this argument defines the quadrature
formula used. It has the following
If w is a positive integer n, a Gauss
n by 2 matrix, w(:,1) contains the base
points and w(:,2) contains the weight
factors for a quadrature formula over
limits -1 to 1.
9: %
% s - the numerically evaluated integral
%
% User m functions called: gcquad
%----------------------------------
74:
if nargin<7
% function gcquad generates base points
% and weight factors
n=12; [dummy,x,W]=gcquad('', ,-1,1,n,1);
elseif size(w,1)==1 \& size(w,2)==1
n=w; [dummy,x,W]=gcquad('', ,-1,1,n,1);
else
n=size(w,1); x=w(:,1); W=w(:,2);
end
s=0; cp=(c(1)+c(2))/2; cm=(c(2)-c(1))/2;
85:

```
```

for k=1:n
zk=cp+cm*x(k);
if ischar(b1), B1=feval(b1,zk);
else, B1=b1; end
if ischar(b2), B2=feval(b2,zk);
else, B2=b2; end
Bp=(B2+B1)/2; Bm=(B2-B1)/2; sj=0;
for j=1:n
yj=Bp+Bm*x(j);
if ischar(a1), A1=feval(a1,yj,zk);
else, A1=a1; end
if ischar(a2), A2=feval(a2,yj,zk);
else, A2=a2; end
Ap=(A2+A1)/2; Am=(A2-A1)/2;
fval=feval(f, Ap+Am*x, yj, zk);
si=fval(:).'*W(:); sj=sj+W(j)*Am*si;
end
s=s+W(k)*Bm*sj;
end
s=cm*s;
%==============================================
function v=fsphere(x,y,z)
%
% v=fsphere(x,y,z)
% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
% Integrand.
%-------------------------------------------------
v=(x-2).^2+y.^2;
%==============================================
function x=as1(y,z)
%
% x=as1(y,z)
% ~~~~~~~~~~
% Lower x integration limit.
%-----------------------------------------------

```
```

x=-sqrt(1-y.^2-z. ^2);
%==============================================
function x=as2(y,z)
%
138: % x=as2(y,z)
39: %
% Upper x integration limit.
%-----------------------------------------------------
142:
x=sqrt(1-y. `2-z.^2);
%===============================================
function y=bs1(z)
%
149: % y=bs1(z)
150: %
% Lower y integration limit.
%------------------------------------------------
y=-sqrt(1-z. ^2);
%===============================================
function y=bs2(z)
%
160: % y=bs2(z)
161: %
162: % Upper y integration limit.
%------------------------------------------------
164:
y=sqrt(1-z.^2);
%===============================================
% function [val,bp,wf]=gcquad(func,xlow, . .
% xhigh,nquad,mparts,varargin)
% See Appendix B

```

\section*{Chapter 6}

\section*{Fourier Series and the Fast Fourier Transform}

\subsection*{6.1 Definitions and Computation of Fourier Coefficients}

Trigonometric series are useful to represent periodic functions. A function defined for \(-\infty<x<\infty\) has a period of \(2 \pi\) if \(f(x+2 \pi)=f(x)\) for all \(x\). In most practical situations, such a function can be expressed as a complex Fourier series
\[
f(x)=\sum_{\jmath=-\infty}^{\infty} c_{\jmath} e^{\imath x} \text { where } \imath=\sqrt{-1}
\]

The numbers \(c_{\jmath}\), called complex Fourier coefficients, are computed by integration as
\[
c_{\jmath}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f(x) e^{-\imath \jmath x} d x
\]

The Fourier series can also be rewritten using sines and cosines as
\[
f(x)=c_{0}+\sum_{\jmath=1}^{\infty}\left(c_{\jmath}+c_{-\jmath}\right) \cos (\jmath x)+\imath\left(c_{\jmath}-c_{-\jmath}\right) \sin (\jmath x) .
\]

Denoting
\[
a_{\jmath}=c_{\jmath}+c_{-\jmath} \text { and } b_{\jmath}=\imath\left(c_{\jmath}-c_{-\jmath}\right)
\]
yields
\[
f(x)=\frac{1}{2} a_{0}+\sum_{\jmath=1}^{\infty} a_{\jmath} \cos (\jmath x)+b_{\jmath} \sin (\jmath x)
\]
which is called a Fourier sine-cosine expansion. This series is especially appealing when \(f(x)\) is real valued. For that case \(c_{-\jmath}=\bar{c}_{\jmath}\) for all \(\jmath\), which implies that \(c_{0}\) must be real and
\[
a_{\jmath}=2 \operatorname{real}\left(c_{\jmath}\right), b_{\jmath}=-2 \operatorname{imag}\left(c_{\jmath}\right) \text { for } \jmath>0
\]

Suppose we want a Fourier series expansion for a more general function \(f(x)\) having period \(p\) instead of \(2 \pi\). If we introduce a new function \(g(x)\) defined by
\[
g(x)=f\left(\frac{p x}{2 \pi}\right)
\]
then \(g(x)\) has a period of \(2 \pi\). Consequently, \(g(x)\) can be represented as
\[
g(x)=\sum_{\jmath=-\infty}^{\infty} c_{\jmath} e^{\imath \jmath x}
\]

From the fact that \(f(x)=g(2 \pi x / p)\) we deduce that
\[
f(x)=\sum_{\jmath=-\infty}^{\infty} c_{\jmath} e^{2 \pi \imath \jmath x / p}
\]

A need sometimes occurs to expand a function as a series of sine terms only, or as a series of cosine terms only. If the function is originally defined for \(0<x<\frac{p}{2}\), then making \(f(x)=-f(p-x)\) for \(\frac{p}{2}<x<p\) gives a series involving only sine terms. Similarly, if \(f(x)=+f(p-x)\) for \(\frac{p}{2}<x<p\), only cosine terms arise. Thus we get
\[
f(x)=c_{0}+\sum_{\jmath=1}^{\infty}\left(c_{\jmath}+c_{-\jmath}\right) \cos (2 \pi \jmath x / p) \text { if } f(x)=f(p-x)
\]
or
\[
f(x)=\sum_{\jmath=1}^{\infty} \imath\left(c_{\jmath}-c_{-\jmath}\right) \sin (2 \pi \jmath x / p) \text { if } f(x)=-f(p-x) .
\]

When the Fourier series of a function is approximated using a finite number of terms, the resulting approximating function may oscillate in regions where the actual function is discontinuous or changes rapidly. This undesirable behavior can be reduced by using a smoothing procedure described by Lanczos [60]. Use is made of Fourier series of a closely related function \(\hat{f}(x)\) defined by a local averaging process according to
\[
\hat{f}(x)=\frac{1}{\Delta} \int_{x-\frac{\Delta}{2}}^{x+\frac{\Delta}{2}} f(\zeta) d \zeta
\]
where the averaging interval \(\Delta\) should be a small fraction of the period \(p\). Hence we write \(\Delta=\alpha p\) with \(\alpha<1\). The functions \(\hat{f}(x)\) and \(f(x)\) are identical as \(\alpha \rightarrow 0\). Even for \(\alpha>0\), these functions also match exactly at any point \(x\) where \(f(x)\) varies linearly between \(x-\frac{\Delta}{2}\) and \(x+\frac{\Delta}{2}\). An important property of \(\hat{f}(x)\) is that it agrees closely with \(f(x)\) for small \(\alpha\) but has a Fourier series which converges more rapidly than the series for \(f(x)\). Furthermore, from its definition,
\[
\hat{f}(x)=\sum_{\jmath=-\infty}^{\infty} c_{\jmath} \frac{1}{p \alpha} \int_{x-\frac{\alpha p}{2}}^{x+\frac{\alpha p}{2}} e^{2 \pi \imath \jmath x / p} d x=\sum_{\jmath=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{c}_{\jmath} e^{2 \pi \imath \jmath x / p}
\]
where \(\hat{c}_{0}=c_{0}\) and \(\hat{c}_{\jmath}=c_{\jmath} \sin (\pi \jmath \alpha) /(\pi \jmath \alpha)\) for \(\jmath \neq 0\). Evidently the Fourier coefficients of \(\hat{f}(x)\) are easily obtainable from those of \(f(x)\). When the series for \(f(x)\) converges slowly, using the same number of terms in the series for \(\hat{f}(x)\) often gives an approximation preferable to that provided by the series for \(f(x)\). This process is called smoothing.

\subsection*{6.1.1 Trigonometric Interpolation and the Fast Fourier Transform}

Computing Fourier coefficients by numerical integration is very time consuming. Consequently, we are led to investigate alternative methods employing trigonometric polynomial interpolation through evenly spaced data. The resulting formulas are the basis of an important algorithm called the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) . Although the Fourier coefficients obtained by interpolation are approximate, these coefficients can be computed very rapidly when the number of sample points is an integer power of 2 or a product of small primes. We will discuss next the ideas behind trigonometric polynomial interpolation among evenly spaced data values.

Suppose we truncate the Fourier series and only use harmonics up to some order \(N\). We assume \(f(x)\) has period \(2 \pi\) so that
\[
f(x)=\sum_{\jmath=-N}^{N} c_{\jmath} e^{\imath \jmath x}
\]

This trigonometric polynomial satisfies \(f(0)=f(2 \pi)\) even though the original function might actually have a finite discontinuity at 0 and \(2 \pi\). Consequently, we may choose to use, in place of \(f(0)\), the limit as \(\epsilon \rightarrow 0\) of \([f(\epsilon)+f(2 \pi-\epsilon)] / 2\).

It is well known that the functions \(e^{\imath \jmath x}\) satisfy an orthogonality condition for integration over the interval 0 to \(2 \pi\). They also satisfy an orthogonality condition regarding summation over equally spaced data. The latter condition is useful for deriving a discretized approximation of the integral formula for the exact Fourier coefficients. Let us choose data points
\[
x_{\jmath}=\left(\frac{\pi}{N}\right) \jmath, 0 \leq \jmath \leq(2 N-1)
\]
and write the simultaneous equations to make the trigonometric polynomial match the original function at the equally spaced data points. To shorten the notation we let
\[
t=e^{\imath \pi / N}
\]
and write
\[
f_{k}=\sum_{\jmath=-N}^{N} c_{\jmath} t^{k_{\jmath}}
\]

Suppose we pick an arbitrary integer \(n\) in the range \(-N<n<N\). Multiplying the last equation by \(t^{-k n}\) and summing from \(k=0\) to \(2 N-1\) gives
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{2 N-1} f_{k} t^{-k n}=\sum_{k=0}^{2 N-1} t^{-k n} \sum_{\jmath=-N}^{N} c_{\jmath} t^{k \jmath} .
\]

Interchanging the summation order in the last equation yields
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{2 N-1} f_{k} t^{-k n}=\sum_{\jmath=-N}^{N} c_{\jmath} \sum_{k=0}^{2 N-1} \zeta^{k}
\]
where \(\zeta=e^{\imath(\jmath-n) \pi / N}\). Summing the inner geometric series gives
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{2 N-1} \zeta^{k}= \begin{cases}\frac{1-\zeta^{2 N}}{1-\zeta} & \text { for } \zeta \neq 1 \\ 2 N & \text { for } \zeta=1\end{cases}
\]

We find, for all \(k\) and \(n\) in the stated range, that
\[
\zeta^{2 N}=e^{\imath 2 \pi(k-n)}=1
\]

Therefore we get
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{2 N-1} f_{k} t^{-k n}=2 N c_{n},-N<n<N
\]

In the cases where \(n= \pm N\), the procedure just outlined only gives a relationship governing \(c_{N}+c_{-N}\). Since the first and last terms cannot be computed uniquely, we customarily take \(N\) large enough to discard these last two terms and write simply
\[
c_{n}=\frac{1}{2 N} \sum_{k=0}^{2 N-1} f_{k} t^{-k n},-N<n<N
\]

This formula is the basis for fast algorithms (called FFT for Fast Fourier Transform) to compute approximate Fourier coefficients. The periodicity of the terms depending on various powers of \(e^{\imath \pi / N}\) can be utilized to greatly reduce the number of trigonometric function evaluations. The case where \(N\) equals a power of 2 is especially attractive. The mathematical development is not provided here. However, the related theory was presented by Cooley and Tukey in 1965 [21] and has been expounded in many textbooks [53, 96]. The result is a remarkably concise algorithm which can be comprehended without studying the details of the mathematical derivation. For our present interests it is important to understand how to use MATLAB's intrinsic function for the FFT (fft).

Suppose a periodic function is evaluated at a number of equidistant points ranging over one period. It is preferable for computational speed that the number of sample points should equal an integer power of two \(\left(n=2^{m}\right)\). Let the function values for argument vector
\[
x=p / n *(0: n-1)
\]
be an array \(f\) denoted by
\[
f \Longleftrightarrow\left[f_{1}, f_{2}, \cdots, f_{n}\right] .
\]

The function evaluation \(\mathbf{f f t}(f)\) produces an array of complex Fourier coefficients multiplied by \(n\) and arranged in a peculiar fashion. Let us illustrate this result for \(n=8\). If
\[
f=\left[f_{1}, f_{2}, \cdots, f_{8}\right]
\]
then \(\mathbf{f f t}(f) / 8\) produces
\[
c=\left[c_{0}, c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, c_{*}, c_{-3}, c_{-2}, c_{-1}\right] .
\]

The term denoted by \(c_{*}\) actually turns out to equal \(c_{4}+c_{-4}\), so it would not be used in subsequent calculations. We generalize this procedure for arbitrary \(n\) as follows. Let \(N=n / 2-1\). In the transformed array, elements with indices of \(1, \cdots, N+1\) correspond to \(c_{0}, \cdots, c_{N}\) and elements with indices of \(n, n-1, n-2, \cdots, N+\) 3 correspond to \(c_{-1}, c_{-2}, c_{-3}, \cdots, c_{-N}\). It is also useful to remember that a real valued function has \(c_{-n}=\operatorname{conj}\left(c_{n}\right)\). To fix our ideas about how to evaluate a Fourier series, suppose we want to sum an approximation involving harmonics from order zero to order \((n s u m-1)\). We are dealing with a real valued function defined by func with a real argument vector \(x\). The following code expands func and sums the series for argument \(x\) using nsum terms.
```

function fouval=fftaprox(func,period,nfft,nsum,x)
fc=feval(func,period/nfft*(0:nfft-1));
fc=fft(fc)/nfft; fc(1)=fc(1)/2;
w=2*pi/period*(0:nsum-1);
fouval=2*real(exp(i*x(:)*w)*fc(:));

```

\subsection*{6.2 Some Applications}

Applications of Fourier series arise in numerous practical situations such as structural dynamics, signal analysis, solution of boundary value problems, and image processing. Three examples are given below that illustrate use of the FFT. The first example calculates Bessel functions and the second problem studies forced dynamic response of a lumped mass system. The final example presents a program for constructing Fourier expansions and displaying graphical results for linearly interpolated or analytically defined functions.

\subsection*{6.2.1 Using the FFT to Compute Integer Order Bessel Functions}

The FFT provides an efficient way to compute integer order Bessel functions \(J_{n}(x)\) which are important in various physical applications [119]. Function \(J_{n}(x)\) can be obtained as the complex Fourier coefficient of \(e^{i n \theta}\) in the generating function described by
\[
e^{\imath x \sin (\theta)}=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} J_{n}(x) e^{\imath n \theta}
\]

Orthogonality conditions imply
\[
J_{n}(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} e^{\imath(x \sin (\theta)-n \theta)} d \theta
\]


Figure 6.1: Surface Plot for \(J_{n}(x)\)

The Fourier coefficients represented by \(J_{n}(x)\) can be computed approximately with the FFT. The infinite series converges very rapidly because the function it represents has continuous derivatives of all finite orders. Of course, \(e^{2 x \sin (\theta)}\) is highly oscillatory for large \(|x|\), thereby requiring a large number of sample points in the FFT to obtain accurate results. For \(n<30\) and \(|x|<30\), a 128-point transform is adequate to give about ten digit accuracy for values of \(J_{n}(x)\). The following code implements the above ideas and plots a surface showing how \(J_{n}\) changes in terms of \(n\) and \(x\).

\section*{MATLAB Example}

\section*{Bessel Function Program plotjrun}
```

function plotjrun
% Example: plotjrun
% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
% This program computes integer order Bessel
% functions of the first kind by using the FFT.
%
% User m functions required: jnft
x=0:.5:20; n=0:20; J=jnft(n,x); surf(x,n,J');
title('Surface Plot For J_{n}(x)');
ylabel('order n'), xlabel('argument x')
zlabel('function value'), figure(gcf);
print -deps plotjrun
%================================================
function J=jnft(n,z,nft)
%
% J=jnft(n,z,nft)
% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
% Integer order Bessel functions of the
% first kind computed by use of the Fast
% Fourier Transform (FFT).
%
% n - integer vector defining the function
% orders
% z - a vector of values defining the
% arguments
% nft - number of function evaluations used
in the FFT calculation. This value
should be an integer power of 2 and
should exceed twice the largest
component of n. When nft is omitted
from the argument list, then a value
equal to 512 is used. More accurate
values of J are computed as nft is
increased. For max(n) < 30 and
max(z) < 30, nft=256 gives about
ten digit accuracy.
a matrix of values for the integer

```


\subsection*{6.2.2 Dynamic Response of a Mass on an Oscillating Foundation}

Fourier series are often used to describe time dependent phenomena such as earthquake ground motion. Understanding the effects of foundation motions on an elastic structure is important in design. The model in Figure 6.2 embodies rudimentary aspects of this type of system and consists of a concentrated mass connected by a spring and viscous damper to a base which oscillates with known displacement \(Y(t)\). The system is assumed to have arbitrary initial conditions \(y(0)=y_{0}\) and \(\dot{y}(0)=v_{0}\) when the base starts moving. The resulting displacement and acceleration of the mass are to be computed.

We assume that \(Y(t)\) can be represented well over some time interval \(p\) by a Fourier series of the form
\[
Y(t)=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_{n} e^{\imath \omega_{n} t}, \omega_{n}=\frac{2 n \pi}{p}
\]
where \(c_{-n}=\operatorname{conj}\left(c_{n}\right)\) because \(Y\) is real valued. The differential equation governing this problem is
\[
m \ddot{y}+c \dot{y}+k y=k Y(t)+c \dot{Y}(t)=F(t)
\]
where the forcing function can be expressed as
\[
F(t)=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_{n}\left[k+\imath c \omega_{n}\right] e^{\imath \omega_{n} t}=k c_{0}+2 \text { real }\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n} e^{\imath \omega_{n} t}\right)
\]
and
\[
f_{n}=c_{n}\left(k+\imath c \omega_{n}\right) .
\]

The corresponding steady-state solution of the differential equation is representable


Figure 6.2: Mass System
as
\[
y_{s}(t)=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} y_{n} e^{\imath \omega_{n} t}
\]
where \(y_{-n}=\operatorname{conj}\left(y_{n}\right)\) since \(y_{s}(t)\) is real valued. Substituting the series solution into the differential equation and comparing coefficients of \(e^{\imath \omega_{n} t}\) on both sides leads to
\[
y_{n}=\frac{c_{n}\left(k+\imath c \omega_{n}\right)}{k-m \omega_{n}^{2}+\imath c \omega_{n}} .
\]

The displacement, velocity, and acceleration corresponding to the steady-state (also called particular) solution are
\[
\begin{aligned}
& y_{s}(t)=c_{0}+2 \text { real }\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_{n} e^{\imath \omega_{n} t}\right), \\
& \dot{y}_{s}(t)=2 \operatorname{real}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \imath \omega_{n} y_{n} e^{\imath \omega_{n} t}\right), \\
& \ddot{y}_{s}(t)=-2 \operatorname{real}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega_{n}^{2} y_{n} e^{\imath \omega_{n} t}\right) .
\end{aligned}
\]

The initial conditions satisfied by \(y_{s}\) are
\[
y_{s}(0)=c_{0}+2 \text { real }\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_{n}\right), \dot{y}_{s}(0)=2 \operatorname{real}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \imath \omega_{n} y_{n}\right) .
\]

Because these values usually will not match the desired initial conditions, the total solution consists of \(y_{s}(t)\) and \(y_{h}(t)\) which satisfies the homogeneous differential equation
\[
m \ddot{y}_{h}+c \dot{y}_{h}+k y_{h}=0 .
\]

The solution is
\[
y_{h}=g_{1} e^{s_{1} t}+g_{2} e^{s_{2} t}
\]
where \(s_{1}\) and \(s_{2}\) are roots satisfying
\[
m s^{2}+c s+k=0
\]

The roots are
\[
s_{1}=\frac{-c+\sqrt{c^{2}-4 m k}}{2 m}, s_{2}=\frac{-c-\sqrt{c^{2}-4 m k}}{2 m} .
\]

Since the total solution is
\[
y(t)=y_{s}(t)+y_{h}(t)
\]
the constants \(g_{1}\) and \(g_{2}\) are obtained by solving the two simultaneous equations
\[
g_{1}+g_{2}=y(0)-y_{s}(0), s_{1} g_{1}+s_{2} g_{2}=\dot{y}(0)-\dot{y}_{s}(0) .
\]

The roots \(s_{1}\) and \(s_{2}\) are equal when \(c=2 \sqrt{m k}\). Then the homogeneous solution assumes an alternate form given by \(\left(g_{1}+g_{2} t\right) e^{s t}\) with \(s=-c /(2 m)\). In this special case we find that
\[
g_{1}=y(0)-y_{s}(0), g_{2}=\dot{y}(0)-\dot{y}_{s}(0)-s g_{1} .
\]

It should be noted that even though roots \(s_{1}\) and \(s_{2}\) will often be complex numbers, this causes no difficulty since MATLAB handles the complex arithmetic automatically (just as it does when the FFT transforms real function values into complex Fourier coefficients).

The harmonic response solution works satisfactorily for a general forcing function as long as the damping coefficient \(c\) is nonzero. A special situation can occur when \(c=0\), because the forcing function may resonate with the natural frequency of the undamped system. If \(c\) is zero, and for some \(n\) we have \(\sqrt{k / m}=2 \pi n / p\), a condition of harmonic resonance is produced and a value of zero in the denominator occurs when the corresponding \(y_{n}\) is computed. In the undamped resonant case the particular solution grows like \(\left[t e^{\imath \omega_{n} t}\right]\), quickly becoming large. Even when \(c\) is small and \(\sqrt{k / m} \approx 2 \pi n / p\), undesirably large values of \(y_{n}\) can result. Readers interested in the important phenomenon of resonance can find more detail in Meirovitch [68].

This example concludes by using a base motion resembling an actual earthquake excitation. Seismograph output employing about 2700 points recorded during the Imperial Valley, California, earthquake of 1940 provided the displacement history for Figure 6.3. The period used to describe the motion is 53.8 seconds. A program was written to analyze system response due to a simulated earthquake base excitation. The following program modules are used:
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline \hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
runimpv \\
fouaprox
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
sets data values and generates graphical results \\
generates Fourier series approximations for a general \\
function \\
piecewise linear function approximating the Imperial
\end{tabular} \\
shkbftss & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Valley earthquake data \\
computes steady-state displacement and acceleration \\
for a spring-mass-dashpot system subjected to base \\
motion expandable in a Fourier series \\
computes the homogeneous solution for the spring- \\
mass-dashpot system subjected to general initial con- \\
ditions
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Numerical results were obtained for a system having a natural period close to one second \((2 \pi / 6 \approx 1.047)\) and a damping factor of 5 percent. The function imptp was employed as an alternative to the actual seismograph data to provide a concisely expressible function which still embodies characteristics of a realistic base motion. Figure 6.4 shows a plot of function imptp along with its approximation by a twentyterm Fourier series. The series representation is surprisingly good considering the fact that such a small number of terms is used. The use of two-hundred terms gives an approximation which graphically does not deviate perceptibly from the actual function. Results showing how rapidly the Fourier coefficients diminish in magnitude with increasing order appear in Figure 6.5. The dynamical analysis produced displacement and acceleration values for the mass. Figure 6.6 shows both the total displacement as well as the displacement contributed from the homogeneous solution alone. Evidently, the steady-state harmonic response function captures well most of the motion, and the homogeneous part could probably be neglected without serious error. Figure 6.7 also shows the total acceleration of the mass which is, of course, proportional to the resultant force on the mass due to the base motion.

Before proceeding to the next example, the reader should be sure to appreciate the following important fact. Once a truncated Fourier series expansion of the forcing function using some appropriate number of terms is chosen, the truncated series defines an input function for which the response is computed exactly. If the user takes enough terms in the truncated series so that he/she is well satisfied with the function it approximates, then the computed response value for \(y(t)\) will also be acceptable. This situation is distinctly different from the more complicated type of approximations occurring when finite difference or finite element methods produce discrete approximations for continuous field problems. Understanding the effects of grid size discretization error is more complex than understanding the effects of series truncation in the example given here.


Figure 6.3: Normalized Base Displacement


Figure 6.4: Result from a 20-Term Fourier Series


Figure 6.5: Coefficient Magnitude in Base Motion Expansion


Figure 6.6: Total and Homogeneous Response


Figure 6.7: Acceleration Due to Base Oscillation

\section*{MATLAB Example}

\section*{Program runimpy}

1: function runimpv
2: \% Example: runimpv
\(\%\) ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
\% This is a driver program for the
\% earthquake example.
6: \%
7: \% User m functions required:
8: \% fouaprox, imptp, hsmck,
9: \% shkbftss, lintrp
\% Make the undamped period about one
\% second long
\(\mathrm{m}=1\); \(\mathrm{k}=36\);
\% Use damping equal to 5 percent of critical
\(c=.05 *(2 * \operatorname{sqrt}(m * k))\);
17:
```

% Choose a period equal to length of
% Imperial Valley earthquake data
prd=53.8;
nft=1024; tmin=0; tmax=prd;
ntimes=200; nsum=80; % ntimes=501; nsum=200;
tplt=linspace(0,prd,ntimes);
y20trm=fouaprox('imptp',prd,tplt,20);
plot(tplt,y20trm,'-',tplt,imptp(tplt),'--');
xlabel('time, seconds');
ylabel('unitized displacement');
title('Result from a 20-Term Fourier Series')
figure(gcf);
disp('Press [Enter] to continue');
dumy=input('','s');
% print -deps 20trmplt
% Show how magnitudes of Fourier coefficients
% decrease with increasing harmonic order
fcof=fft(imptp((0:1023)/1024,1))/1024;
clf; plot(abs(fcof(1:100)));
xlabel('harmonic order');
ylabel('coefficient magnitude');
title(['Coefficient Magnitude in Base ' ...
'Motion Expansion']); figure(gcf);
disp('Press [Enter] to continue');
dumy=input('','s');
% print -deps coefsize
% Compute forced response
[t,ys,ys0,vs0,as]= ...
shkbftss(m,c,k,'imptp',prd,nft,nsum, ...
tmin,tmax,ntimes);
% Compute homogeneous solution
[t,yh,ah]= ...
hsmck(m,c,k,-ys0,-vs0,tmin,tmax,ntimes);
% Obtain the combined solution
y=ys(:)+yh(:); a=as(:)+ah(:);
clf; plot(t,y,'-',t,yh,'--');
xlabel('time'); ylabel('displacement');
title('Total and Homogeneous Response');
legend('Total response','Homogeneous response');

```
```

figure(gcf);
disp('Press [Enter] to continue');
dumy=input('','s');
print -deps displac;
68: Clf; plot(t,a,'-');
9: xlabel('time') ; ylabel('acceleration')
title('Acceleration Due to Base Oscillation')
figure(gcf); print -deps accel
$\%===========================================$
function $y=$ fouaprox (func, per, $t, n s u m, n f t)$
\%
\% y=fouaprox(func,per,t,nsum,nft)
\%
\% Approximation of a function by a Fourier
\% series.
\%
\% func - function being expanded
$\%$ per - period of the function
$\%$ t - vector of times at which the series
\% is to be evaluated
\% nsum - number of terms summed in the series
$\%$ nft - number of function values used to
\% compute Fourier coefficients. This
$\% \quad$ should be an integer power of 2 .
$\% \quad$ The default is 1024
\%
\% User m functions called: none.
\%------------------------------------------------------1
if nargin<5, nft=1024; end;
nsum=min(nsum,fix(nft/2));
$c=f f t(f e v a l(f u n c, p e r / n f t *(0: n f t-1))) / n f t ;$
$c(1)=c(1) / 2 ; \quad c=c(:) ; c=c(1: n s u m) ;$
w=2*pi/per*(0:nsum-1);
$\mathrm{y}=2 * \mathrm{real}(\exp (\mathrm{i} * \mathrm{t}(:) * \mathrm{w}) * \mathrm{c})$;
$\%===========================================$
104: function ybase=imptp(t,period)
106: \% ybase=imptp(t,period)

```
67:
103:
105: \%
107: \%
\% This function defines a piecewise linear
109: \% function resembling the ground motion of
110: \% the earthquake which occurred in 1940 in
111: \% the Imperial Valley of California. The
112: \% maximum amplitude of base motion is
13: \% normalized to equal unity.
4: \%
115: \% period - period of the motion
\%
(optional argument)
117: \% t - vector of times between
\% \(\quad\) tmin and tmax
119: \% ybase - piecewise linearly interpolated
120: \% base motion
121: \%
\% User m functions called: lintrp
\%------------------------------------1
tft=[...
\begin{tabular}{rcrcrl}
0.00 & 1.26 & 2.64 & 4.01 & 5.10 & \(\ldots\) \\
5.79 & \(7.74 ;\) & 8.65 & 9.74 & 10.77 & \(\ldots\) \\
13.06 & 15.07 & 21.60 & \(25.49 ;\) & 27.38 & \(\ldots\) \\
31.56 & 34.94 & 36.66 & 38.03 & 40.67 & \(\ldots\) \\
\(41.87 ;\) & 48.40 & 51.04 & 53.80 & 0 & \(\ldots\)
\end{tabular}
    000 ]';
    yft=[...
\begin{tabular}{llcrcrl}
\({ }^{133:}\) & 0 & 0.92 & -0.25 & 1.00 & -0.29 & \(\ldots\) \\
\({ }^{134:}\) & 0.46 & \(-0.16 ;\) & -0.97 & -0.49 & -0.83 & \(\ldots\) \\
\({ }^{135:}\) & 0.95 & 0.86 & -0.76 & \(0.85 ;\) & -0.55 & \(\ldots\) \\
\({ }^{136}\) & 0.36 & -0.52 & -0.38 & 0.02 & -0.19 & \(\ldots\) \\
\({ }^{137}\) & \(0.08 ;\) & -0.26 & 0.24 & 0.00 & 0 & \(\ldots\)
\end{tabular}
138: 0 0 ]';
39: tft=tft(:); yft=yft(:);
    tft=tft(1:24); yft=yft(1:24);
    if nargin == 2
    tft=tft*period/max(tft);
    end
    ybase=lintrp(tft,yft,t);
145:
    \(\%==========================================\)
    function [t,ys,ys0,vs0,as]=...
        shkbftss(m, c,k,ybase, prd,nft,nsum, ...
            tmin, tmax, ntimes)
151: \%
152: \% [t,ys,ys0,vs0,as]=...

```

        nft=length(ybase); ybft=ybase(:)
    else
        tbft=prd/nft*(0:nft-1);
        ybft=fft(feval(ybase,tbft))/nft;
        ybft=ybft(:);
    end
    nsum=min(nsum,fix(nft/2)); ybft=ybft(1:nsum);
    w=2*pi/prd*(0:nsum-1);
    t=tmin+(tmax-tmin)/(ntimes-1)*(0:ntimes-1)';
    etw=exp(i*t*w); w=w(:);
    ysft=ybft.*(k+i*c*w)./(k+w.*(i*C-m*w));
    ysft(1)=ysft(1)/2;
    ys=2*real(etw*ysft); ys0=2*real(sum(ysft));
    vs0=2*real(sum(i*w.*ysft));
    if nargout > 4
    ysft=-ysft.*w.^2; as=2*real(etw*ysft);
    end
    %================================================
    function [t,yh,ah]= ...
        hsmck(m, c,k,y0,v0,tmin,tmax,ntimes)
    %
    % [t,yh,ah]=hsmck(m, c,k,y0,v0,tmin,tmax,ntimes)
    %
    % Solution of
    % m*yh''(t) + c*yh'(t) + k*yh(t) = 0
    % subject to initial conditions of
% yh(0) = y0 and yh'(0) = v0
%
%m,c,k - mass, damping and spring
% constants
% y0,v0 - initial position and velocity
% tmin,tmax - minimum and maximum times
% ntimes - number of times to evaluate
% solution
% t - vector of times
% yh - displacements for the
% homogeneous solution
% ah - accelerations for the
homogeneous solution
240: % User m functions called: none.
%------------------------------------------------

```
239: \%
241:
242:
```

t=tmin+(tmax-tmin)/(ntimes-1)*(0:ntimes-1);
$r=\operatorname{sqrt}(c * c-4 * m * k)$;
if $r^{\sim}=0$
$\mathrm{s} 1=(-\mathrm{c}+\mathrm{r}) /(2 * \mathrm{~m}) ; \mathrm{s} 2=(-\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{r}) /(2 * \mathrm{~m})$;
$\mathrm{g}=[1,1 ; \mathrm{s} 1, \mathrm{~s} 2] \backslash[\mathrm{y} 0 ; \mathrm{v} 0]$;
$\mathrm{yh}=\mathrm{real}(\mathrm{g}(1) * \exp (\mathrm{~s} 1 * \mathrm{t})+\mathrm{g}(2) * \exp (\mathrm{~s} 2 * \mathrm{t}))$;
if nargout > 2
ah=real (s1*s1*g(1)*exp(s1*t)+...
$s 2 * s 2 * g(2) * \exp (s 2 * t)) ;$
end
else
$\mathrm{s}=-\mathrm{c} /(2 * \mathrm{~m})$;
g1=y0; g2=v0-s*g1; yh=(g1+g2*t).*exp(s*t);
if nargout > 2
ah=real (s* $(2 * g 2+s * g 1+s * g 2 * t) . * \exp (s * t)) ;$
end
end
$\%============================================$
\% function $y=1$ intrp ( $x d, y d, x$ )
\% See Appendix B

```

\subsection*{6.2.3 General Program to Plot Fourier Expansions}

The final example in this chapter is a program to compute Fourier coefficients of general real valued functions and to display series with varying numbers of terms so that a user can see how rapidly such series converge. Since a truncated Fourier series is a continuous differentiable function, it cannot perfectly represent a discontinuous function such as a square wave. Near points where jump discontinuities occur, Fourier series approximations oscillate [18]. The same kind of behavior occurs less seriously near points of slope discontinuity. Adding more terms does not cure the problem at jump discontinuities. The behavior, known as Gibbs phenomenon, produces approximations which overshoot the function on either side of the discontinuity. Illustrations of this behavior appear below.

A program was written to expand real functions of arbitrary period using Fourier series approximations computed with the FFT. A piecewise linear function can be specified interactively by giving data points over a period. Alternatively, a function which is user defined can be employed. For instance, a function varying like a sine
curve with the bottom half cut off would be
```

function y=chopsine(x,period)
y=sin(pi*x/period) . *(x<period)

```

The program consists of the following functions.
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline \hline fouseris & main driver \\
sine & example for exact function input \\
lintrp & function for piecewise linear interpolation \\
fousum & sum a real valued Fourier series \\
read & reads several data items on one line \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Comments within the program illustrate how to input data interactively. Details of different input options can be found by executing the program.

Let us see how well the FFT approximates a function of period 3 defined by piecewise linear interpolation through \((x, y)\) values of \((0,1),(1,1),(1,-1),(2,-1),(3,1)\), and \((4,0)\). The function has jump discontinuities at \(x=0, x=1\), and \(x=4\). A slope discontinuity also occurs at \(x=3\). Program results using a twenty-term approximation appear in Figure 6.8. Results produced by 100- and 250 -term series plotted near \(x=1\) are shown in Figures 6.9 and 6.10. Clearly, adding more terms does not eliminate the oscillation. However, the oscillation at a jump discontinuity can be reduced with the Lanczos smoothing procedure. Results for a series of 250 terms smoothed over an interval equal to the period times 0.01 appear in Figure 6.11. The oscillation is reduced at the cost of replacing the infinite slope at a discontinuity point by a steep slope of fifty-to-one for this case. Figure 6.12 shows a plot produced using an exact function definition as indicated in the second program execution. The reader may find it instructive to investigate how well Fourier series converge by running the program for other function choices.


Figure 6.8: Fourier Series for Harmonics up to Order 20


Figure 6.9: Fourier Series for Harmonics up to Order 100


Figure 6.10: Fourier Series for Harmonics up to Order 250


Figure 6.11: Smoothed Fourier Series for Harmonics up to Order 250


Figure 6.12: Exact Function Example for Harmonics up to Order 20

\section*{Examples of Fourier Series Expansions}

\section*{Output for Piecewise Linear Example}
```

>> fouseris
FOURIER SERIES EXPANSION FOR A PIECEWISE LINEAR OR
ANALYTICALLY DEFINED FUNCTION
Input the period of the function
? > 4
Input the number of data points to define the function
by piecewise linear interpolation (input a zero if the
function is defined analytically by the user).
? > 6
Input the x,y values one pair per line
? > 0,1
? > 1,1
? > 1,-1
? > 2,-1
? > 3,1
? > 4,0
To plot the series input xmin, xmax, and the highest
harmonic not exceeding 255 (input 0,0,0 to stop)
(Use a negative harmonic number to save your graph)
? > 0,4,20
To plot the series smoothed over a fraction of the
period, input the smoothing fraction
(give 0.0 for no smoothing).
? > 0
Press RETURN to continue
To plot the series input xmin, xmax, and the highest
harmonic not exceeding 255 (input 0,0,0 to stop)
(Use a negative harmonic number to save your graph)
? > 0,0,0

```

\section*{Output for Analytically Defined Example}
```

>> fouseris

```
```

FOURIER SERIES EXPANSION FOR A PIECEWISE LINEAR ORANALYTICALLY DEFINED FUNCTION
Input the period of the function
? > pi/2
Input the number of data points to define the function
by piecewise linear interpolation (input a zero if the
function is defined analytically by the user).
? > 0
Select the method used for exact function definition:
1 <=> Use an existing function with syntax defined by
the following example:
function y=sine(x,period)
%
% y=sine(x,period)
%
% This function specifies all or part of
% a sine wave.
%
% x - vector of argument values
% period - period of the function
% y - vector of function values
%
% User m functions called: none
%------------------------------------------------
y=sin(rem(x,period));
or
2 <=> Use a one-line character string definition
involving argument x and period p. For example a sine
wave with the bottom cut off would be defined by:
sin(x*2*pi/p).*(x<p/2)
1 or 2 ? > 1
Enter the name of your function
? > sine
To plot the series input xmin, xmax, and the highest
harmonic not exceeding 255 (input 0,0,0 to stop)

```
```

(Use a negative harmonic number to save your graph)
? > 0,pi,-20

```
```

To plot the series smoothed over a fraction of the
period, input the smoothing fraction
(give 0.0 for no smoothing).
? > 0

```
Give a file name to save the current graph >
exactplt
Press RETURN to continue
To plot the series input xmin, xmax, and the highest
harmonic not exceeding 255 (input 0,0,0 to stop)
(Use a negative harmonic number to save your graph)
    ? > 0,0,0

\section*{Fourier Series Program fouseris}

1: function fouseris
2: \% Example: fouseris
3: \% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
4: \% This program illustrates the convergence rate
5: \% of Fourier series approximations derived by
6: \% applying the FFT to a general function which
7: \% may be specified either by piecewise linear
\% interpolation in a data table or by
\% analytical definition in a function given by
\% the user. The linear interpolation model
\% permits inclusion of jump discontinuities.
\% Series having varying numbers of terms can
\% be graphed to demonstrate Gibbs phenomenon
\% and to show how well the truncated Fourier
\(\%\) series represents the original function.
\% Provision is made to plot the Fourier series
\(\%\) of the original function or a smoothed
\% function derived by averaging the original
\% function over an arbitrary fraction of the
\% total period.
\%
\% User m functions required:
: \% fousum, lintrp, inputv, sine
```

\% The following parameters control the number
$\%$ of fft points used and the number of points
\% used for graphing.
nft=1024; ngph=1001; nmax=int2str(nft/2-1);
fprintf('\nFOURIER SERIES EXPANSION FOR');
fprintf(' A PIECEWISE LINEAR OR');
fprintf('\n ANALYTICALLY DEFINED ');
fprintf('FUNCTION $\backslash n^{\prime}$ );
fprintf('\nInput the period of the function\n');
period=input('? > ');
xfc=(period/nft)*(0:nft-1)';
fprintf('\nHow many points define the function');
fprintf('\nby piecewise linear interpolation?');
fprintf('\n(Give a zero for analytical definition) \n')
nd=input('> ? ');
if nd > 0, xd=zeros(nd,1); yd=xd;
fprintf('\nInput the $x, y$ values one ');
fprintf('pair per line\n');
for $\mathrm{j}=1$ :nd
[xd(j),yd(j)]=inputv('> ? ');
end
\% Use nft interpolated data points to
\% compute the fft
$y f c=l i n t r p(x d, y d, x f c) ; c=f f t(y f c) ;$
else
fprintf('\nSelect the method for ');
fprintf('analytical function definition: ${ }^{\prime}$ ');
fprintf(' $\backslash n 1 \ll>$ Use an existing function ');
fprintf('with syntax of the form:');
fprintf('\nfunction $y=f u n c t(x, p e r i o d)$, or $\backslash n ')$;
fprintf(['\n2 <=> Give a character string ',...
'in argument $x$ and period p.'])
fprintf(['\n(Such as: sign(sin(2*pi*x/p)) '...
'to make a square wave) \n'])
nopt=input('Enter 1 or 2 ? > ');
if nopt == 1
fprintf('\nEnter the name of your ');
fprintf('function\n');
fnam=input('> ? ','s');
$y f c=f e v a l(f n a m, x f c, p e r i o d) ; ~ c=f f t(y f c) ;$
else

```
```

    fprintf('\nInput the one-line definition');
        fprintf(' in terms of }x\mathrm{ and p\n');
        strng=input('> ? ','s');
        x=xfc; p=period;
        yfc=eval(strng); c=fft(yfc);
        end
    end
while 1
fprintf('\nTo plot the series input xmin,');
fprintf(' xmax, and the highest');
fprintf(['\nharmonic not exceeding ', ...
nmax,' (press [Enter] to stop)']);
fprintf('\n(Use a negative harmonic number');
fprintf(' to save your graph)\n');
[xl,xu,nh]=inputv('> ? ');
if isnan(xl), break; end
pltsav=(nh < 0); nh=abs(nh);
xtmp=xl+((xu-xl)/ngph)*(0:ngph);
fprintf('\nTo plot the series smoothed ');
fprintf('over a fraction of the');
fprintf('\nperiod, input the smoothing ');
fprintf('fraction');
fprintf('\n(give 0.0 for no smoothing).\n');
alpha=input('> ? ');
yfou=fousum(c,xtmp,period,nh,alpha);
xxtmp=xtmp; idneg=find(xtmp<0);
xng=abs(xtmp(idneg));
xxtmp(idneg)=xxtmp(idneg)+ ...
period*ceil(xng/period);
if nd>0
yexac=lintrp(xd,yd,rem(xxtmp,period));
else
if nopt == 1
yexac=feval(fnam,xtmp,period);
else
x=xxtmp; yexac=eval(strng);
end
end
in=int2str(nh);
if alpha == 0
titl=['Fourier Series for Harmonics ' ...
'up to Order ',in];
else
titl=['Smoothed Fourier Series for ' ...

```
y=sin(rem(x,period));
%==============================================
143:
144: function yreal=fousum(c,x,period,k,alpha)
145: %
46: % yreal = fousum(c,x,period,k,alpha)
147: %
148:% Sum the Fourier series of a real
149: % valued function.
150: %
151: x - The vector of real values at
152:% which the series is evaluated.
153: % c - A vector of length n containing
154:% Fourier coefficients output by
155: % the fft function
156: % period - The period of the function
157:% k - The highest harmonic used in
158:% the Fourier sum. This must
```



204: \% See Appendix B
205:
206:

207:
208: \% function varargout=inputv(prompt)
209: \% See Appendix B

## Chapter 7

## Dynamic Response of Linear Second Order Systems

### 7.1 Solving the Structural Dynamics Equations for Periodic Applied Forces

The dynamics of a linear structure subjected to periodic forces obeys the matrix differential equation

$$
M \ddot{X}+C \dot{X}+K X=F(t)
$$

with initial conditions

$$
X(0)=D_{0}, \dot{X}(0)=V_{0} .
$$

The solution vector $X(t)$ has dimension $n$ and $M, C$, and $K$ are real square matrices of order $n$. The mass matrix, $M$, the damping matrix, $C$, and the stiffness matrix, $K$, are all real. The forcing function $F(t)$, assumed to be real and having period $L$, can be approximated by a finite trigonometric series as

$$
F(t)=\sum_{k=-N}^{N} c_{k} e^{\imath \omega_{k} t} \text { where } \omega_{k}=2 \pi k / L
$$

and $\imath=\sqrt{-1}$. The Fourier coefficients $c_{k}$ are vectors that can be computed using the FFT. The fact that $F(t)$ is real also implies that $c_{-k}=\operatorname{conj}\left(c_{k}\right)$ and, therefore,

$$
F(t)=c_{0}+2 \text { real }\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k} e^{\imath \omega_{k} t}\right) .
$$

The solution of the differential equation is naturally resolvable into two distinct parts. The first is the so called particular or forced response which is periodic and has the same general mathematical form as the forcing function. Hence, we write

$$
X_{p}=\sum_{k=-n}^{n} X_{k} e^{\imath \omega_{k} t}=X_{0}+2 \operatorname{real}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} X_{k} e^{\imath \omega_{k} t}\right) .
$$

Substituting this series into the differential equation and matching coefficients of $e^{\imath \omega_{k} t}$ on both sides yields

$$
X_{k}=\left(K-\omega_{k}^{2} M+\imath \omega_{k} C\right)^{-1} c_{k} .
$$

The particular solution satisfies initial conditions given by

$$
X_{p}(0)=X_{0}+2 \text { real }\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k}\right) \text { and } \dot{X}_{p}(0)=2 \operatorname{real}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \imath \omega_{k} c_{k}\right) .
$$

Since these conditions usually will not equal the desired values, the particular solution must be combined with what is called the homogeneous or transient solution $X_{h}$, where

$$
M \ddot{X}_{h}+c \dot{X}_{h}+K X_{h}=0
$$

with

$$
X_{h}(0)=D_{0}-X_{p}(0), \dot{X}_{h}(0)=V_{0}-\dot{X}_{p}(0)
$$

The homogeneous solution can be constructed by reducing the original differential equation to first order form. Let $Z$ be the vector of dimension $2 n$ which is the concatenation of $X$ and $\dot{X}=V$. Hence, $Z=[X ; V]$ and the original equation of motion is

$$
\frac{d Z}{d t}=A Z+P(t)
$$

where

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & I \\
-M^{-1} K & -M^{-1} C
\end{array}\right] \text { and } P=\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
m^{-1} F
\end{array}\right]
$$

The homogeneous differential equation resulting when $P=0$ can be solved in terms of the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of matrix $A$. If we know the eigenvalues $\lambda_{j}$ and eigenvectors $U_{j}$ satisfying

$$
A U_{\jmath}=\lambda_{\jmath} U_{\jmath}, 1 \leq \jmath \leq 2 n
$$

then the homogeneous solution can be written as

$$
Z=\sum_{\jmath=1}^{2 n} z_{\jmath} U_{\jmath} e^{i \omega_{\jmath} t}
$$

The weighting coefficients $z_{\jmath}$ are computed to satisfy the desired initial conditions which require

$$
\left[U_{1}, U_{2}, \cdots, U_{2 n}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
z_{1} \\
\vdots \\
z_{2 n}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
X_{0}-X_{p}(0) \\
V_{0}-\dot{X}_{p}(0)
\end{array}\right]
$$

We solve this system of equations for $z_{1}, \cdots, z_{2 n}$ and replace each $U_{\jmath}$ by $z_{\jmath} U_{\jmath}$. Then the homogeneous solution is

$$
X_{h}=\sum_{\jmath=1}^{n} U_{\jmath}(1: n) e^{\lambda_{\jmath} t}
$$

where $U_{\jmath}(1: n)$ means we take only the first $n$ elements of column $\jmath$.
In most practical situations, the matrix $C$ is nonzero and the eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}, \cdots$, $\lambda_{2 n}$ have negative real parts. Then the exponential terms $e^{\lambda_{\rho} t}$ all decay with increasing time, which is why $X_{h}$ is often known as the transient solution. In other cases, where the damping matrix $C$ is zero, the eigenvalues $\lambda_{\jmath}$ are typically purely imaginary, and the homogeneous solution does not die out. In either instance, it is often customary in practical situations to ignore the homogeneous solution because it is usually small when compared to the contribution of the particular solution.

### 7.1.1 Application to Oscillations of a Vertically Suspended Cable

Let us solve the problem of small transverse vibrations of a vertically suspended cable. This system illustrates how the natural frequencies and mode shapes of a linear system can be combined to satisfy general initial conditions on position and velocity.

The cable in Figure 7.1 is idealized as a series of $n$ rigid links connected at frictionless joints. Two vectors, consisting of link lengths $\left[\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}, \cdots, \ell_{n}\right]$ and masses [ $m_{1}, m_{2}, \cdots, m_{n}$ ] lumped at the joints, characterize the system properties. The accelerations in the vertical direction will be negligibly small compared to transverse accelerations, because the transverse displacements are small. Consequently, the tension in the chain will remain close to the static equilibrium value. This means the tension in link $\imath$ is

$$
T_{\imath}=g b_{\imath} \text { where } b_{\imath}=\sum_{\jmath=\imath}^{n} m_{\jmath} .
$$

We assume that the transverse displacement $y_{\imath}$ for mass $m_{\imath}$ is small compared to the total length of the cable. A free body diagram for mass $\imath$ is shown in Figure 7.2. The small deflection angles are related to the transverse deflections by $\theta_{\imath+1}=\left(y_{\imath+1}-y_{\imath}\right) \ell_{\imath+1}$ and $\theta_{\imath}=\left(y_{\imath}-y_{\imath-1}\right) / \ell_{\imath}$. Summation of forces shows that the horizontal acceleration is governed by

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{\imath} \ddot{y}_{\imath} & =g\left(b_{\imath+1} / \ell_{\imath+1}\right)\left(y_{\imath+1}-y_{\imath}\right)-g\left(b_{\imath} / \ell_{\imath}\right)\left(y_{\imath}-y_{\imath-1}\right) \\
& =g\left(b_{\imath} / \ell_{\imath}\right) y_{\imath-1}-g\left(b_{\imath} / \ell_{\imath}+b_{\imath+1} / \ell_{\imath+1}\right) y_{\imath}+g\left(b_{\imath+1} / \ell_{\imath+1}\right) y_{\imath+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In matrix form this equation is

$$
M \ddot{Y}+K Y=0
$$

where $M$ is a diagonal matrix of mass coefficients and $K$ is a symmetric tridiagonal matrix. The natural modes of free vibration are dynamical states where each element of the system simultaneously moves with harmonic motion of the same frequency. This means we seek motions of the form $Y=U \cos (\omega t)$, or equivalently $Y=$ $U \sin (\omega t)$, which implies

$$
K U_{\jmath}=\lambda_{\jmath} M U_{\jmath} \text { where } \lambda_{\jmath}=\omega_{\jmath}^{2} \text { for } 1 \leq \jmath \leq n .
$$



Figure 7.1: Transverse Cable Vibration

Solving the eigenvalue problem $\left(M^{-1} K\right) U=\lambda U$ gives the natural frequencies $\omega_{1}, \cdots, \omega_{n}$ and the modal vectors $U_{1}, \cdots, U_{n}$. The response to general initial conditions is then obtained by superposition of the component modes. We write

$$
Y=\sum_{\jmath=1}^{n} \cos \left(\omega_{\jmath} t\right) U_{\jmath} c_{\jmath}+\sin \left(\omega_{\jmath} t\right) U_{\jmath} d_{\jmath} / \omega_{\jmath}
$$

where the coefficients $c_{1}, \cdots, c_{n}$ and $d_{1}, \cdots, d_{n}$ (not to be confused with Fourier coefficients) are determined from the initial conditions as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[U_{1}, \cdots, U_{n}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
c_{1} \\
\vdots \\
c_{n}
\end{array}\right]=Y(0), c=U^{-1} Y(0),} \\
& {\left[U_{1}, \cdots, U_{n}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
d_{1} \\
\vdots \\
d_{n}
\end{array}\right]=\dot{Y}(0), d=U^{-1} \dot{Y}(0) .}
\end{aligned}
$$

The following program determines the cable response for general initial conditions. The natural frequencies and mode shapes are computed along with an animation of the motion.

The cable motion produced when an initially vertical system is given the same initial transverse velocity for all masses was studied. Graphical results of the analysis appear in Figures 7.3 through 7.6. The surface plot in Figure 7.3 shows the cable deflection pattern in terms of longitudinal position and time. Figure 7.4 shows the deflection pattern at two times. Figure 7.5 traces the motion of the middle and the


Figure 7.2: Forces on $\imath$ 'th Mass
free end. At $t=1$, the wave propagating downward from the support point is about halfway down the cable. By $t=2$, the wave has reached the free end and the cable is about to swing back. Finally, traces of cable positions during successive stages of motion appear in Figure 7.6.


Figure 7.3: Surface Showing Cable Deflection


Figure 7.4: Cable Transverse Deflection at $t=1$ and $t=2$


Figure 7.5: Position Versus Time for the Cable Middle and End

Trace of Linearized Cable Motion


Figure 7.6: Trace of Cable Motion

## MATLAB Example

## Program cablinea

```
function cablinea
% Example: cablinea
% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
% This program uses modal superposition to
% compute the dynamic response of a cable
% suspended at one end and free at the other.
% The cable is given a uniform initial
% velocity. Time history plots and animation
% of the motion are provided.
%
% User m functions required:
% cablemk, udfrevib, canimate
% Initialize graphics
hold off; axis('normal'); close;
% Set physical parameters
n=30; gravty=1.; masses=ones(n,1)/n;
lengths=ones(n,1)/n;
% Obtain mass and stiffness matrices
[m,k]=cablemk(masses,lengths,gravty);
% Assign initial conditions & time limit
% for solution
dsp=zeros(n,1); vel=ones(n,1);
tmin=0; tmax=10; ntim=30;
% Compute the solution by modal superposition
[t,u,modvc,natfrq]=...
    udfrevib(m,k,dsp,vel,tmin,tmax,ntim);
% Interpret results graphically
nt1=sum(t<=tmin); nt2=sum(t<=tmax);
u=[zeros(ntim,1),u];
y=cumsum(lengths); y=[0;y(:)];
% Plot deflection surface
disp(' '), disp('TRANSVERSE MOTION OF A CABLE')
surf(y,t,u); xlabel('y axis'); ylabel('time');
```

```
zlabel('transverse deflection');
title('Surface Showing Cable Deflection');
colormap('default'), view([30,30]); figure(gcf);
disp(['Press [Enter] to see the cable ',...
    'position at two times'])
pause, %print -deps surface
% Show deflection configuration at two times
% Use closer time increment than was used
% for the surface plots.
mtim=4*ntim;
[tt,uu,modvc,natfrq]=...
    udfrevib(m,k,dsp,vel,tmin,tmax,mtim);
    uu=[zeros(mtim, 1),uu];
    tp1=.1*tmax; tp2=.2*tmax;
    s1=num2str(tp1); s2=num2str(tp2);
    np1=sum(tt<=tp1); np2=sum(tt<=tp2);
    u1=uu(np1,:); u2=uu(np2,:);
yp=flipud(y(:)); ym=max(yp);
plot(u1,yp,'-',u2,yp,'--');
ylabel('distance from bottom');
xlabel('transverse displacement');
title(['Cable Transverse Deflection ' ...
    'at t = ',s1,' and t = ',s2]);
legend('t = 1', 't = 2');
xm=.2*max([u1(:);u2(:)]);
ntxt=int2str(n); n2=1+fix(n/2);
str=strvcat(...
'The cable was initially vertical and was',...
    'given a uniform transverse velocity.',...
    ['A ',ntxt,' link model was used.']);
    text(xm,.9*ym,str), figure(gcf);
    disp(['Press [Enter] to show the time ',...
    'response at the middle and free end'])
    pause, %print -deps twoposn
    % Plot time history for the middle and the end
    clf; plot(tt,uu(:,n2),'--',tt,uu(:,n+1),'-');
    xlabel('dimensionless time');
    ylabel('transverse displacement');
    title(['Position versus Time for the ' ...
    'Cable Middle and End'])
    legend('Midpoint','Lower end');
    figure(gcf);
```

```
disp('Press [Enter] for a motion trace')
pause, %print -deps 2timhist
% Plot animation of motion history
clf; canimate(y,u,t,0,.5*max(t),1);
%print -deps motntrac
disp('Press [Enter] to finish'), pause, close;
%===============================================
function [m,k]=cablemk(masses,lngths,gravty)
%
% [m,k]=cablemk(masses,lngths,gravty)
% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
% Form the mass and stiffness matrices for
% the cable.
%
% masses - vector of masses
% lngths - vector of link lengths
% gravty - gravity constant
% m,k - mass and stiffness matrices
%
% User m functions called: none.
%---------------------------------------------------
m=diag(masses);
b=flipud(cumsum(flipud(masses(:))))* ...
    gravty./lngths;
n=length(masses); k=zeros(n,n); k(n,n)=b(n);
for i=1:n-1
    k(i,i)=b(i)+b(i+1); k(i,i+1)=-b(i+1);
    k(i+1,i)=k(i,i+1);
end
%==============================================
function [t,u,mdvc,natfrq]=...
                                    udfrevib(m,k,u0,v0,tmin,tmax,nt)
%
% [t,u,mdvc,natfrq]= ...
                                udfrevib(m,k,u0,v0,tmin,tmax,nt)
128: % This function computes undamped natural
129: % frequencies, modal vectors, and time response
130: % by modal superposition. The matrix
```

126: \%
127: \%

## 131:

## 132:

133:
134: \%
$m u^{\prime},+k u=0, u(0)=u 0, u^{\prime}(0)=v 0$
135:
36: \% m,k - mass and stiffness matrices
137: \% u0,v0 - initial position and velocity
\% vectors
\% tmin,tmax - time limits for solution
\% evaluation
$\%$ nt - number of times for solution
$\%$ t - vector of solution times
\% u - matrix with row j giving the
\%
\% mdvc - matrix with columns which are
\% modal vectors
\% natfrq - vector of natural frequencies
\%
\% User m functions called: none.
\%------------------------------------------------------1
\% Call function eig to compute modal vectors
\% and frequencies
[mdvc, w] =eig(m\k);
[w,id]=sort(diag(w)); w=sqrt(w);
\% Arrange frequencies in ascending order

mdvc=mdvc(:,id); z=mdvc\[u0(:),v0(:)];
\% Generate vector of equidistant times
t=linspace(tmin,tmax, nt);
\% Evaluate the displacement as a
\% function of time
$u=(m d v c * \operatorname{diag}(z(:, 1))) * \cos (w * t)+\ldots$
(mdvc*diag (z(:, 2)./w))*sin (w*t);
t=t (:) ; u=u'; natfrq=w;
$\%===========================================$
function canimate(y,u,t,tmin,tmax, norub)
172: \%
173: \% canimate (y,u,t,tmin,tmax, norub)
174: \% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
175: \% This function draws an animated plot of

```
17. % daca values stored in array u. The
177: % different columns of u correspond to position
178: % values in vector y. The successive rows of u
179: % correspond to different times. Parameter
180: % tpause controls the speed of the animation.
181: %
182: % u - matrix of values for which
183: %
184: %
animated plots of u versus y
are required
- spatial positions for different
    columns of u
- time vector at which positions
are known
time limits for graphing of the
solution
%
- parameter which makes all
position images remain on the
screen. Only one image at a
time shows if norub is left out.
A new cable position appears each
time the user presses any key
%
%
% User m functions called: none.
%--------------------------------------------------
% If norub is input,
% all images are left on the screen
if nargin < 6
    rubout = 1;
else
    rubout = 0;
    end
    % Determine window limits
    umin=min(u(:)); umax=max(u(:)); udif=umax-umin;
    uavg=.5*(umin+umax);
    ymin=min(y); ymax=max(y); ydif=ymax-ymin;
    yavg=.5*(ymin+ymax);
    ywmin=yavg-.55*ydif; ywmax=yavg+.55*ydif;
    uwmin=uavg-.55*udif; uwmax=uavg+.55*udif;
    n1=sum(t<=tmin); n2=sum(t<=tmax);
    t=t(n1:n2); u=u(n1:n2,:);
    u=fliplr (u); [ntime,nxpts]=size(u);
219:
220: hold off; cla; ey=0; eu=0; axis('square');
```

```
axis([uwmin,uwmax,ywmin,ywmax]);
axis off; hold on;
title('Trace of Linearized Cable Motion');
% Plot successive positions
for j=1:ntime
    ut=u(j,:); plot(ut,y,'-');
    figure(gcf); pause(.5);
    % Erase image before next one appears
    if rubout & j < ntime, cla, end
end
```


### 7.2 Direct Integration Methods

Using stepwise integration methods to solve the structural dynamics equation provides an alternative to frequency analysis methods. If we invert the mass matrix and save the result for later use, the $n$ degree-of-freedom system can be expressed concisely as a first order system in $2 n$ unknowns for a vector $z=[x ; v]$, where $v$ is the time derivative of $x$. The system can be solved by applying the variable step-size differential equation integrator ode45 as indicated in the following function:

```
function [t,x]=strdynrk(t,x0,v0,m,c,k,functim)
% [t,x]=strdynrk(t,x0,v0,m,c,k,functim)
global Mi C K F n n1 n2
Mi=inv(m); C=c; K=k; F=functim;
n=size(m,1); n1=1:n; n2=n+1:2*n;
[t,z]=ode45(@sde,t,[x0(:);v0(:)]); x=z(:,n1);
%=================================
function zp=sde(t,z)
global Mi C K F n n1 n2
zp=[z(n2); Mi*(feval(F,t)-C*z(n2)-K*z(n1))];
%=================================
function f=func(t)
% m=eye(3,3); k=[2,-1,0;-1,2,-1;0,-1,2];
% C=.05*k;
f=[-1;0;1]*sin(1.413*t);
```

In this function, the inverted mass matrix is stored in a global variable $M i$, the damping and stiffness matrices are in $C$ and $K$, and the forcing function name is stored in a character string called functim. Although this approach is easy to im-
plement, the resulting analysis can be very time consuming for systems involving several hundred degrees of freedom. Variable step integrators make adjustments to control stability and accuracy which can require very small integration steps. Consequently, less sophisticated formulations employing fixed step-size are often employed in finite element programs. We will investigate two such algorithms derived from trapezoidal integration rules [7,113]. The two fundamental integration formulas [26] needed are:

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t=\frac{h}{2}[f(a)+f(b)]-\frac{h^{3}}{12} f^{\prime \prime}\left(\epsilon_{1}\right)
$$

and

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t=\frac{h}{2}[f(a)+f(b)]+\frac{h^{2}}{12}\left[f^{\prime}(a)-f^{\prime}(b)\right]+\frac{h^{5}}{720} f^{(4)}\left(\epsilon_{2}\right)
$$

where $a<\epsilon_{i}<b$ and $h=b-a$. The first formula, called the trapezoidal rule, gives a zero truncation error term when applied to a linear function. Similarly, the second formula, called the trapezoidal rule with end correction, has a zero final term for a cubic integrand.

The idea is to multiply the differential equation by $d t$, integrate from $t$ to $(t+h)$, and employ numerical integration formulas while observing that $M, C$, and $K$ are constant matrices, or

$$
M \int_{t}^{t+h} \dot{V} d t+C \int_{t}^{t+h} \dot{X} d t+K \int_{t}^{t+h} X d t=\int_{t}^{t+h} P(t) d t
$$

and

$$
\int_{t}^{t+h} \dot{X} d t=\int_{t}^{t+h} V d t
$$

For brevity we utilize a notation characterized by $X(t)=X_{0}, X(t+h)=X_{1}$, $\tilde{X}=X_{1}-X_{0}$. The trapezoidal rule immediately leads to

$$
\left[M+\frac{h}{2} C+\frac{h^{2}}{4} K\right] \tilde{V}=\int_{t}^{t+h} P(t) d t-h\left[C V_{0}+K\left(X_{0}+\frac{h}{2} V_{0}\right)\right]+O\left(h^{3}\right)
$$

The last equation is a balance of impulse and momentum change involving the effective mass matrix

$$
M_{e}=\left[M+\frac{h}{2} C+\frac{h^{2}}{4} K\right]
$$

which can be inverted once and used repeatedly if the step-size is not changed.
To integrate the forcing function we can use the midpoint rule [26] which states that

$$
\int_{a}^{b} P(t) d t=h P\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)+O\left(h^{3}\right) .
$$

Solving for $\tilde{V}$ yields

$$
\tilde{V}=\left[M+\frac{h}{2} C+\frac{h^{2}}{4} K\right]^{-1}\left[P\left(t+\frac{h}{2}\right)-C V_{0}-K\left(X_{0}+\frac{h}{2} V_{0}\right) h\right]+O\left(h^{3}\right)
$$

The velocity and position at $(t+h)$ are then computed as

$$
V_{1}=V_{0}+\tilde{V}, X_{1}=X_{0}+\frac{h}{2}\left[V_{0}+V_{1}\right]+O\left(h^{3}\right)
$$

A more accurate formula with truncation error of order $h^{5}$ can be developed from the extended trapezoidal rule. This leads to

$$
M \tilde{V}+C \tilde{X}+K\left[\frac{h}{2}\left(\tilde{X}+2 X_{0}\right)-\frac{h^{2}}{12} \tilde{V}\right]=\int_{t}^{t+h} P(t) d t+O\left(h^{5}\right)
$$

and

$$
\tilde{X}=\frac{h}{2}\left[\tilde{V}+2 V_{0}\right]+\frac{h^{2}}{12}\left[\dot{V}_{0}-\dot{V}_{1}\right]+O\left(h^{5}\right)
$$

Multiplying the last equation by $M$ and employing the differential equation to reduce the $\dot{V}_{0}-\dot{V}_{1}$ terms gives

$$
M \tilde{X}=\frac{h}{2} M\left[\tilde{V}+2 V_{0}\right]+\frac{h^{2}}{12}[-\tilde{P}+C \tilde{V}+K \tilde{X}]+O\left(h^{5}\right)
$$

These results can be arranged into a single matrix equation to be solved for $\tilde{X}$ and $\tilde{V}$ :

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-\left(\frac{h}{2} M+\frac{h^{2}}{12} C\right) & \left(M-\frac{h^{2}}{12} K\right) \\
\left(M-\frac{h^{2}}{12} K\right) & \left(C+\frac{h}{2} K\right)
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\tilde{V} \\
\tilde{X}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
h M V_{0}+\frac{h^{2}}{12}\left(P_{0}-P_{1}\right) \\
\int P d t-h K X_{0}
\end{array}\right]+O\left(h^{5}\right)
$$

A Gauss two-point formula [26] evaluates the force integral consistent with the desired error order so that

$$
\int_{t}^{t+h} P(t) d t=\frac{h}{2}[P(t+\alpha h)+P(t+\beta h)]+O\left(h^{5}\right)
$$

where $\alpha=\frac{3-\sqrt{3}}{6}$ and $\beta=\frac{3+\sqrt{3}}{6}$.

### 7.2.1 Example on Cable Response by Direct Integration

Functions implementing the last two algorithms appear in the following program which solves the previously considered cable dynamics example by direct integration. Questions of computational efficiency and numerical accuracy are examined for two different step-sizes. Figures 7.7 and 7.8 present solution times as multiples of the times needed for a modal response solution. The accuracy measures employed


Figure 7.7: Solution Error for Implicit 2nd Order Integrator
are described next. Note that the displacement response matrix has rows describing system positions at successive times. Consequently, a measure of the difference between approximate and exact solutions is given by the vector

```
error_vector = \bsqrt(\bsum(((x_aprox-x_exact).^2)'));
```

Typically this vector has small initial components (near $t=0$ ) and larger components (near the final time). The error measure is compared for different integrators and time steps in the figures. Note that the fourth order integrator is more efficient than the second order integrator because a larger integration step can be taken without excessive loss in accuracy. Using $h=0.4$ for mckde4i achieved nearly the same accuracy as that given by mckde $2 \mathbf{i}$ with $h=0.067$. However, the computation time for mckde2i was several times as large as that for mckde4i.

In the past it has been traditional to use only second order methods for solving the structural dynamics equation. This may have been dictated by considerations on computer memory. Since workstations widely available today have relatively large memories and can invert a matrix of order two hundred in about half a second, it appears that use of high order integrators may gain in popularity.

The following computer program concludes our chapter on the solution of linear,


Figure 7.8: Solution Error for Implicit 4th Order Integrator
constant-coefficient matrix differential equations. Then we will study, in the next chapter, the Runge-Kutta method for integrating nonlinear problems.

## MATLAB Example

## Program deislner

```
sfunction deislner
%
% Example: deislner
% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
% Solution error for simulation of cable
% motion using a second or a fourth order
% implicit integrator.
%
% This program uses implicit second or fourth
% order integrators to compute the dynamical
% response of a cable which is suspended at
% one end and is free at the other end. The
% cable is given a uniform initial velocity.
% A plot of the solution error is given for
% two cases where approximate solutions are
% generated using numerical integration rather
% than modal response which is exact.
%
% User m functions required:
% mckde2i, mckde4i, cablemk, udfrevib,
% plterror
% Choose a model having twenty links of
% equal length
fprintf(...
'\nPlease wait: solution takes a while\n')
clear all
n=20; gravty=1.; n2=1+fix(n/2);
masses=ones(n,1)/n; lengths=ones(n,1)/n;
% First generate the exact solution by
% modal superposition
[m,k]=cablemk(masses,lengths,gravty);
c=zeros(size(m));
dsp=zeros(n,1); vel=ones(n,1);
t0=0; tfin=50; ntim=126; h=(tfin-t0)/(ntim-1);
% Numbers of repetitions each solution is
% performed to get accurate cpu times for
```

```
\% the chosen step sizes are shown below.
\% Parameter jmr may need to be increased to
\% give reliable cpu times on fast computers
\(j m r=500\);
j2=fix(jmr/50); J2=fix(jmr/25);
j4=fix(jmr/20); J4=fix(jmr/10);
\% Loop through all solutions repeatedly to
\% obtain more reliable timing values on fast
\% computers
tic;
for \(\mathrm{j}=1: \mathrm{jmr}\);
    [tmr, xmr]=udfrevib(m,k,dsp,vel,t0,tfin,ntim);
end
tcpmr=toc/jmr;
\% Second order implicit results
i2=10; h2=h/i2; tic;
for \(\mathrm{j}=1\) : j 2
    [t2, x2] =mckde2i(m, c,k,t0,dsp,vel,tfin,h2,i2);
end
tcp2=toc/j2; tr2=tcp2/tcpmr;
I2=5; H2=h/I2; tic;
for \(\mathrm{j}=1\) : J2
    [T2,X2]=mckde2i(m, c, k,t0,dsp,vel,tfin,H2,I2);
end
Tcp2=toc/J2; Tr2=Tcp2/tcpmr;
\% Fourth order implicit results
i4=2; h4=h/i4; tic;
for \(\mathrm{j}=1\) : j 4
    \([t 4, \mathrm{x} 4]=\mathrm{mckde} 4 \mathrm{i}(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{t} 0, \mathrm{dsp}, \mathrm{vel}, \mathrm{tfin}, \mathrm{h} 4, \mathrm{i} 4)\);
end
tcp4=toc/j4; tr4=tcp4/tcpmr;
I4=1; H4=h/I4; tic;
for \(\mathrm{j}=1\) : J4
    [T4, X4]=mckde4i(m, c, k,t0, dsp, vel,tfin, H4, I4) ;
end
Tcp4=toc/J4; Tr4=Tcp4/tcpmr;
\% Plot error measures for each solution
plterror (xmr,t2,h2,x2,T2,H2,X2,...
```

```
        t4,h4,x4,T4,H4,X4,tr2,Tr2,tr4,Tr4)
%==============================================
function [t,x,tcp] = ...
    mckde2i(m, c,k,t0, x0,v0,tmax,h,incout,forc)
%
% [t,x,tcp]= ...
    mckde2i(m, c,k,t0,x0,v0,tmax,h,incout,forc)
% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
% This function uses a second order implicit
% integrator % to solve the matrix differential
% equation
% m x'' + c x' + k x = forc(t)
100: % where m,c, and k are constant matrices and
101: % forc is an externally defined function.
103: % Input:
104: % ------
105: % m,c,k mass, damping and stiffness matrices
106: % t0 starting time
107: % x0,v0 initial displacement and velocity
108: % tmax maximum time for solution evaluation
109: % h integration stepsize
110: % incout number of integration steps between
11:%
112: % forc externally defined time dependent
113:% forcing function. This parameter
4:% should be omitted if no forcing
15: % function is used.
117: % Output:
118: % -------
119: % t time vector going from t0 to tmax
120:% in steps of
121: % x h*incout to yield a matrix of
2: % solution values such that row j
23:% is the solution vector at time t(j)
124: % tcp computer time for the computation
126: % User m functions called: none.
129: if (nargin > 9); force=1; else, force=0; end
130: if nargout ==3, tcp=clock; end
```

102: \%
116: \%
125: \%
127:
128:

```
hbig=h*incout;
t=(t0:hbig:tmax)'; n=length(t);
ns=(n-1)*incout; ts=t0+h*(0:ns)';
xnow=x0(:); vnow=v0(:);
nvar=length(x0);
jrow=1; jstep=0; h2=h/2;
% Form the inverse of the effective
% stiffness matrix
mnv=h*inv(m+h2*(c+h2*k));
% Initialize the output matrix for x
x=zeros(n,nvar); x(1,:)=xnow';
zroforc=zeros(length(x0),1);
% Main integration loop
for j=1:ns
    tj=ts(j);tjh=tj+h2;
        if force
            dv=feval(forc,tjh);
        else
        dv=zroforc;
        end
        dv=mnv*(dv-c*vnow-k*(xnow+h2*vnow));
        vnext=vnow+dv;xnext=xnow+h2*(vnow+vnext);
        jstep=jstep+1;
        if jstep == incout
            jstep=0; jrow=jrow+1; x(jrow,:)=xnext';
        end
        xnow=xnext; vnow=vnext;
end
if nargout ==3
        tcp=etime(clock,tcp);
else
    tcp=[];
end
%===============================================
function [t,x,tcp] = ...
        mckde4i(m, c,k,t0, x0,v0,tmax,h,incout,forc)
%
% [t,x,tcp]= ...
% mckde4i(m, c,k,t0,x0,v0,tmax,h,incout,forc)
%
```

176: \% This function uses a fourth order implicit
177: \% integrator with fixed stepsize to solve the
178: \% matrix differential equation
179: \% $\quad \mathrm{m} \mathrm{x}^{\prime} \mathrm{l}+\mathrm{c} \mathrm{x}^{\prime}+\mathrm{kx}=\mathrm{forc}(\mathrm{t})$
180: \% where $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{c}$, and k are constant matrices and
181: \% forc is an externally defined function.
182: \%
183: \% Input:
184: \% ------
185: \% m, c, k mass, damping and stiffness matrices
186: \% t0 starting time
187: \% x0,v0 initial displacement and velocity
188: \% tmax maximum time for solution evaluation
189: \% h integration stepsize
190: \% incout number of integration steps between
191: \% successive values of output
192: \% forc externally defined time dependent
193: \% forcing function. This parameter
194: \% should be omitted if no forcing
195: \% function is used.
196: \%
197: \% Output:
198: \% -------
199: \% t time vector going from t0 to tmax
200: \% in steps of $h$ *incout
201: \% x matrix of solution values such
202: \% that row j is the solution vector
203: \%
at time $\mathrm{t}(\mathrm{j})$
computer time for the computation
204: \%
206: \% User m functions called: none.
207:
208:
209: if nargin > 9, force=1; else, force=0; end
210: if nargout $==3$, $\mathrm{tcp}=\mathrm{clock}$; end
211: hbig=h*incout; $\mathrm{t}=(\mathrm{t0}: \text { hbig:tmax })^{\prime}$;
$\mathrm{n}=1$ ength $(\mathrm{t})$; $\mathrm{ns}=(\mathrm{n}-1)$ *incout; nvar=length ( x 0 ) ;
jrow=1; jstep=0; h2=h/2; h12=h*h/12;
214:
215: \% Form the inverse of the effective stiffness
216: \% matrix for later use.
217:
218: $\mathrm{m} 12=\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{h} 12 * \mathrm{k}$;
219: $\mathrm{mnv}=\operatorname{inv}([[(-\mathrm{h} 2 * \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{h} 12 * \mathrm{c}), \mathrm{m} 12]$;
220: $\quad[\mathrm{m} 12,(\mathrm{c}+\mathrm{h} 2 * \mathrm{k})]])$;

```
% The forcing function is integrated using a
% 2 point Gauss rule
r3=sqrt(3); b1=h*(3-r3)/6; b2=h*(3+r3)/6;
% Initialize output matrix for x and other
% variables
xnow=x0(:); vnow=v0(:);
tnow=t0; zroforc=zeros(length(x0),1);
if force
    fnow=feval(forc,tnow);
else
    fnow=zroforc;
end
x=zeros(n,nvar); x(1,:)=xnow'; fnext=fnow;
% Main integration loop
for j=1:ns
    tnow=t0+(j-1)*h; tnext=tnow+h;
    if force
            fnext=feval(forc,tnext);
            di1=h12*(fnow-fnext);
            di2=h2*(feval(forc,tnow+b1)+ ...
                    feval(forc,tnow+b2));
            z=mnv*[(di1+m*(h*vnow)); (di2-k*(h*xnow))];
            fnow=fnext;
        else
            z=mnv*[m*(h*vnow); -k*(h*xnow)];
        end
        vnext=vnow + z(1:nvar);
        xnext=xnow + z((nvar+1):2*nvar);
        jstep=jstep+1;
        % Save results every incout steps
        if jstep == incout
            jstep=0; jrow=jrow+1; x(jrow,:)=xnext';
        end
        % Update quantities for next step
        xnow=xnext; vnow=vnext; fnow=fnext;
end
if nargout==3
    tcp=etime(clock,tcp);
else
```

```
        tcp=[];
end
%==============================================
function [m,k]=cablemk(masses,lngths,gravty)
%
% [m,k]=cablemk(masses,lngths,gravty)
% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
% Form the mass and stiffness matrices for
% the cable.
%
% masses - vector of masses
% lngths - vector of link lengths
% gravty - gravity constant
% m,k - mass and stiffness matrices
%
% User m functions called: none.
%
m=diag(masses);
b=flipud(cumsum(flipud(masses(:))))* ...
gravty./lngths;
n=length(masses); k=zeros(n,n); k(n,n)=b(n);
for i=1:n-1
    k(i,i)=b(i)+b(i+1); k(i,i+1)=-b(i+1);
    k(i+1,i)=k(i,i+1);
end
%=============================================
function plterror(xmr,t2,h2,x2,T2,H2,X2,...
                                t4,h4,x4,T4,H4,X4,tr2,Tr2,tr4,Tr4)
% plterror(xmr,t2,h2,x2,T2,H2,X2,...
% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
% t4,h4,x4,T4,H4,X4,tr2,Tr2,tr4,Tr4)
% Plots error measures showing how different
% integrators and time steps compare with
% the exact solution using modal response.
%
% User m functions called: none
%----------------------------------------------
310: % Compare the maximum error in any component
```

309:

```
    % at each time with the largest deflection
    % occurring during the complete time history
    maxd=max(abs(xmr(:)));
    er2=max(abs(x2-xmr)')/maxd;
    Er2=max(abs(X2-xmr)')/maxd;
    er4=max(abs(x4-xmr)')/maxd;
    Er4=max(abs(X4-xmr)')/maxd;
    plot(t2,er2,'-',T2,Er2,'--');
    title(['Solution Error For Implicit ',...
            '2nd Order Integrator']);
xlabel('time');
ylabel('solution error measure');
lg1=['h= ', num2str(h2), ...
        ', relative cputime= ', num2str(tr2)];
    lg2=['h= ', num2str(H2),
        ', relative cputime= ', num2str(Tr2)];
    legend(lg1,lg2,2); figure(gcf);
    disp('Press [Enter] to continue'); pause
    % print -deps deislne2
    plot(t4,er4,'-',T4,Er4,'--');
    title(['Solution Error For Implicit ',...
            '4th Order Integrator']);
    xlabel('time');
    ylabel('solution error measure');
    lg1=['h= ', num2str(h4),
        ', relative cputime= ', num2str(tr4)];
    lg2=['h= ', num2str(H4),
        ', relative cputime= ', num2str(Tr4)];
    legend(lg1,lg2,2); figure(gcf);
    % print -deps deislne4
    disp(' '), disp('All Done')
    %==============================================
    % function [t,u,mdvc,natfrq]=...
        udfrevib(m,k,u0,v0,tmin,tmax,nt)
    % See Appendix B
```


## Chapter 8

## Integration of Nonlinear Initial Value Problems

### 8.1 General Concepts on Numerical Integration of Nonlinear Matrix Differential Equations

Methods for solving differential equations numerically are one of the most valuable analysis tools now available. Inexpensive computer power and user friendly software are stimulating wider use of digital simulation methods. At the same time, intelligent use of numerically integrated solutions requires appreciation of inherent limitations of the techniques employed. The present chapter discusses the widely used Runge-Kutta method and applies it to some specific examples.

When physical systems are described by mathematical models, it is common that various system parameters are only known approximately. For example, to predict the response of a building undergoing earthquake excitation, simplified formulations may be necessary to handle the elastic and frictional characteristics of the soil and the building. Our observation that simple models are used often to investigate behavior of complex systems does not necessarily amount to a rejection of such procedures. In fact, good engineering analysis depends critically on development of reliable models which can capture salient features of a process without employing unnecessary complexity. At the same time, analysts need to maintain proper caution regarding trustworthiness of answers produced with computer models. Nonlinear system response sometimes changes greatly when only small changes are made in the physical parameters. Scientists today realize that, in dealing with highly nonlinear phenomena such as weather prediction, it is simply impossible to make reliable long term forecasts [45] because of various unalterable factors. Among these are a) uncertainty about initial conditions, b) uncertainty about the adequacy of mathematical models describing relevant physical processes, c) uncertainty about error contributions arising from use of spatial and time discretizations in construction of approximate numerical solutions, and d) uncertainty about effects of arithmetic roundoff error. In light of the criticism and cautions being stated about the dangers of using numerical solutions, the thrust of the discussion is that idealized models must not be regarded as infallible, and no numerical solution should be accepted as credible without adequately investigating effects of parameter perturbation within uncertainty limits of the parameters. To illustrate how sensitive a system can be to initial conditions, we
might consider a very simple model concerning motion of a pendulum of length $\ell$ given an initial velocity $v_{0}$ starting from a vertically downward position. If $v_{0}$ exceeds $2 \sqrt{g \ell}$, the pendulum will reach a vertically upward position and will go over the top. If $v_{0}$ is less than $2 \sqrt{g \ell}$, the vertically upward position is never reached. Instead, the pendulum oscillates about the bottom position. Consequently, initial velocities of $1.999 \sqrt{g \ell}$ and $2.001 \sqrt{g \ell}$ produce quite different system behavior with only a tiny change in initial velocity. Other examples illustrating the difficulties of computing the response of nonlinear systems are cited below. These examples are not chosen to discourage use of the powerful tools now available for numerical integration of differential equations. Instead, the intent is to encourage users of these methods to exercise proper caution so that confidence in the reliability of results is fully justified.

Many important physical processes are governed by differential equations. Typical cases include dynamics of rigid and flexible bodies, heat conduction, and electrical current flow. Solving a system of differential equations subject to known initial conditions allows us to predict the future behavior of the related physical system. Since very few important differential equations can be solved in closed form, approximations which are directly or indirectly founded on series expansion methods have been developed. The basic problem addressed is that of accurately computing $Y(t+h)$ when $Y(t)$ is known, along with a differential equation governing system behavior from time $t$ to $(t+h)$. Recursive application of a satisfactory numerical approximation procedure, with possible adjustment of step-size to maintain accuracy and stability, allows approximate prediction of system response subsequent to the starting time.

Numerical methods for solving differential equations are important tools for analyzing engineering systems. Although valuable algorithms have been developed which facilitate construction of approximate solutions, all available methods are vulnerable to limitations inherent in the underlying approximation processes. The essence of the difficulty lies in the fact that, as long as a finite integration step-size is used, integration error occurs at each time step. These errors sometimes have an accumulative effect which grows exponentially and eventually destroys solution validity. To some extent, accuracy problems can be limited by regulating step-size to keep local error within a desired tolerance. Typically, decreasing an integration tolerance increases the time span over which a numerical solution is valid. However, high costs for supercomputer time to analyze large and complex systems sometimes preclude generation of long time histories which may be more expensive than is practically justifiable.

### 8.2 Runge-Kutta Methods and the ODE45 Integrator Provided in MATLAB

Formulation of one method to solve differential equations is discussed in this section. Suppose a function $y(x)$ satisfies a differential equation of the form $y^{\prime}(x)=$ $f(x, y)$, subject to $y\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0}$, where $f$ is a known differentiable function. We would like to compute an approximation of $y\left(x_{0}+h\right)$ which agrees with a Taylor's series expansion up to a certain order of error. Hence,

$$
y\left(x_{0}+h\right)=\tilde{y}\left(x_{0}, h\right)+O\left(h^{n+1}\right)
$$

where $O\left(h^{n+1}\right)$ denotes a quantity which decreases at least as fast as $h^{n+1}$ for small $h$. Taylor's theorem allows us to write

$$
\begin{aligned}
y\left(x_{0}+h\right) & =y\left(x_{0}\right)+y^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) h+\frac{1}{2} y^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right) h^{2}+O\left(h^{3}\right) \\
& =y_{0}+f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) h+\frac{1}{2}\left[f_{x}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)+f_{y}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) f_{0}\right] h^{2}+O\left(h^{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f_{0}=f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$. The last formula can be used to compute a second order approximation $\hat{y}\left(x_{0}+h\right)$, provided the partial derivatives $f_{x}$ and $f_{y}$ can be evaluated. However, this may be quite difficult since the function $f(x, y)$ may not even be known explicitly.

The idea leading to Runge-Kutta integration is to compute $y\left(x_{0}+h\right)$ by making several evaluations of function $f$ instead of having to differentiate that function. Let us seek an approximation in the form

$$
\tilde{y}\left(x_{0}+h\right)=y_{0}+h\left[k_{0} f_{0}+k_{1} f\left(x_{0}+\alpha h, y_{0}+\beta h f_{0}\right)\right] .
$$

We choose $k_{0}, k_{1}, \alpha$, and $\beta$ to make $\tilde{y}\left(x_{0}+h\right)$ match the series expansion of $y(x)$ as well as possible. Since

$$
f\left(x_{0}+\alpha h, y_{0}+\beta h f_{0}\right)=f_{0}+\left[f_{x}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \alpha+f_{y}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) f_{0} \beta\right] h+O\left(h^{2}\right)
$$

we must have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{y}\left(x_{0}+h\right) & =y_{0}+h\left[\left(k_{0}+k_{1}\right) f_{0}+k_{1}\left\langle f_{x}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \alpha+f_{y}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \beta f_{0}\right\rangle\right] h+O\left(h^{2}\right) \\
& =y_{0}+\left(k_{0}+k_{1}\right) f_{0} h+\left[f_{x}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \alpha k_{1}+f_{y}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) f_{0} \beta k_{1}\right] h^{2}+O\left(h^{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The last relation shows that

$$
y\left(x_{0}+h\right)=\tilde{y}\left(x_{0}+h\right)+O\left(h^{3}\right)
$$

provided

$$
k_{0}+k_{1}=1, \alpha k_{1}=\frac{1}{2}, \beta k_{1}=\frac{1}{2} .
$$

This system of three equations in four unknowns has an infinite number of solutions; one of these is $k_{0}=k_{1}=\frac{1}{2}, \alpha=\beta=1$. This implies that

$$
y\left(x_{0}+h\right)=y\left(x_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left[f_{0}+f\left(x_{0}+h, y_{0}+h f_{0}\right)\right] h+O\left(h^{3}\right) .
$$

Neglecting the truncation error $O\left(h^{3}\right)$ gives a difference approximation known as Heun's method [61], which is classified as a second order Runge-Kutta method. Reducing the step-size by $h$ reduces the truncation error by about a factor of $\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{3}=$ $\frac{1}{8}$. Of course, the formula can be used recursively to compute approximations to $y\left(x_{0}+h\right), y\left(x_{0}+2 h\right), y\left(x_{0}+3 h\right), \ldots .$. In most instances, the solution accuracy decreases as the number of integration steps is increased and results eventually become unreliable. Decreasing $h$ and taking more steps within a fixed time span helps, but this also has practical limits governed by computational time and arithmetic roundoff error.

The idea leading to Heun's method can be extended further to develop higher order formulas. One of the best known is the fourth order Runge-Kutta method described as follows

$$
y\left(x_{0}+h\right)=y\left(x_{0}\right)+h\left[k_{1}+2 k_{2}+2 k_{3}+k_{4}\right] / 6
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
k_{1}=f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right), k_{2}=f\left(x_{0}+\frac{h}{2}, y_{0}+k_{1} \frac{h}{2}\right) \\
k_{3}=f\left(x_{0}+\frac{h}{2}, y_{0}+k_{2} \frac{h}{2}\right), k_{4}=f\left(x_{0}+h, y_{0}+k_{3} h\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

The truncation error for this formula is order $h^{5}$; so, the error is reduced by about a factor of $\frac{1}{32}$ when the step-size is halved. The development of the fourth order Runge-Kutta method is algebraically quite complicated [43]. We note that accuracy of order four is achieved with four evaluations of $f$ for each integration step. This situation does not extend to higher orders. For instance, an eighth order formula may require twelve evaluations per step. This price of more function evaluations may be worthwhile provided the resulting truncation error is small enough to permit much larger integration steps than could be achieved with formulas of lower order. MATLAB provides the function ode 45 which uses variable step-size and employs formulas of order four and five. (Note: In MATLAB 6.x the integrators can output results for an arbitrary time vector using, for instance, even time increments.)

### 8.3 Step-size Limits Necessary to Maintain Numerical Stability

It can be shown that, for many numerical integration methods, taking too large a step-size produces absurdly large results that increase exponentially with successive
time steps. This phenomenon, known as numerical instability, can be illustrated with the simple differential equation

$$
y^{\prime}(t)=f(t, y)=\lambda y
$$

which has the solution $y=c e^{\lambda t}$. If the real part of $\lambda$ is positive, the solution becomes unbounded with increasing time. However, a pure imaginary $\lambda$ produces a bounded oscillatory solution, whereas the solution decays exponentially for real $(\lambda)<0$. Applying Heun's method [43] gives

$$
y(t+h)=y(t)\left[1+(\lambda h)+\frac{(\lambda h)^{2}}{2}\right] .
$$

This shows that at each integration step the next value of $y$ is obtained by multiplying the previous value by a factor

$$
p=1+(\lambda h)+\frac{(\lambda h)^{2}}{2},
$$

which agrees with the first three Taylor series terms of $e^{\lambda h}$. Clearly, the difference relation leads to

$$
y_{n}=y_{0} p^{n} .
$$

As $n$ increases, $y_{n}$ will approach infinity unless $|p| \leq 1$. This stability condition can be interpreted geometrically by regarding $\lambda h$ as a complex variable $z$ and solving for all values of $z$ such that

$$
1+z+\frac{z^{2}}{2}=\zeta e^{\imath \theta},|\zeta| \leq 1,0 \leq \theta \leq 2 \pi
$$

Taking $\zeta=1$ identifies the boundary of the stability region, which is normally a closed curve lying in the left half of the complex plane. Of course, $h$ is assumed to be positive and the real part of $\lambda$ is nonpositive. Otherwise, even the exact solution would grow exponentially. For a given $\lambda$, the step-size $h$ must be taken small enough to make $|\lambda h|$ lie within the stability zone. The larger $|\lambda|$ is, the smaller $h$ must be to prevent numerical instability.

The idea illustrated by Heun's method can be easily extended to a Runge-Kutta method of arbitrary order. A Runge-Kutta method of order $n$ reproduces the exact solution through terms of order $n$ in the Taylor series expansion. The differential equation $y^{\prime}=\lambda y$ implies

$$
y(t+h)=y(t) e^{\lambda h}
$$

and

$$
e^{\lambda h}=\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(\lambda h)^{k}}{k!}+O\left(h^{n+1}\right) .
$$

Consequently, points on the boundary of the stability region for a Runge-Kutta method of order $n$ are found by solving the polynomial

$$
1-e^{\imath \theta}+\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{z^{k}}{k!}=0
$$

for a dense set of $\theta$-values ranging from 0 to $2 \pi$. Using MATLAB's intrinsic function roots allows easy calculation of the polynomial roots which may be plotted to show the stability boundary. The following short program accomplishes the task. Program output for integrators of order four and six is shown in Figures 8.1 and 8.2. Note that the region for order 4 resembles a semicircle with radius close to 2.8 . Using $|\lambda h|>2.8$, with Runge-Kutta of order 4, would give results which rapidly become unstable. The figures also show that the stability region for Runge-Kutta of order 6 extends farther out on the negative real axis than Runge-Kutta of order 4 does. The root finding process also introduces some meaningless stability zones in the right half plane which should be ignored.


Figure 8.1: Stability Zone for Explicit Integrator of Order 4


Figure 8.2: Stability Zone for Explicit Integrator of Order 6

## MATLAB Example

## Program rkdestab

\% Example: rkdestab
\% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
\% This program plots the boundary of the region
\% of the complex plane governing the maximum
\% step size which may be used for stability of
\% a Runge-Kutta integrator of arbitrary order.
\%
\% npts - a value determining the number of
\% points computed on the stability
\% boundary of an explicit Runge-Kutta
\% integrator.
\% xrang - controls the square window within
\% which the diagram is drawn.
$\% \quad[-3,3,-3,3]$ is appropriate for
\% the fourth order integrator.
\%
\% User m functions required: none
hold off; clf; close;
fprintf('\nSTABILITY REGION FOR AN ');
fprintf('EXPLICIT RUNGE-KUTTA');
fprintf('\n INTEGRATOR OF ARBITRARY ');
fprintf('ORDER\n\n');
while 1
disp(' ')
nordr=input('Give the integrator order ? > ');
if isempty(nordr) | nordr==0, break; end
\% fprintf(' $\backslash$ nInput the number of points ');
\% fprintf('used to define\n');
\% npts=input('the boundary (100 is typical) ? > ');
npts=100;
r=zeros(npts,nordr); $\mathrm{v}=1 . /$ gamma(nordr+1:-1:2);
d=2*pi/(npts-1); i=sqrt(-1);
\% Generate polynomial roots to define the
\% stability boundary
for $\mathrm{j}=1$ :npts
\% polynomial coefficients
$\mathrm{v}($ nordr +1$)=1-\exp (i *(j-1) * d)$;
\% complex roots

```
        t=roots(v); r(j,:)=t(:).';
end
% Plot the boundary
rel=real(r(:)); img=imag(r(:));
w=1.1*max (abs([rel;img]));
zoom on; plot(rel,img,'.');
axis([-W,W,-W,W]); axis('square');
xlabel('real part of h*\lambda');
ylabel('imaginary part of h*\lambda');
ns=int2str(nordr);
st=['Stability Zone for Explicit , ...
    'Integrator of Order ',ns];
title(st); grid on; figure(gcf);
% print -deps rkdestab
end
disp(' '); disp('All Done');
```


### 8.4 Discussion of Procedures to Maintain Accuracy by Varying Integration Step-size

When we solve a differential equation numerically, our first inclination is to seek output at even increments of the independent variable. However, this is not the most natural form of output appropriate to maintain integration accuracy. Whenever solution components are changing rapidly, a small time step may be needed, whereas using a small time step might be quite inefficient at times where the solution remains smooth. Most modern ODE programs employ variable step-size algorithms which decrease the integration step-size whenever some local error tolerance is violated and conversely increase the step-size when the increase can be performed without loss of accuracy. If results at even time increments are needed, these can be determined by interpolation of the non-equidistant values. The differential equation integrators provide the capability to output results at an arbitrary vector of times over the integration interval.

Although the derivation of algorithms to regulate step-size is an important topic, development of these methods is not presented here. Several references [43, 46, $51,61]$ discuss this topic with adequate detail. The primary objective in regulating step-size is to gain computational efficiency by taking as large a step-size as possible while maintaining accuracy and minimizing the number of function evaluations.

Practical problems involving a single first order differential equation are rarely encountered. More commonly, a system of second order equations occurs which is then transformed into a system involving twice as many first order equations. Several hundred, or even several thousand dependent variables may be involved. Evaluating the necessary time derivatives at a single time step may require computationally in-
tensive tasks such as matrix inversion. Furthermore, performing this fundamental calculation several thousand times may be necessary in order to construct time responses over time intervals of practical interest. Integrating large systems of nonlinear differential equations is one of the most important and most resource intensive aspects of scientific computing.

Instead of deriving the algorithms used for step-size control in ode45, we will outline briefly the ideas employed to integrate $y^{\prime}(t)=f(t, y)$ from $t$ to $(t+h)$. It is helpful to think of $y$ as a vector. For a given time step and $y$ value, the program makes six evaluations of $f$. These values allow evaluation of two Runge-Kutta formulas, each having different truncation errors. These formulas permit estimation of the actual truncation error and proper step-size adjustment to control accuracy. If the estimated error is too large, the step-size is decreased until the error tolerance is satisfied or an error condition occurs because the necessary step-size has fallen below a set limit. If the estimated error is found to be smaller than necessary, the integration result is accepted and the step-size is increased for the next pass. Even though this type of process may not be extremely interesting to discuss, it is nevertheless an essential part of any well designed program for integrating differential equations numerically. Readers should become familiar with the error control features employed by ODE solvers. Printing and studying the code for ode45 is worthwhile. Studying the convergence tolerance used in connection with function odeset is also instructive. It should be remembered that solutions generated with tools such as ode45 are vulnerable to accumulated errors from roundoff and arithmetic truncation. Such errors usually render unreliable the results obtained sufficiently far from the starting time.

This chapter concludes with the analysis of several realistic nonlinear problems having certain properties of their exact solutions known. These known properties are compared with numerical results to assess error growth. The first problem involves an inverted pendulum for which the loading function produces a simple exact displacement function. Examples concerning top dynamics, a projectile trajectory, and a falling chain are presented.

### 8.5 Example on Forced Oscillations of an Inverted Pendulum

The inverted pendulum in Figure 8.3 involves a weightless rigid rod of length $l$ which has a mass $m$ attached to the end. Attached to the mass is a spring with stiffness constant $k$ and an unstretched length of $\gamma l$. The spring has length $l$ when the pendulum is in the vertical position. Externally applied loads consist of a driving moment $M(t)$, the particle weight, and a viscous damping moment $c l^{2} \dot{\theta}$. The differential equation governing the motion of this system is

$$
\ddot{\theta}=-(c / m) \dot{\theta}+(g / l) \sin (\theta)+M(t) /\left(m l^{2}\right)-(2 k / m) \sin (\theta)(1-\alpha / \lambda)
$$



Figure 8.3: Forced Vibration of an Inverted Pendulum
where

$$
\lambda=\sqrt{5-4 \cos (\theta)}
$$

This system can be changed to a more convenient form by introducing dimensionless variables. We let $t=(\sqrt{l / g}) \tau$ where $\tau$ is dimensionless time. Then

$$
\ddot{\theta}=-\alpha \dot{\theta}+\sin (\theta)+P(\tau)-\beta \sin (\theta)(1-\gamma / \lambda)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha=(c / m) \sqrt{l / g}=\text { viscous damping factor, } \\
& \beta=2(k / m) /(g / l), \\
& \lambda=\sqrt{5-4 \cos (\theta)}, \\
& \gamma=(\text { unstretched spring length }) / l, \\
& P(\tau)=M /(m g l)=\text { dimensionless driving moment. }
\end{aligned}
$$

It is interesting to test how well a numerical method can reconstruct a known exact solution for a nonlinear function. Let us assume that the driving moment $M(\tau)$ produces a motion having the equation

$$
\theta_{e}(\tau)=\theta_{0} \sin (\omega \tau)
$$

for arbitrary $\theta_{0}$ and $\omega$. Then

$$
\dot{\theta}_{e}(\tau)=\omega \theta_{0} \cos (\omega \tau)
$$

and

$$
\ddot{\theta}_{e}(\tau)=-\omega^{2} \theta_{e} .
$$

Consequently, the necessary driving moment is

$$
P(\tau)=-\omega^{2} \theta_{e}-\sin \left(\theta_{e}\right)+\gamma \omega \theta_{0} \cos (\omega \tau)+\beta \sin \left(\theta_{e}\right)\left[1-\gamma / \sqrt{5-4 \cos \left(\theta_{e}\right)}\right] .
$$

Applying this forcing function, along with the initial conditions

$$
\theta(0)=0, \dot{\theta}(0)=\theta_{0} \omega
$$

should return the solution $\theta=\theta_{e}(\tau)$. For a specific numerical example we choose $\theta_{0}=\pi / 8, \omega=0.5$, and four different combinations of $\beta, \gamma$, and tol. The second order differential equation has the form $\ddot{\theta}=f(\tau, \theta, \dot{\theta})$. This is expressed as a first order matrix system by letting $y_{1}=\theta, y_{2}=\dot{\theta}$, which gives

$$
\dot{y}_{1}=y_{2}, \dot{y}_{2}=f\left(\tau, y_{1}, y_{2}\right) .
$$

A function describing the system for solution by ode 45 is provided at the end of this section. Parameters $\theta_{0}, \omega_{0}, \alpha, \zeta$, and $\beta$ are passed as global variables.

We can examine how well the numerically integrated $\theta$ match $\theta_{e}$ by using the error measure

$$
\left|\theta(\tau)-\theta_{e}(\tau)\right| .
$$

Furthermore, the exact solution satisfies

$$
\theta_{e}^{2}+\left(\dot{\theta}_{e} / \omega\right)^{2}=\theta_{0}^{2}
$$

Plotting $\dot{\theta} /\left(\theta_{0} \omega\right)$ on a horizontal axis and $\theta / \theta_{0}$ on a vertical axis should produce a unit circle. Violation of that condition signals loss of solution accuracy.

How certain physical parameters and numerical tolerances affect terms in this problem can be demonstrated by the following four data cases:

1. The spring is soft and initially unstretched. A liberal integration tolerance is used.
2. The spring is soft and initially unstretched. A stringent integration tolerance is used.
3. The spring is stiff and initially stretched. A liberal integration tolerance is used.
4. The spring is stiff and initially stretched. A stringent integration tolerance is used.

The curves in Figure 8.4 show the following facts:

1. When the spring is unstretched initially, the numerical solution goes unstable quickly.
2. Stretching the spring initially and increasing the spring constant improves numerical stability of the solution.
3. Decreasing the integration tolerance increases the time period over which the solution is valid.

An additional curve illustrating the numerical inaccuracy of results for Case 1 appears in Figure 8.5. A plot of $\theta(\tau)$ versus $\dot{\theta}(\tau) / \omega$ should produce a circle. However, solution points quickly depart from the desired locus.


Figure 8.4: Error Growth in Numerical Solution


Figure 8.5: $\quad \theta$ versus $\left(\theta^{\prime}(\tau) / \omega\right)$ for Case One

## MATLAB Example

## Program prun

```
function prun
% Example: prun
% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~
% Dynamics of an inverted pendulum integrated
% by use of ode45.
%
% User m functions required: pinvert, mom
global ncal
th0=pi/8; w=.5; tmax=30; ncal=0;
fprintf('\nFORCED OSCILLATION OF AN ');
fprintf('INVERTED PENDULUM\n');
fprintf('\nNote: Generating four sets of \n');
fprintf('numerical results takes a while.\n');
% loose spring with liberal tolerance
alp=0.1; bet=1.0; gam=1.0; tol=1.e-4;
a1=num2str(alp); b1=num2str(bet);
g1=num2str(gam); e1=num2str(tol);
options=odeset('RelTol',tol);
[t1,z1]=
    ode45(@pinvert,[0,tmax],[0;w*th0],...
        options,alp,bet,gam,th0,w);
n1=ncal; ncal=0;
% loose spring with stringent tolerance
alp=0.1; bet=1.0; gam=1.0; tol=1.e-10;
a2=num2str (alp); b2=num2str(bet);
g2=num2str (gam); e2=num2str(tol);
options=odeset('RelTol',tol);
[t2,z2]=
    ode45(@pinvert,[0,tmax],[0;w*th0],...
                    options,alp,bet,gam,th0,w);
n2=ncal; ncal=0;
% tight spring with liberal tolerance
alp=0.1; bet=4.0; gam=0.5; tol=1.e-4;
a3=num2str (alp); b3=num2str(bet);
g3=num2str(gam); e3=num2str(tol);
```

```
options=odeset('RelTol',tol);
    [t3,z3]=
        ode45(@pinvert,[0,tmax],[0;w*th0],...
            options,alp,bet,gam,th0,w);
n3=ncal; ncal=0;
% tight spring with stringent tolerance
alp=0.1; bet=4.0; gam=0.5; tol=1.e-10;
a4=num2str(alp); b4=num2str(bet);
g4=num2str (gam); e4=num2str(tol);
options=odeset('RelTol',tol);
    [t4,z4]=
        ode45(@pinvert,[0,tmax],[0;w*th0],...
        options,alp,bet,gam,th0,w);
n4=ncal; ncal=0; save pinvert.mat;
% Plot results
clf; semilogy( ...
        t1,abs(z1(:,1)/th0-sin(w*t1)),'-r',...
        t2,abs(z2(:, 1)/th0-sin(w*t2)),'--g',...
        t3,abs(z3(:,1)/th0-sin(w*t3)),'-.b',...
        t4,abs(z4(:, 1)/th0-sin(w*t4)),':m');
title('Error Growth in Numerical Solution')
xlabel('dimensionless time');
ylabel('error measure');
c1=['Case 1: alp=',a1,', bet=',b1,', gam=', ...
    g1,', tol=',e1];
c2=['Case 2: alp=',a2,', bet=',b2,', gam=', ...
    g2,', tol=',e2];
    c3=['Case 3: alp=',a3,', bet=',b3,', gam=', ...
    g3,', tol=',e3];
c4=['Case 4: alp=',a4,', bet=',b4,', gam=', ...
    g4,', tol=',e4];
legend(c1,c2,c3,c4,4); shg
dum=input('\nPress [Enter] to continue\n','s');
%print -deps pinvert
% plot a phase diagram for case 1
clf; plot(z1(:,2)/w,z1(:,1));
axis('square'); axis([-1,1,-1,1]);
xlabel('0''(\tau)/\omega'); ylabel('0');
title(['0 versus ( 0''(\tau) / , ...
    '\omega ) for Case One']); figure(gcf);
%print -deps crclplt
disp(' '); disp('All Done');
```

86:
87.
function $z d o t=$ pinvert $(t, z, a l p$, bet,gam,th0,w)
\%
\% zdot=pinvert(t,z,alp,bet,gam,th0,w)
$\%$ ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
\% Equation of motion for the pendulum
\%
$\%$ t - time value
$\%$ z - vector [theta ; thetadot]
\% alp,bet,gam,th0,w
\% - physical parameters in the
\% differential equation
$\%$ zdot - time derivative of $z$
\%
\% User m functions called: mom
$\qquad$
global ncal
ncal=ncal+1; th=z(1); thd=z(2);
$\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{cos}(\mathrm{th})$; $\mathrm{s}=\mathrm{sin}(\mathrm{th})$; lam=sqrt(5-4*c);
zdot=[thd; mom(t,alp,bet,gam,th0,w)+...
s-alp*thd-bet*s*(1-gam/lam)];
$\%==========================================$
function me=mom(t,alp,bet,gam,th0,w)
\%
\% me=mom(t,alp,bet, gam,th0,w)
\%
$\%$ t - time
\% alp,bet, gam,th0,w
19: \% - physical parameters in the
120: \% differential equation
121: \% me - driving moment needed to produce
122: \% exact solution
123: \%
124: \% User m functions called: none.
25:
126:
127: $\mathrm{th}=\mathrm{th} 0 * \sin (\mathrm{w} * \mathrm{t})$;
128: thd=w*th0* $\cos (\mathrm{w} * \mathrm{t})$; thdd=-th*w^2;
129: $s=\sin (\mathrm{th}) ; \mathrm{c}=\cos (\mathrm{th})$; $\mathrm{lam=sqrt}(5-4 * \mathrm{c})$;
130: me=thdd-s+alp*thd+bet*s*(1-gam/lam);

### 8.6 Dynamics of a Spinning Top

The dynamics of a symmetrical spinning top can be analyzed simply by computing the path followed by the gravity center in Cartesian coordinates. Consider a top spinning with its apex (or tip) constrained to remain at the origin. The gravity center lies at position $r$ along the axis of symmetry and the only applied forces are the weight $-m \boldsymbol{g} \hat{k}$ through the gravity center and the support reaction at the tip of the top. The inertial properties involve a moment of inertia $J_{a}$ about the symmetry axis and a transverse inertial moment $J_{t}$ relative to an axis normal to the symmetry axis and passing through the apex of the top. The velocity of the gravity center and the angular velocity $\Omega$ are related by ${ }^{1}$

$$
\boldsymbol{v}=\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}=\boldsymbol{\Omega} \times \boldsymbol{r}
$$

This implies that $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ can be expressed in terms of radial and transverse components as

$$
\boldsymbol{\Omega}=\ell^{-2} r v \times \boldsymbol{v}+\ell^{-1} \omega_{a} \boldsymbol{r}
$$

where $\ell=|\boldsymbol{r}|$ and $\omega_{a}$ is the magnitude of the angular velocity component in the radial direction. The angular momentum with respect to the origin is therefore

$$
\boldsymbol{H}=J_{t} \ell^{-2} \boldsymbol{r} \times \boldsymbol{v}+J_{a} \ell^{-1} \omega_{a} \boldsymbol{r}
$$

and the potential plus kinetic energy is given by

$$
K=m g z+\frac{J_{t} \ell^{-2} \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{v}+J_{a} \omega_{a}^{2}}{2}
$$

where $z$ is the height of the gravity center above the origin.
The equations of motion can be found using the principle that the moment of all applied forces about the origin must equal the time rate of change of the corresponding angular momentum. Hence

$$
\boldsymbol{M}=J_{t} \ell^{-2} \boldsymbol{r} \times \boldsymbol{a}+J_{a} \ell^{-1}\left[\omega_{a} \boldsymbol{v}+\dot{\omega}_{a} \boldsymbol{r}\right]
$$

where $\boldsymbol{a}=\dot{\boldsymbol{v}}=\ddot{\boldsymbol{r}}$ is the total acceleration of the gravity center. The radial component of the last equation is obtainable by a dot product with $\boldsymbol{r}$ to give

$$
\boldsymbol{r} \cdot \boldsymbol{M}=J_{a} \ell \dot{\omega}_{a}
$$

where simplifications result because $\boldsymbol{r} \cdot(\boldsymbol{r} \times \boldsymbol{a})=0$ and $\boldsymbol{r} \cdot \boldsymbol{v}=0$. The remaining components of $\boldsymbol{M}$ for the transverse direction result by taking $\boldsymbol{r} \times \boldsymbol{M}$ and noting that

$$
\boldsymbol{r} \times(\boldsymbol{r} \times \boldsymbol{a})=(\boldsymbol{r} \cdot \boldsymbol{a}) \boldsymbol{r}-\ell^{2} \boldsymbol{a}=-\ell^{2} \boldsymbol{a}_{t}
$$

[^2]where $\boldsymbol{a}_{t}$ is the vector component of total acceleration normal to the direction of $\boldsymbol{r}$. This leads to
$$
\boldsymbol{r} \times \boldsymbol{M}=-J_{t} \boldsymbol{a}_{t}+J_{a} \ell^{-1} \omega_{a} \boldsymbol{r} \times \boldsymbol{v}
$$

Since the gravity center moves on a spherical surface of radius $\ell$ centered at the origin, the radial acceleration is given by

$$
\boldsymbol{a}_{r}=-\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{v} \ell^{-2} \boldsymbol{r}
$$

and the total acceleration equation becomes

$$
\boldsymbol{a}=-\frac{\boldsymbol{r} \times \boldsymbol{M}}{J_{t}}+\frac{J_{a} \ell^{-1} \omega_{a}}{J_{t}} \boldsymbol{r} \times \boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{v} \ell^{-2} \boldsymbol{r} .
$$

In the case studied here, only the body weight $-m g \hat{k}$ causes a moment about the origin so

$$
\boldsymbol{M}=-m g \boldsymbol{r} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{k}}, \boldsymbol{r} \cdot \boldsymbol{M}=0
$$

and

$$
\boldsymbol{r} \times \boldsymbol{M}=-m g\left[z \boldsymbol{r}-\ell^{2} \hat{\boldsymbol{k}}\right] .
$$

The radial component of the moment equation simply gives $\dot{\omega}_{a}=0$, so the axial component of angular velocity retains its initial value throughout the motion.

Integrating the differential equations

$$
\dot{v}=\boldsymbol{a}, \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}=\boldsymbol{v}
$$

numerically subject to appropriate initial conditions produces a trajectory of the gravity center motion. The simple formulation presented here treats $x, y$, and $z$ as if they were independent variables even though

$$
x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}=\ell^{2}, x v_{x}+y v_{y}+z v_{z}=0
$$

are implied. The type of analysis traditionally used in advanced dynamics books [48] would employ Euler angles, thereby assuring exact satisfaction of $|\boldsymbol{r}|=\ell$. The accuracy of the solution method proposed here can be checked by finding a) whether the total energy of the system remains constant and $b$ ) whether the component of angular momentum in the $z$-direction remains constant. However, even when constraint conditions are satisfied exactly, reliability of numerical simulations of nonlinear systems over long time periods becomes questionable due to accumulated inaccuracies caused by arithmetic roundoff and the approximate nature of integration formulas.

The program toprun integrates the equations of motion and interprets the results. This program reads data to specify properties of a conical top along with the initial position and the angular velocity. Intrinsic function ode45 is employed to integrate the motion equation defined in function topde. The path followed by the gravity center is plotted and error measures regarding conservation of energy and angular momentum are computed. Figures 8.6 and 8.7 show results for a top having properties given by the test case suggested in the interactive data input. A top which


Figure 8.6: Path of the Top Gravity Center
has its symmetry axis initially horizontal along the $y$-axis is given an angular velocity of $[0,10,2]$. Integrating the equation of motion with an error tolerance of $10^{-8}$ leads to the response shown in the Figure 8.6. Error measures computed regarding the fluctuation in predicted values of total energy and angular momentum about the $z$-axis (Figure 8.7) fluctuate about one part in 100,000. It appears that the analysis employing Cartesian coordinates does produce good results.


Figure 8.7: Variation in Total Energy and $z$-axis Angular Momentum

## Program Output and Code

## Program Toprun

```
function toprun
\% Example: toprun
\%
\%
\% Example that analyzes the response of a
\% spinning conical top.
\%
\% User m functions required:
\% topde, cubrange, inputv
disp(' ');
disp(['*** Dynamics of a Homogeneous ', ...
        'Conical Top ***']); disp(' ');
disp(['Input the gravity constant and the ', ...
    'body weight (try \(\left.32.2,5)^{\prime}\right]\) );
[grav,wt]=inputv('? ');
mass=wt/grav; tmp=zeros \((3,1)\);
disp(' ');
disp(['Input the height and base radius ', ...
    ' (try 1,.5)']);
[ht, rb] =inputv('? '); len=. \(75 * h t\);
jtrans=3*mass/20* (rb*rb+4*ht*ht) ;
jaxial=3*mass*rb*rb/10;
disp(' ');
disp(['Input a vector along the initial ', ...
    'axis direction (try 0,1,0)']);
\([\operatorname{tmp}(1), \operatorname{tmp}(2), \operatorname{tmp}(3)]=i n p u t v(' ? ~ ') ;\)
e3=tmp(:)/norm(tmp) ; r0=len*e3;
disp(' ');
disp(['Input the initial angular velocity ', ...
    ' (try 0,10,2)']);
\([\operatorname{tmp}(1), \operatorname{tmp}(2), \operatorname{tmp}(3)]=i n p u t v(' ? ~ ') ; ~ o m e g a 0=t m p ;\)
omegax=e3'*omega0(:); rdot0=cross(omega0,r0);
\(z 0=[r 0(:) ; r \operatorname{dot} 0(:)] ; u z=[0 ; 0 ; 1]\);
c1=wt*len^2/jtrans; c2=omegax*jaxial/jtrans;
disp(' ');
disp(['Input tfinal,and the integration ', ...
    'tolerance (try 4.2, 1e-8)']);
[tfinl,tol]=inputv('? '); disp(' ');
fprintf( . .
```

```
        'Please wait for solution of equations.\n');
    % Integrate the equations of motion
    odeoptn=odeset('RelTol',tol);
    [tout,zout]=ode45(@topde,[0,tfinl],z0,...
        odeoptn,uz,c1,c2);
    t=tout; x=zout(:,1); y=zout(:,2); z=zout(:,3);
    vx=zout(:,4); vy=zout(:,5); vz=zout(:,6);
    % Compute total energy and angular momentum
    c3=jtrans/(len*len); taxial=jaxial/2*omegax^2;
    r=zout(:,1:3)'; v=zout(:,4:6)';
    etotal=(wt*r(3,:)+taxial+c3/2*sum(v.*v))';
    h=(jaxial*omegax/len*r+c3*cross(r,v))';
    % Plot the path of the gravity center
    clf; axis('equal');
    axis(cubrange([x(:),y(:),z(:)])); plot3(x,y,z);
    title('Path of the Top Gravity Center');
    xlabel('x axis'); ylabel('y axis');
    zlabel('z axis'); grid on; figure(gcf);
    disp(' '); disp(...
    'Press [Enter] to plot error measures'), pause
    % print -deps toppath
    n=2:length(t);
    % Compute energy and angular momentum error
    % quantities and plot results
    et=etotal(1); enrger=abs(100*(etotal(n)-et)/et);
    hzs=abs(h(1,3));
    angmzer=abs(100*(h(n,3)-hzs)/hzs);
    vec=[enrger(:);angmzer(:)];
    minv=min(vec); maxv=max(vec);
    clf;
    semilogy(t(n), enrger,'-r',t(n),angmzer,':m');
    axis('normal'); xlabel('time');
    ylabel('percent variation');
    title(['Percent Variation in Total Energy ', ...
        'and z-axis Angular Momentum']);
    legend(' Energy (Upper Curve)', ...
        , Ang. Mom. (Bottom Curve)',4);
figure(gcf), pause
    % print -deps topvar
```

```
disp(' '), disp('All Done')
%===============================================
function zdot=topde(t,z,uz,c1,c2)
%
% zdot=topde(t,z,uz,c1,c2)
%
%
% This function defines the equation of motion
% for a symmetrical top. The vector z equals
% [r(:);v(:)] which contains the Cartesian
% components of the gravity center radius and
% its velocity.
%
% t - the time variable
% z - the vector [x; y; z; vx; vy; vz]
%uz - the vector [0;0;1]
% c1 - wt*len^2/jtrans
% c2 - omegax*jaxial/jtrans
%
% zdot - the time derivative of z
%
% User m functions called: none
%------------------------------------------------
z=z(:); r=z(1:3); len=norm(r); ur=r/len;
% Make certain the input velocity is
% perpendicular to r
v=z(4:6); v=v-(ur'*v)*ur;
vdot=-c1*(uz-ur*ur(3))+c2*cross(ur,v)- ...
    ((v'*v)/len)*ur;
zdot=[v;vdot];
%===============================================
% function varargout=inputv(prompt)
% See Appendix B
% =================================================
128: % function range=cubrange(xyz,ovrsiz)
129: % See Appendix B
```

127:

### 8.7 Motion of a Projectile

The problem of aiming a projectile to strike a distant target involves integrating a system of differential equations governing the motion and adjusting the initial inclination angle to achieve the desired hit [101]. A reasonable model for the projectile motion assumes atmospheric drag proportional to the square of the velocity. Consequently, the equations of motion are

$$
\dot{v}_{x}=-c v v_{x}, \dot{v}_{y}=-g-c v v_{y}, \dot{x}=v_{x}, \dot{y}=v_{y}
$$

where $g$ is the gravity constant and $c$ is a ballistic coefficient depending on such physical properties as the projectile shape and air density.

The natural independent variable in the equations of motion is time. However, horizontal position $x$ is a more desirable independent variable, since the target will be located at some distant point $\left(x_{f}, y_{f}\right)$ relative to the initial position $(0,0)$ where the projectile is launched. We can formulate the differential equations in terms of $x$ by using the relationship

$$
d x=v_{x} d t \text { or } \frac{d t}{d x}=\frac{1}{v_{x}} .
$$

Then

$$
\frac{d y}{d x}=\frac{v_{y}}{v_{x}}, \frac{d v_{y}}{d t}=v_{x} \frac{d v_{y}}{d x}, \frac{d v_{x}}{d t}=v_{x} \frac{d v_{x}}{d x},
$$

and the equations of motion become

$$
\frac{d y}{d x}=\frac{v_{y}}{v_{x}}, \frac{d t}{d x}=\frac{1}{v_{x}}, \frac{d v_{x}}{d x}=-c v, \frac{d v_{y}}{d x}=\frac{-\left(g+c v v_{y}\right)}{v_{x}} .
$$

Taking a vector $z$ defined by

$$
z=\left[v_{x} ; v_{y} ; y ; t\right]
$$

leads to a first order matrix differential equation

$$
\frac{d z}{d x}=\frac{\left[-c v v_{x} ;-\left(g+c v v_{y}\right) ; v_{y} ; 1\right]}{v_{x}}
$$

where

$$
v=\sqrt{v_{x}^{2}+v_{y}^{2}}
$$

The reader should note that an ill-posed problem can occur if the initial velocity of the projectile is not large enough so that the maximum desired value of $x$ is reached before $v_{x}$ is reduced to zero from atmospheric drag. Consequently, error checking is needed to handle such a circumstance. The functions traject and projeteq employ intrinsic function ode45 to compute the projectile trajectory. Graphical results


Figure 8.8: Projectile Trajectory for $v^{2}$ Drag Condition
produced by the default data case appear in Figure 8.8. The function traject will be employed again in Chapter 12 for an optimization problem where a search procedure is used to compute the initial inclination angle needed to hit a target at some specified distant position. In this section we simply provide the functions to integrate the equations of motion.

## Program Output and Code

## Function traject

```
function [y,x,t]=traject ...
            (angle,vinit,gravty,cdrag,xfinl,noplot)
% [y,x,t]=traject ...
% (angle,vinit,gravty,cdrag,xfinl,noplot)
%
6: %
% This function integrates the dynamical
% equations for a projectile subjected to
% gravity loading and atmospheric drag
% proportional to the square of the velocity.
```

```
    %
% angle - initial inclination of the
    projectile in degrees
vinit - initial velocity of the projectile
    (muzzle velocity)
    gravty - the gravitational constant
cdrag - drag coefficient specifying the drag
    force per unit mass which equals
    cdrag*velocity^2.
xfinl - the projectile is fired toward the
    right from x=0. xfinl is the
    largest x value for which the
    solution is computed. The initial
    velocity must be large enough that
    atmospheric damping does not reduce
    the horizontal velocity to zero
    before xfinl is reached. Otherwise
    an error termination will occur.
    noplot - plotting of the trajectory is
    omitted when this parameter is
    given an input value
    y,x,t - the y, x and time vectors produced
    by integrating the equations of
    motion
    Global variables:
    %
    9: % grav, - two constants replicating gravty and
    % dragc cdrag, for use in function projcteq
% vtol - equal to vinit/1e6, used in projcteq
% to check whether the horizontal
% velocity has been reduced to zero
%
% User m functions called: projcteq
46:
global grav dragc vtol
% Default data case generated when input is null
if nargin ==0
    angle=45; vinit=600; gravty=32.2;
    cdrag=0.002; xfinl=1000;
end;
% Assign global variables and evaluate
```

```
\% initial velocity
grav=gravty; dragc=cdrag; ang=pi/180*angle;
vtol=vinit/1e6;
\(z 0=[v i n i t * \cos (a n g)\); vinit*sin(ang) ; 0; 0];
\% Integrate the equations of motion defined
\% in function projcteq
deoptn=odeset('RelTol', 1e-6);
[x,z]=ode45(@projcteq,[0,xfinl],z0,deoptn);
\(y=z(:, 3) ; t=z(:, 4) ; n=1\) ength \((x)\);
\(x f=x(n) ; y f=y(n)\);
\% Plot the trajectory curve
if nargin < 6
    plot(x,y,'-',xf,yf,'o');
        xlabel('x axis'); ylabel('y axis');
        title(['Projectile Trajectory for ', ...
            'Velocity Squared Drag']);
        axis('equal'); grid on; figure(gcf);
        \% print -deps trajplot
end
\(\%==========================================\)
function \(z p=p r o j c t e q(x, z)\)
\%
\% zp=projcteq(x,z)
\% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
\%
\% This function defines the equation of motion
\% for a projectile loaded by gravity and
\% atmospheric drag proportional to the square
\% of the velocity.
: \%
1: \% x - the horizontal spatial variable
\(\%\) z - a vector containing [vx; vy; y; t];
\%
\(\% \mathrm{zp}\) - the derivative \(d z / d x\) which equals
\(\% \quad\left[v x{ }^{\prime}(x)\right.\); vy' \((x)\); \(\left.y^{\prime}(x) ; t^{\prime}(x)\right]\);
6: \%
\%: Global variables:
99: \% grav - the gravity constant
100: \% dragc - the drag coefficient divided by
```

98: \%

```
% gravity
% vtol - a global variable used to check
% whether vx is zero
%
% User m functions called: none
%------------------------------------------------
global grav dragc vtol
vx=z(1); vy=z(2); v=sqrt(vx^2+vy^2);
% Check to see whether drag reduced the
% horizontal velocity to zero before the
% xfinl was reached.
if abs(vx) < vtol
    disp(' ');
    disp('****************************************');
    disp('ERROR in function projcteq. The ');
    disp(' initial velocity of the projectile');
    disp(' was not large enough for xfinal to');
    disp(' be reached.');
    disp('EXECUTION IS TERMINATED.');
    disp('**************************************');
    disp(' '),error(' ');
    end
    zp=[-dragc*v; -(grav+dragc*v*vy)/vx; ...
    vy/vx; 1/vx];
```


### 8.8 Example on Dynamics of a Chain with Specified End Motion

The dynamics of flexible cables is often modeled using a chain of rigid links connected by frictionless joints. A chain having specified end motions illustrates the behavior of a system governed by nonlinear equations of motion and auxiliary algebraic constraints. In particular, we will study a gravity loaded cable fixed at both ends. The total cable length exceeds the distance between supports, so that the static deflection configuration resembles a catenary.

A simple derivation of the equations of motion employing principles of rigid body dynamics is given next. Readers not versed in principles of rigid body dynamics [48] may nevertheless understand the subsequent programs by analyzing the equations of motion which have a concise mathematical form. The numerical solutions vividly illustrate some numerical difficulties typically encountered in multibody dynamical studies. Such problems are both computationally intensive, as well as highly sensitive to accumulated effects of numerical error.

The mathematical model of interest is the two-dimensional motion of a cable (or chain) having $n$ rigid links connected by frictionless joints. A typical link $\imath$ has its


Figure 8.9: Chain with Specified End Motion
mass $m_{\imath}$ concentrated at one end. The geometry is depicted in Figure 8.9. The chain ends undergo specified motions $\boldsymbol{R}_{0}(t)=\left[X_{0}(t) ; Y_{0}(t)\right]$ for the first link and $\boldsymbol{R}_{n}(t)=\left[X_{n}(t) ; Y_{n}(t)\right]$ for the last link. The direction vector along link $\imath$ is described by $\boldsymbol{r}_{\imath}=\left[x_{\imath} ; y_{\imath}\right]=\ell_{\imath}\left[\cos \left(\theta_{\imath}\right) ; \sin \left(\theta_{\imath}\right)\right]$. We assume that each joint $\imath$ is subjected to a force $\boldsymbol{F}_{\imath}=\left[f_{x \imath} ; f_{y \imath}\right]$ where $0 \leq \imath \leq n$. Index values $\imath=0$ and $\imath=n$ denote unknown constraint forces which must act at the outer ends of the first and last links to achieve the required end displacements. The forces applied at the interior joints are arbitrary. It is convenient to characterize the dynamics of each link in terms of its direction angle. Thus

$$
\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\imath}=\boldsymbol{r}_{\imath}^{\prime} \dot{\theta}_{\imath}, \ddot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\imath}=\boldsymbol{r}_{\imath}^{\prime} \ddot{\theta}_{\imath}+\boldsymbol{r}_{\imath}^{\prime \prime} \dot{\theta}_{\imath}^{2}=\boldsymbol{r}_{\imath}^{\prime} \ddot{\theta}_{\imath}-\boldsymbol{r}_{\imath} \dot{\theta}_{\imath}^{2}
$$

where primes and dots denote differentiation with respect to $\theta_{\imath}$ and $t$, respectively. Therefore

$$
\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\imath}=\left[-y_{\imath} ; x_{\imath}\right] \dot{\theta}_{\imath}, \ddot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\imath}=\left[-\ddot{y}_{\imath} ; x_{\imath}\right] \ddot{\theta}_{\imath}-\left[x_{\imath} ; y_{\imath}\right] \dot{\theta}_{\imath}^{2} .
$$

The global position vector of joint $\imath$ is

$$
\boldsymbol{R}_{\imath}=\boldsymbol{R}_{0}+\sum_{\jmath=1}^{\imath} \boldsymbol{r}_{\jmath}=\boldsymbol{R}_{0}+\sum_{\jmath=1}^{n}<\imath-\jmath>\boldsymbol{r}_{\jmath}
$$

where the symbol $<k>=1$ for $k \geq 0$, and 0 for $k<0$. Consequently, the velocity and acceleration of joint $l$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{\boldsymbol{R}}_{\imath} & =\dot{\boldsymbol{R}}_{0}+\sum_{\jmath=1}^{n}<\imath-\jmath>\boldsymbol{r}_{\jmath}^{\prime} \dot{\theta}_{\jmath}, \\
\ddot{\boldsymbol{R}}_{\imath} & =\ddot{\boldsymbol{R}}_{0}+\sum_{\jmath=1}^{n}<\imath-\jmath>\boldsymbol{r}_{\jmath}^{\prime} \ddot{\theta}_{\jmath}-\sum_{\jmath=1}^{n}<\imath-\jmath>\boldsymbol{r}_{\jmath} \dot{\theta}_{\jmath}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The ends of the chain each have specified motions; so not all of the inclination angles are independent. Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\jmath=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{r}_{\jmath}=\boldsymbol{R}_{n}-\boldsymbol{R}_{0} \\
& \sum_{\jmath=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{r}_{\jmath}^{\prime} \dot{\theta}_{\jmath}=\dot{\boldsymbol{R}}_{n}-\dot{\boldsymbol{R}}_{0} \\
& \sum_{\jmath=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{r}_{\jmath}^{\prime} \ddot{\theta}_{\jmath}-\sum_{\jmath=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{r}_{\jmath} \dot{\theta}_{\jmath}^{2}=\ddot{\boldsymbol{R}}_{n}-\ddot{\boldsymbol{R}}_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining the last constraint equation with equations of motion written for masses $m_{1}, \cdots, m_{n}$ yields a complete system of $(n+2)$ equations determining $\ddot{\theta}_{1}, \cdots, \ddot{\theta}_{n}$ and the components of $\boldsymbol{F}_{n}$. The fact that all masses are concentrated at frictionless joints shows that link $\imath$ is a two-force member carrying an internal load directed along $r_{i}$. Consequently, the D'Alembert principle [48] implies that the sum of all external and inertial loads from joints $\imath, \imath+1, \cdots, n$ must give a resultant passing through joint $\imath$ in the direction of $\boldsymbol{r}_{\imath}$. Since $\boldsymbol{r}_{\imath}^{\prime}$ and $\boldsymbol{r}_{\imath}$ are perpendicular, requiring a vector to be in the direction of $r_{\imath}$ is equivalent to making it normal to $\boldsymbol{r}_{\imath}^{\prime}$. Therefore

$$
\boldsymbol{r}_{\imath}^{\prime} \cdot\left[\sum_{\jmath=1}^{n}\langle\jmath-\imath\rangle\left\{\boldsymbol{F}_{\jmath}-m_{\jmath} \ddot{\boldsymbol{R}}_{\jmath}\right\}\right]=0,1 \leq \imath \leq n .
$$

The last $n$ equations involve $\ddot{\theta}_{2}$ and two end force components $f_{x n}$ and $f_{y n}$. Some algebraic rearrangement results in a matrix differential equation of concise form containing several auxiliary coefficients defined as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
b_{\imath}=\sum_{k=\imath}^{n} m_{k}, m_{\imath \jmath}=m_{\jmath \imath}=b_{\imath}, 1 \leq \imath \leq n, 1 \leq \jmath \leq \imath, \\
a_{\imath \jmath}=m_{\imath \jmath}\left(x_{\imath} x_{\jmath}+y_{\imath} y_{\jmath}\right), 1 \leq \imath \leq n, 1 \leq \jmath \leq n \\
b_{\imath \jmath}=m_{\imath \jmath}\left(x_{\imath} y_{\jmath}-x_{\jmath} y_{\imath}\right), 1 \leq \imath \leq n, 1 \leq \jmath \leq n \\
p_{x \imath}=\sum_{\jmath=\imath}^{n-1} f_{x \imath}, p_{y \imath}=\sum_{\jmath=\imath}^{n-1} f_{y \imath}, 1 \leq \imath \leq n .
\end{gathered}
$$

For $\imath=n$, the last two sums mean $p_{x n}=p_{y n}=0$. Furthermore, we denote the acceleration components of the chain ends as $\ddot{\boldsymbol{R}}_{0}=\left[a_{x o} ; a_{y 0}\right]$ and $\ddot{\boldsymbol{R}}_{n}=\left[a_{x n} ; a_{y n}\right]$. Using the various quantities just defined, the equations of motion become

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\jmath=1}^{n} a_{\imath \jmath} \ddot{\theta}_{\jmath}+y_{\imath} f_{x n}-x_{\imath} f_{y n} & =\sum_{\jmath=1}^{n} b_{\imath \jmath} \dot{\theta}_{\jmath}^{2}+x_{\imath}\left(p_{y \imath}-b_{\imath} a_{y 0}\right)-y_{\imath}\left(p_{x \imath}-b_{\imath} a_{x 0}\right) \\
& =e_{\imath}, 1 \leq \imath \leq n
\end{aligned}
$$

The remaining two components of the constraint equations completing the system are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{j=1}}^{n} y_{\jmath} \ddot{\theta}_{\jmath}=-\sum_{\jmath=1}^{n} x_{\jmath} \dot{\theta}_{\jmath}^{2}-a_{x n}+a_{x 0}=e_{n+1} \\
& \sum_{\jmath=1}^{n} x_{\jmath} \ddot{\theta}_{\jmath}=\sum_{\jmath=1}^{n} y_{\jmath} \dot{\theta}_{\jmath}^{2}+a_{y n}-a_{y 0}=e_{n+2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, we get the following symmetric matrix equation to solve for $\ddot{\theta}_{1}, \cdots, \ddot{\theta}_{n}$, $f_{x n}$ and $f_{y n}$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
A & X & Y \\
X^{T} & 0 & 0 \\
Y^{T} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{r}
\ddot{\theta} \\
f_{x n} \\
-f_{y n}
\end{array}\right]=[E]
$$

where $X, Y, E$ and $\theta$ are column matrices, and the matrix $A=\left[a_{\imath \jmath}\right]$ is symmetric. Because most numerical integrators for differential equations solve first order systems, it is convenient to employ the vector $\boldsymbol{Z}=[\theta ; \dot{\theta}]$ having $2 n$ components. Then the differential equation $\dot{\boldsymbol{Z}}=\boldsymbol{H}(t, \boldsymbol{Z})$ is completely defined when $\ddot{\theta}$ has been computed for known $\boldsymbol{Z}$. The system is integrated numerically to give $\theta$ and $\dot{\theta}$ as functions of time. These quantities can then be used to compute the global Cartesian coordinates of the link configurations, thereby completely describing the time history of the chain.

The general equations of motion simplify somewhat when the chain ends are fixed and the external forces only involve gravity loads. Then $p_{x \imath}=0$ and $p_{y \imath}=-g\left(b_{\imath}-\right.$ $b_{n}$ ) which gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\jmath=1}^{n} m_{\imath \jmath}\left(x_{\imath} x_{\jmath}+y_{\imath} y_{\jmath}\right) \ddot{\theta}_{\jmath}-x_{\imath} f_{y n}+y_{\imath} f_{x n}= \\
& g\left(b_{\imath}-b_{n}\right)+\sum_{\jmath=1}^{n} m_{\imath \jmath}\left(x_{\imath} y_{\jmath}-x_{\jmath} y_{\imath}\right) \dot{\theta}_{\jmath}^{2}, 1 \leq \imath \leq n .
\end{aligned}
$$

The last two equations to complete the set are:

$$
\sum_{\jmath=1}^{n} x_{\jmath} \ddot{\theta}_{\jmath}=\sum_{\jmath=1}^{n} y_{\jmath} \dot{\theta}_{\jmath}^{2}, \sum_{\jmath=1}^{n} y_{\jmath} \ddot{\theta}_{\jmath}=-\sum_{\jmath=1}^{n} x_{\jmath} \dot{\theta}_{\jmath}^{2}
$$

A program was written to simulate motion of a cable fixed at both ends and released from rest. The cable falls under the influence of gravity from an initially elevated position. Function ode45 is used to perform the numerical integration. The program consists of three functions cablenl, plotmotn, and equamo. Function cablenl creates the data, calls ode45 to perform the integration, and displays the output from the simulation. Function plotmotn plots the motion for specified time limits. Results can be shown using animation or plots superimposing successive positions of the cable. Most of the analysis in the program is performed in function equamo which forms the equations of motion which are passed to ode45 for integration.

A configuration with eight identical links was specified. For simplicity, the total mass, total cable length, and gravity constant were all normalized to equal unity. The numerical integration error was controlled using a relative tolerance of $1 \mathrm{e}-6$ and an absolute error tolerance of 1e-8. Results of the simulation appear below. Figure 8.10 shows cable positions during the early stages of motion when results of the numerical integration are reliable. However, the numerical solution eventually becomes worthless due to accumulated numerical inaccuracies yielding the motion predictions indicated in Figure 8.11. The nature of the error growth can be seen clearly in Figure 8.12 which plots the $x$-coordinate of the chain midpoint as a function of time. Since the chosen mass distribution and initial deflection is symmetrical about the middle, the subsequent motion will remain symmetrical unless the numerical solution becomes invalid. The $x$ coordinate of the midpoint should remain at a constant value of $\sqrt{2} / 4$, but it appears to abruptly go unstable near $t=18$. More careful examination indicates that this numerical instability does not actually occur suddenly. Instead, it grows exponentially from the outset of the simulation. The error is caused by the accumulation of truncation errors intrinsic to the numerical integration process allowing errors at each step which are regulated within a small but finite tolerance. A global measure of symmetry loss of the $y$ deflection pattern is plotted on a semilog scale in Figure 8.13. Note that the error curve has a nearly linear slope until the solution degenerates completely near $t=18$. The reader can verify that choosing less stringent error tolerances produces solutions which become inaccurate sooner than $t=18$. It should also be observed that this dynamical model exhibits another important characteristic of highly nonlinear systems, namely, extreme sensitivity to physical properties. Note that shortening the last link by only one part in ten thousand makes the system deflection quickly lose all appearance of symmetry by $t=6$. Hence, two systems having nearly identical physical parameters and initial conditions may behave very differently a short time after motion is initiated. The conclusion implied is that analysts should thoroughly explore how parameter variations affect response predictions in nonlinear models.

MOTION OF A FALLING CABLE


Figure 8.10: Motion During Initial Phase


Figure 8.11: Motion After Solution Degenerates


Figure 8.12: Horizontal Position of the Cable Midpoint


Figure 8.13: Growing Loss of Symmetry in Vertical Deflection

## Example on Nonlinear Cable Motion

## Program cablenl

function [t,x,y,theta, cptim]=cablenl
\% [t,x,y,theta, cptim]=cablenl
\% Example: cablenl
\%
\% Numerical integration of the matrix
\% differential equations for the nonlinear
\% dynamics of a cable of rigid links with
\% the outer ends of the cable fixed.
\%
$\% \mathrm{t}$ - time vector for the solution
\% x,y - matrices with nodal coordinates
$\% \quad$ stored in the columns. The time
\% history of point $j$ is in $x(:, j)$
$\% \quad$ and $y(:, j)$
\% theta - matrix with inclination angles
\% stored in the columns
\% cptim - number of seconds to integrate
\% the equations of motion
\%
\% User m functions required:
\% plotmotn, equamo
clear all; close;
\% Make variables global for use by
\% function equamo
global first_ n_ m_ len_ grav_ b_ mas_ py_
fprintf('\nNONLINEAR DYNAMICS OF A ')
fprintf('FALLING CABLE\n')
fprintf(...
'\nNote: The calculations take awhile\n')
\% Set up data for a cable of $n_{\text {_ }}$ links,
\% initially arranged in a triangular
\% deflection configuration.
\% parameter controlling initialization of
\% auxiliary parameters used in function
\% equamo

```
first_=1;
\% number of links in the cable
\(\mathrm{n}_{-}=8 ; \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{n}_{-} ; \mathrm{nh}=\mathrm{n}_{-} / 2\);
\% vector of lengths and gravity constant
len_=1/n*ones (n,1); grav_=1;
\% vector of mass constants
\(\mathrm{m}_{-}=\)ones \(\left(1, \mathrm{n}_{-}\right) / \mathrm{n}_{-}\);
\% initial position angles
th \(0=\mathrm{pi} / 4 *[\) ones \((\mathrm{nh}, 1)\);-ones (nh,1)];
td0=zeros(size(th0)); z0=[th0;td0];
\% time limits, integration tolerances,
\(\%\) and the number of solution points
tmin=0; tmax=25; nt=201;
t=linspace(0,tmax,nt)';
tolrel=1e-6; tolabs=1e-8; len=len_;
\% Perform the numerical integration using a
\% variable stepsize Runge-Kutta integrator
tic;
odetol=odeset('RelTol', tolrel, 'AbsTol', tolabs);
[ \(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{w}\) ]=ode45(@equamo, \(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{z0}\), odetol);
theta=w(:,1:n); cptim=toc;
\% Compute node point coordinates
\(\mathrm{Z}=[\) zeros(nt,1), repmat(len', nt,1).*exp(i*theta)];
\(Z=c u m s u m(Z . ') . ' ; ~ x=r e a l(Z) ; ~ y=i m a g(Z) ;\)
\% Plot the horizontal position of the midpoint
clf; plot(t,x(:,1+n_/2));
ylabel('x coordinate'); xlabel('time')
title(['Horizontal Position of the '...
    'Cable Midpoint'])
grid on; figure(gcf);
\% print -deps xmidl
disp(' '), disp(...
'Press [Enter] to see the error growth curve');
pause, close
\% Show error growth indicated by symmetry
\% loss of the vertical deflection symmetry.
\% An approximately linear trend on the semilog
\% plot indicates exponential growth of the error.
```

```
unsymer=sqrt(sum((y-y(:,end:-1:1)).^2,2));
hold off; axis('normal'); clf;
semilogy(t,unsymer);
xlabel('time'); ylabel('asymmetry error');
title(['Growing Loss of Symmetry in ' ...
    'Vertical Deflection']);
grid on; figure(gcf);
\% print -deps unsymerr
disp(' '), disp(...
'Press [Enter] to see the response animation');
\% Show animation of the cable response
disp(' ')
disp('The motion can be animated or a trace')
disp('can be shown for successive positions')
disp(['between \(t=\), num2str (tmin), ...
    , and \(\mathrm{t}=\), , num2str (tmax)])
\% Plot the position for different times limits
titl='CABLE MOTION FOR \(T=\) ';
while 1
    disp(' '), disp(...
        ['Choose a plot option (1 <<> animate, ',...
            , 2 <=> trace,'])
        opt=input('3 <=> stop) > ? ');
        if opt==3, break, end
        disp(' '), disp(...
        'Give a time vector such as 0:.1:15')
        Tp=input('Time vector > ? ', 's');
        if isempty(Tp), break, end
        tp=eval(Tp); tp=tp(:); T=[titl,Tp];
        \(x p=i n t e r p 1 q(t, x, t p) ; y p=i n t e r p 1 q(t, y, t p)\);
        if opt ==1, plotmotn(xp,yp,T)
        else, plotmotn(xp,yp,T,1), end
    end
    fprintf('\nAll Done\n')
    \(\%==========================================\)
    function plotmotn(x,y,titl,isave)
\%
\% plotmotn(x,y,titl,isave)
\(\%\) ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
\% This function plots the cable time
```

title(titl)
xlabel('x axis'); ylabel('y axis')
if save==0
for $\mathrm{j}=1: \mathrm{nt}$
$x j=x(j,:) ; y j=y(j,:) ;$
plot(xj,yj,'-k',xj,yj,'ok');
axis(range), axis off
title(titl)
figure(gcf), drawnow, pause(.1)
end
pause(2)
else
hold off; close
for $j=1$ :nt
$x j=x(j,:) ; \quad y j=y(j,:) ;$
plot(xj,yj,'-k',xj,yj,'ok');

```
        axis(range), axis off, hold on
        end
        title(titl)
        figure(gcf), drawnow, hold off, pause(2)
end
% Save plot history for subsequent printing
% print -deps plotmotn
%==============================================
function zdot=equamo(t,z)
%
% zdot=equamo(t,z)
%
% Equation of motion for a cable fixed at
% both ends and loaded by gravity forces only
%
% t current time value
% z column vector defined by
% [thet(t);theta'(t)]
% zdot column vector defined by
the concatenation
                                    z'(t) = [theta'(t);theta''(t)]
%
% User m functions called: none.
%------------------------------------------------
% Values accessed as global variables
global first_ n_ m_ len_ grav_ b_ mas_ py_
% Initialize parameters first time
% function is called
if first_==1, first_=0;
% mass parameters
    m_=m_(:); b_=flipud(cumsum(flipud(m_)));
    mas_=b_(:,ones(n_,1));
    mas_=tril(mas_)+tril(mas_,-1)';
    % load effects from gravity forces
    py_=-grav_*(b_-b_(n_));
    end
% Solve for zdot = [theta'(t); theta''(t)];
n=n_; len=len_;
th=z(1:n); td=z(n+1:2*n); td2=td.*td;
```

```
    x=len.*cos(th); y=len.*sin(th);
% Matrix of mass coefficients and
% constraint conditions
amat=[[mas_.*(x*x'+y*y'),x,y];
    [x,y;zeros(2,2)]'];
    % Right side vector involves applied forces
    % and inertial terms
    bmat=x*y'; bmat=mas_.*(bmat-bmat');
    % Solve for angular acceleration.
    % Most computation occurs here.
soln=amat\[x.*py_+bmat*td2; y'*td2; -x'*td2];
% Final result needed for use by the
% numerical integrator
zdot=[td; soln(1:n)];
```


### 8.9 Dynamics of an Elastic Chain

The preceding article analyzed a chain of rigid links requiring only one rotation angle per link. Next we study a similar model of an elastic chain involving several point masses connected by elastic springs which can only support tension. The equations of motion are easy to formulate in terms of the horizontal and vertical coordinates of each mass. The dimensionality needed to handle the elastic chain is twice that needed for a similar rigid link model. It is natural to utilize a three-dimensional model that easily simplifies for two dimensional motion.

Consider a chain having $n$ mass particles

$$
m_{j}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n
$$

connected by $n+1$ springs having unstretched lengths

$$
l_{j}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n+1 .
$$

The position of particle $m_{j}$ is denoted by vector $\boldsymbol{r}_{j}$ with $\boldsymbol{r}_{0}(t)$ and $\boldsymbol{r}_{n+1}(t)$ signifying the outer end positions of the first and last springs, which are assumed to be known functions of time. Furthermore, concentrated forces $\boldsymbol{P}_{j}(t)$ are applied to the particles. The tensile force in spring number $j$ is

$$
\boldsymbol{T}_{j}=k_{j}\left(1-l_{j} / L_{j}\right)\left(L_{j}>l_{j}\right) \boldsymbol{R}_{j}
$$

where

$$
\boldsymbol{R}_{j}=\boldsymbol{r}_{j+1}-\boldsymbol{r}_{j}, L_{j}=\left|\boldsymbol{R}_{j}\right|,
$$

and $k_{j}$ denotes a spring constant. Then the equations of motion are given by

$$
\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{j}(t)=\boldsymbol{v}_{j}, \dot{\boldsymbol{v}}_{j}(t)=\left(\boldsymbol{P}_{j}+\boldsymbol{T}_{j}-\boldsymbol{T}_{j-1}-c_{j} \boldsymbol{v}_{j}\right) / m_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq n
$$

where viscous drag forces defined by the particle velocities times damping coefficients $c_{j}$ are included. These equations are easy to form using array operations. Furthermore, the two-dimensional case can be simplified further by using complex numbers to represent the particle positions.

A program was written to compute the response of a chain released from at rest in a horizontal position with the springs unstretched. The chain is subjected to gravity loading and the ends of the chain are rotated at constant speed around circular paths. The left and right ends rotate counterclockwise and clockwise respectively. A special case where the right end of the chain is free is provided by setting the last spring constant to zero. Another case where the chain ends do not move occurs when the radii of the end path motions are set to zero.

The following program called sprnchan computes the response of a chain with an arbitrary number of identical masses connected by identical springs. The radii and the rotation rate of the end motions, as well as the amount of viscous damping can be changed easily. Function sprnchan reads data from function chaindata and calls ode45 to integrate the equations of motion which are formed with functions spreqmof and endmo. Using the output from ode45, function plotmotn provides visual descriptions of the response. The motion can be presented using either animation or by superimposing plots of successive positions of the chain in chosen time intervals. To run a different problem, the sample data function chaindata can be saved using a different name; and the variables n, tmax, nt, fixorfree, rend, omega, and cdamp can be changed appropriately. Furthermore, modifying the program to handle different variations of stiffness and mass, as well as different end conditions would be straightforward. Figures 8.14 and 8.15 show program results where 1) the left end of the chain was rotated and the right end was detached and 2) both ends of the chain were rotated simultaneously in opposite directions. The time response was computed for a maximum time value of 20 , but the chosen time traces only show small subintervals chosen so that successive positions do not overlap excessively. Readers may find it interesting to observe the animation responses resulting from different data choices.


Figure 8.14: Chain with Left End Rotating and Right End Free

$$
\text { [cdamp,omega] }=[1,6], 0<t<0.6
$$



Figure 8.15: Chain with Both Ends Rotating

## Program for Elastic Chain Dynamics

## Program sprnchan

1: function [t,z,cptim]=sprnchan
\%
\% [t,z, cptim]=sprnchan
\%
\% DYNAMIC SIMULATION OF AN ELASTIC CHAIN
\% This program simulates the dynamics of an elastic
\% chain modeled by a series of mass particles joined
\% by elastic springs. The outer springs at each end
\% are connected to foundations moving on circular
$\%$ paths at constant speed. The system is released from
\% rest in a horizontal position. Forces on the system
\% include gravity, linear viscous drag, and foundation
\% motion. If the last spring in the chain is assigned
$\%$ zero stiffness, then the last particle is freed from
$\%$ the foundation and a swinging chain with the upper
\% end shaken is analyzed. The principal variables for
\% the problem are listed below. Different data choices
\% can be made by changing function chaindata.
\%
\% tlim - vector of time values at which the
$\% \quad$ solution is computed
2: m - vector of mass values for the particles
23: \% k - vector of stiffness values for springs
$\% \quad$ connecting the particles. If the last $\% \quad$ spring constant is set to zero, then the
\% right end constraint is removed
$27: \%$ L - vector of unstretched spring lengths
$28: \%$ zend - complex position coordinate of the outer $\% \quad$ end of the last spring (assuming the outer end of the first spring is held at $z=0$ )
.
31: \% zinit - vector of complex initial displacement
\% values for each mass particle. Initial velocity values are zero.
34: \% fext - vector of constant complex force components
5: \% applied to the individual masses
6: c - vector of damping coefficients specifying
37: \% drag on each particle linearly proportional
38: \%
to the particle velocity
39: \% tolrel - relative error tolerance for function ode45
40: \% tolabs - absolute error tolerance for function ode45

```
41:% t - vector of times returned by ode45
42: % z - matrix of complex position and velocity
43: %
44: %
45: %
46: %
47: %
omega
9: %
50: % yend
51: %
52: %
53: % endmo - the function defining the end motion of
4:% the chain
5: % spreqmof - the function defining the equation of
56:% motion to be integrated using ode45
57: %
58: % User m functions called: chaindata, spreqmof,
59:% endmo, plotmotn
60:%
61:
62: global zend omega Rend
63:
64:
65:
66: datname=input('chaindata as an example > ? ','s');
67: eval(['[n,tmax,nt,fixorfree,rend,omega,cdamp]=',...
73: % Time vector for solution output
74: tmin=0; tlim=linspace(tmin,tmax,nt)';
75:
76: % Number of masses, gravity constant, mass vector
77: g=32.2; len0=1; mas=1/g; m=mas*ones(n,1);
78:
79: % Spring lengths and spring constants
80: L=len0*ones(n+1,1); ksp=5*mas*g*(n+1)/(2*len0);
k=ksp*ones(n+1,1);
% If the far end of the chain is free, then the
% last spring constant is set equal to zero
k(n+1)=fixorfree*k(n+1);
```

```
\% Viscous damping coefficients
\(c=c \operatorname{damp} * \operatorname{sqrt}(\) mas*ksp \() / 40 *\) ones \((n, 1)\);
\% Chain end position and initial position of
\% each mass. Parameters concerning the end
\% positions are passed as global variables.
\% global zend omega Rend
zend=len0*(n+1); zinit=cumsum(L(1:n));
Rend=rend*zend;
\% Function name giving end position of the chain
re=@endmo;
\% Gravity forces and integration tolerance
fext=-i*g*m; tolrel=1e-6; tolabs=1e-8;
\% Initial conditions for the ode45 integrator
\(\mathrm{n}=\) length(m) ; r0=[zinit;zeros(n,1)];
\% Integrate equations of motion
options = odeset('reltol',tolrel,'abstol',tolabs);
fprintf('\nPlease Wait While the Equations\n')
fprintf('of Motion Are Being Integrated\n')
pause(1), tic;
[t,r]=ode45(@spreqmof,tlim,r0,options, . .
    m,k,L,re,fext, c);
cptim=toc; cpt=num2str(fix(10*cptim)/10);
fprintf(...
['\nComputation time was ', cpt,' seconds \(\backslash n\) '])
\% Extract displacement history and add
\% end positions
\(R=\operatorname{endmo}(\mathrm{t}) ; \mathrm{z}=[\mathrm{R}(:, 1), \mathrm{r}(:, 1: \mathrm{n})]\);
if \(k(n+1)^{\sim}=0, z=[z, R(:, 2)]\); end
X=real (z); Y=imag (z);
\% Show animation or motion trace of the response.
\% disp('Press [Enter] to continue'), pause
disp(' ')
disp('The motion can be animated or a trace')
disp('can be shown for successive positions')
disp(['between t = ', num2str (tmin), ...
```

```
        , and t = ',num2str(tmax)])
    titl=['ELASTIC CHAIN MOTION FOR ',...
            '[cdamp,omega] = [',num2str(cdamp),' , ',...
num2str(omega),' ] and T = '];
% Plot the position for different times limits
while 1
    disp(' '), disp(...
        ['Choose a plot option (1 <=> animate, ',...
        ' 2 <=> trace,'])
    opt=input('3 <=> stop) > ? ');
    if opt==3, break, end
    disp(' '), disp(...
    'Give a time vector such as 0:.1:15')
    Tp=input('Time vector > ? ','s');
    if isempty(Tp), break, end
    tp=eval(Tp); tp=tp(:); T=[titl,Tp];
    xp=interp1q(t,X,tp); yp=interp1q(t,Y,tp);
    if opt ==1, plotmotn(xp,yp,T)
    else, plotmotn(xp,yp,T,1), end
end
    % Save plot history for subsequent printing
    % print -deps plotmotn
    fprintf('\nAll Done\n')
    %======================================
    function [n,tmax,nt,fixorfree,rend,omega,...
            cdamp]=chaindata
    %
% [n,tmax,nt,fixorfree,rend,omega,...
% cdamp]=chaindata
% u
% This example function creates data defining
% the chain. The function can be renamed and
% modified to handle different problems.
n=8; % Number or point masses
tmax=20; % Maximum time for the solution
nt=401; % Number of time values from 0 to tmax
fixorfree=0; % Determines whether the right end
% position is controlled or free. Use
% zero for free or one for controlled.
```

76: rend=0.05;
177
178: omega=6;
179:
180: $\operatorname{cdamp}=1$;
181:
182:
183

185
186: function rdot=spreqmof (t,r,m,k,L,re,fext, c)
187: \%
188: \% rdot=spreqmof (t,r,m,k,L,re, fext , c)
189: \%
190: \% This function forms the two-dimensional equation
191: \% of motion for a chain of spring-connected particles.
192: \% The positions of the ends of the chain may be time
193: \% dependent and are computed from a function named in
194: \% the input parameter re. The applied external loading
195: \% consists of constant loads on the particles and
196: \% linear viscous damping proportional to the particle
197: \% velocities. Data parameters for the problem are
198: \% defined in a function file specified by the user.
199: \% Function chaindata gives a typical example.
200: \%
201: \% t - current value of time
202 \% r - vector containing complex displacements in
203: \% the top half and complex velocity components
204: \% in the bottom half
205: \% m - vector of particle masses
206: \% k - vector of spring constant values
207: \% L - vector of unstretched spring lengths
208: \% re - name of a function which returns the time
209: \% dependent complex position coordinate for
210: \% the ends of the chain
211: \% fext - vector of constant force components applied : \% to the spring
213: \% c - vector of viscous damping coefficients for
214: \%
215:
216: $N=1$ ength(r); $n=N / 2 ; z=r(1: n) ; v=r(n+1: N)$;
217: R=feval(re,t);
218: zdif=diff([R(1); z;R(2)]); len=abs(zdif);
219: fsp=zdif./len.*((len-L).*(len-L>0)).*k; fdamp=-c.*v;
220:
accel=(fext+fdamp+fsp(2:n+1)-fsp(1:n))./m;

```
    rdot=[v;accel];
    %=====================================
    function rends=endmo(t)
    %
    % rends=endmo(t)
    %
    % This function specifies the varying end positions.
    % In this example the ends rotate at frequency omega
    % around circles of radius Rend.
    %
    % User m functions called: none
    %-----------------------------------------------
    global zend Rend omega
    s=Rend*exp(i*omega*t); rends=[s,zend-conj(s)];
    %===============================================
    % function plotmotn(x,y,titl,isave)
% See Appendix B
```


## Chapter 9

## Boundary Value Problems for Partial Differential Equations

### 9.1 Several Important Partial Differential Equations

Many physical phenomena are characterized by linear partial differential equations. Such equations are attractive to study because (a) principles of superposition apply in the sense that linear combinations of component solutions can often be used to build more general solutions and (b) finite difference or finite element approximations lead to systems of linear equations amenable to solution by matrix methods. The accompanying table lists several frequently encountered equations and some applications. We only show one- or two-dimensional forms, although some of these equations have relevant applications in three dimensions.

In most practical applications the differential equations must be solved within a finite region of space while simultaneously prescribing boundary conditions on the function and its derivatives. Furthermore, initial conditions may exist. In dealing with the initial value problem, we are trying to predict future system behavior when initial conditions, boundary conditions, and a governing physical process are known. Solutions to such problems are seldom obtainable in a closed finite form. Even when series solutions are developed, an infinite number of terms may be needed to provide generality. For example, the problem of transient heat conduction in a circular cylinder leads to an infinite series of Bessel functions employing characteristic values which can only be computed approximately. Hence, the notion of an "exact" solution expressed as an infinite series of transcendental functions is deceiving. At best, we can hope to produce results containing insignificantly small computation errors.

The present chapter applies eigenfunction series to solve nine problems. Examples involving the Laplace, wave, beam, and heat equations are given. Nonhomogeneous boundary conditions are dealt with in several instances. Animation is also provided whenever it is helpful to illustrate the nature of the solutions.

| Equation | Equation <br> Name | Applications |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $u_{x x}+u_{y y}=\alpha u_{t}$ | Heat | Transient heat conduction <br> $u_{x x}+u_{y y}=\alpha u_{t t}$ <br> $u_{x x}+u_{y y}=0$ <br> $u_{x x}+u_{y y}=f(x, y)$ <br> Transverse vibrations of membranes <br> and other wave type phenomena <br> Steady-state heat conduction and <br> electrostatics |
| $u_{x x}+u_{y y}+\omega^{2} u=0$ | Laplace | Hoisson | | Stress analysis of linearly elastic |
| :--- |
| bodies |
| $E I y_{x x x x}=-A \rho y_{t t}+f(x, t)$ | Beam $\quad$| Steady-state harmonic vibration |
| :--- |
| problems |
| Transverse flexural vibrations of |
| elastic beams |

### 9.2 Solving the Laplace Equation inside a Rectangular Region

Functions which satisfy Laplace's equation are encountered often in practice. Such functions are called harmonic; and the problem of determining a harmonic function subject to given boundary values is known as the Dirichlet problem [119]. In a few cases with simple geometries, the Dirichlet problem can be solved explicitly. One instance is a rectangular region with the boundary values of the function being expandable in a Fourier sine series. The following program employs the FFT to construct a solution for boundary values represented by piecewise linear interpolation. Surface and contour plots of the resulting field values are also presented.

The problem of interest satisfies the differential equation

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}}=0 \quad, \quad 0<x<a \quad, \quad 0<y<b
$$

with the boundary conditions of the form

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
u(x, 0)=F(x) \quad, & 0<x<a, \\
u(x, b)=G(x) \quad, & 0<x<a, \\
u(0, y)=P(y) \quad, & 0<y<b, \\
u(a, y)=Q(y) \quad, & 0<y<b .
\end{array}
$$

The series solution can be represented as

$$
u(x, y)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n} a_{n}(x, y)+g_{n} a_{n}(x, b-y)+p_{n} b_{n}(x, y)+q_{n} b_{n}(a-x, y)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{n}(x, y)=\sin \left[\frac{n \pi x}{a}\right] \sinh \left[\frac{n \pi(b-y)}{a}\right] / \sinh \left[\frac{n \pi b}{a}\right], \\
& b_{n}(x, y)=\sinh \left[\frac{n \pi(a-x)}{b}\right] \sin \left[\frac{n \pi y}{b}\right] / \sinh \left[\frac{n \pi a}{b}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

and the constants $f_{m}, g_{m}, p_{n}$, and $q_{n}$ are coefficients in the Fourier sine expansions of the boundary value functions. This implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n} \sin \left[\frac{n \pi x}{a}\right], G(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_{n} \sin \left[\frac{n \pi x}{a}\right], \\
& P(y)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{n} \sin \left[\frac{n \pi y}{b}\right], Q(y)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_{n} \sin \left[\frac{n \pi y}{b}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The coefficients in the series can be computed by integration as

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{n} & =\frac{2}{a} \int_{0}^{a} F(x) \sin \left[\frac{n \pi x}{a}\right] d x, g_{n}=\frac{2}{a} \int_{0}^{a} G(x) \sin \left[\frac{n \pi x}{a}\right] d x, \\
p_{n} & =\frac{2}{a} \int_{0}^{b} P(y) \sin \left[\frac{n \pi y}{b}\right] d y, q_{n}=\frac{2}{a} \int_{0}^{b} Q(y) \sin \left[\frac{n \pi y}{b}\right] d y,
\end{aligned}
$$

or approximate coefficients can be obtained using the FFT. The latter approach is chosen here and the solution is evaluated for an arbitrary number of terms in the series.

The chosen problem solution has the disadvantage of employing eigenfunctions that vanish at the ends of the expansion intervals. Consequently, it is desirable to combine the series with an additional term allowing exact satisfaction of the corner conditions for cases where the boundary value functions for adjacent sides agree. This implies requirements such as $F(a)=Q(0)$ and three other similar conditions. It is evident that the function

$$
u_{p}(x, y)=c_{1}+c_{2} x+c_{3} y+c_{4} x y
$$

is harmonic and varies linearly along each side of the rectangle. Constants $c_{1}, \cdots, c_{4}$ can be computed to satisfy the corner values and the total solution is represented as $u_{p}$ plus a series solution involving modified boundary conditions.

The following program laplarec solves the Dirichlet problem for the rectangle. Function values and gradient components are computed and plotted. Functions used in this program are described below. The example data set defined in the driver program was chosen to produce interesting surface and contour plots. Different boundary conditions can be handled by slight modifications of the input data. In this example 100 term series are used. Figure 9.1 through Figure 9.4 show function and gradient components, as well as a contour plot of function values. Readers may find it instructive to run the program and view these figures from different angles

$\left.$| laplarec | inputs data, calls computation modules, and <br> plots results <br> defines an example datacase <br> ulinbc |
| :--- | :--- |
| recseris |  |
| particular solution for linearly varying |  |
| boundary conditions |  |
| sums the series for function and gradient val- |  |
| ues |  |
| lintrp |  |$\quad$| generates coefficients in a Fourier sine series |
| :--- |
| piecewise linear interpolation function allow- |
| ing jump discontinuities | \right\rvert\, 

using the interactive figure rotating capability provided in MATLAB. Note that the figure showing the function gradient in the $x$ direction used view([225,20]) to show clearly the jump discontinuity in this quantity.


Figure 9.1: Surface Plot of Function Values


Figure 9.2: Contour Plot of Function Values

DERIVATIVE OF $U(X, Y)$ IN THE X DIRECTION


Figure 9.3: Function Derivative in the $\mathbf{x}$ Direction


Figure 9.4: Function Derivative in the $y$ Direction

## MATLAB Example

## Program laplarec

```
function [u,ux,uy, X,Y]=laplarec(...
ubot, utop, ulft, urht, a, b, nx , ny, N)
\%
\% [u,ux,uy, X, Y]=laplarec(...
\% ubot,utop,ulft,urht, a, b, nx, ny, N)
\% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
\% This program evaluates a harmonic function and its
\% first partial derivatives in a rectangular region.
\% The method employs a Fourier series expansion.
\% ubot - defines the boundary values on the bottom
\(\% \quad\) side. This can be an array in which
\(\% \quad \operatorname{ubot}(:, 1)\) is \(x\) coordinates and ubot(:,2)
\(\% \quad\) is function values. Values at intermediate
\(\% \quad\) points are obtained by piecewise linear
\(\% \quad\) interpolation. A character string giving
\(\% \quad\) the name of a function can also be used.
\(\% \quad\) Then the function is evualuated using 200
\(\% \quad\) points along a side to convert ubot to an
\% array. Similar comments apply for utop,
\(\% \quad u l f t\), and urht introduced below.
\(\%\) utop - boundary value definition on the top side
\% ulft - boundary value definition on the left side
\% urht - boundary value definition on the right side
\(\% \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\) - rectangle dimensions in x and y directions
\(\% \mathrm{nx}, \mathrm{ny}\) - number of x and y values for which the
\(\% \quad\) solution is evaluated
\% N - number of terms used in the Fourier series
\(\%\) u - function value for the solution
\% ux,uy - first partial derivatives of the solution
\(\% \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}\) - coordinate point arrays where the solution
\(\% \quad\) is evaluated
\%
\% User m functions used: datafunc ulinbc
\% recseris ftsincof
disp(' ')
disp('SOLVING THE LAPLACE EQUATION IN A RECTANGLE')
disp(' ')
if nargin==0
```

```
        disp(...
            'Give the name of a function defining the data')
        datfun=input(...
            '(try datafunc as an example): > ? ','s');
        [ubot,utop,ulft,urht,a,b,nx,ny,N]=feval(datfun);
    end
    % Create a grid to evaluate the solution
    x=linspace(0,a,nx) ; y=linspace(0,b,ny);
    [X,Y]=meshgrid(x,y); d=(a+b)/1e6;
    xd=linspace(0,a,201)'; yd=linspace(0,b,201)';
    % Check whether boundary values are given using
    % external functions. Convert these to arrays
    if isstr(ubot)
    ud=feval(ubot,xd); ubot=[xd,ud(:)];
end
if isstr(utop)
    ud=feval(utop,xd); utop=[xd,ud(:)];
end
if isstr(ulft)
    ud=feval(ulft,yd); ulft=[yd,ud(:)];
end
if isstr(urht)
    ud=feval(urht,yd); urht=[yd,ud(:)];
end
% Determine function values at the corners
ub=interp1(ubot(:,1),ubot(:,2),[d,a-d]);
ut=interp1(utop(:,1),utop(:,2),[d,a-d]);
ul=interp1(ulft(:,1),ulft(:,2),[d,b-d]);
ur=interp1(urht (:,1),urht(:, 2), [d,b-d]);
U=[ul(1)+ub(1),ub(2)+ur (1) ,ur(2)+ut(2), ...
    ut(1)+ul(2)]/2;
% Obtain a solution satisfying the corner
% values and varying linearly along the sides
[v,vx,vy]=ulinbc(U, a, b, X,Y) ;
% Reduce the corner values to zero to improve
% behavior of the Fourier series solution
% near the corners
```

```
f=inline('u0+(u1-u0)/L*x','x','u0','u1','L');
ubot(:,2)=ubot(:,2)-f(ubot(:,1),U(1),U(2),a);
utop(:,2)=utop(:,2)-f(utop(:, 1),U(4),U(3),a);
ulft(:,2)=ulft(:,2)-f(ulft(:,1),U(1),U(4),b);
urht(:,2)=urht(:,2)-f(urht(:, 1),U(2),U(3),b);
% Evaluate the series and combine results
% for the various component solutions
[ub,ubx,uby]=recseris(ubot,a,b,1,x,y,N) ;
[ut,utx,uty]=recseris(utop,a,b,2,x,y,N);
[ul,ulx,uly]=recseris(ulft,a,b,3,x,y,N);
[ur,urx,ury]=recseris(urht,a,b,4,x,y,N);
u=v+ub+ut+ul+ur; ux=vx+ubx+utx+ulx+urx;
uy=vy+uby+uty+uly+ury; close
% Show results graphically
surfc(X,Y,u), xlabel('x axis'), ylabel('y axis')
zlabel('U(X,Y)')
title('HARMONIC FUNCTION IN A RECTANGLE')
shg, pause
% print -deps laprecsr
contour(X,Y,u,30); title('Contour Plot');
xlabel('x direction'); ylabel('y direction');
colorbar, shg, pause
% print -deps laprecnt
surf(X,Y,ux), xlabel('x axis'), ylabel('y axis')
zlabel('DU(X,Y)/DX')
title('DERIVATIVE OF U(X,Y) IN THE X DIRECTION')
shg, pause
% print -deps laprecdx
surf(X,Y,uy), xlabel('x axis'), ylabel('y axis')
zlabel('DU(X,Y)/DY')
title('DERIVATIVE OF U(X,Y) IN THE Y DIRECTION')
% print -deps laprecdy
shg
%==============================================
function [ubot,utop,ulft,urht,a,b,\ldots
                        nx,ny,N]=datafunc
```



177:

185: \% [u,ux, uy, X, Y]=recseris (udat, $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$, iside, $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{N}$ )
187: \% This function computes a function harmonic in
188: \% a rectangle with general function values given
189: \% on one side and zero function values on the \% other three sides.
191: \% udat - a data array to determine the function
192: \% values by piecewise linear interpolation
$c=[1,0,0,0 ; 1, a, 0,0 ; 1, a, b, a * b ; 1,0, b, 0 ;] \backslash U(:) ;$
$\mathrm{u}=\mathrm{c}(1)+\mathrm{c}(2) * \mathrm{X}+\mathrm{c}(3) * \mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{c}(4) * \mathrm{X} . * \mathrm{Y}$;
$\%===================================$

```
function [u,ux,uy, X,Y]=recseris(udat,a,b,iside, \(x, y, N\) )
\%
along the side having nonzero values.
                                udat (: ,1) contains either x or y values
                        along a side, and udat(:,2) contains
                        corresponding function values
- side lengths for the x and y directions
- an index indicating the side for which
function values are given.
[1, 2, 3, 4] <=>[bottom,top,left, right]
data vectors defining a grid
                        [ \(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}\) ]=meshgrid( \(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\) ) on which the function
                        and its first partial derivatives are
                        computed
- arrays of values of the harmonic function
and its first partial derivatives
arrays of coordinate values for which
    function values were computed.
\(x=x(:)^{\prime} ; ~ y=y(:) ; ~ n y=l e n g t h(y) ; ~ N=m i n(N, 500) ;\)
if iside<3, period=2*a; else, period=2*b; end
\(\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{ftsincof(udat,period)}\); \(\mathrm{n}=1: \mathrm{N}\); \(\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{n})\);
if iside<3 \% top or bottom sides
        npa \(=\) pi/a*n; c=c./(1-exp( \(-2 * b * n p a))\);
        \(\mathrm{sx}=\sin (\mathrm{npa}(:) * \mathrm{x}) ; \mathrm{cx}=\cos (\mathrm{npa}(:) * \mathrm{x})\);
        if iside==1 \% bottom side
            \(d y=\exp (-y * n p a) ; \quad e y=\exp (-(2 * b-y) * n p a)\);
            u=repmat(c,ny,1).*(dy-ey)*sx;
            c=repmat(c.*npa,ny,1);
249: function \(c=f t s i n c o f(y, p e r i o d)\)

250: \%
251: \% c=ftsincof(y,period)
252 : \%
253: \% This function computes 500 Fourier sine
254: \% coefficients for a piecewise linear
\(255: \%\) function defined by a data array
\(256: \%\) y - an array defining the function
257: \% over half a period as
258: \% \(\quad Y(x)=i n t e r p 1(y(:, 1), y(:, 2), x)\)
\(259: \%\) period - the period of the function
260: \%
261: \(x f t=1\) inspace(0,period/2,513) ;
262: \(u f t=i n t e r p 1(y(:, 1), y(:, 2) / 512, x f t)\);
263: \(c=f f t([u f t,-u f t(512:-1: 2)])\);
264: \(C=-i m a g(c(2: 501))\);

\subsection*{9.3 The Vibrating String}

Transverse motion of a tightly stretched string illustrates one of the simplest occurrences of one-dimensional wave propagation. The transverse deflection satisfies the wave equation
\[
a^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial X^{2}}=\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial T^{2}}
\]
where \(u(X, T)\) satisfies initial conditions
\[
u(X, 0)=F(X), \frac{\partial u(X, 0)}{\partial T}=G(X)
\]
with boundary conditions
\[
u(0, T)=0, u(\ell, T)=0
\]
where \(\ell\) is the string length. If we introduce the dimensionless variables \(x=X / \ell\) and \(t=T /(\ell / a)\) the differential equation becomes
\[
u_{x x}=u_{t t}
\]
where subscripts denote partial differentiation. The boundary conditions become
\[
u(0, t)=u(1, t)=0
\]
and the initial conditions become
\[
u(x, 0)=f(x), u_{t}(x, 0)=g(x)
\]

Let us consider the case where the string is released from rest initially so \(g(x)=0\). The solution can be found in series form as
\[
u(x, t)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} p_{n}(x) \cos \left(\omega_{n} t\right)
\]
where \(\omega_{n}\) are natural frequencies and satisfaction of the differential equation of motion requires
\[
p_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x)+\omega_{n}^{2} p_{n}(x)=0
\]
so
\[
p_{n}=A_{n} \sin \left(\omega_{n} x\right)+B_{n} \cos \left(\omega_{n} x\right)
\]

The boundary condition \(p_{n}(0)=B_{n}=0\) and \(p_{n}(1)=A_{n} \sin \left(\omega_{n}\right)\) requires \(A_{n} \neq 0\) and \(\omega_{n}=n \pi\), where \(n\) is an integer. This leads to a solution in the form
\[
u(x, t)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} \sin (n \pi x) \cos (n \pi t)
\]

The remaining condition on initial conditions requires
\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} \sin (n \pi x)=f(x), 0<x<1
\]

Therefore, the coefficients \(a_{n}\) are obtainable from an odd-valued Fourier series expansion of \(f(x)\) vanishing at \(x=0\) and \(x=2\). We see that \(f(-x)=-f(x)\) and \(f(x+2)=f(x)\), and the coefficients are obtainable by integration as
\[
a_{n}=2 \int_{0}^{1} f(x) \sin (n \pi x) d x
\]

However, an easier way to compute the coefficients is to use the FFT. A solution will be given for an arbitrary piecewise linear initial condition.

Before implementing the Fourier series solution, let us digress briefly to examine the case of an infinite string governed by
\[
a^{2} u_{X X}=u_{T T},-\infty<X<\infty
\]
and initial conditions
\[
u(X, 0)=F(X), u_{T}(X, 0)=G(X)
\]

The reader can verify directly that the solution of this problem is given by
\[
u(X, T)=\frac{1}{2}[F(X-a T)+F(X+a T)]+\frac{1}{2 a} \int_{X-a T}^{X+a T} G(x) d x
\]

When the string is released from rest, \(G(X)\) is zero and the solution reduces to
\[
\frac{F(X-a T)+F(X+a T)}{2}
\]
which shows that the initial deflection splits into two parts with one half translating to the left at speed \(a\) and the other half moving to the right at speed \(a\). This solution can also be adapted to solve the problem for a string of length \(\ell\) fixed at each end. The condition \(u(0, T)=0\) implies
\[
F(-a T)=-F(a T)
\]
which shows that \(F(X)\) must be odd valued. Similarly, \(u(\ell, T)=0\) requires
\[
F(\ell-a T)+F(\ell+a T)=0
\]

Combining this condition with \(F(X)=-F(X)\) shows that
\[
F(X+2 \ell)=F(X)
\]
so, \(F(X)\) must have a period of \(2 \ell\). In the string of length \(\ell, F(X)\) is only known for \(0<X<\ell\), and we must take
\[
F(X)=-F(2 \ell-X), \ell<X<2 \ell
\]

Furthermore the solution has the form
\[
u(X, T)=\frac{F\left(x_{p}\right)+F\left(x_{m}\right)}{2}
\]
where \(x_{p}=X+a T\) and \(x_{m}=X-a T\). The quantity \(x_{p}\) will always be positive and \(x_{m}\) can be both positive and negative. The necessary sign change and periodicity can be achieved by evaluating \(F(X)\) as
\[
\boldsymbol{\operatorname { s i g n }}(X) . * F(\operatorname{rem}(\mathbf{a b s}(X)), 2 * \ell)
\]
where rem is the intrinsic remainder function used in the exact solution implemented in function strngwav presented earlier in section 2.7.

A computer program employing the Fourier series solution was written for an initial deflection that is piecewise linear. The series solution allows the user to select varying numbers of terms in the series to examine how well the initial deflection configuration is represented by a truncated sine series. A function animating the time response shows clearly how the initial deflection splits in two parts translating in opposite directions. In the Fourier solution, dimensionless variables are employed to make the string length and the wave speed both equal one. Consequently, the time required for the motion to exactly return to the starting position equals two, representing how long it takes for a disturbance to propagate from one end to the other and back. When the motion is observed for \(0<x<1\), it is evident that waves reflected from a wall are inverted. The program employs the following functions.
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline \hline stringft & function to input initial deflection data \\
sincof \\
initdefl & \begin{tabular}{l} 
uses fft to generate coefficients in a sine series \\
defines the initial deflection by piecewise linear \\
interpolation
\end{tabular} \\
strvib & \begin{tabular}{l} 
evaluates the series solution for general \(x\) and \(t\) \\
smotion \\
inputv \\
lintrp
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \begin{tabular}{l} 
facilitates the string motion \\
performs interpoctive data input \\
linear function
\end{tabular}

Results are shown below for a string which was deflected initially in a square wave. The example was chosen to illustrate the approximation produced when a small number of Fourier coefficients, in this case 30, is used. Ripples are clearly evident in the surface plot of \(u(x, t)\) in Figure 9.5. The deflection configuration of


Figure 9.5: String Deflection as a Function of Position and Time
the string at \(t=1\) when the initial deflection form has passed through half a period of motion appears in Figure 9.6. One other example given in Figure 9.7 shows the deflection surface produced using 100 series terms and a triangular initial deflection pattern. The surface describes \(u(x, t)\) through one period of motion.

\section*{Wave Propagation in a String}


Figure 9.6: Wave Propagation in a String

String Deflection as a Function of Position and Time


Figure 9.7: Surface for Triangular Initial Deflection

\section*{Program Output and Code}

Output from Example stringft
```

>> stringft;
FOURIER SERIES SOLUTION FOR WAVES
IN A STRING WITH LINEARLY INTERPOLATED
INITIAL DEFLECTION AND FIXED ENDS
Enter the number of interior data points (the fixed
end point coordinates are added automatically)
? 4
The string stretches between fixed endpoints at
x=zero and x=one.
Enter 4 sets of x,y to specify interior
initial deflections (one pair per line)
? . 33,0
? . 33,-1
?. .67,-1
?.67,0
Give the number of series terms
and the maximum value of t
(give 0,0 to stop)
? 30,1
Press [Enter] to
see the animation
Give the number of series terms
and the maximum value of t
(give 0,0 to stop)
? 0,0
>>

```

\section*{String Vibration Program}

1: function \([x, t, y]=\) stringft(Xdat, Ydat)
2: \%
```

\% Example: [x, t,y] =stringft(Xdat,Ydat)
\% This program analyzes wave motion in a string
\% having arbitrary piecewise linear initial
\% deflection. A Fourier series expansion is used
\% to construct the solution
\%
\% Xdat,Ydat -data vectors defining the initial
$\%$ deflections at interior points. The
$\%$ deflections at $\mathrm{x}=0$ and $\mathrm{x}=1$ are set
\% to xero automatically. For example,
$\% \quad \operatorname{try}$ Xdat=[.2,.3,.7,.8],
$\% \quad$ Ydat $=[0,-1,-1,0]$
\%
\% x,t,y - arrays containing the position, time
\% and deflection values
\%
\% User m functions required:
\% sincof, initdefl, strvib, smotion, inputv,
\% lintrp
global xdat ydat
disp(' '), disp( ...
, FOURIER SERIES SOLUTION FOR WAVES')
disp(....
'IN A STRING WITH LINEARLY INTERPOLATED')
disp(...'
, INITIAL DEFLECTION AND FIXED ENDS')
if nargin==0
disp(' ')
disp(['Enter the number of interior ',...
'data points (the fixed'])
disp(['end point coordinates are ',...
'added automatically)'])
n=input('? '); if isempty(n), break, end
xdat $=z e r o s(n+2,1)$; ydat=xdat; $\operatorname{xdat}(n+2)=1$;
disp(' ')
disp(['The string stretches between ',...
'fixed endpoints at'])
disp('x=zero and $x=o n e . '), d i s p(')$
disp(['Enter ', num2str(n),...
sets of $x, y$ to specify interior'])
disp(['initial deflections ',...
'(one pair per line)']), disp(' ')

```
```

        for \(j=2: n+1,[x d a t(j), y d a t(j)]=i n p u t v ; ~ e n d ;\)
    else
xdat=[0;Xdat(:);1]; ydat=[0;Ydat(:);0];
end
a=sincof(@initdefl,1,1024) ; \% sine coefficients
$n x=51$; $x=1$ inspace (0,1,nx) ;
$\mathrm{xx}=$ linspace $(0,1,151)$;
while 1
disp(' ')
disp('Give the number of series terms')
disp('and the maximum value of $t$ ')
disp('(give 0,0 to stop)')
[ntrms,tmax]=inputv;
if isnan(ntrms)| norm([ntrms,tmax])==0
break, end
nt=ceil(nx*tmax) ; t=linspace(0,tmax, nt);
$\mathrm{y}=$ strvib(a,t,x,1,ntrms); \% time history
yy=strvib(a,t,xx,1,ntrms);
[xo,to]=meshgrid(x,t);
hold off; surf(xo,to,y);
grid on; colormap([1 1 1 1]);
\%colormap([127/255 1 212/255]);
xlabel('x axis'); ylabel('time axis');
zlabel('transverse deflection');
title(['String Deflection as a Function ', ...
'of Position and Time']);
disp(' '), disp('Press [Enter] to')
disp('see the animation'), shg, pause
\% print -deps strdefl
smotion(xx,yy,'Wave Propagation in a String');
disp(''); pause(1);
end
\% print -deps strwave
$\%==========================================$
function $y=i n i t d e f l(x)$
\%
\% y=initdefl (x)
\% ~~~~~~~~~~~~
\% This function defines the linearly
\% interpolated initial deflection
\% configuration.

```
```

$\%$ deflection is to be computed
\%
\% y - transverse initial deflection value for
\% argument $x$
\%
\% xdat, ydat - global data vectors used for
\% linear interpolation
\%
\% User m functions required: lintrp
\%-----------------------------------------------------1
global xdat ydat
$\mathrm{y}=$ lintrp (xdat, ydat, x ) ;
$\%=========================================$
function $y=s t r v i b(a, t, x, h p, n)$
\%
\% $\mathrm{y}=\operatorname{strvib}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{hp}, \mathrm{n})$
\% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
\% Sum the Fourier series for the string motion.
\%
$\%$ a - Fourier coefficients of initial
$\%$ deflection
\% t,x - vectors of time and position values
$\% \mathrm{hp}$ - the half period for the series
$\% \quad$ expansion
$\% \mathrm{n}$ - the number of series terms used
\%
$\%$ y - matrix with $y(i, j)$ equal to the
$\%$ deflection at position $x(i)$ and
\% time t(j)
\%
\% User m functions required: none

```

```

$\mathrm{w}=\mathrm{pi} / \mathrm{hp} *(1: \mathrm{n}) ; \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{a}(1: \mathrm{n}) ; \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{a}(:)^{\prime}$;
$\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}(:)$; t=t(:)';
$\mathrm{y}=((\mathrm{a}$ (ones $($ length $(\mathrm{x}), 1),:) . * \ldots$
$\sin (\mathrm{x} * \mathrm{w})) * \cos (\mathrm{w}(:) * \mathrm{t}))^{\prime} ;$
$\%========================================$

```
137:
```

function smotion(x,y,titl)
%
% smotion(x,y,titl)
% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
% This function animates the string motion.
%
% x - a vector of position values along the
% string
% y - a matrix of transverse deflection
% values where successive rows give
% deflections at successive times
% titl - a title shown on the plot (optional)
%
% User m functions required: none
%------------------------------------------------
if nargin < 3, titl=' '; end
xmin=min(x); xmax=max(x);
ymin=min(y(:)); ymax=max(y(:));
[nt,nx]=size(y); clf reset;
for j=1:nt
plot(x,y(j,:),'k');
axis([xmin,xmax,2*ymin,2*ymax]);
axis('off'); title(titl);
drawnow; figure(gcf); pause(.1)
end
%===============================================
167: function a=sincof(func,hafper,nft)
169: % a=sincof(func,hafper,nft)
170: % ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
171: % This function calculates the sine
172: % coefficients.
174: % func - the name of a function defined over
175: % a half period
176: % hafper - the length of the half period of the
177: % function
178: % nft - the number of function values used
179:% in the Fourier series
181:% a - the vector of Fourier sine series
coefficients

```
166:
168: \%
173: \%
180: \%
182: \%
```

%
% User m functions required: none
%---------------------------------------------------
n2=nft/2; x=hafper/n2*(0:n2);
y=feval(func,x); y=y(:);
a=fft([y;-y(n2:-1:2)]); a=-imag(a(2:n2))/n2;
%=============================================
% function y=lintrp(xd,yd,x)
% See Appendix B
%=============================================
% function varargout=inputv(prompt)
% See Appendix B

```

\subsection*{9.4 Force Moving on an Elastic String}

The behavior of a semi-infinite string acted on by a moving transverse force illustrates an interesting aspect of wave propagation. Consider a taut string initially at rest and un-deflected when a force moving at constant speed is applied. This simple example shows how a wave front moves ahead of the force when the velocity of wave propagation in the string exceeds the speed of the force, but the force acts at the front of the disturbance when the force moves faster than the wave speed of the string. The governing differential equations, initial conditions, and boundary conditions are:
\[
\begin{gathered}
a^{2} u_{x x}(x, t)=u_{t t}(x, t)+\frac{F_{0}}{\rho} \delta(x-v t), t>0,0<x<\infty, \\
u(0, t)=0, u(\infty, t)=0, \\
u(x, 0)=0, u_{t}(x, 0)=0,0<x<\infty .
\end{gathered}
\]

In these equations \(a\) is the speed of wave propagation in the string and \(v\) is the speed at which a concentrated downward force \(F_{0}\) moves toward the right along the string, \(\rho\) is the mass per unit length of the string, and \(\delta\) is the Dirac delta function. This problem can be solved using the Fourier sine transform pair defined by
\[
U(p, t)=\int_{0}^{\infty} u(x, t) \sin (p x) d x, u(x, t)=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} U(p, t) \sin (p x) d p
\]

Transforming the differential equation and initial conditions, and making use of the boundary conditions gives
\[
-p^{2} a^{2} U(p, t)=U_{t t}(p, t)+\frac{F_{0}}{\rho} \sin (p v t), U(p, 0)=0, U_{t}(p, 0)=0
\]

It follows that
\[
U(p, t)=\frac{F_{0}}{a \rho\left(a^{2}-v^{2}\right)}\left[\frac{v \sin (a p t)-a \sin (v p t)}{p^{2}}\right],
\]
provided \(v \neq a\). Applying the inverse transformation then gives the desired displacement response as
\[
u(x, t)=\frac{F_{0}}{2 a \rho\left(a^{2}-v^{2}\right)}[(v-a) x-v|x-a t|+a|x-v t|] .
\]

\subsection*{9.4.1 Computer Analysis}

The following MATLAB program analyzes the response predicted by the last equation. A surface plot shows \(u(x, t)\). Positions of the force at successive times are also marked by a heavy dark line superimposed on the surface. Then an animation shows the string deflection and the point of action of the force throughout the chosen time interval. As the force moves along the string, no deflection occurs ahead of the force if the speed of the force exceeds the speed of wave propagation for the string. Otherwise, a disturbance propagates ahead of the force at the wave speed of the string. Graphical results from the program are shown first. Then the computer code is listed.

Let us first consider what happens when the force moves slower than the wave speed. Taking so \(v=1.0, a=1.2\) gives the following results in Figure 9.8. Since the point of application of the load is denoted by an arrow, it is clear from the last figure that the disturbance moves ahead of the load when the load moves slower than the wave speed for the string. Next consider what happens when the force moves faster than the wave speed for the string. For example taking \(v=1, a=0.80\) gives significantly different output. In this instance, no disturbance occurs at a point until the load passes the point. This case is illustrated in Figure 9.9. The reader may find it instructive to run the program for different combinations of force speed and wave speed. The program does not account for the case where \(v\) exactly equals \(a\), but these values can be taken close enough together to see what the limiting case will give. We simply increase \(a\) to 1.00001 times \(a\).


Figure 9.8: Force Moving Slower than the Wave Speed


Figure 9.9: Force Moving Faster than the Wave Speed

\section*{Program forcmove}
```

function [u,X,T,uf,t]=forcmove(a,v,tmax,nt)
%
% [u,X,T,uf,t]=forcmove(a,v,tmax,nt)
% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
% This function computes the dynamic response
% of a taut string subjected to an upward
% directed concentrated force moving along the
% string at constant speed. The string is
% fixed at x=0 and x=+infinity. The system
% is initially at rest when the force starts
% moving toward the right from the left end. If
% the force speed exceeds the wave propagation
% speed, then no disturbance occurs ahead of
% the force. If the force speed is slower
% than the wave propagation speed, then the
% deflection propagates ahead of the force at
% the wave propagation speed.
%
% v - speed of the moving load
% a - speed of wave propagation in the
% string
% tmax - maximum time for which the
% solution is computed
% u - matrix of deflection values where
% time and position vary row-wise and
% column-wise, respectively
% T,X - matrices of time and position values
% corresponding to the deflection
% matrix U
% uf - deflection values where the force acts
% t - vector of times (same as columns of T)
%
% User m functions used: ustring
if nargin==0, a=.8; v=1; tmax=10; nt=15; end
if a>v
titl='FORCE SPEED SLOWER THAN THE WAVE SPEED';
elseif a<v
titl='FORCE SPEED FASTER THAN THE WAVE SPEED';
else

```
: end
\% print -deps forcmove
hold off; subplot
\(\%==========================================\)
function \([u, X, T, u f, t]=u s t r i n g(a, v, t m a x, n t)\)
\%
\% [u,X,T,uf,t]=ustring(a,v,tmax,nt)
\% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
\(\%\) This function computes the deflection \(u(x, t)\)
\% of a semi-infinite string subjected to a
```

87: % moving force. The equation for the normalized
8: % deflection is
89: % u(x,t)=1/a/(a^2-v^2)*((v-a-v*abs (x-a*t)...
90: % +a*abs(x-v*t));
91: % a - speed of wave propagation in the string
92: % v - speed of the force moving to the right
93: % tmax - maximum time for computing the solution
94: % nt - number of time values
95: % uu - array of displacement values normalized
96: % by dividing by a factor equal to the force
97:% magnitude over twice the density per unit
98:% length. Position varies column-wise and
99: % time varies row-wise in the array.
100: % X,T - position and time arrays for the solution
101: % uf - deflection vector under the force
102: % t - time vector for the solution (same as the
103:% columns of T)
104: %
105: t=linspace(0,tmax,nt)'; xmax=1.05*tmax*max(a,v);
106: u=zeros(nt,4); nx=4; X=zeros(nt,nx); X(:,nx)=xmax;
107: c=1/a/( (a^2-v^2) ; xw=a*t; xf=v*t; T=repmat(t,1,4);
108: uw=c*xw*(v-a+abs(v-a)); uf=c*xf*(v-a-abs(v-a));
109: if a>v, X(:,2)=xf; X(:,3)=xw; u(:,2)=uf;
110: else, X(:,2)=xw; X(:,3)=xf; u(:,2)=uw; end

```

\subsection*{9.5 Waves in Rectangular or Circular Membranes}

Wave propagation in two dimensions is illustrated well by the transverse vibration of an elastic membrane. Membrane dynamics is discussed here for general boundary shapes. Then specific solutions are given for rectangular and circular membranes subjected to a harmonically varying surface force. In the next chapter, natural mode vibrations of an elliptical membrane are also discussed. We consider a membrane occupying an area \(S\) of the \(x, y\) plane bounded by a curve \(L\) where the deflection is zero. The differential equation, boundary conditions, and initial conditions governing the transverse deflection \(U(x, y, t)\) are
\[
\begin{gathered}
\nabla^{2} U=c^{-2} U_{t t}-P(x, y, t),(x, y) \in S \\
U(x, y, 0)=U_{0}(x, y), U_{t}(x, y, 0)=V_{0}(x, y),(x, y) \in S \\
U(x, y, t)=0,(x, y) \in L
\end{gathered}
\]

The parameter \(c\) is the speed of wave propagation in the membrane and \(P\) is the applied normal load per unit area divided by the membrane tension per unit length.

When \(P=0\), the motion is resolvable into a series of normal mode vibrations [22] of the form \(u_{n}(x, y) \sin \left(\Omega_{n} t+\epsilon_{n}\right)\) satisfying
\[
\nabla^{2} u_{n}(x, y)=-\Lambda_{n}^{2} u_{n}(x, y), \quad(x, y) \in S, u_{n}(x, y)=0,(x, y) \in L
\]
where \(\Lambda_{n}=\Omega_{n} / c\) is a positive real frequency parameter, and \(u_{n}\) satisfies
\[
\iint u_{n}(x, y) u_{m}(x, y) d x d y=C_{n} \delta_{n m}, C_{n}=\iint u_{n}(x, y)^{2} d x d y
\]
where \(\delta_{n m}\) is the Kronecker delta symbol. If the initial displacement and initial velocity are representable by a series of the modal functions, then the homogeneous solution satisfying general initial conditions is
\[
U(x, y, t)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_{n}(x, y)\left[A_{n} \cos \left(\Omega_{n} t\right)+B_{n} \sin \left(\Omega_{n} t\right) / \Omega_{n}\right]
\]
where
\[
A_{n}=\iint U_{0}(x, y) u_{n}(x, y) d x d y / C_{n}, B_{n}=\iint V_{0}(x, y) u_{n}(x, y) d x d y / C_{n}
\]

The nonhomogeneous case will be treated where the applied normal force on the membrane varies harmonically as
\[
P(x, y, t)=p(x, y) \cos (\Omega t)
\]
and \(\Omega\) does not match any natural frequency of the membrane. We assume that the membrane is initially at rest with zero deflection and \(p(x, y)\) is expandable as
\[
p(x, y)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{n} u_{n}(x, y) d x d y, P_{n}=\iint p(x, y) u_{n}(x, y) d x d y / C_{n}
\]

Then the forced response solution satisfying zero initial conditions is found to be
\[
U(x, y, t)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{P_{n}}{\Lambda^{2}-\Lambda_{n}^{2}} u_{n}(x, y)\left[\cos (\Omega t)-\cos \left(\Omega_{n} t\right)\right]
\]

This equation shows clearly that when the frequency of the forcing function is close to any one of the natural frequencies, then large deflection amplitudes can occur.

Next we turn to specific solutions for rectangular and circular membranes. Consider the normal mode functions for a rectangular region defined by \(0 \leq x \leq a\), \(0 \leq y \leq b\). It can be shown that the modal functions are
\[
u_{n m}(x, y)=\sin (n \pi x / a) \sin (m \pi y / b), \Omega_{n m}=c \pi \sqrt{(n / a)^{2}+(m / b)^{2}}
\]
and \(C_{n}=a b / 4\). In the simple case where the applied surface force is a concentrated load applied at \(\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)\), then
\[
p(x, y)=p_{0} \delta\left(x-x_{0}\right) \delta\left(y-y_{0}\right)
\]
where \(\delta\) is the Dirac delta function. The series solution for a forced response solution is found to be
\[
U(x, y, t)=c^{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{P_{n m}}{\Omega^{2}-\Omega_{n m}^{2}} \sin \left(\frac{n \pi x}{a}\right) \sin \left(\frac{m \pi y}{b}\right)\left[\cos (\Omega t)-\cos \left(\Omega_{n m} t\right)\right]
\]
with
\[
P_{n m}=\frac{4 p_{0}}{a b} \sin \left(n \pi x_{0} / a\right) \sin \left(m \pi y_{0} / b\right) .
\]

A similar kind of solution is obtainable as a series of Bessel functions when the membrane is circular. Transforming the wave equation to polar coordinates \((r, \theta)\) gives
\(U_{r r}+r^{-1} U_{r}+r^{-2} U_{\theta \theta}=c^{-2} U_{t t}-P(r, \theta, t), 0 \leq r \leq a,-\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi, t>0\).
To reduce the algebraic complexity of the series solution developed below, it is helpful to introduce dimensionless variables \(\rho=r / a\) and \(\tau=c t / a\). Then the boundary value problem involving a harmonic forcing function becomes
\[
\begin{gathered}
U_{\rho \rho}+\rho^{-1} U_{\rho}+\rho^{-2} U_{\theta \theta}=U_{\tau \tau}-p(\rho, \theta) \sin (\omega \tau), 0 \leq \rho \leq 1,-\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi, \tau>0 \\
\\
U(\rho, \theta, 0)=0, U_{\tau}(\rho, \theta, 0)=0
\end{gathered}
\]
where \(\omega=\Omega a / c\). The modal functions for this problem are
\[
u_{n m}(\rho, \theta)=J_{n}\left(\lambda_{n m} \rho\right) \cos \left(n \theta+\epsilon_{n}\right)
\]
involving the integer order Bessel functions, with \(\lambda_{n m}\) being the \(m^{\text {th }}\) positive root of \(J_{n}(\rho)\). These modal functions satisfy the orthogonality conditions discussed above and we employ the series expansion
\[
p(\rho, \theta)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} J_{n}\left(\lambda_{n m} \rho\right) \operatorname{real}\left(A_{n m} e^{i n \theta}\right)
\]
where
\[
A_{n m}=\frac{2}{\pi\left(1+\delta_{n 0}\right) J_{n+1}^{2}\left(\lambda_{n m}\right)} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} p(\rho, \theta) \rho J_{n}\left(\lambda_{n m} \rho\right) e^{-i n \theta} d \rho d \theta
\]

Then the forced response solution becomes
\[
U(\rho, \theta, \tau)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{J_{n}\left(\lambda_{n m} \rho\right)}{\omega^{2}-\lambda_{n m}^{2}} \operatorname{real}\left(A_{n m} e^{i n \theta}\right)\left[\cos (\omega \tau)-\cos \left(\lambda_{n m} \tau\right)\right]
\]

In the special case where a concentrated force acts at \(\rho=\rho_{0}, \theta=0\), so that
\[
p(\rho, \theta)=p_{0} \delta\left(\rho-\rho_{0}\right) \delta(\theta)
\]
then evaluating the double integral gives
\[
A_{n m}=p_{0} \rho_{0} J_{n}\left(\lambda n m \rho_{0}\right)
\]
and real \(\left(A_{n m} e^{-i n \theta}\right)\) simplifies to \(A_{n m} \cos (n \theta)\).

\subsection*{9.5.1 Computer Formulation}

Program membwave was written to depict wave propagation in a rectangular or circular membrane. Input data specifies information on membrane dimensions, forcing function frequency, force position coordinates, wave speed, and maximum time for solution generation. The primary computation tasks involve summing the double series defining the solutions. In the case of the circular membrane, the Bessel function roots determining the natural frequencies must also be computed. The various program modules are listed in the following table.
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline \hline membwave & \begin{tabular}{l} 
reads data, calls other computational mod- \\
ules, and outputs time response \\
memrecwv \\
memcirwv the series for dynamic response of a \\
rectangular membrane \\
calls besjroot to obtain the natural frequen- \\
cies and sums the series for the circular mem- \\
brane response \\
computes a table of Bessel function roots \\
animates the dynamic response of the mem- \\
brane
\end{tabular} \\
\begin{tabular}{l} 
besjroot \\
membanim
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{9.5.2 Input Data for Program membwave}

Listed below are data cases showing animations of both rectangular and circular membranes. Waves propagate outward in a circular pattern from the point of application of the oscillating concentrated load. The membrane response becomes more complex as waves reflect from all parts of the boundary. In order to fully appreciate the propagating wave phenomenon, readers should run the program for several combinations of forcing function frequency and maximum time. The two surface plots below show deflected positions before waves have reached the entire boundary, so some parts of the membrane surface still remain undisturbed.
```

>> membwave;
WAVE MOTION IN A RECTANGULAR OR CIRCULAR
MEMBRANE HAVING AN OSCILLATING LOAD
Select the geometry type:
Enter 1 for a rectangle, 2 for a circle > ? 1
Specify the rectangle dimensions:
Give values for a,b > ? 2,1
Give coordinates (x0,y0) where the

```
force act. Enter x0,y0 > ? 1.5,.5

Enter the wave speed > ? 1
The first forty-two natural frequencies are:
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrr}
3.5124 & 4.4429 & 5.6636 & 6.4766 & 7.0248 & 7.0248 \\
7.8540 & 8.4590 & 8.8858 & 9.5548 & 9.9346 & 9.9346 \\
10.0580 & 10.5372 & 11.3272 & 11.3272 & 11.4356 & 12.2683 \\
12.6642 & 12.6642 & 12.9531 & 12.9531 & 13.3286 & 13.4209 \\
14.0496 & 14.0496 & 14.4820 & 14.4820 & 14.8189 & 15.4705 \\
15.7080 & 15.7080 & 15.7863 & 16.0190 & 16.0190 & 16.3996 \\
16.6978 & 16.9180 & 16.9180 & 16.9908 & 17.5620 & 17.5620
\end{tabular}

Input the frequency of the forcing function ? 17.5
Input the maximum solution evaluation time.
> ? 5

Press return for animation
or enter 0 to stop > ?

Press return for animation
or enter 0 to stop > ? 0

All done
>> membwave;

WAVE MOTION IN A RECTANGULAR OR CIRCULAR MEMBRANE HAVING AN OSCILLATING LOAD

Select the geoemtry type:
Enter 1 for a rectangle, 2 for a circle > ? 2
The circle radius equals one. Give the radial distance r0 from the circle center to the force > ? . 5

Enter the wave speed > ? 1

The first forty-two natural frequencies are:
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrr}
2.4048 & 3.8317 & 5.1356 & 5.5201 & 6.3801 & 7.0156 \\
7.5883 & 8.4173 & 8.6537 & 8.7715 & 9.7611 & 9.9362 \\
10.1735 & 11.0647 & 11.0864 & 11.6199 & 11.7916 & 12.2251 \\
12.3385 & 13.0152 & 13.3237 & 13.3543 & 13.5893 & 14.3726
\end{tabular}
```

| 14.4755 | 14.7960 | 14.8213 | 14.9309 | 15.5898 | 15.7002 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 16.0378 | 16.2234 | 16.4707 | 16.6983 | 17.0037 | 17.2412 |
| 17.6159 | 17.8014 | 17.9599 | 18.0711 | 18.2876 | 18.4335 |

Input the frequency of the forcing function ? 17.5
Input the maximum solution evaluation time.
> ? 5
Press return for animation
or enter 0 to stop > ?
Press return for animation
or enter 0 to stop > ? 0
All done
>>

```

\section*{MEMBRANE POSITION AT T= 0.94}


Figure 9.10: Wave Propagation in a Rectangular Membrane


Figure 9.11: Wave Propagation in a Circular Membrane

\section*{Program membwave}
```

function [u,x,y,t]= membwave(type,dims,alp,w,tmax)
%
% [u,x,y,t]=membwave(type,dims,alp,w,tmax)
% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
% This program illustrates waves propagating in
% a membrane of rectangular or circular shape
% with an oscillatory concentrated load acting at
% an arbitrary interior point. The membrane has
% fixed edges and is initially undeflected and
% at rest. The response u(x,y,t) is computed and
% animated plots depicting the motion are shown.
%
% type - 1 for rectangle, 2 for circle
% dims - vector giving problem dimensions. For
% type=1, dims=[a,b,x0,y0] where a and
% b are rectangle dimensions along the
% x and y axes. Also the oscillating
% force acts at (x0,y0). For type=2,
% a circular membrane of unit radius is
analyzed with the concentrated force
acting at (r0,0) where r0=dims(1);

- wave propagation velocity in the
membrane
    - frequency of the applied force. This
can be zero if the force is constant.
% x0,y0 - coordinates of the point where
% the force acts
% x,y,t - vectors of position and time values
% for evaluation of the solution
% u - an array of size [length(x),...
length(y),length(t)]
in which u(i,j,k) contains the
normalized displacement at
y(i),x(j),t(k). The displacement is
normalized by dividing by
max(abs(u(:)))
disp(' ')
disp('WAVE MOTION IN A RECTANGULAR OR CIRCULAR')
disp(' MEMBRANE HAVING AN OSCILLATING LOAD')

```
```

if nargin > 0 % Data passed through the call list
% must specify: type, dims, alp, w, tmax
% Typical values are: a=2; b=1; alp=1;
% w=18.4; x0=1; y0=0.5; tmax=5;
if type==1
a=dims(1); b=dims(2); x0=dims(3); y0=dims(4);
[u,x,y,t]=memrecwv(a,b,alp,w, x0,y0,tmax);
else
r0=dims(1);
end
else % Interactive data input
disp(' '), disp('Select the geometry type:')
type=input(['Enter 1 for a rectangle, ',...
'2 for a circle > ? ']);
if type ==1
disp(' ')
disp('Specify the rectangle dimensions:')
s=input('Give values for a,b > ? ','s');
s=eval(['[',s,']']); a=s(1); b=s(2);
disp(' ')
disp('Give coordinates (x0,y0) where the')
s=input('force acts. Enter x0,y0 > ? ','s');
s=eval(['[',s,']']); x0=s(1); y0=s(2);
disp(' '), alp=input('Enter the wave speed > ? ');
N=40; M=40; pan=pi/a*(1:N)'; pbm=pi/b*(1:M);
W=alp*sqrt(repmat (pan. ^2,1,M)+repmat(pbm. ^2,N,1));
wsort=sort(W(:)); wsort=reshape(wsort(1:42),6,7)';
disp(' ')
disp(['The first forty-two natural ',...
'frequencies are:'])
disp(wsort)
w=input(...
'Input the frequency of the forcing function ? ');
else
disp(' '), disp(...
'The circle radius equals one. Give the radial')
disp(...
'distance r0 from the circle center to the')
r0=input('force > ? ');
disp(' '), alp=input('Enter the wave speed > ? ');

```
```

        \% First 42 Bessel function roots
        wsort=alp*[...
    | 2.4048 | 3.8317 | 5.1356 | 5.5201 | 6.3801 | 7.0156 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

            \(\begin{array}{llllll}7.5883 & 8.4173 & 8.6537 & 8.7715 & 9.7611 & 9.9362\end{array}\)
        10.173511 .064711 .086411 .619911 .791612 .2251
        12.338513 .015213 .323713 .354313 .589314 .3726
        14.475514 .796014 .821314 .930915 .589815 .7002
        16.037816 .223416 .470716 .698317 .003717 .2412
        17.615917 .801417 .959918 .071118 .2876 18.4335];
        disp(' '), disp(['The first forty-two ',...
                'natural frequencies are:'])
        disp(wsort)
        w=input (...
        'Input the frequency of the forcing function ? ');
        end
        disp(' ')
        disp('Input the maximum solution evaluation time.')
        tmax=input(' > ? ');
    end
    if type==1
[u, x, y, t] =memrecwv (a, b, alp,w, x0, y0, tmax) ;
else
th=linspace ( $0,2 * \mathrm{pi}, 81$ ) ; r=linspace $(0,1,20)$;
[u, x, y, t] =memcirwv (r,th, r0, alp,w,tmax) ;
end
\% Animate the solution
membanim ( $u, x, y, t$ ) ;
$\%==============================================$
function $[\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{t}]=\operatorname{memrecwv}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{alp}, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{x} 0, \mathrm{y} 0, \operatorname{tmax})$
122: \% [u, x, y, t]=memrecwv (a, b, alp, w, x0, y0, tmax)
124: \% This function illustrates wave motion in a
125: \% rectangular membrane subjected to a concentrated
126: \% oscillatory force applied at an arbitrary
127: \% interior point. The membrane has fixed edges
128: \% and is initially at rest in an undeflected
129: \% position. The resulting response $u(x, y, t)$ is
130: \% computed and a plot of the motion is shown.
131: \% a,b - side dimensions of the rectangle

```
121: \%
123: \%

```

u=zeros(ny,nx,Nt);
for j=1:Nt
A=mat.*(cos(w*t(j))-cos(W*t(j)));
uj=sxn*(A*smy); u(:,:,j)=uj';
end
%=================================================
function [u,x,y,t,r,th]=memcirwv(r,th,r0,alp,w,tmax)
%
% [u,x,y,t,r,th]=memcirwv(r,th,r0,alp,w,tmax)
% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
% This function computes the wave response in a
% circular membrane having an oscillating force
% applied at a point on the radius along the
% positive x axis.
%
% r,th - vectors of radius and polar angle values
% r0 - radial position of the concentrated force
% w - frequency of the applied force
% tmax - maximum time for computing the solution
%
% User m function used: besjroot
if nargin==0
r0=.4; w=15.5; th=linspace(0,2*pi,81);
r=linspace(0,1,21); alp=1;
end
Nt=ceil(20*alp*tmax); t=tmax/(Nt-1)*(0:Nt-1);
% Compute the Bessel function roots needed in
% the series solution. This takes a while.
lam=besjroot(0:20,20,1e-3);
% Compute the series coefficients
[nj,nk]=size(lam); r=r(:)'; nr=length(r);
th=th(:); nth=length(th); nt=length(t);
N=repmat((0:nj-1)',1,nk); Nvec=N(:)';
c=besselj(N,lam*r0)./(besselj(...
N+1,lam).^2.*(lam.^2-w^2));
c(1,:)=c(1,:)/2; c=c(:)';
% Sum the series of Bessel functions

```
```

lamvec=lam(:)'; wlam=w./lamvec;
c=cos(th*Nvec).*repmat(c,nth,1);
rmat=besselj(repmat(Nvec',1,nr),lamvec'*r);
u=zeros(nth,nr,nt);
for k=1:nt
tvec=-cos(w*t(k))+cos(lamvec*t(k));
u(:,:,k)=c.*repmat(tvec,nth,1)*rmat;
end
u=2/pi*u; x=cos(th)*r; y=sin(th)*r;
%=================================================
function rts=besjroot(norder,nrts,tol)
%
% rts=besjroot(norder,nrts,tol)
% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
% This function computes an array of positive roots
% of the integer order Bessel functions besselj of
% the first kind for various orders. A chosen number
% of roots is computed for each order
% norder - a vector of function orders for which
% roots are to be computed. Taking 3:5
for norder would use orders 3,4 and 5.
% nrts - the number of positive roots computed for
each order. Roots at x=0 are ignored.
- an array of roots having length(norder)
rows and nrts columns. The element in
column k and row i is the k'th root of
the function besselj(norder(i),x).
% tol - error tolerance for root computation.
if nargin<3, tol=1e-5; end
jn=inline('besselj(n,x)','x','n');
N=length(norder); rts=ones(N,nrts)*nan;
opt=optimset('TolFun',tol,'TolX',tol);
for k=1:N
n=norder(k); xmax=1.25*pi*(nrts-1/4+n/2);
xsrch=.1:pi/4:xmax; fb=besselj(n,xsrch);
nf=length(fb); K=find(fb(1:nf-1).*fb(2:nf)<=0);
if length(K)<nrts
disp('Search error in function besjroot')
rts=nan; return
else
K=K(1:nrts);
for i=1:nrts

```
```

            interval=xsrch(K(i):K(i)+1);
            rts(k,i)=fzero(jn,interval,opt,n);
        end
    end
    end
%==================================================
function membanim(u,x,y,t)
%
% function membanim(u,x,y,t)
% This function animates the motion of a
% vibrating membrane
%
% u array in which component u(i,j,k) is the
% displacement for y(i),x(j),t(k)
% x,y arrays of x and y coordinates
% t vector of time values
% Compute the plot range
if nargin==0;
[u,x,y,t]=memrecwv(2,1,1, 15.5,1.5,.5,5);
end
xmin=min(x(:)); xmax=max(x(:));
ymin=min(y(:)); ymax=max(y(:));
xmid=(xmin+xmax)/2; ymid=(ymin+ymax)/2;
d=max(xmax-xmin, ymax-ymin)/2; Nt=length(t);
range = [xmid-d,xmid+d,ymid-d,ymid+d, ...
3*min(u(:)),3*max(u(:))];
while 1 % Show the animation repeatedly
disp(' '), disp('Press return for animation')
dumy=input('or enter 0 to stop > ? ','s');
if ~isempty(dumy)
disp(','), disp('All done'), break
end
% Plot positions for successive times
for j=1:Nt
surf(x,y,u(:,:,j)), axis(range)
xlabel('x axis'), ylabel('y axis')
zlabel('u axis'), titl=sprintf(.
'MEMBRANE POSITION AT T=%5.2f',t(j));
title(titl), colormap([1 1 1])

```
```

        colormap([127/255 1 212/255])
        % axis off
        drawnow, shg, pause(.1)
        end
    end
    ```

\subsection*{9.6 Wave Propagation in a Beam with an Impact Moment Applied to One End}

Analyzing the dynamic response caused when a time dependent moment acts on the end of an Euler beam involves a boundary value problem for a fourth order linear partial differential equation. In the following example we consider a beam of uniform cross section which is pin-ended (hinged at the ends) and is initially at rest. Suddenly, a harmonically varying moment \(M_{0} \cos \left(\Omega_{0} T\right)\) is applied to the right end as shown in Figure 9.12. Determination of the resulting displacement and bending moment in the beam is desired. Let \(U\) be the transverse displacement, \(X\) the longitudinal distance


Figure 9.12: Beam Geometry and Loading
from the right end, and \(T\) the time. The differential equation, boundary conditions, and initial conditions characterizing the problem are
\(E I \frac{\partial^{4} U}{\partial X^{4}}=-A \rho \frac{\partial^{2} U}{\partial T^{2}}, 0<X<L, T>0\),
\(U(0, T)=0, \frac{\partial^{2} U}{\partial X^{2}}(0, T)=0, U(L, T)=0, \frac{\partial^{2} U}{\partial X^{2}}(L, T)=M_{0} \cos \left(\Omega_{0} T\right) /(E I)\),
\(U(0, T)=0, \frac{\partial U}{\partial T}(0, T)=0\),
where \(L\) is the beam length, \(E I\) is the product of the elastic modulus and the moment of inertia, and \(A \rho\) is the product of the cross section area and the mass density.

This problem can be represented more conveniently by introducing dimensionless variables
\[
x=\frac{X}{L}, t=\sqrt{\frac{E I}{A \rho}} \frac{T}{L^{2}}, u=\frac{E I}{M_{0} L^{2}} U, \omega=\sqrt{\frac{A \rho}{E I}} L^{2} \Omega_{0}, m=\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}} .
\]

The new boundary value problem is then
\[
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial^{4} u}{\partial x^{4}}=-\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}, 0<x<1, t>0 \\
u(0, t)=0, \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}(0, t)=0, u(1, t)=0, \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}(1, t)=\cos (\omega t), \\
u(x, 0)=0, \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x, 0)=0,0<x<1 .
\end{gathered}
\]

The problem can be solved by combining a particular solution \(w\) which satisfies the differential equation and nonhomogeneous boundary conditions with a homogeneous solution in series form which satisfies the differential equation and homogeneous boundary conditions. Thus we have \(u=w+v\). The particular solution can be found in the form
\[
w=f(x) \cos (\omega t)
\]
where \(f(x)\) satisfies
\[
f^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}(x)=\omega^{2} f(x)
\]
and
\[
f(0)=f^{\prime \prime}(0)=f(1)=0, f^{\prime \prime}(1)=1
\]

This ordinary differential equation is solvable as
\[
f(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{4} c_{k} e^{s_{k} x}
\]
where
\[
s_{k}=\sqrt{\omega} e^{\pi \imath(k-1) / 2}
\]
and \(\imath=\sqrt{-1}\). The boundary conditions require
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{4} c_{k}=0, \sum_{k=1}^{4} s_{k}^{2} c_{k}=1, \sum_{k=1}^{4} c_{k} e^{s_{k}}=0, \sum_{k=1}^{4} c_{k} s_{k}^{2} e^{s_{k}}=0
\]

Solving these simultaneous equations determines the particular solution. The initial displacement for the particular solution can be expanded in a Fourier series as an odd valued function of period 2 . Hence we can write
\[
w(0, t)=f(x)=\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_{k} e^{\imath \pi k x}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k} \sin (k \pi x), \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}(0, t)=0
\]
involving complex Fourier coefficients, \(c_{k}\), and \(a_{k}=-2 \operatorname{imag}\left(c_{k}\right)\). The homogeneous solution is representable as
\[
v(x, t)=-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k} \cos \left(\pi^{2} k^{2} t\right) \sin (k \pi x)
\]
so that \(w+v\) combine to satisfy the desired initial conditions of zero displacement and velocity.

Of course, perfect satisfaction of the initial conditions cannot be achieved without taking an infinite number of terms in the Fourier series. However, the series converges very rapidly because the coefficients are of order \(n^{-3}\). When a hundred or more terms are used, an approximate solution produces results which satisfy the differential equation and boundary conditions, and which insignificantly violate the initial displacement condition. It is important to remember the nature of this error when examining the bending moment results presented below. Effects of high frequency components are very evident in the moment. Despite the oscillatory character of the moments, these results are exact for the initial displacement conditions produced by the truncated series. These displacements agree closely with the exact solution.

A program was written to evaluate the series solution to compute displacements and moments as functions of position and time. Plots and surfaces showing these quantities are presented along with timewise animations of the displacement and moment across the span. The computation involves the following steps:
1. Evaluate \(f(x)\);
2. Expand \(f(x)\) using the FFT to get coefficients for the homogeneous series solution;
3. Combine the particular and homogeneous solution by summing the series for any number of terms desired by the user;
4. Plot \(u\) and \(m\) for selected times;
5. Plot surfaces showing \(u(x, t)\) and \(m(x, t)\);
6. Show animated plots of \(u\) and \(m\).

The principal parts of the program are shown in the table below.
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline \hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
bemimpac \\
beamresp
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
reads data and creates graphical output \\
converts material property data to dimension- \\
less form and calls ndbemrsp
\end{tabular} \\
ndbemrsp \\
sumser \\
animate
\end{tabular}\(\quad\)\begin{tabular}{l} 
sumstruct the solution using Fourier series \\
animates the time history of displacement and \\
moment
\end{tabular},

The numerical results show the response for a beam subjected to a moment close to the first natural frequency of the beam. It can be shown that, in the dimensionless problem, the system of equations defining the particular solution becomes singular


Figure 9.13: Displacement Due to Impact Moment at Right End
when \(\omega\) assumes values of the form \(k^{2} \pi^{2}\) for integer \(k\). In that instance the series solution provided here will fail. However, values of \(\omega\) near to resonance can be used to show how the displacements and moments quickly become large. In our example we let \(E I, A \rho, l\), and \(M_{0}\) all equal unity, and \(\omega=0.95 \pi^{2}\). Figures 9.13 and 9.14 show displacement and bending moment patterns shortly after motion is initiated. The surfaces in Figures 9.15 and 9.16 also show how the displacement and moment grow quickly with increasing time. The reader may find it interesting to run the program for various choices of \(\omega\) and observe how dramatically the chosen forcing frequency affects results.


Figure 9.14: Bending Moment in the Beam


Figure 9.15: Displacement Growth Near Resonance


Figure 9.16: Moment Growth Near Resonance

\section*{MATLAB Example}

\section*{Program bemimpac}

1: function bemimpac
2: \% Example: bemimpac
3: \% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
4: \% This program analyzes an impact dynamics
5: \% problem for an elastic Euler beam of
6: \% constant cross section which is simply
7: \% supported at each end. The beam is initially
8: \% at rest when a harmonically varying moment
9: \% m0* cos (w0*t) is applied to the right end.
10: \% The resulting transverse displacement and
11: \% bending moment are computed. The
12: \% displacement and moment are plotted as
13: \% functions of \(x\) for the three time values.
4: \% Animated plots of the entire displacement
\(5: \%\) and moment history are also given.
6: \%
17: \% User m functions required:
```

\% beamresp, beamanim, sumser, ndbemrsp
fprintf('\nDYNAMICS OF A BEAM WITH AN ');
fprintf('OSCILLATING END MOMENT\n');
ei=1; arho=1; len=1; m0=1; w0=. $90 * \mathrm{pi}^{\wedge} 2$;
tmin=0; tmax=5; nt=101;
xmin=0; xmax=len; nx=151; ntrms=200;
[t, x, displ,mom] =beamresp (ei, arho,len, m0,w0, ..
tmin, tmax, nt, xmin, xmax, nx, ntrms);
disp(' ')
disp('Press [Enter] to see the deflection')
disp('for three positions'), pause
$\mathrm{np}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}3 & 5 \\ 8\end{array}\right]$; clf; pltsave=0;
dip=displ(np,:) ; mop=mom(np,:);
plot(x, dip (1,: ), '-k', x, dip (2,: ), ': b', ...
$\left.x, \operatorname{dip}(3,:),{ }^{\prime}-r^{\prime}\right)$;
xlabel('x axis'); ylabel('displacement');
hh=gca;
$r(1: 2)=\operatorname{get}\left(h h,{ }^{\prime} X L i m '\right) ; r(3: 4)=g e t(h h, ' Y L i m ') ;$
$x p=r(1)+(r(2)-r(1)) / 10$;
$d p=r(4)-(r(4)-r(3)) / 10$;
tstr=['Displacement for Nearly Resonant' ...
' Moment Acting at Right End'];
title(tstr) ;
text (xp,dp, ['Number of series terms ' ...
'used = ,,int2str(ntrms)]);
legend ('t=0.10', 't=0.20', 't=0.35', 3)
disp(' ')
disp('Press [Enter] to the bending moment')
disp('for three positions')
shg; pause
if pltsave, print -deps 3positns, end
clf;
plot (x,mop (1,: ), '-k', $x, \operatorname{mop}(2,:), ': b^{\prime}, \ldots$
$\left.x, \operatorname{mop}(3,:),{ }^{\prime}--r '\right)$;
h=gca;
$r(1: 2)=\operatorname{get}\left(h,{ }^{\prime} X L i m '\right) ; r(3: 4)=\operatorname{get}(h$, 'YLim');
$m p=r(3)+(r(4)-r(3)) / 10$;
xlabel('x axis'); ylabel('moment');
tstr=['Bending Moment for Nearly Resonant' ...
, Moment Acting at Right End'];
title(tstr) ;
text (xp,mp,['Number of series terms ' ...

```
```

                        'used = ',int2str(ntrms)]);
    legend('t=0.10','t=0.20','t=0.35',2),
disp(' '), disp(...
'Press [Enter] to see the deflections surface')
shg, pause
if pltsave, print -deps 3moments, end
inct=2; incx=2;
ht=0.75; it=1:inct:.8*nt; ix=1:incx:nx;
tt=t(it); xx=x(ix);
dd=displ(it,ix); mm=mom(it,ix);
a=surf(xx,tt,dd);
tstr=['Transverse Deflection as a ' ...
'Function of Time and Position'];
title(tstr);
xlabel('x axis'); ylabel('time');
zlabel('transverse deflection');
disp(' '), disp(['Press [Enter] to ',...
'see the bending moment surface'])
shg, pause
if pltsave, print -deps bdeflsrf, end
a=surf(xx,tt,mm);
title(['Bending Moment as a Function ' ...
'of Time and Position'])
xlabel('x axis'); ylabel('time');
zlabel('bending moment'); disp(' ')
disp('Press [Enter] to see animation of');
disp('the beam deflection'), shg, pause
if pltsave, print -deps bmomsrf, end
beamanim(x,displ,.1,'Transverse Deflection', ...
'x axis','deflection'), disp(' ')
disp('Press [Enter] to see animation');
disp('of the bending moment'); pause
beamanim(x,mom,.1,'Bending Moment History', ...
'x axis','moment');
fprintf('\nAll Done\n'); close;
%==============================================
function [t,x,displ,mom]= ...
beamresp(ei,arho,len,m0,w0,tmin,tmax, ...
nt,xmin, xmax,nx,ntrms)
%
% [t,x,displ,mom]=beamresp(ei,arho,len,m0, ...

```


\section*{153:}
    168: \% beamanim(x,u,tpause,titl,xlabl,ylabl,save)
169: \%
    170: \% This function draws an animated plot of data
171: \% values stored in array u. The different
172: \% columns of u correspond to position values
173: \% in vector x . The successive rows of \(u\)
174: \% correspond to different times. Parameter
175: \% tpause controls the speed of animation.
176: \%
177: \% u - matrix of values to animate plots
8: \%
of \(u\) versus \(x\)
\% x - spatial positions for different
\(\% \quad\) columns of \(u\)
181: \% tpause - clock seconds between output of
182: \% frames. The default is . 1 secs
s: \% when tpause is left out. When
\(\% \quad\) tpause=0, a new frame appears
\(\% \quad\) when the user presses any key.
186: \% titl - graph title
187: \% xlabl - label for horizontal axis
188: \% ylabl - label for vertical axis
189: \%
190: \% User m functions called: none

192:
193: if nargin<6, ylabl='); end;
194: if nargin<5, xlabl=''; end
195: if nargin<4, titl=''; end;
196: if nargin<3, tpause=.1; end;
197:
    \% User m functions called: ndbemrsp
    tcof=sqrt(arho/ei)*len^2; dcof=m0*len^2/ei;
    tmin=tmin/tcof; tmax=tmax/tcof; w=w0*tcof;
    \(x m i n=x m i n / l e n\); \(x m a x=x m a x / l e n\);
    [t,x,displ,mom]=...
    ndbemrsp(w,tmin,tmax, nt, xmin, xmax, nx, ntrms);
    \(\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{t} * \mathrm{tcof}\); \(\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x} * \mathrm{len}\);
    displ=displ*dcof; mom=mom*m0;
    \(\%===========================================\)
    function beamanim( \(x, u, t p a u s e, t i t l, x l a b l, y l a b l)\)
    \%
    \% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
    \% u - matrix of values to animate plots
```

    [ntime, nxpts]=size(u);
    umin=min(u(:)); umax=max(u(:));
    udif=umax-umin; uavg=.5*(umin+umax);
    \(x \min =\min (x)\); \(x \max =\max (x)\);
    xdif=xmax-xmin; xavg=.5*(xmin+xmax);
    xwmin=xavg-. \(55 * x d i f ; ~ x w m a x=x a v g+.55 * x d i f ;\)
    uwmin=uavg-.55*udif; uwmax=uavg+.55*udif; clf;
    axis([xwmin, xwmax, uwmin, uwmax]) ; title(titl);
    xlabel(xlabl); ylabel(ylabl); hold on;
    for \(j=1: n t i m e\)
    ut=u(j,:);
    plot(x,ut,'-'); axis('off'); figure(gcf);
    if tpause==0
        pause;
    else
        pause(tpause);
    end
    if \(j==n t i m e, ~ b r e a k, ~ e l s e, ~ c l a ; ~ e n d ~\)
    end
\% print -deps cntltrac
hold off; clf;
$\%==========================================$
function $[u, t, x]=$ sumser (a,b,c,funt,funx, $\ldots$
tmin, tmax, nt, xmin, xmax, nx)
\%
$\%[u, t, x]=\operatorname{sumser}(a, b, c, f u n t, f u n x, t m i n, \ldots$
\% tmax, nt,xmin, xmax, nx)
\% This function evaluates a function $U(t, x)$
\% which is defined by a finite series. The
\% series is evaluated for $t$ and $x$ values taken
$\%$ on a rectangular grid network. The matrix u
\% has elements specified by the following
\% series summation:
35: \%
236: \% u(i,j) $=$ sum( $a(k) * f u n t(t(i) * b(k)) * \ldots$
37: \% k=1:nsum
239: \%
240 : \% where nsum is the length of each of the
241: \% vectors a, b, and c.

```
238: \%
242: \%


288: \% varying moment of frequency w is suddenly
289: \% applied at the right end. The resulting
290: \% time history is computed.
291: \%
292: \% w - frequency of the harmonically
293: \%
varying end moment
294: \% tmin,tmax - minimum and maximum
295: \% dimensionless times
296: \% nt - number of evenly spaced
297: \%
solution times
298: \% xmin, xmax - minimum and maximum
299: \%
300: \%
dimensionless position coordinates. These values should lie between zero and one ( \(x=0\) and \(x=1\) give the left and right ends).
304: \% nx - number of evenly spaced solution positions
306: \% ntrms - number of terms used in the
307: \% Fourier sine series
308: \% t - vector of nt equally spaced time values varying from tmin to tmax
310: \%
- vector of \(n x\) equally spaced position values varying from xmin to xmax
313: \%
- matrix of dimensionless

315: \%
316: \%
317: \%
318: \%
displacements with time varying from row to row, and position varying from column to column
319: \% mom - matrix of dimensionless
32: \%
321: \%
322: \%
323: \% bending moments with time varying from row to row, and position varying from column to column
324: \%
325: \% User m functions called: sumser
326: \%----------------------------------------------------1
327:
328: if nargin < 8, w=0; end; nft=512; nh=nft/2;
329: \(\mathrm{xft}=1 / \mathrm{nh} *(0: n h)^{\prime}\);
330: \(x=x \min +(x \max -x \min ) /(n x-1) *(0: n x-1)^{\prime}\);
331: \(\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{tmin}+(\mathrm{tmax}-\mathrm{tmin}) /(\mathrm{nt}-1) *(0: \mathrm{nt}-1){ }^{\prime}\);
332: \(\mathrm{cwt}=\cos (\mathrm{w} * \mathrm{t})\);
335: \% end condition
336: if \(\mathrm{w}==0 \%\) Case for a constant end moment
        \(c p=[1000 ; 0020 ; 1111 ; 0026] \backslash \ldots\)
            [0;0;0;1];
        \(y p=\left[\operatorname{ones}(\operatorname{size}(x)), x, x .{ }^{\wedge} 2, x .{ }^{\wedge} 3\right] * c p ; ~ y p=y p ' ;\)
        \(m p=[\operatorname{zeros}(n x, 2), 2 * \operatorname{ones}(n x, 1), 6 * x] * c p ;\)
        mp=mp';
        ypft=[ones(size(xft)), xft, xft. \(\left.{ }^{\wedge} 2, ~ x f t .{ }^{\wedge} 3\right] * c p ;\)
    \% Case where end moment oscillates
    \% with frequency w
    else
        s=sqrt(w)*[1, i, -1, -i]; es=exp(s);
        \(\mathrm{cp}=\left[\mathrm{ones}(1,4) ; \mathrm{s}.{ }^{\wedge} 2\right.\); es; es.*s.^2] \...
            [0; 0; 0; 1];
        \(y p=r e a l(\exp (x * s) * c p) ; \quad y p=y p ' ;\)
        \(m p=r e a l\left(\exp (x * s) *\left(c p . * s(:) .{ }^{\wedge} 2\right)\right) ; ~ m p=m p \prime ;\)
        ypft=real \((\exp (x f t * s) * c p)\);
    end
    \% Fourier coefficients for
    \% particular solution
    \(y f t=-f f t([y p f t ;-y p f t(n h:-1: 2)]) / n f t\);
    \% Sine series coefficients for
    \% homogeneous solution
    \(\operatorname{acof}=-2 * \operatorname{imag}(y f t(2: n t r m s+1))\);
    ccof=pi*(1:ntrms)'; bcof=ccof.^2;
    \% Sum series to evaluate Fourier
    \% series part of solution. Then combine
    \% with the particular solution.
    displ=sumser (acof,bcof, ccof,@cos,@sin, . .
        tmin, tmax, nt, xmin, xmax, nx);
    displ=displ+cwt*yp; acof=acof.*bcof;
    mom=sumser (acof, bcof, ccof, 'cos', 'sin', ...
        tmin, tmax, nt, xmin, xmax, nx);
    mom=-mom+cwt*mp;

\subsection*{9.7 Forced Vibration of a Pile Embedded in an Elastic Medium}

Structures are often supported by piles embedded in soil foundations. The response of these systems, when the foundation is shaken in the manner occurring in an earthquake, has considerable practical interest. Let us examine a simple model approximating a single pile connected to an overlying structure. The pile is treated as a beam of uniform cross section buried in an elastic medium. An attached mass at the top causes inertial resistance to translation and rotation. The beam, shown in Figure 9.19 in a deflected position, has length \(\ell\) with \(x=0\) denoting the lower end and \(x=\ell\) denoting the top. Rotating the member \(90^{\circ}\) from the vertical is done to agree with the coordinate referencing traditionally used in beam analysis. We are interested in the steady-state response when the foundation displacement is \(y_{o} \cos (\omega t)\). For convenience we use a complex valued forcing function and get the final results by taking the real part of the complex valued solution. The transverse bending response is to be computed when the surrounding elastic medium has an oscillatory motion of the form
\[
y_{f}=y_{o} e^{i \omega t}
\]

The differential equation governing transverse oscillations of the beam is
\[
E I \frac{\partial^{4} y(x, t)}{\partial x^{4}}=-A \rho \frac{\partial^{2} y(x, t)}{\partial t^{2}}+k\left(y_{o} e^{i \omega t}-y\right)
\]
where \(E I\) is the product of the elastic modulus and the inertial moment of the beam, \(A \rho\) is the product of the cross section area and the mass per unit volume, and \(k\) describes the foundation stiffness in terms of force per unit length per unit of transverse deflection. The shear \(V\) and moment \(M\) in the beam are related to the deflection \(y(x, t)\) by
\[
V=E I \frac{\partial^{3} y(x, t)}{\partial x^{3}}, M=E I \frac{\partial^{2} y(x, t)}{\partial x^{2}}
\]

In the current analysis we consider forced response of frequency \(\omega\) described in the form
\[
y(x, t)=f(x) e^{i \omega t}
\]
so that
\[
V=E I f^{\prime \prime \prime}(x) e^{i \omega t}, M=E I f^{\prime \prime}(x) e^{i \omega t}
\]

The boundary conditions at \(x=0\) require vanishing moment and shear:
\[
f^{\prime \prime}(0)=0, f^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)=0
\]

The boundary conditions at \(x=\ell\) are more involved because inertial resistance of the end mass must be handled. We assume that the gravity center of the end mass is located along the axis of the beam at a distance \(h\) above the top end. Furthermore,


Figure 9.17: Forced Vibration of a Pile in an Elastic Medium
the attached body has a mass \(m_{o}\) and inertial moment \(J_{o}\) about its gravity center. The angular acceleration \(\ddot{\theta}\) and the transverse acceleration \(a_{m}\) are expressible as
\[
\ddot{\theta}=\frac{\partial^{3} y(\ell, t)}{\partial x \partial t^{2}}=-\omega^{2} f^{\prime}(\ell) e^{i \omega t}
\]
and
\[
a_{m}=\frac{\partial^{2} y(\ell, t)}{\partial t^{2}}+h \ddot{\theta}=-\omega^{2} e^{i \omega t}\left[f(\ell)+h f^{\prime}(\ell)\right]
\]

Writing equations of motion for the end mass gives
\[
m_{o} a_{m}=V(\ell, t) \text { and } \jmath_{o} \ddot{\theta}_{m}=-h V(\ell, t)-M(\ell, t) .
\]

Representing these conditions in terms of \(f(x)\) yields
\[
-\omega^{2} m_{o}\left[f(\ell)+h f^{\prime}(\ell)\right]=E I f^{\prime \prime \prime}(\ell) \text { and } \omega^{2} \jmath_{o} f^{\prime}(\ell)=E I\left[f^{\prime \prime}(\ell)+h f^{\prime \prime \prime}(\ell)\right] .
\]

Furthermore, the factor \(e^{i \omega t}\) cancels out of the differential equation
\[
E I f^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}(x)=\left(A \rho \omega^{2}-k\right) f(x)+y_{o} k
\]

The general solution of this fourth order linear differential equation is expressed as
\[
f(x)=\frac{y_{o} k}{k-A \rho \omega^{2}}\left[1+\sum_{\jmath=1}^{4} c_{\jmath} e^{s_{\jmath} x}\right]
\]
where \(s_{\jmath}\) are complex roots given by
\[
s_{\jmath}=\left(\frac{A \rho \omega^{2}-k}{E I}\right)^{1 / 4} e^{i(\jmath-1) \pi / 2}, \jmath=1,2,3,4
\]

The conditions of zero moment and shear at \(x=0\) lead to
\[
\sum_{\jmath=1}^{4} s_{\jmath}^{2} c_{\jmath}=0, \sum_{\jmath=0}^{4} s_{\jmath}^{3} c_{\jmath}=0
\]

The shear and moment conditions at \(x=\ell\) require
\[
\sum_{\jmath=1}^{4} s_{\jmath}^{3} e^{s_{\jmath} \ell} c_{\jmath}=-m_{o} \omega^{2}\left[1+\sum_{\jmath=1}^{4}\left(1+h s_{\jmath}\right) e^{s_{\jmath} \ell} c_{\jmath}\right]
\]
and
\[
\sum_{\jmath=1}^{4}\left(s_{\jmath}^{2}+h s_{\jmath}^{3}\right) e^{s_{\jmath} \ell} c_{\jmath}=\jmath_{o} \omega^{2} \sum_{\jmath=1}^{4} s_{\jmath} e^{s_{\jmath} \ell} c_{\jmath} .
\]

The system of four simultaneous equations can be solved for \(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{4}\). Then the forced response solution corresponding to a foundation motion
\[
\operatorname{real}\left(y_{o} e^{\imath \omega t}\right)=y_{o} \cos (\omega t)
\]
is given by
\[
y(x, t)=\operatorname{real}\left(f(x) e^{i \omega t}\right)
\]
where \(f(x)\) is complex valued.
The function pilevibs evaluates the displacement, moment, and shear for \(0 \leq x \leq\) \(\ell, 0 \leq t \leq 2 \pi / \omega\). Surface plots of these quantities are shown in Figures 9.18 through 9.20. Figure 9.21 is a single frame from an animation depicting how the pile and the attached mass move.


Figure 9.18: Deflection Surface for a Vibrating Pile


Figure 9.19: Bending Moment in a Vibrating Pile

Shear Force in the Pile


Figure 9.20: Shear Force in a Vibrating Pile

Forced Vibration of a Pile


Figure 9.21: Frame from Pile Animation

\section*{Program Output and Code}

\section*{Program pilevibs}
```

function pilevibs
% Example: pilevibs
% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
% The routine is used to solve an example
% problem using function pvibs. The example
% involves a steel pile 144 inches long which
% has a square cross section of 4 inch depth.
% The pile is immersed in soil having an elastic
% modulus of 200 psi. The attached mass weighs
% 736 lb. The foundation is shaken at an
% amplitude of 0.5 inch with a frequency of
% 20 cycles per second.
%
% User m functions required: pvibs
clear;
L=144; d=4; a=d^2; I=d^4/12; e=30e6; ei=e*I;
g=32.2*12; Density_steel=0.284;
rho=Density_steel/g;
Cap_w=36; Cap_h=18; Cap_t=4;
m0=Cap_w*Cap_h*Cap_t*rho;
j0=m0/12*(Cap_h^2+Cap_w^2);
h=Cap_h/2; arho=a*rho;
e_soil=200; k=e_soil*d; y0=0.5; w=40*pi;
nx=42; nt=25;
[t,x,y,m,v]= ...
pvibs(y0,ei,arho,L,k,w,h,m0,j0,nx,nt);
%=============================================
function [t,x,y,m,v]= ...
pvibs(y0,ei,arho,L,k,w,h,m0,j0,nx,nt)
%
% [t,x,y,m,v]=pvibs ...
% (y0,ei,arho,L,k,w,h,m0,j0,nx,nt)
%
%
% This function computes the forced harmonic
% response of a pile buried in an oscillating

```

41: \% elastic medium. The lower end of the pile is
42: \% free from shear and moment. The top of the
43: \% pile carries an attached body having general
44: \% mass and inertial properties. The elastic
\(45: \%\) foundation is given a horizontal oscillation
46: \% of the form
47: \%
48: \% \(\quad \mathrm{yf}=\mathrm{real}(\mathrm{y} 0 * \exp (i * w * t))\)
49: \%
50: \% The resulting transverse forced response of
51: \% the pile is expressed as
52: \%
\(53: \% \quad y(x, t)=r e a l(f(x) * \exp (i * w * t))\)
54: \%
55: \% where \(f(x)\) is a complex valued function. The
\(56: \%\) bending moment and shear force in the pile
57: \% are also computed.
58: \%
59: \% y0 - amplitude of the foundation oscillation
\(60: \%\) ei - product of moment of inertia and
61: \% elastic modulus for the pile
62: \% arho - mass per unit length of the pile
63: \% L - pile length
64: \% k - the elastic resistance constant for the
65: \% foundation described as force per unit
66: \% length per unit of transverse
67: \% deflection
\(68: \% \mathrm{w}\) - the circular frequency of the
69: \% foundation oscillation which vibrates
0: \% like real (y0*exp (i*w*t))
71: \% h - the vertical distance above the pile
72: \(\% \quad\) upper end to the gravity center of the
73: \% attached body
74: \% m0 - the mass of the attached body
\(75: \%\) j0 - the mass moment of inertia of the
76: \% attached body with respect to its
77: \% gravity center
78: \% nx - the number of equidistant values along
79: \% the pile at which the solution is
80: \% computed
81: \% nt - the number of values of \(t\) values at
82: \% which the solution is computed such
83: \% that \(0<=\mathrm{w} * \mathrm{t}<=2 * \mathrm{pi}\)
84: \%
85: \% t - a vector of time values such that the

```

\% the motion
$\operatorname{surf}(x, t * w, y)$;
xlabel('x axis'); ylabel('t*w axis');
zlabel('transverse deflection');
title('Deflection Surface for a Vibrating Pile');
grid on; figure(gcf)
\% print -deps pilesurf
disp('Press [Enter] to continue'), pause
$\operatorname{surf}(x, t * w, m) ;$
xlabel('x axis'); ylabel('t*w axis');
zlabel('bending moment');
title('Bending Moment in the Pile')
grid on; figure (gcf)
\% print -deps pilemom;
disp('Press [Enter] to continue'), pause
$\operatorname{surf}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{t} * \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{v})$;
xlabel('x axis'); ylabel('t*w axis');
zlabel('shear force');
title('Shear Force in the Pile');
grid on; figure(gcf)
\% print -deps pilesher
disp('Press [Enter] to see animation'), pause
\% Draw an animation depicting the pile response
\% to the oscillation of the foundation
$\mathrm{fu}=.10 / \max (\mathrm{y}(:)) ; \mathrm{p}=[-0.70,0.70,-.1,1.3]$;
u=fu*y; upe=fu*L*ype; d=.15;
$\mathrm{xm}=[0,0,1,1,0,0] * d$;
$y m=[0,-1,-1,1,1,0] * d ; \quad z m=x m+i * y m ;$
close;
for $\mathrm{j} j=1: 4$
for $\mathrm{j}=1: \mathrm{nt}$
$z=\exp (i * a t a n(u p e(j))) * z m ;$
xx=real(z); yy=imag(z);
$u t=[u(j,:), u(j, n x)+y y] ; x t=[x / L, 1+x x]$;
plot(ut,xt,'-'); axis(p); axis('square');
title('Forced Vibration of a Pile');
axis('off'); drawnow; figure(gcf);
end
end
\% print -deps pileanim
fprintf('\nAll Done\n');

```

\subsection*{9.8 Transient Heat Conduction in a One-Dimensional Slab}

Let us analyze the temperature history in a slab which has the left side insulated while the right side temperature varies sinusoidally according to \(U_{0} \sin (\Omega T)\). The initial temperature in the slab is specified to be zero. The pertinent boundary value problem is
\[
\begin{gathered}
\alpha \frac{\partial^{2} U}{\partial X^{2}}(X, T)=\frac{\partial U}{\partial T}(X, T), 0<X<\ell, T>0 \\
\frac{\partial U}{\partial X}(0, T)=0, U(\ell, T)=U_{0} \sin (\Omega T) \\
U(X, 0)=0,0<X<\ell
\end{gathered}
\]
where \(U, X, T\), and \(\alpha\) are, respectively, the temperature, position, time, and thermal diffusivity.

The problem can be converted to dimensionless form by letting
\[
u=\frac{U}{U_{0}}, x=\frac{X}{\ell}, t=\frac{\alpha T}{\ell^{2}}, \omega=\frac{\Omega \ell^{2}}{\alpha} .
\]

Then we get
\[
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, 0<x<1, t>0 \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(0, t)=0, u(1, t)=\mathbf{i m a g}\left(e^{i \omega t}\right), u(x, 0)=0 .
\end{gathered}
\]

The solution consists of two parts as \(u=w+v\), where \(w\) is a particular solution satisfying the differential equation and nonhomogeneous boundary conditions, and \(v\) is a solution satisfying homogeneous boundary conditions and specified to impose the desired zero initial temperature when combined with \(w\). The appropriate form for the particular solution is
\[
w=\mathbf{i m a g}\left[f(x) e^{i \omega t}\right] .
\]

Making \(w\) satisfy the heat equation requires
\[
f^{\prime \prime}(x)=i w f(x)
\]

Consequently
\[
f(x)=c_{1} \sin (\phi x)+c_{2} \cos (\phi x)
\]
where \(\phi=\sqrt{-\imath \omega}\). The conditions of zero gradient at \(x=0\) and unit function value at \(x=1\) determine \(c_{1}\) and \(c_{2}\). We get the particular solution as
\[
w=\mathbf{i m a g}\left[\frac{\cos (\phi x)}{\cos (\phi)} e^{i \omega t}\right] .
\]

This forced response solution evaluated at \(t=0\) yields
\[
w(x, 0)=\mathbf{i m a g}\left[\frac{\cos (\phi x)}{\cos (\phi)}\right] .
\]

The general solution of the heat equation satisfying zero gradient at \(x=0\) and zero function value at \(x=1\) is found to be
\[
v(x, t)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} \cos \left(\lambda_{n} x\right) e^{-\lambda_{n}^{2} t}
\]
where \(\lambda_{n}=\pi(2 n-1) / 2\). To make the initial temperature equal zero in the combined solution, the coefficients \(a_{n}\) are chosen to satisfy
\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} \cos \left(\lambda_{n} x\right)=-\mathbf{i m a g}\left[\frac{\cos (\phi x)}{\cos (\phi)}\right]
\]

The orthogonality of the functions \(\cos \left(\lambda_{n} x\right)\) implies
\[
a_{n}=-2 \int_{0}^{1} \mathbf{i m a g}\left[\frac{\cos (\phi x)}{\cos (\phi)}\right] \cos \left(\lambda_{n} x\right) d x
\]
which can be integrated to give
\[
a_{n}=-\mathbf{i m a g}\left[\frac{\left(\sin \left(\lambda_{n}+\phi\right) /\left(\lambda_{n}+\phi\right)+\sin \left(\lambda_{n}-\phi\right) /\left(\lambda_{n}-\phi\right)\right)}{\cos (\phi)}\right] .
\]

This completely determines the solution. Taking any finite number of terms in the series produces an approximate solution exactly satisfying the differential equation and boundary conditions. Exact satisfaction of the zero initial condition would theoretically require an infinite number of series terms. However, the terms in the series decrease like \(O\left(1 / n^{3}\right)\) and using a 250-term series produces initial temperature values not exceeding \(10^{-6}\). Thus, the finite series is satisfactory for practical purposes.

The above equations were evaluated in a function called heat. Function slabheat was also written to plot numerical results. The code and resulting Figures 9.23 and 9.24 appear below. This example illustrates nicely how well MATLAB handles complex arithmetic and complex valued functions.


Figure 9.22: Temperature Variation in a Slab


Figure 9.23: Temperature History at Ends and Middle

\section*{Heat Conduction Program}

\section*{Program slabheat}
```

function slabheat
% Example: slabheat
% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
% This program computes the temperature
% variation in a one-dimensional slab with
% the left end insulated and the right end
% given a temperature variation sin(w*t).
%
% User m functions required: heat
[u1,t1, x1]=heat (12,0,2,50,0,1,51, 250);
surf(x1,t1,u1); axis([$$
\begin{array}{llllll}{0}&{1}&{0}&{2}&{-2}&{2}\end{array}
$$]);
title('Temperature Variation in a Slab');
xlabel('x axis'); ylabel('time');
zlabel('temperature'); view([45,30])
colormap('default'), shg
disp(' '), disp('Press [Enter] to continue')
pause
% print -deps tempsurf
[u2,t2,x2]=heat (12,0,2,150,0,1,3,250);
plot(t2,u2(:,1),'--',t2,u2(:,2),':', ...
t2,u2(:,3),'-');
title(['Temperature History at Ends' ...
' and Middle']);
xlabel('dimensionless time');
ylabel('dimensionless temperature');
text1='Left End'; text2='Middle';
text3='Right End';
legend(text1,text2,text3,3); shg
% print -deps templot
disp(' '), disp('All Done');
%==============================================
function [u,t,x]= ...
heat(w, tmin, tmax,nt, xmin, xmax,nx,nsum)
%
%[u,t,x]=heat(w, tmin,tmax,nt,xmin, xmax, nx, nsum)
%

```
```

% This function evaluates transient heat
% conduction in a slab which has the left end
% (x=0) insulated and has the right end ( }x=1\mathrm{ )
% subjected to a temperature variation
% sin(w*t). The initial temperature of the slab
% is zero.
%
% w - frequency of the right side
% temperature variation
% tmin,tmax - time limits for solution
% nt - number of uniformly spaced
% time values used
% xmin,xmax - position limits for solution.
% Values should lie between zero
%
%
% nx - number of equidistant x values
% nsum - number of terms used in the
%
% u
%
% x varies from column to column.
% t,x - vectors of time and x values
%
% User m functions called: none.
%----------------------------------------------
t=tmin+(tmax-tmin)/(nt-1)*(0:nt-1);
x=xmin+(xmax-xmin)/(nx-1)*(0:nx-1)';
W=sqrt(-i*w); ln=pi*((1:nsum)-1/2);
v1=ln+W; v2=ln-W;
a=-imag((sin(v1)./v1+sin(v2)./v2)/cos(W));
u=imag(\operatorname{cos}(W*x)*exp(i*W*t)/\operatorname{cos}(\textrm{W}))+···
(a(ones(nx,1),:).*\operatorname{cos}(x*ln))* ...
exp(-ln(:).^2*t);
u=u'; t=t(:);

```
6:

\subsection*{9.9 Transient Heat Conduction in a Circular Cylinder with Spatially Varying Boundary Temperature}

\subsection*{9.9.1 Problem Formulation}

Transient heat conduction in a circular cylinder can be analyzed using an infinite series of Bessel functions. Consider a cylinder having an initial temperature distri-
bution \(u_{0}(r, \theta)\) when the boundary is suddenly given a temperature variation \(f(\theta)\) depending on the polar angle but independent of time. The problem is conveniently formulated in polar coordinates using dimensionless radius and time variables. The differential equation, boundary conditions, and initial conditions are as follows:
\[
\begin{gathered}
u_{r r}+\frac{1}{r} u_{r}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} u_{\theta \theta}=u_{t}, 0 \leq r \leq 1, t>0 \\
u(1, \theta, t)=f(\theta)=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f_{n} e^{i n \vartheta}, 0 \leq \theta \leq 2 \pi \\
u(r, \theta, 0)=u_{0}(r, \theta), 0 \leq r \leq 1,0 \leq \theta \leq 2 \pi
\end{gathered}
\]

With the boundary condition expressed as a complex Fourier series, the steady-state solution satisfying the differential equation and the boundary conditions is
\[
v(r, \theta)=-f_{0}+2 \text { real }\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{n} z^{n}\right) \text { where } z=r e^{i \theta}
\]

The total solution is the steady-state solution combined with a transient solution \(w(r, \theta, t)\) chosen to satisfy the initial condition and boundary conditions expressed as
\[
w(r, \theta, 0)=u_{0}(r, \theta)-v(r, \theta), w(1, \theta, t)=0
\]

The transient solution is a Fourier-Bessel series involving double subscripted coefficients depending on the functions \(v(r, \theta)\) and \(u_{0}(r, \theta)\). It is found that
\[
w(r, \theta, t)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} J_{n}\left(\lambda_{n k} r\right)\left[A_{n k} \cos (n \theta)+B_{n k} \sin (n \theta)\right] \exp \left(-\lambda_{n k}^{2} t\right)
\]
where, for \(n>0\) and \(k \geq 1\), we have
\[
A_{n k}+i B_{n k}=C_{n k}=\frac{2}{\pi J_{n+1}^{2}\left(\lambda_{n k}\right)} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{1} w(r, \theta, 0) r J_{n}\left(\lambda_{n k} r\right) \exp (i n \theta) d r d \theta
\]
with \(\lambda_{n k}\) denoting the \(k^{\text {th }}\) positive root of \(J_{n}(r)\). The last formula almost applies for \(n=0\) except that \(A_{0 k}=C_{0 k} / 2\) and \(B_{0 k}=0\). The coefficients for \(n=0\) pertain to the radially symmetric case independent of the polar angle. Evaluating this series solution involves several steps which are: 1) Expanding the boundary condition in a complex Fourier series to obtain the steady-state solution; 2) Determining the zeros of the integer order Bessel functions \(\left.J_{n}(r) ; 3\right)\) Computing the series coefficients by numerical integration; and 4) Summing the series solution for various \((r, \theta)\) values with enough terms being used in the series to assure adequate satisfaction of the initial conditions and boundary conditions.


Figure 9.24: Initial Temperature

\subsection*{9.9.2 Computer Formulation}

A computer program was written to analyze the time dependent temperature field. The program specifies general initial temperature and boundary temperature. The series solution is evaluated on a polar coordinate grid and an animation of the temperature variation from initial to steady state is shown. The program modules include: 1) heatcyln which calls the computational modules and plots results; 2) besjtabl returns Bessel function roots used in the series solution; 3) tempinit specifies the initial temperature field; 4) tempstdy computes the steady state solution; 5) tempdif computes the difference in the initial and the final temperature fields; 6) foubesco evaluates coefficients in the Fourier-Bessel series; and (7) tempsum sums the Fourier-Bessel series for a vector of time values. Figures 9.25 through 9.28 show the initial, final, and two intermediate temperature states. The program animates the temperature history so the transition from initial to steady-state can be visualized.


Figure 9.25: Temperature at \(\mathbf{t}=\mathbf{0 . 0 2}\)


Figure 9.26: Temperature at \(\mathbf{t}=\mathbf{0 . 0 5}\)

STEADY STATE TEMPERATURE DISTRIBUTION


Figure 9.27: Steady State Temperature

\section*{Program heatcyln}
```

function heatcyln
%
% heatcyln
% ~~~~~~~~
% This program analyzes the time varying temperature
% history in a circular cylinder which initially has
% a radially symmetric temperature varying para-
% bolically. Then a spatially varying but constant
% boundary temperature distribution is imposed. The
% total solution is composed of a harmonic steady
% state solution plus a transient component given by
% a Fourier-Bessel series.
% User functions called:
% besjtabl, tempinit, tempstdy, foubesco,
% tempsum, tempdif, gcquad
global ubdry besjrt
% Obtain Bessel function roots needed in the
% transient solution
besjrt=besjtabl(0:20,20);
% Define the steady state temperature imposed
% on the outer boundary for t>0
th=linspace(0,pi,100)';
ud=cos(2*th).*(th<=pi/2)+...
(-3+4/pi*th).*(th>pi/2\&th<3*pi/4);
ud=[ud;ud(end-1:-1:1)];
ubdry=[linspace(0, 360,199)', ud] ;
theta=linspace(0,2*pi,65);
r=linspace(0,1,15);
% Compute and plot the initial and final
% temperature fields
[uinit,z]=tempinit(theta,r);
[usteady,z]=tempstdy(theta,r);
umin=min([usteady(:);uinit(:)]);
umax=max([usteady(:);uinit(:)]);
range=[-1,1,-1,1,umin,umax] ;
x=real(z); y=imag(z);

```
```

surf(x,y,uinit), colormap('default')
title('INITIAL TEMPERATURE DISTRIBUTION')
xlabel('x axis'), ylabel('y axis')
zlabel('temperature'), axis(range), disp(' ')
disp('Press [Enter] to see the steady')
disp('state temperature distribution')
shg, pause, disp(' ')
% print -deps tempinit
surf(x,y,usteady)
title('STEADY STATE TEMPERATURE DISTRIBUTION')
xlabel('x axis'), ylabel('y axis')
zlabel('temperature'), axis(range), shg
% print -deps tempstdy
% Compute coefficients used in the Fourier-
% Bessel series for the transient solution
[c,lam, cptim]=foubesco(@tempdif, 20, 20,40, 128);
% Set a time interval sufficient to nearly
% reach steady state
tmax=.4; nt=81; t=linspace(0,tmax,nt);
% Evaluate the transient solution
[u,tsum]=tempsum(c,theta,r,t,lam);
u(:,:,1)=uinit-usteady;
% Plot time history for the total solution
while 1
disp('Press [Enter] to see the animation')
disp('or enter 0 to stop'), v=input('> ? ');
if isempty(v), v=1; end
if v*=1, break, end
for j=1:nt
utotal=usteady+u(:,:,j);
surf(x,y,utotal)
titl=sprintf(['Temperature at time =',...
\prime%6.3f'],t(j)); title(titl)
xlabel('x axis'), ylabel('y axis')
zlabel('temperature'), axis(range);
drawnow; shg, pause(.3)
end
end
%===============================================

```

87:
88:
9: \%
\(\%[u, z]=\) tempstdy (theta,\(r)\)
\(\%\)
\% Steady state temperature distribution in a
\% circular cylinder of unit radius with
\% piecewise linear boundary values
\% described in global array ubdry.
global ubdry
\(\operatorname{thft}=2 * p i /(1024) *(0: 1023) ; n=100\);
ufft=interp1 (pi/180*ubdry \((:, 1), .\).
ubdry \((:, 2) / 1024\), thft);
\(c=f f t(u f f t) ; \quad z=\exp (i * t h e t a(:)) * r(:)\);
\(u=-r e a l(c(1))+2 * r e a l(. .\).
polyval \((c(n:-1: 1), z))\);
\(\%===========================================\)
function \([u, z]=\) tempinit(theta,r)
\%
\% [u,z]=tempinit(theta,r)
\% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
\% Initial temperature varying parabolically
\% with the radius
theta=theta (:) ; r=r (:) ; z=exp (i*theta) *r;
\(\mathrm{u}=\mathrm{ones}\left(\right.\) length (theta) , 1) \(*\left(1-\mathrm{r} .{ }^{\wedge} 2\right)\);
\(\%==========================================\)
function \([\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{z}]=\) tempdif(theta,r)
\%
\% [u,z]=tempdif(theta,r)
\% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
\% Difference between the steady state temp-
\% erature and the initial temperature
u1=tempstdy(theta,r); [u2,z]=tempinit(theta,r);
\(u=u 2-u 1\);
\(\%========================================\)
function [c,lam, cptim]=foubesco(. . .
f,nord, nrts, nrquad,nft)
\%
```

\% [c,lam,cptim]=foubesco(f,nord,nrts,nrquad,nft)
$\%$ Fourier-Bessel coefficients computed using the
$\%$ FFT
global besjrt
if nargin<5, nft=128; end
if nargin<4, nrquad=50; end
if nargin<3, nrts=10; end
if nargin<2, nord=10; end
if nargin==0, f='fbes'; end
tic; lam=besjrt(1:nord,1:nrts);
c=zeros(nord,nrts);
[dummy, $\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{w}]=\operatorname{gcquad}([], 0,1$, nrquad, 1$)$;
r=r(:)'; w=w(: )'; th=2*pi/nft*(0:nft-1)';
fmat=fft(feval(f,th,r));
fmat=fmat(1:nord,:).*repmat(r.*w, nord,1);
for $n=1$ :nord
for $k=1$ :nrts
lnk=lam(n,k);
v=sum(fmat(n,:).*besselj(n-1,lnk*r));
$c(n, k)=4 * v / n f t / b e s s e l j(n, l n k) . \wedge 2 ;$
end
end
$c(1,:)=c(1,:) / 2 ; ~ c p t i m=t o c ;$
$\%==========================================$
function [u,tcpu]=tempsum( $\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{th}, \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{lam}$ )
\%
\% [u,tsum]=tempsum(c,th,r,t,lam)
2: \%
163: \% This function sums a Fourier-Bessel series
164: \% for transient temperature history in a circular
165: \% cylinder with given initial conditions and
166: \% zero temperature at the boundary. The series
167: \% has the form
168: \% u(theta,r,t)=sum(\{n=0:nord-1), $k=1: n r t s\}, .$.
169: \% besselj(n,lam(n+1,k)*r)*real(...
170: \% c(n+1,k)*exp(i*(n+1)*theta))*...
171: \% $\exp \left(-\operatorname{lam}(\mathrm{n}+1, \mathrm{k})^{\wedge} 2 * \mathrm{t}\right)$, where
172: \% besselj( $\mathrm{n}-1, \operatorname{lam}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k}))=0$ and
173: \% [nord,nrts]=size(c)
175: \% c - the series coefficients for the initial
temperature distribution obtained using

```
174: \%
176: \%
```

% function foubesco
178: % th - vector or theta values between
% zero and 2*pi
180: % r - vector of radius values between
zero and one
181: %
matrix of bessel function roots.
If this argument is omitted, then
function besjroot is called to
compute the roots
- a three-dimensional array of function
values where u(i,j,k) contains the
temperature for theta(i), r(j), t(k)
% tcpu - computation time in seconds
tic; [nord,nrts]=size(c);
if nargin<5, lam=besjroot(0:nord-1,nrts); end
th=th(:); nth=length(th); r=r(:)'; nr=length(r);
nt=length(t); N=repmat((0:nord-1)',1,nrts);
N=N(:)'; c=c(:).'; lam=lam(:); lam2=-(lam. ^2)';
u=zeros(nth,nr,nt); thmat=exp(i*th*N);
besmat=besselj(repmat(N',1,nr),lam*r);
for I=1:nt
C=c.*exp(lam2*t(I));
u(:,:,I)=real(thmat.*repmat (C,nth,1))*besmat;
end
tcpu=toc;
%===============================================
205:
206: function r=besjtabl(nordr,nrts)
207: %
208: % r=besjtable(nordr,nrts)
209: %
210: % This function returns a table for roots of
211: % besselj(n,x)=0 accurate to about five digits.
212: % r(k,:) - contains the first }20\mathrm{ positive roots of
213: % besselj(k-1,x)=0; for k=1:21
214: % nordr - a vector of function orders lying
215: % between 0 and 20
216: % nrts - the highest root order not to exceed
217: % the twentieth positive root
218:
219: if nargin==0, nordr=0:20; nrts=20; end
220: if max(nordr)>20 | nrts>20, r=nan; return; end
221: r=[ll.4048 21.6415 40.7729 33.7758 53.7383 73.2731

```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 3.8317 & 22.9452 & 42.0679 & 35.3323 & & \\
\hline & 5.1356 & 24.2339 & 43.3551 & 36.8629 & 56.6196 & 76 \\
\hline & 6.3801 & 25.5094 & 44.6349 & 38.3705 & 58.0436 & 77.4536 \\
\hline & 7.5883 & 26.7733 & 45.9076 & 39.8577 & 59.4575 & 78.8337 \\
\hline & 8.7715 & 28.0267 & 47.1740 & 41.3263 & 60.8617 & 80.2071 \\
\hline & 9.9362 & 29.2706 & 48.4345 & 42.778 & & 81 \\
\hline & 11.0864 & 30.5060 & 24.3525 & 44 & 63 & 55.7655 \\
\hline & 12.2251 & 31.7334 & 25.9037 & 45.6384 & 65.0231 & 57.3275 \\
\hline & 13.3543 & 32.9537 & 27.4206 & 47.0487 & 66.3943 & 58 \\
\hline & 14.4755 & 34.1672 & 28.9084 & 48.4475 & 67.7586 & 60.4033 \\
\hline & , & 35.3747 & 30.3710 & 49.8346 & & \\
\hline & 16.6983 & 36.5764 & 31.8117 & 51.2120 & 70.4668 & \\
\hline & 17.8014 & 37.7729 & 33.2330 & 52.5798 & 71.8113 & 64.9128 \\
\hline & 18.9000 & 14.9309 & 34.6371 & 53.938 & 46.3412 & 66 \\
\hline 236: & 19.9944 & 16.4707 & 36.0257 & 55.2892 & 47.9015 & 67.8594 \\
\hline & 21.0852 & 17.9599 & 37.4001 & 56 & 49 & 69.3172 \\
\hline & 22.1725 & 19.4094 & 38.7618 & 57.9672 & 50.9651 & 70.7653 \\
\hline & 23.2568 & 20.8269 & 40.1118 & 59.2953 & 52.4716 & \\
\hline & 24.3383 & 22.2178 & 41.4511 & 60.6170 & 53.9631 & 73.6347 \\
\hline & 25.4171 & 23.5861 & 42.7804 & 61.9323 & 55.4405 & 75.0567 \\
\hline & 5.5201 & 24.93 & 44.1006 & 36.9171 & 56.9052 & 76.4710 \\
\hline & 7.0156 & 26.2668 & 45.4122 & 38.4748 & 58.3579 & 77.8779 \\
\hline & 8.4173 & 27.5839 & 46.7158 & 40.0085 & 59.799 & \\
\hline & 9.7611 & & & 41.5208 & 61.2302 & 80.6706 \\
\hline & 11.0647 & 30.1790 & 49.3012 & 43.0138 & 62.6513 & 82.0570 \\
\hline & 12.3385 & 31.4600 & 50.5836 & 44.4893 & 64.0629 & 83.4373 \\
\hline & 13.5893 & 32.7310 & 51.8600 & 45.9489 & 65.4659 & 84 \\
\hline & 14.8213 & 33.9932 & 27.4935 & 47.3941 & 66.8607 & 88. \\
\hline & 16.0378 & 35.2471 & 29.0469 & 48.8259 & 68.2474 & 60.469 \\
\hline & 17.2412 & 36.4934 & 30.5692 & 50.2453 & 69.6268 & 62.0162 \\
\hline & 18.4335 & 37.7327 & 32.0649 & 51.6533 & 70.9988 & 63.548 \\
\hline & 19.6160 & 38.9654 & 33.5372 & 53.0504 & 72.3637 & 65.067 \\
\hline & 20.7899 & 40.1921 & 34.9887 & 54.4378 & 73.7235 & 66.5730 \\
\hline & 21.9563 & 41.4131 & 36.4220 & 55.8157 & 75.0763 & 68.0665 \\
\hline & 23.1158 & 18.0711 & 37.8387 & 57.1850 & 49.4826 & 69.5496 \\
\hline & 24.2692 & 19.6159 & 39.2405 & 58.5458 & 51.0436 & 71.021 \\
\hline & 25.4170 & 21.1170 & 40.6286 & 59.8990 & 52.5861 & 72.4843 \\
\hline & 26.5598 & 22.5828 & 42.0041 & 61.2448 & 54.1117 & 73.9369 \\
\hline & 27.6979 & 24.0190 & 43.3684 & 62.5840 & 55.6217 & 75.3814 \\
\hline & 28.8317 & 25.4303 & 44.7220 & 63.9158 & 57.1174 & 76.8170 \\
\hline & 29.9616 & 26.8202 & 46.0655 & 65.2418 & 58.5996 & 78.2440 \\
\hline & 8.6537 & 28.1912 & 47.4003 & 40.0584 & 60.0694 & 79.6643 \\
\hline & 10.1735 & 29.5456 & 48.7265 & 41.6171 & 61.5277 & 81.0769 \\
\hline & 11.6199 & 30.8854 & 50.0446 & 43.1535 & 62.9751 & 82.4825 \\
\hline & 13.0152 & 32.2119 & 51.3552 & 44.6698 & 64.4123 & 83.881 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


\subsection*{9.10 Torsional Stresses in a Beam of Rectangular Cross Section}

Elastic beams of uniform cross section are commonly used structural members. Evaluation of the stresses caused when beams undergo torsional moments depends on finding a particular type of complex valued function. This function is analytic inside the beam cross section and has its imaginary part known on the boundary [72]. The shear stresses \(\tau_{X Z}\) and \(\tau_{Y Z}\) are obtained from the stress function \(f(z)\) of the complex variable \(z=x+i y\) according to
\[
\frac{\tau_{Z X}-i \tau_{Z Y}}{\mu \alpha}=f^{\prime}(z)-i \bar{z}
\]
where \(\mu\) is the shear modulus and \(\alpha\) is the twist per unit length. In the case for a simply connected cross section, such as a rectangle or a semicircle, the necessary boundary condition is
\[
\operatorname{imag}[f(z)]=\frac{1}{2}|z|^{2}
\]
at all boundary points. It can also be shown that the torsional moment causes the beam cross section to warp. The warped shape is given by the real part of \(f(z)\).

The geometry we will analyze is rectangular. As long as the ratio of side length remains fairly close to unity, \(f(z)\) can be well approximated by
\[
f(z)=i \sum_{\jmath=1}^{n} c_{\jmath}\left(\frac{z}{s}\right)^{2 \jmath-2}
\]
where \(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\) are real coefficients computed to satisfy the boundary conditions in the least square sense. The parameter \(s\) is used for scaling to prevent occurrence of large numbers when \(n\) becomes large. We take a rectangle with sides parallel to the coordinate axes and assume side lengths of \(2 a\) and \(2 b\) for the horizontal and vertical directions, respectively. The scaling parameter will be chosen as the larger of \(a\) and \(b\). The boundary conditions state that for any point \(z_{\imath}\) on the boundary we should have
\[
\sum_{\jmath=1}^{n} c_{\jmath} \text { real }\left[\left(\frac{z_{\imath}}{s}\right)^{2 \jmath-2}\right]=\frac{1}{2}\left|z_{\imath}\right|^{2} .
\]

Once the series coefficients are found, then shear stresses are computed as
\[
\frac{\tau_{X Z}-i \tau_{Y Z}}{\mu \alpha}=-i \bar{z}+2 i s^{-1} \sum_{\jmath=2}^{n}(\jmath-1) c_{\jmath}\left(\frac{z}{s}\right)^{2 \jmath-3}
\]

A program was written to compute stresses in a rectangular beam and to show graphically the cross section warping and the dimensionless stress values. The program is short and the necessary calculations are almost self explanatory. It is worthwhile to observe, however, the ease with which MATLAB handles complex functions. Note how intrinsic function linspace is used to generate boundary data and meshgrid is used to generate a grid of complex values (see lines \(50,51,72,73\), and 74 of function recstrs). The sample problem employs a rectangle of dimension 2 units by 4 units. The maximum stress occurs at the middle of the longest side. Figures 9.28 through 9.31 plot the results of this analysis.


Figure 9.28: Warping of the Cross Section

Total Shear Stress Surface


Figure 9.29: Total Shear Stress Surface


Figure 9.30: Total Stress Contours


Figure 9.31: \(\quad\) Stress for \(y=b / 2\)

\section*{MATLAB Example}

\section*{Output from Torsion Example}
```

>> rector;
=== TORSIONAL STRESS CALCULATION IN A RECTANGULAR ===
=== BEAM USING LEAST SQUARE APPROXIMATION ===
Input the lengths of the horizontal and the vertical sides
(make the long side horizontal)
> ? 3,2
Input the number of terms used in the stress function
(30 terms is usually enough)
> ? 30
Press [Enter] to plot
the warping surface
Press [[Enter]] to plot the
total stress surface
Press [Enter] to plot the
stress contours
Press [Enter] to plot the maximum
stress on a rectangle side
The Maximum Shear Stress is 1.6951
at }x=0\mathrm{ and }y=
All Done
>>

```

\section*{Program rector}
```

1: function rector
2: \% Example: rector
\% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
4: \% This program uses point matching to obtain an
\% approximate solution for torsional stresses
6: \% in a Saint Venant beam having a rectangular
7: \% cross section. The complex stress function is

```
\% analytic inside the rectangle and has its
\% real part equal to abs ( \(\mathrm{z} * \mathrm{z}\) )/2 on the
\% boundary. The problem is solved approximately \% using a polynomial stress function which fits
\% the boundary condition in the least square
\% sense. Surfaces and contour curves describing
\(\%\) the stress and deformation pattern in the
\% beam cross section are drawn.
\%
\% User m functions required: recstrs
clear;
fprintf('\n=== TORSIONAL STRESS CALCULATION');
fprintf(' IN A RECTANGULAR ===');
fprintf('\n=== BEAM USING LEAST SQUARE ');
fprintf('APPROXIMATION ===\n');
fprintf('\nInput the lengths of the ');
fprintf('horizontal and the vertical sides \(\backslash\) n');
fprintf('(make the long side horizontal) \n');
u=input('> ? ','s'); u=eval(['[',u,']']);
\(\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{u}(1) / 2 ; \mathrm{b}=\mathrm{u}(2) / 2\);
\% The boundary conditions are approximated in
\% terms of the number of least square points
\% used along the sides
nsegb=100; nsega=ceil(a/b*nsegb);
nsega=fix(nsega/2) ; nsegb=fix(nsegb/2);
fprintf('\nInput the number of terms ');
fprintf('used in the stress function');
fprintf(' \(\backslash n\left(30\right.\) terms is usually enough) \({ }^{2}\) ') ;
ntrms=input('> ? ');
\% Define a grid for evaluation of stresses.
\% Include the middle of each side.
\(n x=41\); ny=fix(b/a*nx); ny=ny+1-rem(ny,2);
[c,phi,stres,z] = ...
recstrs(a,nsega, b, nsegb,ntrms,nx,ny);
[smax,k]=max(abs(stres(:))); zmax=z(:);
zmax \(=\) zmax (k); \(x \max =\) abs (real(zmax));
ymax=abs(imag(zmax));
disp(' '), disp(['The Maximum Shear ',... 'Stress is ', num2str(smax)]); disp(['at \(\mathrm{x}=\) ', num2str(xmax),' and \(\mathrm{y}=\) ',.. num2str(ymax)]);
```

    disp(' '); disp('All Done');
    %==============================================
    function [c,phi,stres,z]=...
    recstrs(a,nsega,b,nsegb,ntrms,nxout,nyout)
    %
    % [c,phi,stres,z]=...
% recstrs(a,nsega,b,nsegb,ntrms,nxout,nyout)
% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
% This function uses least square fitting to
% obtain an approximate solution for torsional
% stresses in a Saint Venant beam having a
% rectangular cross section. The complex stress
% function is analytic inside the rectangle
% and has its real part equal to abs(z*z)/2 on
% the boundary. The problem is solved
% approximately using a polynomial stress
% function which fits the boundary condition
% in the least square sense. The beam is 2*a
% wide parallel to the x axis and 2*b deep
% parallel to the y axis. The shear stresses
% in the beam are given by the stress formula:
%
% (tauzx-i*tauzy)/(mu*alpha) = -i*conj(z)+f'(z)
%
% where
0: %
1:% f(z)=i*sum( c(j)*\mp@subsup{z}{}{\wedge}(2*j-2), j=1:ntrms )
82: %
%: % and c(j) are real.
84: %
% a,b - half the side lengths of the
6: % horizontal and vertical sides
87: % nsega, - numbers of subintervals used to
88:% nsegb form the least square equations
89: % ntrms - number of terms used in the
% polynomial stress function
91: % nxout, - number of grid points used to
2: % nyout evaluate output
93: % C
94: %
95: % phi - values of the membrane function
96: % stres - array of complex stress values
97:% z - complex point array at which

```

```

disp('the warping surface'), pause
144: [pa,k]=max(abs(phi(:)));
145: Phi=a/4*sign(phi(k))/phi(k)*phi;
146: close, colormap('default')
147: surfc(xg,yg,Phi)
148: title('Warping of the Cross Section')
149: xlabel('x axis'), ylabel('y axis')
150: zlabel('transverse warping'); axis('equal')
151: shg, disp(' ')
152: disp('Press [[Enter]] to plot the')
153: disp('total stress surface'), pause
% print -deps warpsurf
155:
156: surfc(xg,yg,abs(stres));
157: title('Total Shear Stress Surface')
xlabel('x axis'); ylabel('y axis')
159: zlabel('total stress'), axis('equal'), shg
160: disp(' '), disp('Press [Enter] to plot the')
161: disp('stress contours'), pause
162: % print -deps rectorst
163:
164: contour(xg,yg,abs(stres),20); colorbar
165: title('Total Stress Contours');
166: xlabel('x axis'); ylabel('y axis')
167: shg, disp(' ')
168: disp('Press [Enter] to plot the maximum')
169: disp('stress on a rectangle side'), pause
170: % print -deps torcontu
171:
172: plot(xsid,abs(stres(1,:)),'k');
173: grid; ylabel('tangential stress');
174: xlabel('position on a horizontal side');
175: title('Stress for y = b/2'); shg
176: % print -deps torstsid

```

\section*{Chapter 10}

\section*{Eigenvalue Problems and Applications}

\subsection*{10.1 Introduction}

Eigenvalue problems occur often in mechanics, especially linear system dynamics, and elastic stability. Usually nontrivial solutions are sought for homogeneous systems of differential equations. For a few simple systems like the elastic string, or a rectangular membrane, the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions can be determined exactly. More often, some discretization methods such as finite difference or finite element methods are employed to reduce the system to a linear algebraic form which is numerically solvable. Several eigenvalue problems analyzed in earlier chapters reduced easily to algebraic form where the function eig could immediately produce the desired results. The present chapter deals with several instances where reduction to eigenvalue problems is more involved. We will also make some comparisons of exact, finite difference, and finite element analyses. Among the physical systems studied are Euler beams and columns, two-dimensional trusses, and elliptical membranes.

\subsection*{10.2 Approximation Accuracy in a Simple Eigenvalue Problem}

One of the simplest but useful eigenvalue problems concerns determining nontrivial solutions of
\[
y^{\prime \prime}(x)+\lambda^{2} y(x)=0, y(0)=y(1)=0 .
\]

The eigenvalues and eigenfunctions are
\[
y_{n}=\sin (n \pi x), 0 \leq x \leq 1, \text { where } \lambda_{n}=n \pi, n=1,2,3, \ldots
\]

It is instructive to examine the answers obtained for this problem using finite differences and spline approximations. We introduce a set of node points defined by
\[
x_{j}=j \Delta, j=0,1,2, \ldots, N+1, \Delta=1 /(N+1) .
\]

Then a finite difference description for the differential equation and boundary conditions is
\[
y_{j-1}-2 y_{j}+y_{j+1}+\omega^{2} y_{j}=0,1 \leq j \leq N, \quad y_{0}=y_{N+1}=0, \omega=\Delta \lambda
\]

Solving the linear difference equation gives
\[
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{n}^{d} & =2(N+1) \sin \left(\frac{\pi n}{2(N+1)}\right), n=1, \ldots, N \\
y_{j}^{d} & =\sin \left(\frac{\pi j n}{N+1}\right), \quad n=1, \ldots, N, \quad j=0, \ldots, N+1
\end{aligned}
\]
where the superscript \(d\) indicates a finite difference result. The ratio of the approximate eigenvalues to the exact eigenvalues is
\[
\lambda_{n}^{d} / \lambda_{n}=\sin \left(\frac{\pi n}{2(N+1)}\right) /\left(\frac{\pi n}{2(N+1)}\right) .
\]

So, for large enough \(M\), we get \(\lambda_{1}^{d} / \lambda_{1}=1\) and \(\lambda_{N}^{d} / \lambda_{N}=\frac{2}{\pi} \approx 0.63\). The smallest eigenvalue is quite accurate, but the largest eigenvalue is too low by about thirty-seven percent. This implies that the finite difference method is not very good for computing high order eigenvalues. For instance, to get \(\lambda_{100}^{d} / \lambda_{100}=0.999\) requires a rather high value of \(N=2027\).

An alternate approach to the finite difference method is to use a series representation
\[
y(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{N} f_{k}(x) c_{k}
\]
where the \(f_{k}(x)\) vanish at the end points. We then seek a least-squares approximate solution imposing
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{N} f_{k}^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi_{j}\right) c_{k}+\lambda^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N} f_{k}\left(\xi_{j}\right) c_{k}=0
\]
for a set of collocation points \(\xi_{j}, j=1 \ldots M\) with \(M\) taken much larger than \(N\). With the matrix form of the last equation denoted as \(B C+\lambda^{2} A C=0\), we make the error orthogonal to the columns of matrix \(A\) and get the resulting eigenvalue problem
\[
(A \backslash B) C+\lambda^{2} C=0
\]
employing the generalized inverse of \(A\). A short program eigverr written to compare the accuracy of the finite difference and the spline algorithms produced Figure 10.1. The program is also listed. The spline approximation method gives quite accurate results, particularly if no more than half of the computed eigenvalues are used.


Figure 10.1: Comparing an eigenvalue computation using the least squares method and a second order finite differences method

\section*{Program eigverr}
```

function eigverr(nfd,nspl,kseg)
\% eigverr(nfd,nspl,kseg)
\% This function compares two methods of computing
\% eigenvalues corresponding to
\%
$\% y^{\prime \prime}(x)+w^{\wedge} 2 * y(x)=0, \quad y(0)=y(1)=0$.
\%
\% Results are obtained using 1) finite differences
\% and 2) cubic splines.
\%
$\% \mathrm{nfd}$ - number of interior points used for the
\% finite difference equations
\% nspl - number of interior points used for the
$\% \quad$ spline functions.
$\%$ kseg - the number of interior spline points is
$\% \quad \mathrm{kseg} *(\mathrm{nspl}+1)+\mathrm{nspl}$
if nargin==0, $n f d=100$; nspl=100; kseg=4; end
[ws,es]=spleig(nspl,kseg); [wd,ed]=findieig(nfd);
str=['COMPARING TWO METHODS FOR EIGENVALUES ',...
' $\mathrm{OF} \mathrm{Y}^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{X})+\mathrm{W}^{\wedge} 2 * \mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{X})=0, \quad \mathrm{Y}(0)=\mathrm{Y}(1)=0$ '];
plot(1:nspl,es,'k-', 1:nfd,ed,'k.')
title(str), xlabel('Eigenvalue Index')
ylabel('Percent Error'), Nfd=num2str(nfd);
Ns=num2str (nspl) ; M=num2str (nspl+(nspl+1) *kseg) ;
legend(['Using ',Ns,' cubic splines and ',...
M,' least square points'],...
['Using ',Nfd,' finite differences points'],3)
grid on, shg
\% print -deps eigverr
$\%======================================$
function [w, pcterr]=findieig(n)
\% [w,pcterr]=findieig(n)
\% This function determines eigenvalues of
$\% y^{\prime}(x)+w^{\wedge} 2 * y(x)=0, \quad y(0)=y(1)=0$
$\%$ The solution uses an $n$ point finite
\% difference approximation
if nargin==0, $n=100$; end
$a=2 * \operatorname{eye}(n, n)-\operatorname{diag}(\operatorname{ones}(n-1,1), 1) \ldots$

```
```

        -diag(ones(n-1,1), -1);
    w=(n+1)*sqrt(sort(eig(a))); we=pi*(1:n)';
    pcterr=100*(w-we)./we;
    %============================================
    function [w,pcterr]=spleig(n,nseg)
    % [w,pcterr]=spleig(n,nseg)
    % This function determines eigenvalues of
    % y''(x)+w^2*y(x)=0, y(0)=y(1)=0
    % The solution uses n spline basis functions
    % and nseg*(n+1)+n least square points
    if nargin==0, n=100; nseg=1; end
    nls=(n+1)*nseg+n; xls=(1:nls)'/(nls+1);
    a=zeros(nls,n); b=a;
    for k=1:n
        a(:,k)=splnf(k,n,1,xls,2);
        b(:,k)=splnf(k,n,1,xls);
    end
    w=sqrt(sort(eig(-b\a))); we=pi*(1:n)';
    pcterr=100*(w-we)./we;
    %===========================================
    function y=splnf(n,N,len,x,ideriv)
    % y=splnf(n,N,len,x,ideriv)
    % This function computes the spline basis
    % functions and derivatives
    xd=len/(N+1)*(0:N+1)'; yd=zeros(N+2,1);
    yd}(n+1)=1
    if nargin<5, y=spline(xd,yd,x);
    elseif ideriv==1, y=splined(xd,yd,x);
    else, y=splined(xd,yd,x,2); end
    %===========================================
    % function val=splined(xd,yd,x,if2)
    % See Appendix B
    ```

\subsection*{10.3 Stress Transformation and Principal Coordinates}

The state of stress at a point in a three-dimensional continuum is described in terms of a symmetric \(3 \times 3\) matrix \(t=[t(\imath, \jmath)]\) where \(t(\imath, \jmath)\) denotes the stress component in the direction of the \(x_{\imath}\) axis on the plane with it normal in the direction of the \(x_{\jmath}\) axis [9]. Suppose we introduce a rotation of axes defined by matrix \(b\) such that row \(b(\imath,:)\) represents the components of a unit vector along the new \(\tilde{x}_{\imath}\) axis measured relative to the initial reference state. It can be shown that the stress matrix \(\tilde{t}\) corresponding to the new axis system can be computed by the transformation
\[
\tilde{t}=b t b^{T} .
\]

Sometimes it is desirable to locate a set of reference axes such that \(\tilde{t}\) is diagonal, in which case the diagonal components of \(\tilde{t}\) represent the extremal values of normal stress. This means that seeking maximum or minimum normal stress on a plane leads to the same condition as requiring zero shear stress on the plane. The eigenfunction operation
```

[eigvecs,eigvals]=\beig(t);

```
applied to a symmetric matrix \(t\) produces an orthonormal set of eigenvectors stored in the columns of eigvecs, and a diagonal matrix eigvals having the eigenvalues on the diagonal. These matrices satisfy
\[
\text { eigvecs }{ }^{T} \text { t eigvecs }=\text { eigvals. }
\]

Consequently, the rotation matrix \(b\) needed to transform to principal axes is simply the transpose of the matrix of orthonormalized eigenvectors. In other words, the eigenvectors of the stress tensor give the unit normals to the planes on which the normal stresses are extremal and the shear stresses are zero. The function prnstres performs the principal axis transformation.

\subsection*{10.3.1 Principal Stress Program}

\section*{Function prnstres}
```

function [pstres,pvecs]=prnstres(stress)
% [pstres,pvecs]=prnstres(stress)
%
%
5: % This function computes principal stresses
6: % and principal stress directions for a three-

```
```

% dimensional stress state.
%
% stress - a vector defining the stress
% components in the order
% [sxx,syy,szz,sxy,sxz,syz]
%
% pstres - the principal stresses arranged in
%
% pvecs - the transformation matrix defining
% the orientation of the principal
% axis system. The rows of this
% matrix define the surface normals to
% the planes on which the extremal
% normal stresses act
%
% User m functions called: none
s=stress(:)';
s=([s([1 4 5]); s([4 2 6]); s([5 6 3])]);
[pvecs,pstres]=eig(s);
[pstres,k]=sort(diag(pstres));
pvecs=pvecs(:,k)';
if det(pvecs)<0, pvecs(3,:)=-pvecs(3,:); end

```

\subsection*{10.3.2 Principal Axes of the Inertia Tensor}

A rigid body dynamics application quite similar to principal stress analysis occurs in the kinetic energy computation for a rigid body rotating with angular velocity \(\omega=\left[\omega_{x} ; \omega_{y} ; \omega_{z}\right]\) about the reference origin [48]. The kinetic energy, \(K\), of the body can be obtained using the formula
\[
K=\frac{1}{2} \omega^{T} J \omega
\]
with the inertia tensor \(J\) computed as
\[
J=\iiint_{V} \rho\left[\boldsymbol{r}^{T} \boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{r} \boldsymbol{r}^{T}\right] d V
\]
where \(\rho\) is the mass per unit volume, \(I\) is the identity matrix, and \(r\) is the Cartesian radius vector. The inertia tensor is characterized by a symmetric matrix expressed in component form as
\[
J=\iiint_{V}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
y^{2}+z^{2} & -x y & -x z \\
-x y & x^{2}+z^{2} & -y z \\
-x z & -y z & x^{2}+y^{2}
\end{array}\right] d x d y d z
\]

Under the rotation transformation
\[
\tilde{r}=b r \quad \text { with } \quad b^{T} b=I,
\]
we can see that the inertia tensor transforms as
\[
\tilde{J}=b J b^{T}
\]
which is identical to the transformation law for the stress component matrix discussed earlier. Consequently, the inertia tensor will also possess principal axes which make the off-diagonal components zero. The kinetic energy is expressed more simply as
\[
K=\frac{1}{2}\left(\omega_{1}^{2} J_{11}+\omega_{2}^{2} J_{22}+\omega_{3}^{2} J_{33}\right)
\]
where the components of \(\omega\) and \(J\) must be referred to the principal axes. The function prnstres can also be used to locate principal axes of the inertia tensor since the same transformations apply. As an example of principal axis computation, consider the inertia tensor for a cube of side length \(A\) and mass \(M\) which has a corner at \((0,0,0)\) and edges along the coordinate axes. The inertia tensor is found to be
\[
J=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
2 / 3 & -1 / 4 & -1 / 4 \\
-1 / 4 & 2 / 3 & -1 / 4 \\
-1 / 4 & -1 / 4 & 2 / 3
\end{array}\right] M A^{2} .
\]

The computation
```

[pvl,pvc] =prnstres([2/3,2/3,2/3,-1/4,-1/4,-1/4]);

```
produces the results
\[
\mathrm{pvl}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0.1667 \\
0.9167 \\
0.9167
\end{array}\right], \mathrm{pvc}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-0.5574 & -0.5574 & -0.5574 \\
-0.1543 & 0.7715 & -0.6172 \\
0.8018 & -0.2673 & -0.5345
\end{array}\right] .
\]

This shows that the smallest possible inertial component equals \(1 / 6(\approx 0.1667)\) about the diagonal line through the origin while the maximal inertial moments of \(11 / 12(\approx\) 0.9167 ) occur about the axes normal to the diagonal.

\subsection*{10.4 Vibration of Truss Structures}

Trusses are a familiar type of structure used in diverse applications such as bridges, roof supports, and power transmission towers. These structures can be envisioned as
a series of nodal points among which various axially loaded members are connected. These members are assumed to act like linearly elastic springs supporting tension or compression. Typically, displacement constraints apply at one or more points to prevent movement of the truss from its supports. The natural frequencies and mode shapes of two-dimensional trusses are computed when the member properties are known and the loads of interest arise from inertial forces occurring during vibration. A similar analysis pertaining to statically loaded trusses has been published recently [102].

Consider an axially loaded member of constant cross section connected between nodes \(\imath\) and \(\jmath\) which have displacement components \(\left(u_{\imath}, v_{\imath}\right)\) and \(\left(u_{\jmath}, v_{\jmath}\right)\) as indicated in Figure 10.2. The member length is given by
\[
\ell=\sqrt{\left(x_{\jmath}-x_{\imath}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{\jmath}-y_{\imath}\right)^{2}}
\]
and the member inclination is quantified by the trigonometric functions
\[
c=\cos \theta=\frac{x_{\jmath}-x_{2}}{\ell} \quad \text { and } \quad s=\sin \theta=\frac{y_{\jmath}-y_{\imath}}{\ell} .
\]

The axial extension for small deflections is
\[
\Delta=\left(u_{\jmath}-u_{\imath}\right) c+\left(v_{\jmath}-v_{\imath}\right) s
\]

The axial force needed to extend a member having length \(\ell\), elastic modulus \(E\), and cross section area \(A\) is given by
\[
P_{\imath \jmath}=\frac{A E}{\ell} \Delta=\frac{A E}{\ell}[-c,-s, c, s] u_{\imath \jmath}
\]
where
\[
u_{\imath \jmath}=\left[u_{i} ; v_{\imath} ; u_{\jmath} ; v_{\jmath}\right]
\]
is a column matrix describing the nodal displacements of the member ends. The corresponding end forces are represented by
\[
F_{\imath \jmath}=\left[F_{\imath x} ; F_{\imath y} ; F_{\jmath x} ; F_{\jmath y}\right]=P_{\imath \jmath}[-c,-s, c, s],
\]
so that the end forces and end displacements are related by the matrix equation
\[
F_{\imath \jmath}=K_{\imath \jmath} U_{\imath \jmath},
\]
where the element stiffness matrix is
\[
K_{\imath \jmath}=\frac{A E}{\ell}[-c ;-s ; c ; s][-c,-s, c, s] .
\]

In regard to mass effects in a member, we will assume that any transverse motion is negligible and half of the mass of each member can be lumped at each end. Hence the mass placed at each end would be \(A \rho \ell / 2\) where \(\rho\) is the mass per unit volume.


Figure 10.2: Typical Truss Element

The deflection of a truss with \(n\) nodal points can be represented using a generalized displacement vector and a generalized nodal force vector:
\[
U=\left[u_{1} ; v_{1} ; u_{2} ; v_{2} ; \ldots ; u_{n} ; v_{n}\right], F=\left[F_{1 x} ; F_{1 y} ; F_{2 x} ; F_{2 y} ; \ldots ; F_{n x} ; F_{n y}\right] .
\]

When the contributions of all members in the network are assembled together, a global matrix relation results in the form
\[
F=K U
\]
where \(K\) is called the global stiffness matrix. Before we formulate procedures for assembling the global stiffness matrix, dynamical aspects of the problem will be discussed.

In the current application, the applied nodal forces are attributable to the acceleration of masses located at the nodes and to support reactions at points where displacement constraints occur. The mass concentrated at each node will equal half the sum of the masses of all members connected to the node. According to D'Alembert's principle [48] a particle having mass \(m\) and acceleration \(\ddot{u}\) is statically equivalent to a force \(-m \ddot{u}\). So, the equation of motion for the truss, without accounting for support reactions, is
\[
K U=-M \ddot{U}
\]
where \(M\) is a global mass matrix given by
\[
M=\boldsymbol{\operatorname { d i a g }}\left(\left[m_{1} ; m_{1} ; m_{2} ; m_{2} ; \ldots ; m_{n} ; m_{n}\right]\right)
\]
with \(m_{\imath}\) denoting the mass concentrated at the \(\imath\) 'th node. The equation of motion \(M \ddot{U}+K U=0\) will also be subjected to constraint equations arising when some points are fixed or have roller supports. This type of support implies a matrix equation of the form \(C U=0\).

Natural frequency analysis investigates states-of-motion where each node of the structure simultaneously moves with simple harmonic motion of the same frequency. This means solutions are sought of the form
\[
U=X \cos (\omega t)
\]
where \(\omega\) denotes a natural frequency and \(X\) is a modal vector describing the deflection pattern for the corresponding frequency. The assumed mode of motion implies \(\ddot{U}=-\lambda U\) where \(\lambda=\omega^{2}\). We are led to an eigenvalue problem of the form
\[
K X=\lambda M X
\]
with a side constraint \(C X=0\) needed to satisfy support conditions.
MATLAB provides the intrinsic functions eig and null which deal with the solution to this problem effectively. Using function null we can write
\[
X=Q Y
\]
where \(Q\) has columns that are an orthonormal basis for the null space of matrix \(C\). Expressing the eigenvalue equation in terms of \(Y\) and multiplying both sides by \(Q^{T}\) gives
\[
K_{o} Y=\lambda M_{o} Y
\]
where
\[
K_{o}=Q^{T} K Q \text { and } M_{o}=Q^{T} M Q
\]

It can be shown from physical considerations that, in general, \(K\) and \(M\) are symmetric matrices such that \(K\) has real non-negative eigenvalues and \(M\) has real positive eigenvalues. This implies that \(M_{o}\) can be factored as
\[
M_{o}=N^{T} N
\]
where \(N\) is an upper triangular matrix. Then the eigenvalue problem can be rewritten as
\[
K_{1} Z=\lambda Z, Y=N Z, K_{1}=\left(N^{T}\right)^{-1} K_{o} N^{-1}
\]

Because matrix \(K_{1}\) will be real and symmetric, the intrinsic function eig generates orthonormal eigenvectors. The function eigsym used by program trusvibs produces a set of eigenvectors in the columns of \(X\) which satisfy generalized orthogonality conditions of the form
\[
X^{T} M X=I \text { and } X^{T} K X=\Lambda,
\]
where \(\Lambda\) is a diagonal matrix containing the squares of the natural frequencies arranged in ascending order. The calculations performed in function eigsym illustrate the excellent matrix manipulative features that MATLAB embodies.

Before we discuss a physical example, the problem of assembling the global stiffness matrix will be addressed. It is helpful to think of all nodal displacements as if
they were known and then compute the nodal forces by adding the stiffness contributions of all elements. Although the total force at each node results only from the forces in members touching the node, it is better to accumulate force contributions on an element-by-element basis instead of working node by node. For example, a member connecting node \(\imath\) and node \(\jmath\) will involve displacement components at row positions \(2 \imath-1,2 \imath, 2 \jmath-1\), and \(2 \jmath\) in the global displacement vector and force components at similar positions in the generalized force matrix. Because principles of superposition apply, the stiffness contributions of individual members can be added, one member at a time, into the global stiffness matrix. This process is implemented in function assemble which also forms the mass matrix. First, selected points constrained to have zero displacement components are specified. Next the global stiffness and mass matrices are formed. This is followed by an eigenvalue analysis which yields the natural frequencies and the modal vectors. Finally the motion associated with each vibration mode is described by superimposing on the coordinates of each nodal point a multiple of the corresponding modal vector varying sinusoidally with time. Redrawing the structure produces an appearance of animated motion.

The complete program has several functions which should be studied individually for complete understanding of the methods developed. These functions and their purposes are summarized in the following table.
\(\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|}\hline \hline \text { trusvibs } & \begin{array}{l}\text { reads data and guides interactive input to ani- } \\ \text { mate the various vibration modes } \\ \text { function typifying the nodal and element data } \\ \text { crossdat } \\ \text { assemble } \\ \text { elmstf } \\ \text { assembles the global stiffness and mass data } \\ \text { matrices } \\ \text { forms the stiffness matrix and calculates the } \\ \text { volume of an individual member } \\ \text { forms the constraint equations implied when } \\ \text { selected displacement components are set to } \\ \text { zero } \\ \text { solves the constrained eigenvalue problem } \\ \text { pertaining to the global stiffness and mass }\end{array} \\ \text { matrices } \\ \text { factors a positive definite matrix into upper } \\ \text { and lower global triangular parts } \\ \text { draws the truss in deflected positions } \\ \text { a utility routine to determine a window for } \\ \text { drawing the truss without scale distortion }\end{array}\right]\).

The data in function crossdat contains the information for node points, element data, and constraint conditions needed to define a problem. Once the data values are read, mode shapes and frequencies are computed and the user is allowed to observe the animation of modes ordered from the lowest to the highest frequency. The number of modes produced equals twice the number of nodal points minus the number
of constraint conditions. The plot in Figure 10.3 shows mode eleven for the sample problem. This mode has no special significance aside from the interesting deflection pattern produced. The reader may find it instructive to run the program and select several modes by using input such as \(3: 5\) or a single mode by specifying a single mode number.


Figure 10.3: Truss Vibration Mode Number 11

\subsection*{10.4.1 Truss Vibration Program}

\section*{Program trusvibs}
function trusvibs
\% Example: trusvibs
\%
\%
\% This program analyzes natural vibration modes
\% for a general plane pin-connected truss. The
\% direct stiffness method is employed in
\% conjunction with eigenvalue calculation to
\% evaluate the natural frequencies and mode
\(\%\) shapes. The truss is defined in terms of a
\% set of nodal coordinates and truss members
\% connected to different nodal points. Global
\% stiffness and mass matrices are formed. Then
\(\%\) the frequencies and mode shapes are computed
\% with provision for imposing zero deflection
\(\%\) at selected nodes. The user is then allowed
\% to observe animated motion of the various
\% vibration modes.
\%
\% User m functions called:
\% eigsym, crossdat, drawtrus, eigc,
\% assemble, elmstf, cubrange
global x y inode jnode elast area rho idux iduy
kf=1; idux=[]; iduy=[]; disp(' ')
disp([’Modal Vibrations for a Pin ', ...
'Connected Truss']); disp(' ');
\% A sample data file defining a problem is
\% given in crossdat.m
disp(['Give the name of a function which ', ...
'creates your input data']);
disp(['Do not include .m in the name ', ...
'(use crossdat as an example)']);
filename=input('>? ','s');
eval(filename); disp(' ');
\% Assemble the global stiffness and
\% mass matrices
[stiff,masmat]= ...
```

        assemble(x,y,inode,jnode, area, elast,rho);
    \% Compute natural frequencies and modal vectors
\% accounting for the fixed nodes
ifixed=[2*idux(:)-1; 2*iduy(:)];
[modvcs,eigval]=eigc(stiff,masmat,ifixed);
natfreqs=sqrt(eigval);
\% Set parameters used in modal animation
nsteps=31; s=sin(linspace(0,6.5*pi,nsteps));
$x=x(:) ; y=y(:) ; n p=2 *$ length ( $x)$;
bigxy=max(abs([x;y])); scafac=.05*bigxy;
highmod=size(modvcs,2); hm=num2str(highmod);
\% Show animated plots of the vibration modes
while 1
disp('Give the mode numbers to be animated?');
disp(['Do not exceed a total of ',hm, ...
' modes.']); disp('Input 0 to stop');
if $k f==1$, disp(['Try 1:',hm]); kf=kf+1; end
str=input('>? ','s');
nmode=eval (['[',str,']']);
nmode=nmode(find(nmode<=highmod));
if sum(nmode)==0; break; end
\% Animate the various vibration modes
hold off; clf; ovrsiz=1.1;
$\mathrm{w}=$ cubrange([x(:),y(:)],ovrsiz);
axis(w) ; axis('square'); axis('off'); hold on;
for $k k=1: l e n g t h(n m o d e) ~ \% ~ L o o p ~ o v e r ~ e a c h ~ m o d e ~$
kkn=nmode (kk) ;
titl=['Truss Vibration Mode Number ', ...
num2str(kkn)];
dd=modvcs(:,kkn); mdd=max(abs(dd));
dx=dd(1:2:np); dy=dd(2:2:np);
clf; pause(1);
\% Loop through several cycles of motion
for $j j=1: n s t e p s$
sf=scafac*s(jj)/mdd;
xd=x+sf*dx; yd=y+sf*dy; clf;
axis(w) ; axis('square'); axis('off');
drawtrus(xd,yd,inode,jnode); title(titl);
drawnow; figure(gcf);
end
end
end

```
```

disp(' ');

```
\(\%==========================================\)
9:
function crossdat
\% [inode, jnode, elast, area, rho]=crossdat
\% This function creates data for the truss
\% vibration program. It can serve as a model
\% for other configurations by changing the
\% function name and data quantities
\% Data set: crossdat
\% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
\%
\% Data specifying a cross-shaped truss.
\%

global x y inode jnode elast area rho idux iduy
104:
105: \% Nodal point data are defined by:
106: \% \(\quad \mathrm{x}-\mathrm{a}\) vector of x coordinates
107: \% y - a vector of \(y\) coordinates
108: \(x=10 *\left[\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}.5 & 2.5 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 2\end{array}\right]\);
109: \(\mathrm{y}=10 *\left[\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 4\end{array}\right]\);
110:
111: \% Element data are defined by:
112: \% inode - index vector defining the I-nodes
113: \% jnode - index vector defining the J-nodes
114: \% elast - vector of elastic modulus values
115: \% area - vector of cross section area values
116: \% rho - vector of mass per unit volume
117: \% values
118: inode \(=\left[\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 5 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 7 & 7 & 7 & \ldots\end{array}\right.\)
            \(\left.\begin{array}{lllllllll}8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 10 & 11 & 10 & 11 & 13\end{array}\right] ;\)
jnode \(=\left[\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}3 & 4 & 3 & 4 & 4 & 6 & 6 & 7 & 7 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 10 & \ldots\end{array}\right.\)
    \(\begin{array}{lllllllllll}11 & 12 & 12 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 13 & 14 & 14 & 14] ;\end{array}\)
elast \(=3 e 7 *\) ones \((1,28)\);
area=ones \((1,28)\); rho=ones (1,28);
124:
125: \% Any points constrained against displacement
126: \% are defined by:
127: \% idux - indices of nodes having zero
128: \% x-displacement
129: \% iduy - indices of nodes having zero
130: \% y-displacement
```

    idux=[12 2]; iduy=[12 2];
    ```
    \(\%=========================================\)
134:
135: function drawtrus(x,y,i,j)
136: \%
137: \% drawtrus( \(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}\) )
138: \%
139: \%
140: \% This function draws a truss defined by nodal
141: \% coordinates defined in \(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\) and member indices
142: \% defined in i,j.
143: \%
144: \% User m functions called: none

146:
47: hold on;
148: for \(k=1: l e n g t h(i)\)
149: \(\quad \operatorname{plot}([x(i(k)), x(j(k))],[y(i(k)), y(j(k))]) ;\)
150: end
51:
152:
153:
154: function [vecs,eigvals]=eigc(k,m,idzero)
155: \%
156: \% [vecs,eigvals]=eigc(k,m,idzero)
157: \%
158: \% This function computes eigenvalues and
159: \% eigenvectors for the problem
160: \% k*x=eigval*m*x
161: \% with some components of x constrained to
162: \% equal zero. The imposed constraint is
163: \% \(\quad x\) (idzero \((j))=0\)
164: \% for each component identified by the index
165: \% matrix idzero.
166: \%
167: \% k - a real symmetric stiffness matrix
168: \% m - a positive definite symmetric mass
169: \%
matrix
170: \% idzero - the vector of indices identifying
71: \% components to be made zero
172: \%
173: \% vecs - eigenvectors for the constrained
174: \% problem. If matrix \(k\) has dimension
175: \%
                                n by n and the length of idzero is
```

% m (with m<n), then vecs will be a
% set on n-m vectors in n space
178: % eigvals - eigenvalues for the constrained
problem. These are all real.
180: %
181: % User m functions called: eigsym
%--------------------------------------------------
183:
184: n=size(k,1); j=1:n; j(idzero)=[];
185: c=eye(n,n); c(j,:)=[];
186: [vecs,eigvals]=eigsym((k+k')/2, (m+m')/2, c);
187:
188:
189:
function [evecs,eigvals]=eigsym(k,m,c)
1:%
192: % [evecs,eigvals]=eigsym(k,m,c)
193:% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
194: % This function solves the constrained
195: % eigenvalue problem
196: % k*x=(lambda)*m*x, with c*x=0.
197: % Matrix k must be real symmetric and matrix
198: % m must be symmetric and positive definite;
199: % otherwise, computed results will be wrong.
200: %
201:% k - a real symmetric matrix
202: % m - a real symmetric positive
203: % definite matrix
204: % c - a matrix defining the constraint
5: % condition c*x=0. This matrix is
% omitted if no constraint exists.
207: %
208: % evecs - matrix of eigenvectors orthogonal
209:% with respect to k and m. The
210: % following relations apply:
1:% evecs'*m*evecs=identity_matrix
% evecs'*k*evecs=diag(eigvals).
% eigvals - a vector of the eigenvalues
% sorted in increasing order
%
% User m functions called: none
%----------------------------------------------------
218:
29: if nargin==3
220:
q=null(c); m=q'*m*q; k=q'*k*q;

```
: end
\(\mathrm{u}=\mathrm{chol}(\mathrm{m})\); \(\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{u}\) ' \(\mathrm{k} / \mathrm{u}\); \(\mathrm{k}=(\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{k}\) ') / 2 ;
[evecs,eigvals]=eig(k);
[eigvals, j]=sort(diag(eigvals));
evecs=evecs(:,j); evecs=u\evecs;
if nargin==3, evecs=q*evecs; end
\(\%===========================================\)
function [stif,masmat]= ...
assemble(x,y,id,jd,a,e,rho)
\%
\% [stif,masmat]=assemble(x,y,id,jd,a,e,rho)
\% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
\%
\% This function assembles the global
\% stiffness matrix and mass matrix for a
\% plane truss structure. The mass density of
\% each element equals unity.
\%
\(\% \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\) - nodal coordinate vectors
\(\%\) id,jd - nodal indices of members
\(\%\) a,e - areas and elastic moduli of members
\% rho - mass per unit volume of members
\%
\% stif - global stiffness matrix
\% masmat - global mass matrix
\%
\% User m functions called: elmstf
\%-
numnod=length(x); numelm=length(a);
\(i d=i d(:) ; ~ j d=j d(:) ;\)
stif=zeros( \(2 *\) numnod) ; masmat=stif;
\(i j=[2 * i d-1,2 * i d, 2 * j d-1,2 * j d]\);
for \(k=1:\) numelm, \(k k=i j(k,:)\);
[stfk, volmk]=
elmstf(x,y,a(k),e(k),id(k),jd(k));
stif (kk,kk)=stif(kk,kk)+stfk;
masmat \((k k, k k)=m a s m a t(k k, k k)+\ldots\) rho (k) *volmk/2*eye (4,4);
end
\(\%============================================\)
```

function [k,vol]=elmstf(x,y,a,e,i,j)
%
% [k, vol]=elmstf(x, y, a, e, i , j)
%~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
%
% This function forms the stiffness matrix for
% a truss element. The member volume is also
% obtained.
%
% User m functions called: none
%----------------------------------------------------
xx=x(j)-x(i); yy=y(j)-y(i);
L=norm([xX, yy]); vol=a*L;
c=xx/L; s=yy/L; k=a*e/L*[-c;-s;c;s]*[-c, -s, c, c,s];
%============================================
% function range=cubrange(xyz,ovrsiz)
% See Appendix B

```

\subsection*{10.5 Buckling of Axially Loaded Columns}

Computing the buckling load and deflection curve for a slender axially loaded column leads to an interesting type of eigenvalue problem. Let us analyze a column of length \(L\) subjected to a critical value of axial load \(P\) just large enough to hold the column in a deflected configuration. Reducing the load below the critical value will allow the column to straighten out, whereas increasing the load above the buckling value will result in a structural failure. To prevent sudden collapse of structures using axially loaded members, designers must be able to calculate buckling loads corresponding to various end constraints. We will present an analysis allowing the flexural rigidity \(E I\) to vary along the length. Four common types of end conditions of interest are shown in Figure 10.4. For each of these systems we will assume that the coordinate origin is at the left end of the column \({ }^{1}\) with \(y(0)=0\). Cases I and II involve statically determinate columns. Cases III and IV are different because unknown end reactions occur in the boundary conditions.

All four problems lead to a homogeneous linear differential equation subjected to homogeneous boundary conditions. All of these cases possess a trivial solution where \(y(x)\) vanishes identically. However, the solutions of practical interest involve a nonzero deflection configuration which is only possible when \(P\) equals the buckling load. Finite difference methods can be used to accurately approximate

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Although columns are usually positioned vertically, we show them as horizontal for convenience.
}

I) Pinned-Pinned

II) Free-Fixed

III) Pinned-Fixed

IV) Fixed-Fixed

Figure 10.4: Buckling Configurations


Figure 10.5: Beam Element Subjected to Axial Load
the differential equation and boundary conditions. In this manner we obtain a linear algebraic eigenvalue problem subjected to side constraints characterized by an underdetermined system of linear simultaneous equations.

Consider a beam element relating the bending moment \(m\), the transverse shear \(v\), the axial load \(P\), and the transverse deflection \(y\) as shown in Figure 10.5. Equilibrium considerations imply
\[
v^{\prime}(x)=0, m^{\prime}(x)+P y^{\prime}(x)=v .
\]

Since no transverse external loading acts on the column between the end supports, the shear \(v\) is constant. Differentiating the moment equation gives
\[
m^{\prime \prime}(x)+P y^{\prime \prime}(x)=0
\]

Furthermore, flexural deformation theory of slender elastic beams implies
\[
E I y^{\prime \prime}(x)=m(x)
\]
which leads to the following homogeneous differential equation governing the bending moment
\[
E I^{\prime \prime}(x)+\operatorname{Pm}(x)=0 .
\]

We need to find values of \(P\) allowing nontrivial solutions of this differential equation subject to the required homogeneous boundary conditions. The four types of end conditions shown in Figure 10.4 impose both deflection and moment conditions at the ends. Cases I and II can be formulated completely in terms of displacements because moment conditions evidently imply
\[
E I y^{\prime \prime}(x)=m=-P y
\]

To handle cases III and IV, we need to relate the displacement and slope conditions at the ends to the bending moment. Let us denote the function \(1 /(E I)\) as \(k(x)\) so that
\[
y^{\prime \prime}(x)=k(x) m(x) .
\]

Integration gives
\[
y^{\prime}(x)=y^{\prime}(0)+\int_{0}^{x} k(\xi) m(\xi) d \xi
\]
and
\[
y(x)=y(0)+y^{\prime}(0) x+\int_{0}^{x}(x-\xi) k(\xi) m(\xi) d \xi
\]

The boundary conditions for the pinned-fixed case require that
\[
\text { a) } \quad y(0)=0, \quad \text { b) } \quad y^{\prime}(L)=0, \quad \text { c) } \quad y(L)=0
\]

Condition \(b\) ) requires
\[
y^{\prime}(0)=-\int_{0}^{L} k(\xi) m(\xi) d \xi
\]
whereas \(a\) ) and \(c\) ) combined lead to
\[
y(L)=y(0)-L \int_{0}^{L} k m d \xi+\int_{0}^{L}(L-\xi) k m d \xi
\]

Consequently for Cases III and IV the governing equation is
\[
E I^{\prime \prime}(x)+\operatorname{Pm}(x)=0
\]

The boundary conditions for Case III are
\[
m(0)=0 \text { and } \int_{0}^{L} x k(x) m(x) d x=0 .
\]

The boundary conditions for Case IV are handled similarly. Since we must have \(y^{\prime}(0)=y^{\prime}(L)=0\) and \(y(0)=y(L)=0\), the conditions are
\[
\int_{0}^{L} k(x) m(x) d x=0 \text { and } \int_{0}^{L} x k(x) m(x) d x=0
\]

The results for each case require a nontrivial solution of a homogeneous differential equation satisfying homogeneous boundary conditions as summarized in the table below.

Each of these boundary value problems can be transformed to linear algebraic form by choosing a set of evenly spaced grid points across the span and approximating \(y^{\prime \prime}(x)\) by finite differences. It follows from Taylor's series that
\[
y^{\prime \prime}(x)=\frac{y(x-h)-2 y(x)+y(x+h)}{h^{2}}+O\left(h^{2}\right) .
\]

For sufficiently small \(h\), we neglect the truncation error and write
\[
y_{\jmath}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{y_{\jmath-1}-2 y_{\jmath}+y_{\jmath+1}}{h^{2}}
\]
where \(y_{\jmath}\) is the approximation to \(y\) at \(x=x_{\jmath}=\jmath h\) for \(1 \leq \jmath \leq n\), where the stepsize \(h=L /(n+1)\). Thus we have
\[
\frac{(E I)_{\jmath}\left[y_{\jmath-1}-2 y_{\jmath}+y_{\jmath+1}\right]}{h^{2}}+P y_{\jmath}=0
\]
\begin{tabular}{|c|l|l|l|}
\hline \hline \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{ Case } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{\begin{tabular}{c} 
Differential \\
Equation
\end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{\begin{tabular}{c} 
Boundary \\
Conditions
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline I: & pinned-pinned & \(E I y^{\prime \prime}(x)+P y(x)=0\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(y(0)=0\) \\
\(y(L)=0\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline II: & free-fixed & \(E I y^{\prime \prime}(x)+P y(x)=0\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(y(0)=0\) \\
\(y^{\prime}(L)=0\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline III: & pinned-fixed & \(E I m^{\prime \prime}(x)+P m(x)=0\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(m(0)=0\) \\
\(\int_{0}^{L} k(x) m(x) d x=0\) \\
\end{tabular} \\
& & \(E I m^{\prime \prime}(x)+P m(x)=0\) & \(\int_{0}^{L} k(x) m(x) d x=0\) \\
\(\int_{0}^{L} x k(x) m(x) d x=0\)
\end{tabular}

Buckling Problem Summary
for Cases I or II, and
\[
\frac{(E I)_{\jmath}\left[m_{\jmath-1}-2 m_{\jmath}+m_{\jmath+1}\right]}{h^{2}}+P m_{\jmath}=0
\]
for Cases III or IV. At the left end, either \(y\) or \(m\) is zero in all cases. Case I also has \(y(L)=y_{n+1}=0\). Case II requires \(y^{\prime}(L)=0\). This is approximated in finite difference form as
\[
y_{n+1}=\frac{4 y_{n}-y_{n-1}}{3}
\]
which implies for Case II that
\[
y_{n}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{2\left(y_{n-1}-y_{n}\right)}{3 h^{2}}
\]

Cases III and IV are slightly more involved than I and II . The condition that
\[
\int_{0}^{L} \frac{m x}{E I} d x=0
\]
can be formulated using the trapezoidal rule to give
\[
b_{1} *\left[m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n}, m_{n+1}\right]^{T}=0
\]
where the asterisk indicates matrix multiplication involving a row matrix \(b_{1}\) defined by
\[
b_{1}=[1,1, \ldots, 1,1 / 2] . *\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}, L\right] . /\left[E I_{1}, \ldots, E I_{n}, E I_{n+1}\right]
\]

Similarly, the condition
\[
\int_{0}^{L} \frac{m}{E I} d x=0
\]
leads to
\[
b_{2} *\left[m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n}\right]^{T}+\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{m_{0}}{E I_{0}}+\frac{m_{n+1}}{E I_{n+1}}\right]=0
\]
with
\[
b_{2}=\left[\frac{1}{E I_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{1}{E I_{n}}\right] .
\]

The first of these equations involving \(b_{1}\) allows \(m_{n+1}\) to be eliminated in Case III, whereas the two equations involving \(b_{1}\) and \(b_{2}\) allow elimination of \(m_{0}\) and \(m_{n+1}\) (the moments at \(x=0\) and \(x=L\) ) for Case IV. Hence, in all cases, we are led to an eigenvalue problem typified as
\[
E I_{\jmath}\left(-m_{\jmath-1}+2 m_{\jmath}-m_{\jmath+1}\right)=\lambda m_{\jmath}
\]
with \(\lambda=h^{2} P\), and we understand that the equations for \(\jmath=1\) and \(\jmath=n\) may require modification to account for pertinent boundary conditions. We are led to solve
\[
A m=\lambda m
\]
where the desired buckling loads are associated with the smallest positive eigenvalue of matrix \(A\). Cases I and II lead directly to the deflection curve forms. However, Cases III and IV require that the deflection curve be computed from the trapezoidal rule as
\[
y^{\prime}(x)=y^{\prime}(0)+\int_{0}^{x} \frac{m}{E I} d x
\]
and
\[
y(x)=y(0)+y^{\prime}(0)+x \int_{0}^{x} \frac{m}{E I} d x-\int_{0}^{x} \frac{m x}{E I} d x .
\]

The deflection curves can be normalized to make \(y_{\text {max }}\) equal unity. This completes the formulation needed in the buckling analysis for all four cases studied. These solutions have been implemented in the program described later in this section. An example, which is solvable exactly, will be discussed next to demonstrate that the finite difference formulation actually produces good results.

\subsection*{10.5.1 Example for a Linearly Tapered Circular Cross Section}

Consider a column with circular cross section tapered linearly from diameter \(h_{1}\) at \(x=0\) to diameter \(h_{2}\) at \(x=L\). The moment of inertia is given by
\[
I=\frac{\pi d^{4}}{64}
\]
which leads to
\[
E I=E_{o} I_{o}\left(1+\frac{s x}{L}\right)^{4}
\]
where
\[
s=\frac{h_{2}-h_{1}}{h_{1}}, I_{o}=\frac{\pi h_{1}^{4}}{64}
\]
and \(E_{o}\) is the elastic modulus which is assumed to have a constant value. The differential equation governing the moment in all cases (and for \(y\) in Case I or II) is
\[
\left(1+\frac{s x}{L}\right)^{4} m^{\prime \prime}(x)+\frac{P}{E_{o} I_{o}} m(x)=0 .
\]

This equation can be reduced to a simpler form by making a change of variables. Let us replace \(x\) and \(m(x)\) by \(t\) and \(g(t)\) defined by
\[
t=\left(1+\frac{s x}{L}\right)^{-1}, g(t)=t m(x)
\]

The differential equation for \(g(t)\) is found to be
\[
g^{\prime \prime}(t)+\lambda^{2} g(t)=0 \text { where } \lambda=\frac{L}{|s|} \sqrt{\frac{P}{E_{o} I_{o}}} .
\]

Therefore,
\[
m(x)=\left(1+\frac{s x}{L}\right)\left[c_{1} \sin \left(\frac{\lambda}{1+\frac{s x}{L}}\right)+c_{2} \cos \left(\frac{\lambda}{1+\frac{s x}{L}}\right)\right]
\]
where \(c_{1}\) and \(c_{2}\) are arbitrary constants found by imposing the boundary conditions. We will determine these constants for Cases I, II, and III. Case IV can be solved similarly and is left as an exercise for the reader.

To deal with Cases I, II, and III it is convenient to begin with a solution that vanishes at \(x=0\). A function satisfying this requirement has the form
\[
m(x)=\left(1+\frac{s x}{L}\right) \sin \left(\frac{\lambda}{1+\frac{s x}{L}}-\lambda\right)
\]

This equation can also represent the deflection curve for Cases I and II or the moment curve for Case III. Imposition of the remaining boundary conditions leads to an eigenvalue equation which is used to determine \(\lambda\) and the buckling load \(P\). The deflection curve for Case I is taken as
\[
y(x)=\left(1+\frac{s x}{L}\right) \sin \left(\frac{\lambda}{1+\frac{s x}{L}}-\lambda\right)
\]
and the requirement that \(y(L)=0\) yields
\[
\frac{\lambda s}{1+s}=\left(\frac{s}{1+s}\right)\left(\frac{L}{s} \sqrt{\frac{P}{E_{o} I_{o}}}\right)=\pi .
\]

This means that the buckling load is
\[
P=\frac{\pi^{2} E_{o} I_{o}}{L^{2}}(1+s)^{2} \text { where } s=\frac{h_{2}-h_{1}}{h_{1}}
\]

Therefore the buckling load for the tapered column \((s \neq 0)\) is simply obtained by multiplying the buckling load for the constant cross section column \((s=0)\) by a factor
\[
(1+s)^{2}=\left(\frac{h_{2}}{h_{1}}\right)^{2}
\]

This is also true for Cases III and IV, but is not true for Case II. Let us derive the characteristic equation for Case III. The constraint condition for the pinned-fixed case requires
\[
\int_{0}^{L} \frac{x m(x)}{E I} d x=0
\]

So we need
\[
\int_{0}^{L} x\left(1+\frac{s x}{L}\right)^{-3} \sin \left(\frac{\lambda}{1+\frac{s x}{L}}-\lambda\right) d x=0
\]

This equation can be integrated using the substitution \((1+s x / L)^{-1}=t\). This leads to a characteristic equation of the form
\[
\theta=\tan \theta, \theta=\frac{\lambda s}{1+s}=\frac{L}{1+s} \sqrt{\frac{P}{E_{o} I_{o}}} .
\]

The smallest positive root of this equation is \(\theta=4.4934\), which yields
\[
P=\frac{20.1906 E_{o} I_{o}}{L^{2}}(1+s)^{2} \text { for Case III. }
\]

Further analysis produces
\[
P=\frac{4 \pi^{2} E_{o} I_{o}}{L^{2}}(1+s)^{2} \text { for Case IV. }
\]

The characteristic equation for Case II can be obtained by starting with the Case I deflection equation and imposing the condition \(y^{\prime}(L)=0\). This leads to
\[
s \sin \theta+\theta \cos \theta=0, \theta=\frac{L}{1+s} \sqrt{\frac{P}{E_{o} I_{o}}}
\]

When \(s=0\), the smallest positive root of this equation is \(\theta=\pi / 2\). Therefore, the buckling load (when \(s=0\) ) is
\[
P=\frac{\pi^{2} E_{o} I_{o}}{4 L^{2}}
\]
for Case II, and the dependence on \(s\) found in the other cases does not hold for the free-fixed problem.

\subsection*{10.5.2 Numerical Results}

The function colbuc, which uses the above relationships, was written to analyze variable depth columns using any of the four types of end conditions discussed. The program allows a piecewise linear variation of \(E I\). The program employs the function lintrp for interpolation and the function trapsum to perform trapezoidal rule integration. Comparisons were made with results presented by Beer and Johnston [9] and a comprehensive handbook on stability [19]. We will present some examples to show how well the program works. It is known that a column of length \(L\) and constant cross section stiffness \(E_{o} I_{o}\) has buckling loads of
\[
\frac{\pi^{2} E_{o} I_{o}}{L^{2}}, \frac{\pi^{2} E_{o} I_{o}}{(2 L)^{2}}, \frac{\pi^{2} E_{o} I_{o}}{(0.6992 L)^{2}}, \frac{\pi^{2} E_{o} I_{o}}{(0.5 L)^{2}}
\]
for the pinned-pinned, the free-fixed, the pinned-fixed, and the fixed-fixed end conditions respectively. These cases were verified using the program colbuc. Let us illustrate the capability of the program to approximately handle a discontinuous cross section change. We analyze a column twenty inches long consisting of a ten inch section pinned at the outer end and joined to a ten inch long section which is considered rigid and fixed at the outer end. We use \(E_{o} I_{o}=1\) for the flexible section and \(E_{o} I_{o}=10000\) for the rigid section. This configuration should behave much like a pinned-fixed column of length 100 with a buckling load of \((\pi / 6.992)^{2}=0.2019\).

Using 100 segments (nseq=100) the program yields a value of 0.1976 , which agrees within \(2.2 \%\) of the expected value. A graph of the computed deflection configuration is shown in Figure 10.6. The code necessary to solve this problem is:
```

ei=[1 0; 1 10; 10000 10; 10000 20];
nseg=100; endc=3; len=20;
[p,y,x]=colbuc(len,ei,nseg, endc)

```

For a second example we consider a ten inch long column of circular cross section which is tapered from a one inch diameter at one end to a two inch diameter at the other end. We employ a fixed-fixed end condition and use \(E_{o}=1\). The theoretical results for this configuration indicate a buckling load of \(\pi^{3} / 400=0.07752\).

Using 100 segments the program produces a value of 0.07728 , which agrees within \(0.3 \%\) of the exact result. The code to generate this result utilizes function eilt:
```

ei=eilt(1,2,10,101,1);
[p,y,x]=colbuc(10,ei,100,4);

```

The examples presented illustrate the effectiveness of using finite difference methods in conjunction with the intrinsic eigenvalue solver in MATLAB to compute buck-


Figure 10.6: Analysis of Discontinuous Pinned-Fixed Column
ling loads. Furthermore, the provision for piecewise linear \(E I\) variation provided in the program is adequate to handle various column shapes.

\section*{Program Output and Code}

\section*{Function colbuc}

1: function \([p, y, x]=c o l b u c(l e n, e i, n s e g, e n d c)\)
2: \% [p,y,x]=colbuc(len,ei,nseg,endc)
3: \%
4: \%
5: \% This function determines the Euler buckling
6: \% load for a slender column of variable cross
7: \% section which can have any one of four
\% constraint conditions at the column ends.
9: \%
\% len - the column length
1: \% ei - the product of Young's modulus and the
12: \(\% \quad\) cross section moment of inertia. This
13: \% quantity is defined as a piecewise

```

    eiv=lintrp(ei(:,2),ei(:,1), x);
    a=-diag(ones (n-1, 1), 1);
    \(a=a+a^{\prime}+\operatorname{diag}(2 *\) ones \((n, 1))\);
    [yvecs, pvals]=eig(diag(eiv/h~2)*a);
    pvals=diag(pvals);
    \% Discard any spurious nonpositive eigenvalues
    j=find(pvals<=0);
    if length(j)>0, pvals(j)=[]; yvecs(:,j)=[]; end
    [p,k]=min(pvals); y=[0;yvecs(:,k);0];
    \([y m, j]=\max (a b s(y)) ; y=y / y(j) ; x=[0 ; x(:) ; l e n] ;\)
    elseif endc==2
\% free-fixed case ( $y=0$ at $x=0$ and $y^{\prime}=0$ at $x=1 e n$ )
str='Free-Fixed Buckling Load = ';
$\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{len} / \mathrm{nseg}$; $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{nseg}-1$; $\mathrm{x}=1 \mathrm{inspace}(\mathrm{h}$, len-h, n$)$;
eiv=lintrp(ei(:,2),ei(:,1), x);
a=-diag (ones (n-1, 1), 1);
$a=a+a$ ' $+\operatorname{diag}(2 *$ ones $(n, 1))$;
\% Zero slope at $x=1 e n ~ i m p l i e s$
$\% y(n+1)=4 / 3 * y(n)-1 / 3 * y(n-1)$. This
$\%$ leads to $y^{\prime \prime}(n)=(y(n-1)-y(n)) * 2 /\left(3 * h^{\wedge} 2\right)$.
$\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{n},[\mathrm{n}-1, \mathrm{n}])=[-2 / 3,2 / 3]$;
[yvecs,pvals]=eig(diag(eiv/h^2)*a);
pvals=diag(pvals);
\% Discard any spurious nonpositive eigenvalues
j=find(pvals<=0);
if length(j)>0, pvals(j)=[]; yvecs(:,j)=[]; end
[p,k]=min(pvals); y=yvecs (: ,k);
$y=[0 ; y ; 4 * y(n) / 3-y(n-1) / 3] ; \quad[y m, j]=\max (\operatorname{abs}(y))$;
$y=y / y(j) ; x=[0 ; x(:) ; l e n] ;$
elseif endc==3
\% pinned-fixed case
$\% ~\left(y=0\right.$ at $x=0$ and $x=1 e n, y^{\prime}=0$ at $\left.x=1 e n\right)$
str='Pinned-Fixed Buckling Load = ';
h=len/nseg; n=nseg; x=linspace(h,len,n);
eiv=lintrp(ei(:,2),ei(:,1),x);
a=-diag (ones $(n-1,1), 1)$;
$a=a+a$ ' $+\operatorname{diag}(2 *$ ones $(n, 1))$;
\% Use a five point backward difference
\% approximation for the second derivative
\% at $x=1 e n$.
$\mathrm{v}=-[35 / 12,-26 / 3,19 / 2,-14 / 3,11 / 12]$;
$\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}:-1: \mathrm{n}-4)=\mathrm{v} ; \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{diag}\left(\mathrm{eiv} / \mathrm{h}^{\wedge} 2\right) * \mathrm{a}$;
\% Form the equation requiring zero deflection
$\%$ at $x=1 e n$.
b=x(:)'.*[ones(1,n-1), 1/2]./eiv(:)';

```
else
    end
    \% Impose the homogeneous boundary condition
    q=null(b); [z,pvals]=eig(q'*a*q);
    pvals=diag(pvals);
    \% Discard any spurious nonpositive eigenvalues
    \(\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{find}\) (pvals<=0);
    if length(k)>0, pvals(k)=[]; z(:,k)=[]; end;
    vecs=q*z; [p,k]=min(pvals); mom=[0;vecs(:,k)];
    \% Compute the slope and deflection from
    \% moment values.
    yp=trapsum(0,len,mom./[1;eiv(:)]);
    yp=yp-yp(n+1); y=trapsum(0,len,yp);
    \([y m, j]=\max (a b s(y)) ; y=y / y(j) ; x=[0 ; x(:)] ;\)
\% fixed-fixed case
\% ( \(y\) and y' both zero at each end)
    str='Fixed-Fixed Buckling Load = ';
    \(\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{len} / \mathrm{nseg}\); \(\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{nseg}+1\); \(\mathrm{x}=\) linspace ( \(0, \mathrm{len}, \mathrm{n}\) ) ;
    eiv=lintrp(ei(:,2),ei(:,1),x);
    a=-diag(ones ( \(\mathrm{n}-1,1\) ) , 1) ;
    \(a=a+a^{\prime}+\operatorname{diag}(2 *\) ones \((n, 1))\);
    \% Use five point forward and backward
    \% difference approximations for the second
    \% derivatives at each end.
    \(\mathrm{v}=-[35 / 12,-26 / 3,19 / 2,-14 / 3,11 / 12]\);
    \(\mathrm{a}(1,1: 5)=\mathrm{v}\); \(\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}:-1: \mathrm{n}-4)=\mathrm{v}\);
    a=diag(eiv/h^2)*a;
    \% Write homogeneous equations to make the
    \% slope and deflection vanish at \(\mathrm{x}=1 \mathrm{len}\).
    \(\mathrm{b}=[1 / 2\), ones \((1, \mathrm{n}-2), 1 / 2]\)./eiv(: )';
    b=[b;x(:)'.*b];
    \% Impose the homogeneous boundary conditions
        q=null(b); [z,pvals]=eig(q'*a*q);
        pvals=diag(pvals);
        \% Discard any spurious nonpositive eigenvalues
        \(\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{find}\) (pvals<=0) ;
        if length(k>0), pvals(k)=[]; z(:,k)=[]; end;
        vecs=q*z; [p,k]=min(pvals); mom=vecs(:,k);
        \% Compute the moment and slope from moment
        \% values.
        yp=trapsum(0,len,mom./eiv(:));
        y=trapsum(0,len,yp);
        [ym,j]=max(abs(y)); y=y/y(j);
```

plot(x,y); grid on;
xlabel('axial direction');
ylabel('transverse deflection');
title([str,num2str(p)]); figure(gcf);
print -deps buck
%=============================================
157: function v=trapsum(a,b,y,n)
158: %
159: % v=trapsum(a,b,y,n)
160: %
61: %
162: % This function evaluates:
163: %
64: % integral(a=>x, y(x)*dx) for a<=x<=b
65: %
166: % by the trapezoidal rule (which assumes linear
167: % function variation between succesive function
168: % values).
169: %
70: % a,b - limits of integration
171:% y - integrand which can be a vector valued
172:% function returning a matrix such that
173: % function values vary from row to row.
174: % It can also be input as a matrix with
% the row size being the number of
% function values and the column size
% being the number of components in the
vector function.
% n - the number of function values used to
% perform the integration. When y is a
% matrix then n is computed as the number
of rows in matrix y.
%
% v - integral value
%
% User m functions called: none
%----------------------------------------------
if isstr(y)
% y is an externally defined function
x=linspace(a,b,n)'; h=x(2)-x(1);
Y=feval(y,x); % Function values must vary in
% row order rather than column

```
156
188
```

                                    % order or computed results
                                    % will be wrong.
        m=size(Y,2);
    else
% y is column vector or a matrix
Y=y; [n,m]=size(Y); h=(b-a)/(n-1);
end
v=[zeros(1,m);
h/2*cumsum(Y(1:n-1,:)+Y(2:n,:))];
%===============================================
function ei=eilt(h1,h2,L,n,E)
%
% ei=eilt(h1,h2,L,n,E)
%
%
% This function computes the moment of inertia
% along a linearly tapered circular cross
% section and then uses that value to produce
% the product EI.
%
% h1,h2 - column diameters at each end
217: % L - column length
218: % n - number of points at which ei is
219: % computed
220: % E - Young's modulus
22:% ei - vector of EI values along column
224:% User m functions called: none
%-----------------------------------------------
if nargin<5, E=1; end;
x=linspace(0,L,n)';
ei=E*pi/64*(h1+(h2-h1)/L*x).^4;
ei=[ei(:),x(:)];
%==============================================
% function y=lintrp(xd,yd,x)
% See Appendix B

```
221: \%
223: \%

\subsection*{10.6 Accuracy Comparison for Euler Beam Natural Frequencies by Finite Element and Finite Difference Methods}

Next we consider three different methods of natural frequency computation for a cantilever beam. Comparisons are made among results from: a) the solution of the frequency equation for the true continuum model; b) the approximation of the equations of motion using finite differences to replace the spatial derivatives; and c) the use of finite element methods yielding a piecewise cubic spatial interpolation of the displacement field. The first method is less appealing as a general tool than the last two methods because the frequency equation is difficult to obtain for geometries of variable cross section. Frequencies found using finite difference and finite element methods are compared with results from the exact model; and it is observed that the finite element method produces results that are superior to those from finite differences for comparable degrees of freedom. In addition, the natural frequencies and mode shapes given by finite elements are used to compute and animate the system response produced when a beam, initially at rest, is suddenly subjected to two concentrated loads.

\subsection*{10.6.1 Mathematical Formulation}

The differential equation governing transverse vibrations of an elastic beam of constant depth is [69]
\[
E I \frac{\partial^{4} Y}{\partial X^{4}}=-\rho \frac{\partial^{2} Y}{\partial T^{2}}+W(X, T) \quad 0 \leq X \leq \ell, \quad T \geq 0
\]
where
\[
\begin{aligned}
\hline Y(X, T) & - \text { transverse displacement, } \\
X & - \text { horizontal position along the beam length, } \\
T & - \text { time, } \\
E I & - \text { product of moment of inertia and Young's modulus, } \\
\rho & - \text { mass per unit length of the beam, } \\
W(X, T) & - \text { external applied force per unit length. }
\end{aligned}
\]

In the present study, we consider the cantilever beam shown in Figure 10.7, having end conditions which are
\[
Y(0, T)=0, \frac{\partial Y(0, T)}{\partial X}=0, E I \frac{\partial^{2} Y(\ell, T)}{\partial X^{2}}=M_{E}(T), \text { and } E I \frac{\partial^{3} Y(\ell, T)}{\partial X^{3}}=V_{E}(T) .
\]

This problem can be expressed more concisely using dimensionless variables
\[
x=\frac{X}{\ell}, y=\frac{Y}{\ell} \text { and } t=\sqrt{\frac{E I}{\rho}}\left(\frac{T}{\ell^{2}}\right) .
\]


Figure 10.7: Cantilever Beam Subjected to Impact Loading

Then the differential equation becomes
\[
\frac{\partial^{4} y}{\partial x^{4}}=-\frac{\partial^{2} y}{\partial t^{2}}+w(x, t)
\]
and the boundary conditions reduce to
\[
y(0, t)=0, \frac{\partial y}{\partial x}(0, t)=0, \frac{\partial^{2} y}{\partial x^{2}}(1, t)=m_{e}(t) \text { and } \frac{\partial^{3} y}{\partial x^{3}}(1, t)=v_{e}(t)
\]
where
\[
w=\left(W \ell^{3}\right) /(E I), m_{e}=\left(M_{E} \ell\right) /(E I) \text { and } v_{e}=\left(V_{E} \ell^{2}\right) /(E I)
\]

The natural frequencies of the system are obtained by computing homogeneous solutions of the form \(y(x, t)=f(x) \sin (\omega t)\) which exist when \(w=m_{e}=v_{e}=0\). This implies
\[
\frac{d^{4} f}{d x^{4}}=\lambda^{4} f \text { where } \lambda=\sqrt{\omega}
\]
subject to
\[
f(0)=0, f^{\prime}(0)=0, f^{\prime \prime}(1)=0, f^{\prime \prime \prime}(1)=0
\]

The solution satisfying this fourth order differential equation with homogeneous boundary conditions has the form
\(f=[\cos (\lambda x)-\cosh (\lambda x)][\sin (\lambda)+\sinh (\lambda)]-[\sin (\lambda x)-\sinh (\lambda x)][\cos (\lambda)+\cosh (\lambda)]\), where \(\lambda\) satisfies the frequency equation
\[
p(\lambda)=\cos (\lambda)+1 / \cosh (\lambda)=0
\]

Although the roots cannot be obtained explicitly, asymptotic approximations exist for large \(n\) :
\[
\lambda_{n}=(2 k-1) \pi / 2
\]

These estimates can be used as the starting points for finding approximate roots of the frequency equation using Newton's method:
\[
\lambda_{N E W}=\lambda_{O L D}-p\left(\lambda_{O L D}\right) / p^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{O L D}\right)
\]

The exact solution will be used to compare related results produced by finite difference and finite element methods. First we consider finite differences. The following difference formulas have a quadratic truncation error derivable from Taylor's series [1]:
\[
\begin{aligned}
y^{\prime}(x) & =[-y(x-h)+y(x+h)] /(2 h), \\
y^{\prime \prime}(x) & =[y(x-h)-2 y(x)+y(x+h)] / h^{2}, \\
y^{\prime \prime \prime}(x) & =[-y(x-2 h)+2 y(x-h)-2 y(x+h)+y(x+2 h)] /\left(2 h^{3}\right), \\
y^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}(x) & =[y(x-2 h)-4 y(x-h)+6 y(x)-4 y(x+h)+y(x+2 h)] / h^{4} .
\end{aligned}
\]

The step-size is \(h=1 / n\) so that \(x_{\jmath}=\jmath h, 0 \leq \jmath \leq n\), where \(x_{0}\) is at the left end and \(x_{n}\) is at the right end of the beam. It is desirable to include additional fictitious points \(x_{-1}, x_{n+1}\) and \(x_{n+2}\). Then the left end conditions imply
\[
y_{0}=y_{1} \text { and } y_{-1}=y_{1},
\]
and the right end conditions imply
\[
y_{n+1}=-y_{n-1}+2 y_{n} \text { and } y_{n+2}=y_{n-2}-4 y_{n-1}+4 y_{n} .
\]

Using these relations, the algebraic eigenvalue problem derived from the difference approximation is
\[
\begin{aligned}
7 y_{1}-4 y_{2}+y_{3} & =\tilde{\lambda} y_{1}, \\
-4 y_{1}+6 y_{2}-4 y_{3}+y_{4} & =\tilde{\lambda} f y_{2}, \\
y_{\jmath-2}-4 y_{\jmath-1}+6 y_{\jmath}-4 y_{\jmath+1}+y_{\jmath+2} & =\tilde{\lambda} y_{\jmath}, 2<\jmath<(n-1), \\
y_{n-3}-4 y_{n-2}+5 y_{n-1}-2 y_{n} & =\tilde{\lambda} y_{n-1}, \\
2 y_{n-2}-4 y_{n-1}+2 y_{n} & =\tilde{\lambda} y_{n},
\end{aligned}
\]
where \(\tilde{\lambda}=h^{4} \lambda\).
The finite element method leads to a similar problem involving global mass and stiffness matrices [54]. When we consider a single beam element of mass \(m\) and length \(\ell\), the elemental mass and stiffness matrices found using a cubically varying displacement approximation are
\[
M_{e}=\frac{m}{420}\left[\begin{array}{rrrr}
156 & 22 \ell & 54-13 \ell \\
22 \ell & 4 \ell^{2} & 13 \ell-3 \ell^{2} \\
54 & 13 \ell & 156 & -22 \ell \\
-13 \ell & -3 \ell^{2} & -22 \ell & 4 \ell^{2}
\end{array}\right], K_{e}=\frac{E I}{\ell^{3}}\left[\begin{array}{rrrr}
6 & 3 \ell & -6 & 3 \ell \\
3 \ell & 2 \ell^{2} & -3 \ell & \ell^{2} \\
-6 & -3 \ell & 6 & -3 \ell \\
3 \ell & \ell^{2} & -3 \ell & 2 \ell^{2}
\end{array}\right],
\]
and the elemental equation of motion has the form
\[
M_{e} Y_{e}^{\prime \prime}+K_{e} Y_{e}=F_{e}
\]
where
\[
Y_{e}=\left[Y_{1}, Y_{1}^{\prime}, Y_{2}, Y_{2}^{\prime}\right]^{T} \text { and } F_{e}=\left[F_{1}, M_{1}, F_{2}, M_{2}\right]^{T}
\]
are generalized elemental displacement and force vectors. The global equation of motion is obtained as an assembly of element matrices and has the form
\[
M Y^{\prime \prime}+K Y=F
\]

A system with \(N\) elements involves \(N+1\) nodal points. For the cantilever beam studied here both \(Y_{0}\) and \(Y_{0}^{\prime}\) are zero. So removing these two variables leaves a system of \(n=2 N\) unknowns. The solution of this equation in the case of a nonresonant harmonic forcing function will be discussed further. The matrix analog of the simple harmonic equation is
\[
M \ddot{Y}+K Y=F_{1} \cos (\omega t)+F_{2}, \sin (\omega t)
\]
with initial conditions
\[
Y(0)=Y_{0} \text { and } \dot{Y}(0)=V_{0}
\]

The solution of this differential equation is the sum of a particular solution and a homogeneous solution:
\[
Y=Y_{P}+Y_{H}
\]
where
\[
Y_{H}=Y_{1} \cos (\omega t)+Y_{2} \sin (\omega t)
\]
with
\[
Y_{\jmath}=\left(K-\omega^{2} M\right)^{-1} F_{\jmath} \quad \jmath=1,2 .
\]

This assumes that \(K-\omega^{2} M\) is nonsingular. The homogeneous equation satisfies the initial conditions
\[
Y_{H}(0)=Y_{0}-Y_{1}, \dot{Y}_{H}(0)=V_{0}-\omega Y_{2}
\]

The homogeneous solution components have the form
\[
Y_{\jmath H}=U_{\jmath} \cos \left(\omega_{\jmath} t+\phi_{\jmath}\right)
\]
where \(\omega_{\jmath}\) and \(U_{\jmath}\) are natural frequencies and modal vectors satisfying the eigenvalue equation
\[
K U_{\jmath}=\omega_{\jmath}^{2} M U_{\jmath}
\]

Consequently, the homogeneous solution completing the modal response is
\[
Y_{H}(t)=\sum_{\jmath=1}^{n} U_{\jmath}\left[\cos \left(\omega_{\jmath} t\right) c_{\jmath}+\sin \left(\omega_{\jmath} t\right) d_{\jmath} / \omega_{\jmath}\right]
\]
where \(c_{\jmath}\) and \(d_{\jmath}\) are computed to satisfy the initial conditions which require
\[
C=U^{-1}\left(Y_{0}-Y_{1}\right) \text { and } D=U^{-1}\left(V_{0}-\omega Y_{2}\right)
\]

The next section presents the MATLAB program. Natural frequencies from finite difference and finite element matrices are compared and modal vectors from the finite element method are used to analyze a time response problem.

\subsection*{10.6.2 Discussion of the Code}

A program was written to compare exact frequencies from the original continuous beam model with approximations produced using finite differences and finite elements. The finite element results were also employed to calculate a time response by modal superposition for any structure that has general mass and stiffness matrices, and is subjected to loads which are constant or harmonically varying.

The code below is fairly long because various MATLAB capabilities are applied to three different solution methods. The following function summary involves nine functions, several of which were used earlier in the text.
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline \hline cbfreq & \begin{tabular}{l} 
driver to input data, call computation modules, and \\
print results \\
function to compute exact natural frequencies by New- \\
ton's method for root calculation \\
forms equations of motion using finite differences and \\
calls eig to compute natural frequencies \\
uses the finite element method to form the equation \\
of motion and calls eig to compute natural frequencies \\
and modal vectors \\
function which solves the structural dynamics equation \\
by methods developed in Chapter 7
\end{tabular} \\
frud \\
examplmates the response caused when a downward load \\
eval the middle and an upward load at the free end are \\
applied \\
plots successive positions of the beam to animate the \\
motion \\
plots the beam frequencies for the three methods. Also \\
plots percent errors showing how accurate finite ele- \\
ment and finite difference methods are \\
reads a sequence of numbers
\end{tabular}\(|\)

Table 10.2: Functions Used in the Beam Code
Several characteristics of the functions assembled for this program are worth examining in detail. The next table contains remarks relevant to the code.
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|}
\hline \hline Routine & Line & Operation \\
\hline Output & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Natural frequencies are printed along with er- \\
ror percentages. The output shown here has \\
been extracted from the actual output to show \\
only the highest and lowest frequencies.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & & continued from previous page \\
\hline Routine & Line & Operation \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{cbfrqnwm} & 99 & Asymptotic estimates are used to start a Newton method iteration. \\
\hline & 102-108 & Root corrections are carried out for all roots until the correction to any root is sufficiently small. \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{cbfrqfdm} & 135-136 & The equations of motion are formed without corrections for end conditions. \\
\hline & 138-145 & End conditions are applied. \\
\hline & 149*150 & eig computes the frequencies. \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{cbfrqfem} & 182-186 & Form elemental mass matrix. \\
\hline & 189-192 & Form elemental stiffness matrix. \\
\hline & 198-201 & Global equations of motion are formed using an element by element loop. \\
\hline & 205 & Boundary conditions are applied requiring zero displacement and slope at the left end, and zero moment and shear at the right end. \\
\hline & 208-214 & Frequencies and modal vectors are computed. Note that modal vector computation is made optional since this takes longer than only computing frequencies. \\
\hline frud & & Compute time response by modal superposition. Theoretical details pertaining to this function appear in Chapter 7. \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{examplmo} & 292-296 & The time step and maximum time for response calculation is selected. \\
\hline & 300-301 & Function frud is used to compute displacement and rotation response. Only displacement is saved. \\
\hline & 304-307 & Free end displacement is plotted. \\
\hline & 314-319 & A surface showing displacement as a function of position and time is shown. \\
\hline & 324-326 & Function animate is called. \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{animate} & 364-369 & Window limits are determined. \\
\hline & 373-381 & Each position is plotted. Then it is erased before proceeding to the next position. \\
\hline plotsave & & Plot and save graphs showing the frequencies and error percentages. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 10.3: Description of Code in Example

\subsection*{10.6.3 Numerical Results}

The dimensionless frequency estimates from the finite difference and the finite element methods were compared for various numbers of degrees-of-freedom. Typical


Figure 10.8: Cantilever Beam Frequencies
program output for \(n=100\) is shown at the end of this section. The frequency results and error percentages are shown in Figures 10.8 and 10.9. It is evident that the finite difference frequencies are consistently low and the finite element results are consistently high. The finite difference estimates degrade smoothly with increasing order. The finite element frequencies are surprisingly accurate for \(\omega_{k}\) when \(k<n / 2\). At \(k=n / 2\) and \(k=n\), the finite element error jumps sharply. This peculiar error jump halfway through the spectrum has also been observed in [54]. The most important and useful result seen from Figure 10.9 is that in order to obtain a particular number of frequencies, say \(N\), which are accurate within \(3.5 \%\), it is necessary to employ more than \(2 N\) elements and keep only half of the predicted values.

The final result presented is the time response of a beam which is initially at rest when a concentrated downward load of five units is applied at the middle and a one unit upward load is applied at the free end. The time history was computed using function frud. Figure 10.10 shows the time history of the free end. Figure 10.11 is a surface plot illustrating how the deflection pattern changes with time. Finally, Figure 10.12 shows successive deflection positions produced by function animate. The output was obtained by suppressing the graph clearing option for successive configurations.


Figure 10.9: Cantilever Beam Frequency Error Percentages


Figure 10.10: Position of the Free End of the Beam versus Time


Figure 10.11: Beam Deflection History


Figure 10.12: Beam Animation

\section*{MATLAB Example}

\section*{Output from Example}
>> cbfreq
CANTILEVER BEAM FREQUENCIES BY FINITE DIFFERENCE AND FINITE ELEMENT APPROXIMATION

Give the number of frequencies to be computed
(use an even number greater than 2)
? > 100
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline freq. number & \begin{tabular}{l}
exact. \\
freq.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
fdif. \\
freq.
\end{tabular} & fd. pct. error & \begin{tabular}{l}
felt. \\
freq.
\end{tabular} & fe. pct error \\
\hline 1 & \(3.51602 \mathrm{e}+00\) & \(3.51572 e+00\) & -0.008 & \(3.51602 e+00\) & 0.000 \\
\hline 2 & \(2.20345 e+01\) & \(2.20250 e+01\) & -0.043 & \(2.20345 e+01\) & 0.000 \\
\hline 3 & \(6.16972 e+01\) & \(6.16414 \mathrm{e}+01\) & -0.090 & \(6.16972 \mathrm{e}+01\) & 0.000 \\
\hline 4 & 1.20902e+02 & 1.20714e+02 & -0.155 & 1.20902e+02 & 0.000 \\
\hline 5 & \(1.99860 \mathrm{e}+02\) & \(1.99386 \mathrm{e}+02\) & -0.237 & \(1.99860 \mathrm{e}+02\) & 0.000 \\
\hline 6 & \(2.98556 e+02\) & \(2.97558 e+02\) & -0.334 & \(2.98558 e+02\) & 0.001 \\
\hline 7 & \(4.16991 e+02\) & \(4.15123 e+02\) & -0.448 & \(4.16999 \mathrm{e}+02\) & 0.002 \\
\hline 8 & \(5.55165 e+02\) & \(5.51957 e+02\) & -0.578 & \(5.55184 \mathrm{e}+02\) & 0.003 \\
\hline 9 & \(7.13079 e+02\) & \(7.07918 e+02\) & -0.724 & \(7.13119 e+02\) & 0.006 \\
\hline 10 & \(8.90732 \mathrm{e}+02\) & \(8.82842 e+02\) & -0.886 & \(8.90809 \mathrm{e}+02\) & 0.009 \\
\hline 11 & \(1.08812 \mathrm{e}+03\) & \(1.07655 e+03\) & -1.064 & \(1.08826 e+03\) & 0.013 \\
\hline 12 & \(1.30526 e+03\) & 1.28884e+03 & -1.257 & \(1.30550 \mathrm{e}+03\) & 0.019 \\
\hline 13 & \(1.54213 e+03\) & \(1.51950 e+03\) & -1.467 & \(1.54252 e+03\) & 0.026 \\
\hline 14 & \(1.79874 \mathrm{e}+03\) & \(1.76830 \mathrm{e}+03\) & -1.692 & \(1.79937 e+03\) & 0.035 \\
\hline 15 & \(2.07508 e+03\) & \(2.03497 e+03\) & -1.933 & \(2.07605 e+03\) & 0.047 \\
\hline 16 & \(2.37117 e+03\) & \(2.31926 e+03\) & -2.189 & \(2.37261 e+03\) & 0.061 \\
\hline 17 & \(2.68700 e+03\) & \(2.62088 e+03\) & -2.461 & \(2.68908 e+03\) & 0.077 \\
\hline 18 & \(3.02257 e+03\) & \(2.93951 e+03\) & -2.748 & \(3.02551 e+03\) & 0.098 \\
\hline 19 & \(3.37787 e+03\) & \(3.27486 e+03\) & -3.050 & \(3.38197 e+03\) & 0.121 \\
\hline 20 & \(3.75292 e+03\) & 3.62657e+03 & -3.367 & \(3.75851 e+03\) & 0.149 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
====== INTERMEDIATE LINES OF OUTPUT DELETED ======
\begin{tabular}{rrllll}
90 & \(7.90580 \mathrm{e}+04\) & \(3.88340 \mathrm{e}+04\) & -50.879 & \(1.09328 \mathrm{e}+05\) & 38.288 \\
91 & \(8.08345 \mathrm{e}+04\) & \(3.90347 \mathrm{e}+04\) & -51.710 & \(1.11989 \mathrm{e}+05\) & 38.541 \\
92 & \(8.26308 \mathrm{e}+04\) & \(3.92169 \mathrm{e}+04\) & -52.540 & \(1.14512 \mathrm{e}+05\) & 38.582 \\
93 & \(8.44468 \mathrm{e}+04\) & \(3.93804 \mathrm{e}+04\) & -53.367 & \(1.16860 \mathrm{e}+05\) & 38.384 \\
94 & \(8.62825 \mathrm{e}+04\) & \(3.95250 \mathrm{e}+04\) & -54.191 & \(1.18999 \mathrm{e}+05\) & 37.917 \\
95 & \(8.81380 \mathrm{e}+04\) & \(3.96507 \mathrm{e}+04\) & -55.013 & \(1.20889 \mathrm{e}+05\) & 37.159 \\
96 & \(9.00133 \mathrm{e}+04\) & \(3.97572 \mathrm{e}+04\) & -55.832 & \(1.22496 \mathrm{e}+05\) & 36.086 \\
97 & \(9.19082 \mathrm{e}+04\) & \(3.98445 \mathrm{e}+04\) & -56.648 & \(1.23786 \mathrm{e}+05\) & 34.684 \\
98 & \(9.38229 \mathrm{e}+04\) & \(3.99125 \mathrm{e}+04\) & -57.460 & \(1.24730 \mathrm{e}+05\) & 32.941 \\
99 & \(9.57574 \mathrm{e}+04\) & \(3.99611 \mathrm{e}+04\) & -58.268 & \(1.25305 \mathrm{e}+05\) & 30.857 \\
100 & \(9.77116 \mathrm{e}+04\) & \(3.99903 \mathrm{e}+04\) & -59.073 & \(1.49694 \mathrm{e}+05\) & 53.200
\end{tabular}

Evaluate the time response from two concentrated loads. One downward at the middle and one upward at the free end.
input the time step and the maximum time (0.04 and 5.0) are typical. Use 0,0 to stop
?. 04,5
Evaluate the time response resulting from a concentrated downward load at the middle and an upward end load.
input the time step and the maximum time (0.04 and 5.0) are typical. Use 0,0 to stop
? 0,0

\section*{Program cbfrq}
```

function cbfreq
% Example: cbfreq
%
% This program computes approximate natural
% frequencies of a uniform depth cantilever
% beam using finite difference and finite
% element methods. Error results are presented
% which demonstrate that the finite element
% method is much more accurate than the finite
% difference method when the same matrix orders
% are used in computation of the eigenvalues.
%
% User m functions required:
% cbfrqnwm, cbfrqfdm, cbfrqfem, frud,
% examplmo, beamanim, plotsave, inputv
clear, fprintf('\n\n')
fprintf('CANTILEVER BEAM FREQUENCIES BY ')
fprintf('FINITE DIFFERENCE AND')
fprintf(...
'\n FINITE ELEMENT APPROXIMATION\n')
fprintf('\nGive the number of frequencies ')
fprintf('to be computed')
fprintf('\n(use an even number greater ')
fprintf('than 2)\n'), n=input('? > ');
if rem(n,2) ~}=0,n=n+1; en
% Exact frequencies from solution of
% the frequency equation
wex = cbfrqnwm(n,1e-12);

```
```

% Frequencies for the finite
% difference solution
wfd = cbfrqfdm(n);
% Frequencies, modal vectors, mass matrix,
% and stiffness matrix from the finite
% element solution.
nelts=n/2; [wfe,mv,mm,kk] = cbfrqfem(nelts);
pefdm=(wfd-wex)./(.01*wex);
pefem=(wfe-wex)./(.01*wex);
nlines=17; nloop=round(n/nlines);
v=[(1:n)',wex,wfd,pefdm,wfe,pefem];
disp(' '), lo=1;
t1=[' freq. exact. fdif.' ...
fd. pct.'];
t1=[t1,' felt. fe.pct.'];
t2=['number freq. freq.' ...
, error '];
t2=[t2,' freq. error '];
while lo < n
disp(t1),disp(t2)
hi=min(lo+nlines-1,n);
for j=lo:hi
s1=sprintf('\n %4.0f %13.5e %13.5e', ...
v(j,1),v(j,2),v(j,3));
s2=sprintf(' %9.3f %13.5e %9.3f', ...
v(j,4),v(j,5),v(j,6));
fprintf([s1,s2])
end
fprintf('\n\nPress [Enter] to continue\n\n');
pause;
lo=lo+nlines;
end
plotsave(wex,wfd,pefdm,wfe,pefem)
nfe=length(wfe); nmidl=nfe/2;
if rem(nmidl,2)==0, nmidl=nmidl+1; end
x0=zeros(nfe,1); v0=x0; w=0;
f1=zeros(nfe,1); f2=f1; f1(nfe-1)=1;
f1(nmidl)=-5;
xsav=examplmo(mm,kk,f1,f2,x0,v0,wfe,mv);
close; fprintf('All Done\n')
%=============================================

```
```

function z=cbfrqnwm(n,tol)
%
% z=cbfrqnwm(n,tol)
% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
% Cantilever beam frequencies by Newton's
% method. Zeros of
% f(z)=\operatorname{cos}(z)+1/\operatorname{cosh}(z)
% are computed.
%
% n - Number of frequencies required
% tol - Error tolerance for terminating
% the iteration
% z - Dimensionless frequencies are the
% squares of the roots of f(z)=0
%
% User m functions called: none
%------------------------------------------------
if nargin ==1, tol=1.e-5; end
% Base initial estimates on the asymptotic
% form of the frequency equation
zbegin=((1:n)-.5)'*pi; zbegin(1)=1.875; big=10;
% Start Newton iteration
while big > tol
t=exp(-zbegin); tt=t.*t;
f=cos(zbegin)+2*t./(1+tt);
fp=-sin(zbegin)-2*t.*(1-tt)./(1+tt). ^2;
delz=-f./fp;
z=zbegin+delz; big=max(abs(delz)); zbegin=z;
end
z=z.*Z;
%===============================================
function [wfindif,mat]=cbfrqfdm(n)
%
% [wfindif,mat]=cbfrqfdm(n)
% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
118: % This function computes approximate cantilever
119: % beam frequencies by the finite difference
120: % method. The truncation error for the
121: % differential equation and boundary
122: % conditions are of order h^2.

```

123: \%
124: \(\% \mathrm{n}\) - Number of frequencies to be
25: \% computed
126: \% wfindif - Approximate frequencies in
127: \% dimensionless form
128: \% mat - Matrix having eigenvalues which
129: \% are the square roots of the
130: \% frequencies
131: \%
132: \% User m functions called: none
\(\qquad\)
35: \% Form the primary part of the frequency matrix
136: \(\operatorname{mat}=3 * \operatorname{diag}(\operatorname{ones}(n, 1))-4 * \operatorname{diag}(\operatorname{ones}(n-1,1), 1)+\ldots\)
diag(ones (n-2,1), 2) ; mat=(mat+mat');
137:
138:
139: \% Impose left end boundary conditions
140: \% y \((0)=0\) and \(y^{\prime}(0)=0\)
41: \(\operatorname{mat}(1,[1: 3])=[7,-4,1] ; \operatorname{mat}(2,[1: 4])=[-4,6,-4,1] ;\)
142:
143: \% Impose right end boundary conditions
44: \(\% \mathrm{y}{ }^{\prime \prime}(1)=0\) and \(\mathrm{y}^{\prime} \prime \prime(1)=0\)
145: \(\operatorname{mat}(n-1,[n-3: n])=[1,-4,5,-2]\);
46: \(\operatorname{mat}(n,[n-2: n])=[2,-4,2]\);
147:
148: \% Compute approximate frequencies and
149: \% sort these values
150: w=eig(mat) ; w=sort(w) ; h=1/n;
151: wfindif=sqrt(w)/(h*h);
152:
153:
154:
155:

158: \% [wfem,modvecs,mm,kk]=
159: \% cbfrqfem(nelts,mas,len,ei)
160: \%
161: \% Determination of natural frequencies of a
162: \% uniform depth cantilever beam by the Finite
163: \% Element Method.
164: \%
165: \% nelts - number of elements in the beam
166: \% mas - total beam mass
167: \% len - total beam length
```

\% ei - elastic modulus times moment
of inertia
\% wfem - dimensionless circular frequencies
\% modvecs - modal vector matrix
\% mm,kk - reduced mass and stiffness
\% matrices
\%
\% User m functions called: none
\%---------------------------------------------------1
if nargin==1, mas=1; len=1; ei=1; end
n=nelts; le=len/n; me=mas/n;
$c 1=6 / l e^{\wedge} 2 ; ~ c 2=3 / l e ; ~ c 3=2 * e i / l e ;$
\% element mass matrix
masselt=me/420* . .
[ 156, 22*le, 54, -13*le
$22 * l e, \quad 4 * l e^{\wedge} 2, \quad 13 * l e,-3 * l^{\wedge} 2$
54, 13*le, 156, -22*le
$\left.-13 * l e,-3 * l e^{\wedge} 2,-22 * l e, 4 * 1 e^{\wedge} 2\right]$;
\% element stiffness matrix
stifelt=c3*[ c1, c2, -c1, c2
c2, 2, -c2, 1
-c1, -c2, c1, -c2
c2, 1, -c2, 2];
ndof=2*(n+1); jj=0:3;
$\mathrm{mm}=$ zeros(ndof); kk=zeros(ndof);
\% Assemble equations
for $\mathrm{i}=1$ : n
$j=2 * i-1+j j ; ~ m m(j, j)=m m(j, j)+m a s s e l t ;$
kk(j,j)=kk(j,j)+stifelt;
end
\% Remove degrees of freedom for zero
\% deflection and zero slope at the left end.
mm=mm(3:ndof,3:ndof); kk=kk(3:ndof,3:ndof);
\% Compute frequencies
if nargout ==1
wfem=sqrt(sort(real(eig(mm $\operatorname{kk}))$ ));
else
[modvecs,wfem] $=$ eig(mm kk );

```
```

        [wfem,id]=sort(diag(wfem));
        wfem=sqrt(wfem); modvecs=modvecs(:,id);
    end
%===============================================
function [t,x]=...
frud(m,k,f1,f2,w,x0,v0,wn,modvc,h,tmax)
%
% [t,x]=frud(m,k,f1,f2,w,x0,v0,wn,modvc,h,tmax)
% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
% This function employs modal superposition
% to solve
%
m*x'' + k*x = f1*cos(w*t) + f2*sin(w*t)
229: % m,k - mass and stiffness matrices
230: % f1,f2 - amplitude vectors for the forcing
231:% function
232: % w - forcing frequency not matching any
233: % natural frequency component in wn
234: % wn - vector of natural frequency values
235: % x0,v0 - initial displacement and velocity
236: % vectors
237: % modvc - matrix with modal vectors as its
238: % columns
239: % h,tmax - time step and maximum time for
240:% evaluation of the solution
241:% t - column of times at which the
242: % solution is computed
243: % x - solution matrix in which row j
% is the solution vector at
% time t(j)
%
% User m functions called: none
%------------------------------------------------
250: t=0:h:tmax; nt=length(t); nx=length(x0);
251: wn=wn(:); wnt=wn*t;
253: % Evaluate the particular solution.

254: x12=(k-(W*W)*m)\[f1,f2];
255: x1=x12(:,1); x2=x12(:,2);
256: xp=x1*\operatorname{cos}(\textrm{w}*\textrm{t})+\textrm{x}2*\operatorname{sin}(\textrm{w}*\textrm{t});

```
228: \%
249:
252:
257:

\section*{260:}
    \%
    \%
\% Evaluate the homogeneous solution.
cof=modvc \(\backslash[\mathrm{x} 0-\mathrm{x} 1, \mathrm{v} 0-\mathrm{w} * \mathrm{x} 2]\);
    c1=cof(:,1)'; c2=(cof(:,2)./wn)';
    \(\mathrm{xh}=(\operatorname{modvc} . * \mathrm{c} 1(\mathrm{ones}(1, \mathrm{nx}),:)) * \cos (\mathrm{wnt})+\ldots\)
        (modvc.*c2(ones (1, nx),: ))*sin(wnt);
    \% Combine the particular and
    \% homogeneous solutions.
    \(\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{t}(:)\); \(\mathrm{x}=(\mathrm{xp}+\mathrm{xh})^{\prime}\);
    \(\%==========================================\)
    function \(\mathrm{x}=\) examplmo(mm,kk,f1,f2,x0,v0,wfe,mv)
    \(\% \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{examplmo}(\mathrm{mm}, \mathrm{kk}, \mathrm{f} 1, \mathrm{f} 2, \mathrm{x} 0, \mathrm{v} 0, \mathrm{wfe}, \mathrm{mv})\)
    \% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
    \% Evaluate the response caused when a downward
    \% load at the middle and an upward load at the
    \% free end is applied.
    \%
    \% mm, kk - mass and stiffness matrices
    \(\%\) f1, f2 - forcing function magnitudes
    \% x0, v0 - initial position and velocity
    \% wfe - forcing function frequency
    \% mv - matrix of modal vectors
    \% User m functions called: frud, beamanim, inputv
    \%-------------------------------------------------
    w=0; n=length(x0) ; t0=0; \(x=[]\);
    s1=['\nEvaluate the time response from two',...
    ' \(\backslash\) nconcentrated loads. One downward at the',...
    ' nnmiddle and one upward at the free end.'];
    while 1
    fprintf(s1), fprintf('\n\n')
    fprintf('Input the time step and ')
    fprintf('the maximum time ')
    fprintf('\n(0.04 and 5.0) are typical.')
    fprintf(' Use 0,0 to stop \(\backslash\) ')
    [h, tmax]=inputv;
    if norm([h,tmax])==0 | isnan(h), return, end
    disp(' ')
        [ \(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{x}\) ] \(=\)
        frud (mm, kk,f1,f2,w, x0, v0,wfe,mv,h,tmax);
\(343: \%\) function plotsave(wex,wfd,pefd,wfe,pefem)
345: \% This function plots errors in frequencies
346: \% computed by two approximate methods.
347: \%
end
```

    \(\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}(:, 1: 2: \mathrm{n}-1)\); \(\mathrm{x}=[\) zeros(length(t), 1 ), x\(]\);
    [nt,nc]=size(x); hdist=linspace(0,1,nc);
    clf, \(\mathrm{plot}\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{x}(:, \mathrm{nc}) \mathrm{g}^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{-}\right)\)
    title('Position of the Free End of the Beam')
    xlabel('dimensionless time')
    ylabel('end deflection'), figure(gcf)
    disp('Press [Enter] for a surface plot of')
    disp('transverse deflection versus \(x\) and t')
    pause
    print -deps endpos1
    xc=linspace(0,1,nc); zmax=1.2*max(abs(x(:)));
    clf, \(\operatorname{surf}(x c, t, x), \operatorname{view}(30,35)\)
    colormap ([11 1121\(])\)
    axis([0,1,0,tmax,-zmax,zmax])
    xlabel('x axis'); ylabel('time')
    zlabel('deflection')
    title(['Cantilever Beam Deflection ' ...
        'for Varying Position and Time'])
    figure(gcf);
    print -deps endpos2
    disp(' '), disp(['Press [Enter] to animate',...
        , the beam motion'])
    pause
    titl='Cantilever Beam Animation';
    xlab='x axis'; ylab='displacement';
    beamanim(hdist,x,0.1,titl,xlab,ylab), close
    $\%=========================================$
\% function beamanim(x,u,tpause,titl,xlabl,ylabl)
\% See Appendix B
$\%===========================================$
function plotsave(wex,wfd,pefd,wfe,pefem)
function plotsave(wex,wfd,pefd,wfe,pefem)

```

```

% wex - exact frequencies
% wfd - finite difference frequencies
% wfe - finite element frequencies
% pefd,pefem - percent errors by both methods
%
% User m functions called: none
%----------------------------------------------
% plot results comparing accuracy
% of both frequency methods
w=[wex(:);wfd(:);wfd];
wmin=min(w); wmax=max(w);
n=length(wex); wht=wmin+.001*(wmax-wmin);
j=1:n;
semilogy(j,wex,'k-',j,wfe,'k--',j,wfd,'k:')
title('Cantilever Beam Frequencies')
xlabel('frequency number')
ylabel('frequency values')
legend('Exact freq.','Felt. freq.', ...
'Fdif. freq.',2); figure(gcf)
disp(['Press [Enter] for a frequency ',...
'error plot']), pause
print -deps beamfrq1
plot(j,abs(pefd),'k--',j,abs(pefem),'k-')
title(['Cantilever Beam Frequency ' ...
'Error Percentages'])
xlabel('frequency number')
ylabel('percent frequency error')
legend('Fdif. pct. error','Felt. pct. error',4)
figure(gcf)
disp(['Press [Enter] for a transient ',...
'response calculation'])
pause
print -deps beamfrq2
%==============================================
% function varargout=inputv(prompt)
% See Appendix B

```

\subsection*{10.7 Vibration Modes of an Elliptic Membrane}

\subsection*{10.7.1 Analytical Formulation}

Examples using eigenvalues and modal functions of rectangular or circular membranes were presented in chapter 9. In this section we analyze modal vibrations of an elliptic membrane. In this case the natural frequencies and modal functions cannot be obtained easily in explicit form. The problem can be formulated in elliptical coordinates leading to Mathieu type differential equations [74]. Library routines to compute these functions are not widely available; so, a different approach is employed using least squares approximation and the MATLAB function eig. Consider a membrane with major and minor semi-diameters \(a\) and \(b\). The analytic function \(z=h \cosh (\varsigma)\) where \(h=\sqrt{a^{2}-b^{2}}\) and \(\zeta=\xi+i \eta\) maps the rectangle defined by \(0 \leq \xi \leq R=\tanh ^{-1}(b / a), \quad-\pi \leq \eta \leq \pi\) onto the interior of the ellipse. This transformation takes lines of constant \(\xi\) into a system of confocal ellipses and lines of constant \(\eta\) into hyperbolas intersecting the ellipses orthogonally. The following function was used to produce the elliptic coordinate plot in Figure 10.13.
```

function z = elipmap(a,b,neta,nxi)
h=sqrt(a^2-b^2); R=atanh(b/a);
[xi,eta]=meshgrid(...
linspace(0,R,nxi),linspace(-pi,pi,neta));
z=h*cosh(xi+i*eta); x=real(z); y=imag(z);
plot(x,y,'k',x',y','k')
title('ELLIPTICAL COORDINATE SYSTEM')
xlabel('x axis'), ylabel('y axis')
axis equal, grid off, shg

```

Transforming the wave equation to \((\xi, \eta)\) coordinates gives
\[
U_{\xi \xi}+U_{\eta \eta}=\frac{h^{2}}{2}[\cosh (2 \xi)-\cos (2 \eta)] U_{t t}
\]
and assuming separable solutions of the form
\[
U=f(\eta) g(\xi) \sin (\Omega t)
\]
leads to
\[
\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(\eta)}{f(\eta)}+\frac{g^{\prime \prime}(\xi)}{g(\xi)}=-\lambda[\cosh (2 \xi)-\cos (2 \eta)]
\]
where \(\lambda=\Omega^{2} h^{2} / 2\). So \(f\) and \(g\) are found to satisfy the following two Mathieu type differential equations:
\[
f^{\prime \prime}(\eta)+[\alpha-\lambda \cos (2 \eta)] f(\eta)=0, \quad-\pi \leq \eta \leq \pi
\]


Figure 10.13: Elliptic Coordinate Grid
and
\[
g^{\prime \prime}(\xi)-[\alpha-\lambda \cosh (2 \xi)] g(\xi)=0, \quad 0 \leq \xi \leq R
\]
where the eigenvalue parameters \(\alpha\) and \(\lambda\) are determined to make \(f(\eta)\) have period \(2 \pi\) and make \(g(\xi)\) vanish at \(\xi=R\). The modal functions can be written in terms of Mathieu functions as products of the form
\[
c e(\eta, q) C e(\xi, q)
\]
for modes symmetric about the \(x\)-axis and
\[
s e(\eta, \bar{q}) S e(\xi, \bar{q})
\]
for modes anti-symmetric about the x -axis. The functions \(c e\) and se are periodic Mathieu functions pertaining to the circumferential direction, while \(C e\) and \(S e\) are modified Mathieu functions pertaining to the radial direction. The structure of these functions motivates using the following series approximation for the functions for even modes:
\[
f(\eta)=\sum_{k=1}^{N} \cos (\eta(k-1)) a_{k}, \quad g(\xi)=\sum_{l=1}^{M} \cos \left(\frac{\pi \xi}{R}(l-1 / 2)\right) b_{l} .
\]

The analogous approximations for the modes anti-symmetric about the x -axis are:
\[
f(\eta)=\sum_{k=1}^{N} \sin (\eta k) a_{k}, \quad g(\xi)=\sum_{l=1}^{M} \sin \left(\frac{\pi \xi}{R} l\right) b_{l} .
\]

Thus the expressions for both cases take the form:
\[
f(\eta)=\sum_{k=1}^{N} f_{k}(\eta) a_{k} \text { and } g(\xi)=\sum_{l=1}^{M} g_{l}(\xi) b_{l}
\]

Let us choose a set of collocation points \(\eta_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n\), and \(\xi_{j}, j=1, \ldots, m\). Then substituting the series approximation for \(f(\eta)\) into the differential equation gives the following over-determined system of equations:
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{N} f_{k}^{\prime \prime}\left(\eta_{i}\right) a_{k}+\alpha \sum_{k=1}^{N} f_{k}\left(n_{i}\right) a_{k}-\lambda \cos \left(2 \eta_{i}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{N} f_{k}\left(\eta_{i}\right) a_{k}=0, \quad i=1, \ldots, n
\]

Denote \(F\) as the matrix having \(f_{k}\left(\eta_{i}\right)\) as the element in row \(i\) and column \(k\). Then multiplying the last equation on the left by the generalized inverse of \(F\) gives a matrix equation of the form
\[
C A+\alpha A-\lambda D A=0,
\]
where \(A\) is a column matrix consisting of the coefficients \(a_{k}\). A similar equation results when the series for \(g(\xi)\) is substituted into the differential equation for the radial direction. It reduces to
\[
E B-\alpha B+\lambda G B=0 .
\]

The parameter \(\alpha\) can be eliminated from the last two equations to yield a single eigenvalue equation
\[
W E^{\prime}+C W=\lambda\left(-W G^{\prime}+D W\right)
\]
where \(W=A B^{\prime}\), and the tic mark indicates matrix transposition. By addressing the two-dimensional array \(W\) in terms of a single index, the eigenvalues \(\lambda\) and the modal multipliers defined by \(W\) can be computed using the function eig. Then the values of the other eigenvalue parameter \(\alpha\) can also be obtained using the known \(\lambda, W\) combinations. The mathematical developments just given are implemented below in a program which animates the various natural frequency vibration modes for an elliptic membrane.

\subsection*{10.7.2 Computer Formulation}

The program elipfreq was written to compute frequencies and mode shapes for an elliptic membrane. The primary data input includes the ellipse semi-diameters, a flag indicating whether even modes, odd modes, or both are desired, the number of
least squares points used, and the number of terms used in the approximation series. Natural frequencies and data needed to produce modal surfaces are returned. The program also animates the various mode shapes arranged in the order of increasing frequency. The modules employed are described in the following table.
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline \hline elipfreq & \begin{tabular}{l} 
reads data, calls other computational mod- \\
ules, and outputs modal plots \\
forms the matrix approximations of the Math- \\
ieu equations and calls eigenrec to generate \\
frequencies and mode shapes
\end{tabular} \\
eigenrec & \begin{tabular}{l} 
plotmode \\
solves the rectangular eigenvalue problem \\
generates animated plots of the modal func- \\
tions \\
computes modal function shapes using the \\
approximating function series \\
approximating series functions in the xi vari- \\
able \\
approximating series functions in the eta vari- \\
able
\end{tabular} \\
funcxi \\
funceta
\end{tabular}

The accuracy of the formulation developed above was assessed by 1) comparison with circular membrane frequencies known in terms of Bessel function roots and 2) results obtained from the commercial PDE toolbox from MathWorks employing triangular finite element analysis. The elliptic coordinate formulation is singular for a circular shape, but a nearly circular shape with \(a=1\) and \(b=0.9999\) causes no numerical difficulty. Figure 10.14 shows how well frequencies from elipfreq with nlsq \(=[200,200]\) and nfuns \(=[30,30]\) compare with the roots of \(J_{n}(r)\). The first fifty frequencies were accurate to within 0.8 percent and the first one hundred frequencies were accurate to within 5 percent. The function pdetool from the PDE toolbox was also used to compute circular membrane frequencies with a quarter circular shape and 2233 node points. The first two hundred even mode frequencies from this model were accurate to within 1 percent for the first one hundred frequencies and to within 7 percent for the first 200 frequencies. Since the function pdetool would probably give comparable accuracy for an elliptic membrane, results from elipfreq were compared with those from pdetool using an ellipse with \(a=1\) and \(b=0.5\). The percent difference between the frequencies from the two methods appears in Figure 10.15. This comparison suggests that the first fifty frequencies produced by elipfreq for the elliptic membrane are probably accurate to within about 2 percent.

The various modal surfaces of an elliptic membrane have interesting shapes. The program elipfreq allows a sequence of modes to be exhibited by selecting vectors of frequency numbers such as 1:10 or 10:2:20. Two typical shapes are shown in Figures 10.16 and 10.17. The particular modes shown have no special significance besides their esthetic appeal. A listing of some interactive computer output and the source code for elipfreq follows.


Figure 10.14: Comparing Elipfreq Results with Bessel Function Roots


Figure 10.15: Comparing Elipfreq Results with PDE Toolbox

ODD MODE 98, \(\operatorname{OMEGA}=43.85, \quad \mathrm{~B} / \mathrm{A}=0.5\)


Figure 10.16: Surface for Anti-Symmetric Mode Number 98

EVEN MODE 99, \(\mathrm{OMEGA}=41.37, \mathrm{~B} / \mathrm{A}=0.5\)


Figure 10.17: \(\quad\) Surface for Symmetric Mode Number 99

\section*{Interactive Input-Output for Program elipfreq}
```

>> elipfreq;
VIBRATION MODE SHAPES AND FREQUENCIES
OF AN ELLIPTIC MEMBRANE
Input the major and minor semi-diameters > ? 1,.5
Select the modal form option
1<=>even, 2<=>odd, 3<=>both > ? 1
The computation takes awhile. Please wait.
Computation time = 44.1 seconds.
Number of modes = 312
Highest frequency = 116.979
Press return to see modal plots.
Give a vector of mode indices (try 10:2:20)
enter 0 to stop > ? 1
Give a vector of mode indices (try 10:2:20)
enter 0 to stop > ? 2:6
Give a vector of mode indices (try 10:2:20)
enter 0 to stop > ? [20 25 30]
Give a vector of mode indices (try 10:2:20)
enter 0 to stop > ? 0
>>

```

\section*{Elliptic Membrane Program}

1: function [frqs,modes,indx, \(x, y, a l p h a, c p t i m]=e l i p f r e q(. .\).
a,b,type,nlsq,nfuns,noplot)
\% [frqs,modes,indx,x,y,alpha, cptim]=elipfreq(...
\% a,b,type,nlsq,nfuns, noplot)
\%
\% This function computes natural frequencies and mode
7: \% shapes for an elliptical membrane. Modes that are
8: \% symmetrical or anti-symmetrical about the x axis are
9: \% included. An approximate solution is obtained using
```

10:
11:
2: \%
3: \% a,b - the ellipse major and minor semi-
$\% \quad$ diameters along the $x$ and $y$ axes
15: \% nlsq - two-component vector giving the number
6: \%
17: \%
\% nfuns
9: \%
20: \%
21: \% type
22: \%
23: \%
24: \%
25: \%
26:
27:

- a vector of eigenvalue parameters in
the Mathieu equation: u'' (eta)+...
(alpha-lambda*cos (2*eta)) *u(eta) $=0$
where lambda=(h*freq) ^2/2 and
$\mathrm{h}=\operatorname{atanh}(\mathrm{b} / \mathrm{a})$
$\%$ cptim
\%
\%
\% noplot
\%
\% User m functions called:
$\begin{array}{ll}\% & \text { frqsimpl eigenrec plotmode } \\ \% & \text { modeshap funcxi funceta }\end{array}$
50:
if nargin==0
disp(' ')
disp('VIBRATION MODE SHAPES AND FREQUENCIES')
disp(' OF AN ELLIPTIC MEMBRANE ')

```
```

    disp(' ')
    nlsq=[300,300]; nfuns=[25,25];
    v=input(['Input the major and minor ',...
            'semi-diameters > ? '],'s');
    v=eval(['[',v,']']); a=v(1); b=v(2); disp(' ')
    disp('Select the modal form option')
    type=input(...
            '1<=>even, 2<=>odd, 3<=>both > ? ');
    disp(' ')
    disp(['The computation takes awhile.',...
        PLEASE WAIT.'])
    end
if type ==1 | type==2 % Even or odd modes
[frqs,modes,x,y,alpha,cptim]=frqsimpl(...
a,b,type,nlsq,nfuns);
indx=ones(length(frqs),1)*type;
else % Both modes
[frqs,modes,x,y,alpha, cptim]=frqsimpl(...
a,b,1,nlsq,nfuns);
indx=ones(length(frqs),1);
[frqso,modeso,x,y,alphao, cpto]=frqsimpl(...
a,b,2,nlsq,nfuns);
frqs=[frqs;frqso]; alpha=[alpha;alphao];
modes=cat(3,modes,modeso);
indx=[indx;2*ones(length(frqso),1)];
[frqs,k]=sort(frqs); modes=modes(:,:,k);
indx=indx(k); cptim=cptim+cpto;
end
if nargin==6, return, end
% Plot a sequence of modal functions
neig=length(frqs);
disp(' '), disp(['Computation time = ',...
num2str(sum(cptim)),' seconds.'])
disp(['Number of modes = ',num2str(neig)]);
disp(['Highest frequency = ',...
num2str(frqs(end))]), disp(' ')
disp('Press return to see modal plots.')
pause, plotmode(a,b,x,y,frqs,modes,indx)
%==================================================

```
101: function [frqs,Modes, \(x, y, a l p h a, c p t i m]=f r q s i m p l(.\).
                                    a,b,type,nlsq, nfuns)
\% [frqs, Modes,x,y,alpha, cptim]=frqsimpl(...
                                    a,b,type,nlsq, nfuns)
104: \%
105: \%
~
:
107: \% a,b - ellipse major and minor semi-diameters
108: \% type - numerical values of one or two for modes
109: \% symmetric or anti-symmetric about the \(x\) axis
110: \% nlsq - vector [neta, nxi] giving the number of least
111: \(\%\) square points used for the eta and xi
\% directions
113: \% nfuns - vector [meta,mxi] giving the number of
114: \% approximating functions used for the eta and
\% xi directions
116: \% frqs - natural frequencies arranged in increasing
\% order
118: \% Modes - modal surface shapes in the ellipse
119: \% x,y - coordinate points in the ellipse
120: \% alpha - vector of values for the eigenvalues in the
121: \%
\(\% \quad\) Mathieu differential equation:
\(\% \quad u^{\prime}\) (eta) \(+(\) alpha-lambda*cos \((2 * e t a)) * u(e t a)=0\)
\% cptim - vector of computation times
\%
\% User m functions called: funceta funcxi
\(\%\) eigenrec modeshap
if nargin==0
\(a=\cosh (2) ; b=\sinh (2) ;\) type=1;
    nlsq=[200,200]; nfuns=[30,30];
end
\(h=\operatorname{sqrt}\left(a^{\wedge} 2-b^{\wedge} 2\right) ; R=a t a n h(b / a)\); neta=nlsq(1); alpha=[];
nxi=nlsq(2) ; meta=nfuns (1); mxi=nfuns (2);
eta=linspace(0,pi,neta)'; xi=linspace(0,R,nxi)';
[Xi,Eta]=meshgrid(xi,eta) ; \(z=h * \cosh (X i+i * E t a)\);
\(x=r e a l(z) ; y=i m a g(z) ; \operatorname{cptim=zeros}(1,3)\);
\% Form the Mathieu equation for the circumferential
\(\%\) direction as: \(A * E+a l p h a * E-l a m b d a * B * E=0\)
tic; [Veta, A]=funceta(meta, type,eta);
\(A=V e t a \backslash[A, r e p m a t(\cos (2 * e t a), 1\), meta) . \(*\) Veta];
\(B=A(:, m e t a+1\) :end) ; \(A=A(:, 1: m e t a)\);
142:
143: \% Form the modified Mathieu equation for the radial
144: \% direction as: \(\mathrm{P} * \mathrm{~F}-\mathrm{alpha} * \mathrm{~F}+\) lambda*Q*F=0
```

    [Vxi,P]=funcxi(a,b,mxi,type,xi);
    P=Vxi\[P,repmat(cosh(2*xi),1,mxi).*Vxi];
    Q=P(:,mxi+1:end); P=P(:,1:mxi);
    cptim(1)=toc; tic
    % Solve the eigenvalue problem. This takes most
    % of the computation time
    [frqs,modes]=eigenrec(P',A,-Q',B);
    % Keep only half of the modes and frequencies
    nmax=fix(length(frqs)/2); frqs=frqs(1:nmax);
    modes=modes(:,:,1:nmax); cptim(2)=toc;
    % Compute values of the second eigenvalue
    % parameter in Mathieu's equation
    alpha=zeros(1,nmax); tic;
    s=size(modes); s=s(1:2); Vxi=Vxi';
    % Obtain the modal surface shapes
    Neta=91; Nxi=25; Modes=zeros(Neta,Nxi,nmax);
    for k=1:nmax
    Mk=modes(:,:,k); [dmk,K]=max(abs(Mk(:)));
    [I,J]=ind2sub(s,K); Ej=Mk(:,J);
    alpha(k)=(B(I,:)*Ej*frqs(k)-A(I,:)*Ej)/Mk(K);
    [Modes(:,:,k),x,y]=modeshap(a,b,type,Mk,Nxi,Neta);
    end
    frqs=sqrt(2*frqs)/h; cptim(3)=toc;
    %======================================
    function [eigs,vecs,Amat,Bmat]=eigenrec(A,B,C,D)
    % [eigs,vecs,Amat,Bmat]=eigenrec(A,B,C,D)
    % Solve a rectangular eigenvalue problem of the
    % form: X*A+B*X=lambda*(X*C+D*X)
    %:
    9:% A,B,C,D - square matrices defining the problem.
    180:% A and C have the same size. B and D
182: % eigs - vector of eigenvalues
183: % vecs - array of eigenvectors where vecs(:,:,j)
contains the rectangular eigenvector
for eigenvalue eigs(j)
185: % Amat
187: % Bmat - matrices that express the eigenvalue

```
8. \%
188: \%
189: \%
```

$\mathrm{n}=$ size (B,1); m=size(A,2); $\mathrm{s}=[\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m}]$; $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{n} * \mathrm{~m}$;
Amat=zeros(N,N) ; Bmat=Amat; kn=1:n; km=1:m;
for $\mathrm{i}=1$ : n
IK=sub2ind (s,i*ones (1,m), km);
Bikn=B(i,kn); Dikn=D(i,kn);
for $j=1: m$
I=sub2ind (s,i,j);
Amat (I,IK)=A(km,j)'; Bmat (I,IK)=C(km,j)';
KJ=sub2ind (s,kn,j*ones (1, n)) ;
Amat $(I, K J)=A m a t(I, K J)+B i k n ;$
$\operatorname{Bmat}(I, K J)=\operatorname{Bmat}(I, K J)+$ Dikn;
end
end
[vecs,eigs] =eig(Bmat \Amat);
[eigs,k]=sort(diag(eigs));
vecs=reshape (vecs (: ,k),n,m,N) ;
$\%========================================$
function plotmode(a,b,x,y,eigs,modes,indx)
\%
\% plotdmode(a,b,x,y,eigs,modes,indx)
\%
\% This function makes animated plots of the
\% mode shapes of an elliptic membrane for
\% various frequencies
\% a,b - major and minor semi-diameters
$\% \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ - arrays of points defining the
\% curvilinear coordinate grid
\% eigs - vector of sorted frequencies
\% modes - array of modal surfaces for
$\% \quad$ the corresponding frequencies
$\%$ indx - vector of indices designating
$\% \quad$ each mode as even (1) or odd (2)
225: range $=[-\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{a},-\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{b},-\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{a}]$;
226: $\mathrm{nf}=25$; $\mathrm{ft}=\cos (\mathrm{linspace}(0,4 * \mathrm{pi}, \mathrm{nf}))$;
boa=[', B/A = ', num2str (b/a,4)];
while 1
jlim=[];
while isempty(jlim), disp(' ')
disp(['Give a vector of mode ',...
'indices (try 10:2:20) > ? ']);
jlim=input('(input 0 to stop > ? ');
end

```
224:
```

    if any(jlim==0)
        disp(','), disp('All done'), break, end
        for j=jlim
        if indx(j)==1, type='EVEN'; f=1;
        else, type ='ODD '; f=-1; end
        u=a/2*modes(:, :,j);
        for kk=1:nf
            surf(x,y,ft(kk)*u)
            axis equal, axis(range)
            xlabel('x axis'), ylabel('y axis')
            zlabel('u(x,y)')
            title([type,' MODE ',num2str(j),...
            ,, OMEGA = ',num2str(eigs(j),4),boa])
            %colormap([127/255 1 212/255])
            colormap([1 1 0])
            drawnow, shg
        end
        pause(1);
        end
    end
%=====================================================
function [u,x,y]=modeshap(...
a,b,type,modemat,nxi,neta,H)
%
% [u,x,y]=modeshap(a,b,type,modemat,nxi,neta,H)
% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
% This function uses the eigenvectors produced by
% the rectangular eigenvalue solver to form modal
266: % surface shapes in cartesian coordinates.
267: % a,b - major and minor semi-diameters
268: % type - }1\mathrm{ for even, 2 for odd
269: % modemat - eigenvector matrix output by eigenrec
270: % nxi,neta - number of radial and circumferential
coordinate values
272: % H - maximum height of the modal surfaces.
The default value is one.
274: % u,x,y - modal surface array and corresponding
275: % cartesian coordinate matrices. u(:,:j)
276:% gives the modal surface for the j'th
277:% natural frequency.
279: if nargin<7, H=1; end

```
271: \%
273: \%
278:
\(297: \% \quad[f, f 2]=f u n c x i(a, b, n, t y p e, x i)\)

299: \% This function defines the approximating functions
300 \% for the radial direction
\(301: \% a, b\) - ellipse major and minor half-diameters
\(302: \% \mathrm{n}\) - number of series terms used
\(303: \%\) type -1 for even valued, 2 for odd valued
\(304: \%\) xi - vector of radial coordinate values
\(305: \%\) f,f2 - matrix of function and second derivative
306: \%
307:
308:
309:
310:
311:
312:
313:
314:
315: function \([f, f 2]=f u n c e t a(n, t y p e\), eta)
316: \%
\(317: \% \quad[f, f 2]=f u n c e t a(n, t y p e\), eta)
\(318: \%\)
319: \% This function defines the approximating functions
320: \% for the circumferential direction
321 \% n - number of series terms used
322: \% type - 1 for even valued, 2 for odd valued
323: \% xi - vector of circumferential coordinate values
324: \% f,f2 - matrix of function and second derivative
```

325:% values
326:
327: eta=eta(:); neta=length(eta);
328: if type==1, N=0:n-1; f=cos(eta*N);
329: else, N=1:n; f=sin(eta*N); end
330: f2=-repmat(N.^2,neta,1).*f;

```

\section*{Chapter 11}

\section*{Bending Analysis of Beams of General Cross Section}

\subsection*{11.1 Introduction}

Elastic beams are important components in many types of structures. Consequently methods to analyze the shear, moment, slope, and deflection in beams with complex loading and general cross section variation are of significant interest. A typical beam of the type considered is shown in Figure 11.1. The study of Euler beam theory is generally regarded as an elementary topic dealt with in undergraduate engineering courses. However, simple analyses presented in standard textbooks usually do not reveal difficulties encountered with statically indeterminate problems and general geometries [115]. Finite element approximations intended to handle arbitrary problems typically assume a piecewise constant depth profile and a piecewise cubic transverse deflection curve. This contradicts even simple instances such as a constant depth beam subjected to a linearly varying distributed load which actually leads to a deflection curve which is a fifth order polynomial. Exact solutions of more involved problems where the beam depth changes linearly, for example, are more complicated. Therefore, an exact analysis of the beam problem is desirable to handle depth variation, a combination of concentrated and distributed loads, and static indeterminacy providing for general end conditions and multiple in-span supports. The current formulation considers a beam carrying any number of concentrated loads and linearly varying distributed loads. The equations for the shear and moment in the beam are obtained explicitly. Expressions for slope and deflection are formulated for evaluation by numerical integration allowing as many integration steps as necessary to achieve high accuracy. A set of simultaneous equations imposing desired constraints at the beam ends and at supports is solved for support reactions and any unknown end conditions. Knowledge of these quantities then allows evaluation of internal load and deformation quantities throughout the beam. The analytical formulation is implemented in a program using a concise problem definition specifying all loading, geometry, and constraint conditions without reference to beam elements or nodal points as might be typical in a finite element formulation. The program and example problem are discussed next.


Figure 11.1: General Beam

\subsection*{11.1.1 Analytical Formulation}

Solution of beam problems utilizes some mathematical idealizations such as a concentrated load, which implies infinite load intensity acting over an infinitesimal area. Also of importance are linearly varying distributed loads, or ramp loads. Treatment of these entities is facilitated by use of singularity functions [9]. The singularity function of order \(n\) is denoted by \(<x-x_{0}>^{n}\) and is defined as
\[
<x-x_{0}>^{n}= \begin{cases}0, & x<x_{0} \\ \left(x-x_{0}\right)^{n} & x \geq x_{0}\end{cases}
\]

For \(n \geq 0\), the function satisfies
\[
\int_{0}^{x}<x-x_{0}>^{n} d x=\frac{<x-x_{0}>^{n+1}}{n+1}
\]

The special case where \(n=-1\) is appropriate for describing a concentrated load. The term \(<x-x_{0}>^{-1}\) means the limit as \(\epsilon \rightarrow 0\) of the following function
\[
<x-x_{0}>^{-1}= \begin{cases}0 & x<x_{0} \\ \frac{1}{\epsilon} & x_{0} \leq x \leq\left(x_{0}+\epsilon\right) \\ 0 & x>\left(x_{0}+\epsilon\right)\end{cases}
\]

Consequently, in the limit as \(\epsilon\) approaches zero the integral becomes
\[
\int_{0}^{x}<x-x_{0}>^{-1} d x=<x-x_{0}>^{0}
\]

Analyzing the loads and deformations in the beam requires computation of the shear, moment, slope, and deflection designated as \(v(x), m(x), y^{\prime}(x)\), and \(y(x)\). The beam lies in the range \(0 \leq x \leq L\). A total of four end conditions are imposed at \(x=0\) and \(x=L\). Normally, two conditions will be specified at each end; so, two unknown conditions applicable at \(x=0\) need to be found during the solution process. Along with the end conditions, interior supports may exist at \(x=r_{\jmath}, 1 \leq \jmath \leq N_{s}\). Displacements \(y_{\jmath}\) will occur at supports, and the reactions \(R_{\jmath}\), as well as four end
conditions, needed to cause the deflections will have to be determined during the analysis. Within the beam span, the applied loading will consist of known external loads described as \(w_{e}(x)\) and the support reactions. Fundamentals of Euler beam theory developed in standard textbooks [9, 102] imply the following differential and integral relations:
I) Load
\[
v^{\prime}(x)=w_{e}(x)+\sum_{\jmath=1}^{N_{s}} R_{\jmath}<x-r_{\jmath}>^{-1}
\]
II) Shear
\[
\begin{gathered}
v(x)=v_{0}+v_{e}(x)+\sum_{\jmath=1}^{N_{s}} R_{\jmath}<x-r_{\jmath}>^{0}, \\
v_{e}(x)=\int_{0}^{x} w_{e}(x) d x
\end{gathered}
\]
III) Moment and Second Derivative
\[
\begin{gathered}
m^{\prime}(x)=v, \\
m(x)=m_{0}+v_{0} x+m_{e}(x)+\sum_{\jmath=1}^{N_{s}} R_{\jmath}<x-r_{\jmath}>^{1} ; \\
m_{e}(x)=\int_{0}^{x} v_{e}(x) d x \\
y^{\prime \prime}(x)=k(x)\left[m_{0}+v_{0} x+m_{e}(x)+\sum_{\jmath=1}^{N_{s}} R_{\jmath}<x-r_{\jmath}>^{1}\right], \\
k(x)=\frac{1}{E(x) I(x)} ;
\end{gathered}
\]
IV) Slope
\[
\begin{aligned}
y^{\prime}(x)= & y_{0}^{\prime}+m_{0} \int_{0}^{x} k(x) d x+v_{0} \int_{0}^{x} x k(x) d x+ \\
& \int_{0}^{x} k(x) m_{e}(x) d x+\sum_{\jmath=1}^{N_{s}} R_{\jmath} \int_{0}^{x}<x-r_{\jmath}>^{1} k(x) d x
\end{aligned}
\]

\section*{V) Deflection}
\[
\begin{aligned}
y(x)= & y_{0}+y_{0}^{\prime} x+m_{0} \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{x} k(x) d x d x+ \\
& v_{0} \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{x} x k(x) d x d x+\int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{x} k(x) m_{e}(x) d x d x+ \\
& \sum_{\jmath=1}^{N_{s}} R_{\jmath} \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{x}<x-r_{\jmath}>^{1} k(x) d x d x
\end{aligned}
\]
where \(E(x) I(x)\) is the product of the Young's modulus and the cross section moment of inertia, \(y_{0}, y_{0}^{\prime}, v_{0}, m_{0}\), are the left-end values of the deflection, slope, shear and moment respectively. The property \(k(x)\) will be spatially variable unless \(E I\) is constant, which yields the following simple formulas
\[
\begin{aligned}
& E I y^{\prime}(x)=E I y_{0}^{\prime}+m_{0} x+\frac{v_{0} x^{2}}{2}+\int_{0}^{x} m_{e}(x) d x+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\jmath=1}^{N_{s}} R_{\jmath}<x-r_{\jmath}>^{2} \\
& E I y(x)=E I\left(y_{0}+y_{0}^{\prime} x\right)+\frac{m_{0} x^{2}}{2}+\frac{v_{0} x^{3}}{6}+\int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{x} m_{e}(x) d x d x+ \\
& \frac{1}{6} \sum_{\jmath=1}^{N_{s}} R_{\jmath}<x-r_{\jmath}>^{3}
\end{aligned}
\]

The external loading conditions employed here can handle most practical situations. It is assumed that several concentrated loads \(F_{\jmath}\) act at positions \(f_{\jmath}, 1 \leq \jmath \leq N_{f}\). Distributed loads are described by linearly varying ramp loads. A typical ramp load starts at position \(p_{\jmath}\) with intensity \(P_{\jmath}\) and varies linearly to magnitude \(Q_{\jmath}\) at position \(q_{j}\). The ramp load is zero unless \(p_{J} \leq x \leq q_{j}\). A total of \(N_{r}\) ramp loads may be present. Instances where \(P_{\jmath}=Q_{\jmath}\) can also occur, implying a uniformly distributed load. The general external loading chosen can be represented as
\[
\begin{aligned}
w_{e}(x)= & \sum_{\jmath=1}^{N_{f}} F_{\jmath}<x-f_{\jmath}>^{-1}+ \\
& \sum_{\jmath=1}^{N_{r}}\left[P_{\jmath}<x-p_{\jmath}>^{0}-Q_{\jmath}<x-q_{\jmath}>^{0}+\right. \\
& \left.S_{\jmath}\left(<x-p_{\jmath}>^{1}-<x-q_{\jmath}>^{1}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
\]
where
\[
S_{\jmath}=\frac{Q_{\jmath}-P_{\jmath}}{q_{\jmath}-p_{\jmath}}
\]
and each summation extends over the complete range of pertinent values. Similarly, integration using the properties of singularity functions yields
\[
\begin{aligned}
v_{e}(x)= & \sum_{\jmath=1}^{N_{f}} F_{\jmath}<x-f_{\jmath}>^{0}+ \\
& \sum_{\jmath=1}^{N_{r}}\left[P_{\jmath}<x-p_{\jmath}>^{1}-Q_{\jmath}<x-q_{\jmath}>^{1}+\right. \\
& \left.\frac{S_{\jmath}}{2}\left(<x-p_{\jmath}>^{2}-<x-q_{\jmath}>^{2}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
\]
and
\[
\begin{aligned}
m_{e}(x)= & \sum_{\jmath=1}^{N_{f}} F_{\jmath}<x-f_{\jmath}>^{1}+ \\
& \sum_{\jmath=1}^{N_{r}}\left[\frac{P_{\jmath}}{2}<x-p_{\jmath}>^{2}-\frac{Q_{\jmath}}{2}<x-q_{\jmath}>^{2}+\right. \\
& \left.\frac{S_{\jmath}}{6}\left(<x-p_{\jmath}>^{3}-<x-q_{\jmath}>^{3}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
\]

The single and double integrals given earlier involving \(m_{e}(x)\) and \(k(x)\) can easily be evaluated exactly when \(E I\) is constant, but these are not needed here. Since \(k(x)\) will generally be spatially variable in the target problem set, the integrations to compute \(y^{\prime}(x)\) and \(y(x)\) are best performed numerically. Leaving the number of integration increments as an independent parameter allows high accuracy evaluation of all integrals whenever this is desirable. Typically, problems using several hundred integration points only require a few seconds to solve using a personal computer.

Completing the problem solution requires formulations and solution of a system of simultaneous equations involving \(v_{0}, m_{0}, y_{0}^{\prime}, y_{0}, R_{1}, \ldots, R_{N_{s}}\). The desired equations are created by specifying the displacement constraints at the supports, as well as four of eight possible end conditions. To present the equations more concisely the following notation is adopted:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{x} k(x) d x=K_{1}(x), \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{x} k(x) d x d x=K_{2}(x), \\
& \int_{0}^{x} x k(x) d x=L_{1}(x), \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{x} x k(x) d x d x=L_{2}(x), \\
& \int_{0}^{x} m_{e}(x) k(x) d x=I_{1}(x), \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{x} m_{e}(x) k(x) d x d x=I_{2}(x), \\
& \int_{0}^{x}<x-r_{\jmath}>^{1} k(x) d x=J_{1}\left(x, r_{\jmath}\right) \\
& \int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{x}<x-r_{\jmath}>^{1} k(x) d x d x=J_{2}\left(x, r_{\jmath}\right),
\end{aligned}
\]
and it is evident from their definitions that both \(J_{1}\left(x, r_{\jmath}\right)\) and \(J_{2}\left(x, r_{\jmath}\right)\) both equal zero for \(x \leq r_{\gamma}\).

At a typical support location \(r_{\imath}\), the deflection will have an imposed value \(y_{\imath}\). Consequently, the displacement constraints require
\[
y_{0}+r_{\imath} y_{0}^{\prime}+K_{2}\left(r_{\imath}\right) m_{0}+L_{2}\left(r_{\imath}\right) v_{0}+\sum_{\jmath=\imath+1}^{N_{s}} J_{2}\left(r_{\imath}, r_{\jmath}\right) R_{\jmath}=y_{\imath}-I_{2}\left(r_{\imath}\right)
\]
for \(1 \leq \imath \leq N_{s}\). The remaining four end conditions can specify any legitimate combination of conditions yielding a unique solution. For example, a beam cantilevered at \(x=0\) and pin supported at \(x=L\) would require \(y(0)=0, y^{\prime}(0)=0, m(L)=0\), and \(y(L)=0\). In general, conditions imposed at \(x=0\) have an obvious form since only \(v_{0}, m_{0}, y_{0}\), or \(y_{0}^{\prime}\) are explicitly involved. To illustrate a typical right end condition, let us choose slope, for example. This yields
\[
y_{0}+y_{0}^{\prime}+K_{1}(L) m_{0}+L_{1}(L) v_{0}+\sum_{\jmath=1}^{N_{s}} J_{1}\left(L, r_{\jmath}\right) R_{\jmath}=y^{\prime}(L)-I_{1}(L)
\]

Equations for other end conditions have similar form, and all eight possibilities are implemented in the computer program listed at the end of the chapter. Once the reactions and any initially unknown left-end conditions have been determined, load and deformation quantities anywhere in the beam can be readily found.

\subsection*{11.1.2 Program to Analyze Beams of General Cross Section}

A program to solve general beam problems was written which tabulates and plots the shear, moment, slope, and deflection. The driver program vdb defines the data, calls the analysis functions, and outputs the results. Six functions that implement the methods given in this section were written. Understanding the program details can best be achieved by studying the code closely. The program was checked extensively using examples from several texts and reference books. The three span beam having parabolically tapered haunches shown in Figure 11.2 was analyzed previously by Arbabi and Li [5]. The program vdb was used to analyze the same problem and produces the results in Figure 11.3, which agree well with the paper.

We believe that the computer program is general enough to handle a wide variety of practical problems. Some readers may want to extend the program by adding interactive input or input from a data file. Such a modification is straightforward.

\subsection*{11.1.3 Program Output and Code}

\section*{Output from Arbabi and Li Example}

Analysis of a Variable Depth Elastic Beam

Title: Problem from Arbabi and Li


Figure 11.2: Parabolic Beam from Arbabi and Li


Figure 11.3: Results for Arbabi and Li Example
```

Beam Length: 3
Number of integration segments: 301
Print frequency for results: 10
Interior Supports: (2)
| \#
Concentrated Forces: (1)
| \# X-location r------------------------00
Ramp loads: (1)

| \# | X-start | Load | X -end | Load |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 00e+000 | +000 | e+000 | +0 |

End conditions:

| End | Function | Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| left | slope | $0.0000 \mathrm{e}+000$ |
| left | deflection | $0.0000 \mathrm{e}+000$ |
| right | slope | $0.0000 \mathrm{e}+000$ |
| right | deflection | $0.0000 \mathrm{e}+000$ |

EI values are specified
| \# $\quad$ X-start $\quad$ EI-value
Material deleted for publication

$|$| 296 | $2.9596 e+000$ | $6.2776 e+000$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 297 | $2.9697 e+000$ | $6.6688 e+000$ |
| 298 | $2.9798 e+000$ | $7.0848 e+000$ |
| 299 | $2.9899 e+000$ | $7.5273 e+000$ |
| 300 | $3.0000 e+000$ | $7.9976 e+000$ |

Solution time was 0.55 secs.
Reactions at Internal Supports:

```
```

            X-location
    ```
            X-location
                                    Reaction
    |------------ -------------
                            1 1.0782e+000
                            2 4.7506e-001
```

Table of Results:

| X-location | Shear | Moment | Theta | Delta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $5.2494 \mathrm{e}-001$ | -1.7415e-001 | $0.0000 \mathrm{e}+000$ | $0.0000 \mathrm{e}+000$ |
| 0.1 | $5.2494 \mathrm{e}-001$ | -1.2166e-001 | $-2.4859 \mathrm{e}-003$ | -1.1943e-004 |
| 0.2 | $5.2494 \mathrm{e}-001$ | -6.9164e-002 | -5.3262e-003 | -5.0996e-004 |
| 0.3 | $5.2494 \mathrm{e}-001$ | $-1.6670 \mathrm{e}-002$ | -7.4251e-003 | -1.1612e-003 |
| 0.4 | $5.2494 \mathrm{e}-001$ | $3.5824 \mathrm{e}-002$ | -6.5761e-003 | -1.8965e-003 |
| 0.5 | -4.7506e-001 | $8.8318 \mathrm{e}-002$ | -5.5680e-004 | -2.3003e-003 |
| 0.6 | -4.7506e-001 | $4.0812 \mathrm{e}-002$ | $5.6976 \mathrm{e}-003$ | -1.9998e-003 |
| 0.7 | -4.7506e-001 | -6.6940e-003 | $7.1119 \mathrm{e}-003$ | -1.3258e-003 |
| 0.8 | -4.7506e-001 | $-5.4200 \mathrm{e}-002$ | $5.6441 \mathrm{e}-003$ | -6.7385e-004 |
| 0.9 | -4.7506e-001 | -1.0171e-001 | $3.3302 \mathrm{e}-003$ | -2.2402e-004 |
| 1 | $6.0309 \mathrm{e}-001$ | -1.4921e-001 | $1.2242 \mathrm{e}-003$ | -2.4286e-017 |
| 1.1 | $5.0309 \mathrm{e}-001$ | -9.3903e-002 | -7.9439e-004 | $2.3707 e-005$ |
| 1.2 | $4.0309 \mathrm{e}-001$ | -4.8593e-002 | -2.8814e-003 | -1.6165e-004 |
| 1.3 | $3.0309 \mathrm{e}-001$ | $-1.3284 \mathrm{e}-002$ | -4.3574e-003 | $-5.3250 e-004$ |
| 1.4 | $2.0309 \mathrm{e}-001$ | $1.2025 \mathrm{e}-002$ | -4.2883e-003 | -9.8078e-004 |
| 1.5 | $1.0309 \mathrm{e}-001$ | $2.7334 \mathrm{e}-002$ | -2.3015e-003 | -1.3242e-003 |
| 1.6 | $3.0918 \mathrm{e}-003$ | $3.2643 \mathrm{e}-002$ | 6.5694e-004 | -1.4078e-003 |
| 1.7 | -9.6908e-002 | $2.7953 \mathrm{e}-002$ | $3.0625 \mathrm{e}-003$ | $-1.2125 e-003$ |
| 1.8 | -1.9691e-001 | $1.3262 \mathrm{e}-002$ | $4.1954 \mathrm{e}-003$ | -8.3907e-004 |
| 1.9 | -2.9691e-001 | -1.1429e-002 | $4.2843 \mathrm{e}-003$ | -4.0860e-004 |
| 2 | 7.8151e-002 | $-4.6120 \mathrm{e}-002$ | $3.8358 \mathrm{e}-003$ | -1.1102e-016 |
| 2.1 | $7.8151 \mathrm{e}-002$ | -3.8305e-002 | $3.1202 \mathrm{e}-003$ | 3.5021e-004 |
| 2.2 | $7.8151 \mathrm{e}-002$ | -3.0490e-002 | $2.0801 \mathrm{e}-003$ | $6.1308 \mathrm{e}-004$ |
| 2.3 | $7.8151 \mathrm{e}-002$ | -2.2675e-002 | 7.2881e-004 | $7.5555 \mathrm{e}-004$ |
| 2.4 | $7.8151 \mathrm{e}-002$ | $-1.4860 \mathrm{e}-002$ | -6.9898e-004 | 7.5597e-004 |
| 2.5 | $7.8151 \mathrm{e}-002$ | -7.0445e-003 | -1.7447e-003 | $6.2865 \mathrm{e}-004$ |
| 2.6 | $7.8151 \mathrm{e}-002$ | $7.7058 \mathrm{e}-004$ | -2.0539e-003 | $4.3228 \mathrm{e}-004$ |
| 2.7 | $7.8151 \mathrm{e}-002$ | $8.5857 e-003$ | -1.7105e-003 | $2.4008 \mathrm{e}-004$ |
| 2.8 | 7.8151e-002 | $1.6401 \mathrm{e}-002$ | -1.0840e-003 | $9.9549 \mathrm{e}-005$ |
| 2.9 | $7.8151 \mathrm{e}-002$ | $2.4216 \mathrm{e}-002$ | -4.7454e-004 | $2.2493 \mathrm{e}-005$ |
| 3 | 7.8151e-002 | $3.2031 \mathrm{e}-002$ | -4.4409e-016 | -2.2204e-016 |

## Variable Depth Beam Program

1: function vdb
2: \% Example: vdb
3: \%
4: \%
5: \% This program calculates the shear, moment,
6: \% slope, and deflection of a variable depth
7: \% indeterminate beam subjected to complex
8: \% loading and general end conditions. The
$9: \%$ input data are defined in the program
0: \% statements below.
1: \%
12: \% User m functions required:
13: \% bmvardep, extload, lintrp, oneovrei,
14: \% sngf, trapsum

```
clear all; Problem=1;
if Problem == 1
    Title=['Problem from Arbabi and Li'];
    Printout=10; % Output frequency
    BeamLength=3; % Beam length
    NoSegs=301; % # of beam divisions for
                    % integration
    % External concentrated loads and location
    ExtForce= [-1]; ExtForceX=[.5];
    % External ramp loads and range
    % q1 q2 x1 x2
    ExtRamp=[[lllll}-
    % Interior supports: initial displacement
    % and location
    IntSupX= [1; 2]; IntSupDelta=[0; 0];
    % End (left and right) conditions
    EndCondVal= [0; 0; 0; 0]; % magnitude
    % 1=shear,2=moment,3=slope,4=delta
    EndCondFunc=[3; 4; 3; 4];
    % 1=left end,2=right end
    EndCondEnd= [1; 1; 2; 2];
    % EI or beam depth specification
    EIorDepth=1; % 1=EI values specified
                                    % 2=depth values specified
    if EIorDepth == 1
        % Discretize the parabolic haunch for the
        % three spans
        Width=1; E=1; a=0.5^2; Npts=100;
        h1=0.5; k1=1; x1=linspace(0,1,Npts);
        h2=1.5; k2=1; x2=linspace(1,2,Npts);
        h3=2.5; k3=1; x3=linspace(2,3,Npts);
        y1=(x1-h1). `2/a+k1; y2= (x2-h2). ` 2/a+k2;
        y3=(x3-h3).^2/a+k3;
        EIx=[x1 x2 x3]'; h=[y1 y2 y3]';
        EIvalue=E*Width/12*h.^3;
        mn=min(EIvalue); EIvalue=EIvalue./mn;
        else
            % Beam width and Young's modulus
            BeamWidth=[] ; BeamE=[]; Depth=[] ; DepthX=[];
        end
elseif Problem == 2
    Title=['From Timoshenko and Young,', ...
        ' p 434, haunch beam'];
    Printout=12; NoSegs=144*4+1; BeamLength=144;
```

```
        ExtForce=[] ; ExtForceX=[];
        ExtRamp=[[-1 -1 0 108];
        IntSupX=[36; 108]; IntSupDelta=[0; 0];
        EndCondVal=[0; 0; 0; 0];
        EndCondFunc=[2; 4; 2; 4];
        EndCondEnd= [1; 1; 2; 2]; EIorDepth=2;
        if EIorDepth == 1
            EIvalue=[] ; EIx=[];
        else
            BeamWidth=[1]; BeamE=[1];
            % Discretize the parabolic sections
            a=36^2/5; k=2.5; h1=0; h2=72; h3=144;
            N1=36; N2=72; N3=36;
            x1=linspace( 0, 36,N1); y1=(x1-h1).^2/a+k;
            x2=linspace( 36,108,N2); y2=(x2-h2).^2/a+k;
            x3=linspace(108,144,N3); y3=(x3-h3).^2/a+k;
            Depth=[y1 y2 y3]'; DepthX=[x1 x2 x3]';
            % Comparison values
            I=BeamWidth*Depth. `3/12; Imin=min(I); L1=36;
            k1=BeamE*Imin/L1; k2=k1/2; k3=k1;
            t0=10.46/k1; t1=15.33/k1; t2=22.24/k1;
            t3=27.95/k1;
            fprintf('\n\nValues from reference');
            fprintf('\n Theta (x= 0): %12.4e',t0);
            fprintf('\n Theta (x= 36): %12.4e',t1);
            fprintf('\n Theta (x=108): %12.4e',t2);
            fprintf('\n Theta (x=144): %12.4e\n',t3);
        end
    end
    % Load input parameters into matrices
    Force=[ExtForce,ExtForceX];
    NoExtForce=length(ExtForce);
    [NoExtRamp,ncol]=size(ExtRamp);
    IntSup=[IntSupDelta,IntSupX];
    NoIntSup=length(IntSupX);
    EndCond=[EndCondVal, EndCondFunc,EndCondEnd];
    if EIorDepth == 1
        BeamProp=[]; NoEIorDepths=length(EIx);
        EIdata=[EIvalue EIx];
    else
        BeamProp=[BeamWidth BeamE];
        NoEIorDepths=length(DepthX);
        EIdata=[Depth DepthX];
    end
```

\% more on
\% Output input data
label1=['shear ',''moment ';';
label2=['left ';'right '];
fprintf('\n\nAnalysis of a Variable Depth ');
fprintf('Elastic Beam');
fprintf('\n------------------------------------') ;
fprintf('---------');
fprintf(' $\backslash n \backslash n ') ;$
disp(['Title: ' Title]);
fprintf...
('\nBeam Length: \%g', ...
BeamLength);
fprintf...
('\nNumber of integration segments: \%g', ...
NoSegs);
fprintf...
('\nPrint frequency for results: \%g', ...
Printout);
fprintf('\n\nInterior Supports: (\%g)', ...
NoIntSup);
if NoIntSup > 0
fprintf('\n | \# X-location Deflection');
fprintf('\n | --- ------------ ------------');
for $i=1$ :NoIntSup
fprintf('\n $1 \% 4.0 f$ \%12.4e $\% 12.4 e^{\prime}, \ldots$
i, $\operatorname{IntSup}(i, 2), \operatorname{IntSup}(i, 1))$;
end
end
fprintf('\n\nConcentrated Forces: (\%g)', ...
NoExtForce) ;
if NoExtForce > 0
fprintf('\n | \# X-location Force');
fprintf('\n | --- ------------- ------------');
for $\mathrm{i}=1$ :NoExtForce
fprintf('\n $1 \% 4.0 f$ \%12.4e \%12.4e', ...
i,Force(i,2), Force(i,1));
end
end
fprintf('\n\nRamp loads: (\%g)', NoExtRamp);
if NoExtRamp > 0
fprintf('\n | \# X-start Load');

```
    fprintf(' X-end Load');
    fprintf('\n | --- ------------ ------------');
    fprintf(' ------------ -------------');
    for i=1:NoExtRamp
        fprintf('\n l%4.0f %12.4e %12.4e ', ...
                i, ExtRamp(i, 3), ExtRamp(i,1));
    fprintf('%12.4e %12.4e', ...
                                ExtRamp(i,4),ExtRamp(i,2));
    end
end
fprintf('\n\nEnd conditions:');
fprintf('\n | End Function Value');
fprintf('\n ');
fprintf('| ------ ----------- -------------\n');
for i=1:4
    j=EndCond(i,3); k=EndCond(i,2);
    strg=sprintf(' %12.4e',EndCond(i,1));
    disp([' | ' label2(j,:) label1(k,:) strg]);
    end
    if EIorDepth == 1
    fprintf('\nEI values are specified');
    fprintf('\n | # X-start EI-value')
    fprintf('\n | --- ------------ ------------');
    for i=1:NoEIorDepths
            fprintf('\n |%4.0f %12.4e %12.4e', ...
                        i,EIdata(i,2),EIdata(i,1));
    end
    else
    fprintf('\nDepth values are specified for ');
    fprintf('rectangular cross section');
    fprintf('\n | Beam width: %12.4e', ...
                    BeamProp(1));
    fprintf('\n | Young''s modulus: %12.4e', ...
                    BeamProp(2));
    fprintf('\n |');
    fprintf('\n | # X-start Depth')
    fprintf('\n | --- ------------ -------------');
    for i=1:NoEIorDepths
            fprintf('\n l%4.0f %12.4e %12.4e', ...
                        i,EIdata(i, 2),EIdata(i,1));
    end
    end
    disp(' ');
    % Begin analysis
```

```
x=linspace(0,BeamLength,NoSegs)'; t=clock;
    [V,M,Theta,Delta,Reactions]=
        bmvardep(NoSegs,BeamLength,Force,ExtRamp,
                        EndCond,IntSup, EIdata, BeamProp);
    t=etime(clock,t);
    % Output results
    disp(' ');
    disp(['Solution time was ',num2str(t),' secs.']);
    if NoIntSup > 0
    fprintf('\nReactions at Internal Supports:');
    fprintf('\n | X-location Reaction');
    fprintf('\n | ------------ ------------');
    for i=1:NoIntSup
        fprintf(`\n | %12.8g %12.4e',
        IntSup(i,2),Reactions(i));
    end
    end
    fprintf('\n\nTable of Results:');
    fprintf('\n | X-location Shear');
    fprintf(' Moment');
    fprintf(' Theta Delta');
    fprintf('\n | ----------- ------------ ');
    fprintf('------------');
    fprintf(' ----------- -------------));
    if Printout > 0
        for i=1:Printout:NoSegs
            fprintf('\n l%12.4g %12.4e %12.4e', ...
                        x(i),V(i),M(i));
        fprintf(' %12.4e %12.4e',Theta(i),Delta(i));
    end
    disp(' ');
    else
    i=1; j=NoSegs;
    fprintf('\n |%12.4g %12.4e %12.4e', ...
            x(i),V(i),M(i));
        fprintf(' %12.4e %12.4e',Theta(i),Delta(i));
        fprintf('\n l%12.8g %12.4e %12.4e', ...
            x(j),V(j),M(j));
    fprintf(' %12.4e %12.4e',Theta(j),Delta(j));
    end
    fprintf('\n\n');
    subplot(2,2,1);
    plot(x,V,'k-'); grid; xlabel('x axis');
        ylabel('Shear'); title('Shear Diagram');
```

```
subplot(2,2,2);
    plot(x,M,'k-'); grid; xlabel('x axis');
    ylabel('Moment'); title('Moment Diagram')
    subplot(2,2,3);
    plot(x,Theta,'k-'); grid; xlabel('x axis');
    ylabel('Slope'); title('Slope Curve');
    subplot(2,2,4);
    plot(x,Delta,'k-'); grid; xlabel('y axis');
    ylabel('Deflection');
    title('Deflection Curve'); subplot
    drawnow; figure(gcf)
    %print -deps vdb
    % more off
    %==============================================
    function [V,M,Theta,Delta,Reactions]= ...
    bmvardep(NoSegs,BeamLength,Force,ExtRamp, ...
    EndCond,IntSup,EIdata,BeamProp)
    % [V,M,Theta,Delta,Reactions]=bmvardep . . .
    % (NoSegs,BeamLength,Force,ExtRamp,EndCond, ...
    262: % IntSup,EIdata,BeamProp)
    %
    %
    % This function computes the shear, moment,
    slope, and deflection in a variable depth
    267: % elastic beam having specified end conditions,
268: % intermediate supports with given
    displacements, and general applied loading,
    allowing concentrated loads and linearly
    varying ramp loads.
    %
    273: % NoSegs - number of beam divisions for
275: % BeamLength - beam length
276: % Force
279: % ExtRamp
282: % EndCond
```

277: \%
278: \%
280: \%
281: \%
283: \%
284: \%
amp

EndCond- matrix containing the magnitudesand locations for concentrated loads

- matrix containing the end magnitudes and end locations for ramp loads
- matrix containing the type of end conditions, the magnitudes, and whether values are for the

```
274: \%
\(\% \quad\) and delta for interior supports
\% EIdata - either EI or depth values
289: \% BeamProp - either null or beam widths
290: \%
291: \% V - vector of shear values
292: \% M - vector of moment values
293: \% Theta - vector of slope values
294: \% Delta - vector of deflection values
295: \% Reactions - reactions at interior supports
296: \%
297: \% User m functions required:
298: \% oneovrei, extload, sngf,
308: [ve, me]=extload (x, Force, ExtRamp) ;
309: \([\mathrm{VV}, \mathrm{mm}]=\) extload (BeamLength, Force, ExtRamp) ;
310:
311:
312: \(n s=s i z e(I n t S u p, 1)\);
313: if \(\mathrm{ns}>0\)
314: \(\quad y \operatorname{spr}=\operatorname{IntSup}(:, 1) ; r=\operatorname{IntSup}(:, 2)\);
315: \(\quad \operatorname{snf}=\operatorname{sngf}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{r}, 1)\);
316: else
317: ysprt=[]; \(r=[]\); snf=zeros(NoSegs,0) ;
318: end
319:
320:
\(324: \%\) Integrate twice to get slope and deflection
\(325: \%\) matrices
326: smat=trapsum (0,BeamLength, smat) ;
327: ymat=trapsum (0, BeamLength, smat);
328:
329: \% External load contributions to
```

\% slope/deflection at the right end
ss=smat(NoSegs,ns+3); yy=ymat(NoSegs,ns+3);
\% Equations to solve for left end conditions
$\%$ and internal reactions
ns4=ns+4; $j=1: 4$; $a=z e r o s(n s 4, n s 4)$;
b=zeros(ns4,1); js=1:ns; js4=js+4;
\% Account for four independent boundary
\% conditions. Usually two conditions will be
\% imposed at each end.
for $k=1$ :4
val=EndCond(k,1); typ=EndCond(k,2);
wchend=EndCond ( $k, 3$ );
if wchend==1
b(k)=val; row=zeros(1,4); row(typ)=1;
$a(k, j)=r o w ;$
else
if typ==1 \% Shear
$a(k, j)=[1,0,0,0] ; b(k)=v a l-v v ;$
if $\mathrm{ns}>0$
$a(k, j s 4)=s n g f($ BeamLength $, r, 0)$;
end
elseif typ==2 \% Moment
$a(k, j)=[$ BeamLength, $1,0,0] ; b(k)=v a l-m m ;$
if ns>0
$a(k, j s 4)=s n g f($ BeamLength,r,1);
end
elseif typ==3 \% Slope
$a(k, j)=[$ smat (NoSegs, 1:2) , 1, 0] ;
b(k) $=$ val-ss;
if ns>0
$a(k, j s 4)=s m a t(N o S e g s, 3: n s+2)$;
end
else \% Deflection
$a(k, j)=[y m a t(N o S e g s, 1: 2)$, BeamLength, 1];
b(k)=val-yy;
if ns>0
$a(k, j s 4)=y m a t(N o S e g s, 3: n s+2)$;
end
end
end
end
\% Interpolate to assess how support deflections

```
```

% are affected by end conditions, external
% loads, and support reactions.
if ns>0
a(js4,1)=interp1(x,ymat(:, 1),r);
a(js4,2)=interp1(x,ymat(:,2),r);
a(js4,3)=r; a(js4,4)=ones(ns,1);
for j=1:ns-1
a(j+5:ns+4,j+4)= ..
interp1(x,ymat(:,j+2),r(j+1:ns));
end
end
b(js4)=ysprt-interp1(x,ymat(:,ns+3),r);
% Solve for unknown reactions and end conditions
c=a\b; v0=c(1); m0=c(2); s0=c(3); y0=c(4);
Reactions=c(5:ns+4);
% Compute the shear, moment, slope, deflection
% for all x
if ns > 0
V=v0+ve+sngf(x,r,0)*Reactions;
M=m0+v0*x+me+sngf (x,r,1)*Reactions;
Theta=s0+smat(:,ns+3)+smat(:,1:ns+2)* ...
[v0;m0;Reactions];
Delta=y0+s0*x+ymat (:,ns+3)+ ...
ymat(:,1:ns+2)*[v0;m0;Reactions];
else
Reactions=[] ; V=v0+ve; M=m0+v0*x+me;
Theta=s0+smat (:,ns+3)+smat (:, 1:2)*[v0;m0];
Delta=y0+s0*x+ymat(:,ns+3)+ ...
ymat(:,1:2)*[v0;m0];
end
%===============================================
function [V,M,EITheta,EIDelta]=extload ...
(x,Force,ExtRamp)
% [V,M,EITheta,EIDelta]=extload
(x,Force,ExtRamp)
%
%
%
% This function computes the shear, moment,
% slope, and deflection in a uniform depth
% Euler beam which is loaded by a series of
% concentrated loads and ramp loads. The values

```
\% of shear, moment, slope and deflection all
\(\%\) equal zero when \(\mathrm{x}=0\).
\%
\% x - location along beam
\% Force - concentrated force matrix
\% ExtRamp - distributed load matrix
\%
\% V - shear
\% M - moment
\% EITheta - slope
\% EIDelta - deflection
\%
\% User m functions required: sngf
\%---------------------------------------------------
nf=size(Force,1); nr=size(ExtRamp,1);
\(n x=1\) ength( \(x\) ) ; \(V=z e r o s(n x, 1)\); \(M=V\);
EITheta=V; EIDelta=V;
\% Concentrated load contributions
if nf > 0
    F=Force(:,1); f=Force(:,2); V=V+sngf(x,f,0)*F;
    \(M=M+s n g f(x, f, 1) * F\);
    if nargout > 2
        EITheta=EITheta+sngf (x,f,2)*(F/2) ;
        EIDelta=EIDelta+sngf (x,f,3)*(F/6);
        end
end
\% Ramp load contributions
if \(\mathrm{nr}>0\)
    \(\mathrm{P}=\operatorname{ExtRamp}(:, 1) ; \mathrm{Q}=\operatorname{ExtRamp}(:, 2)\);
    \(\mathrm{p}=\operatorname{ExtRamp}(:, 3) ; \mathrm{q}=\operatorname{ExtRamp}(:, 4)\);
    \(\mathrm{S}=(\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{P}) . /(\mathrm{q}-\mathrm{p})\); \(\mathrm{sp} 2=\operatorname{sngf}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{p}, 2)\);
    sq2=sngf( \(x, q, 2\) ) ; sp3=sngf( \(x, p, 3\) );
    sq3=sngf( \(x, q, 3\) ) ; sp4=sngf( \(x, p, 4\) );
    sq4=sngf( \(x, q, 4\) ) ;
    \(\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{V}+\) sngf \((\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{p}, 1) * \mathrm{P}-\) sngf \((\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{q}, 1) * \ldots \%\) Shear
            Q+(sp2-sq2)*(S/2);
        \(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{sp} 2 *(\mathrm{P} / 2)-\mathrm{sq2} 2(\mathrm{Q} / 2)+\ldots\)... Moment
            (sp3-sq3)*(S/6);
        if nargout > 2
            EITheta=EITheta+sp3*(P/6)- ... \% EI*Theta
                    sq3*(Q/6)+(sp4-sq4)*(S/24);
            EIDelta=EIDelta+sp4*(P/24)- ... \% EI*Delta
                    sq4*(Q/24)+(sngf(x,p,5)-...
                    sngf( \(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{q}, 5)\) ) \(*(\mathrm{~S} / 120)\);
```

        end
    end
    %==============================================
    function val=oneovrei(x,EIdata,BeamProp)
    % [val]=oneovrei(x,EIdata,BeamProp)
    %
    %
    % This function computes 1/EI by piecewise
    % linear interpolation through a set of data
    % values.
    %
    % x - location along beam
    % EIdata - EI or depth values
    % BeamProp - null or width values
    %
    % val - computed value for 1/EI
    %
    % User m functions required: none
    %------------------------------------------------
    if size(EIdata,1) < 2 % uniform depth case
    v=EIdata(1,1);
    EIdata=[v,min(x);v,max(x)];
    end
if ( nargin > 2 ) \& ( sum(size(BeamProp)) > 0)
% Compute properties assuming the cross
% section is rectangular and EIdata(:,1)
% contains depth values
width=BeamProp(1); E=BeamProp(2);
EIdata(:, 1)=E*width/12*EIdata(:, 1).^3;
end
val=1./lintrp(EIdata(:,2),EIdata(:,1),x);
%==============================================
function y=sngf(x,x0,n)
% y=sngf(x,x0,n)
%
%
% This function computes the singularity
507: % function defined by
508:% y=<x-x0>^n for n=0,1,2,···

```
509: \%
```

510: \% User m functions required: none
511: \%
512:
513: if nargin < 3, $\mathrm{n}=0$; end

```

```

515: $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{t}$, ones $(1, \mathrm{n} 0)$ ) ; $\mathrm{x} 0=\mathrm{x} 0$ (ones ( $\mathrm{nx}, 1$ ), : ) ; d=x-x0;
516: $s=(d>=z e r o s($ size (d))) ; v=d.*s;
517: if $\mathrm{n}==0$
518: $\quad \mathrm{y}=\mathrm{S}$;
519: else
520: $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{V}$;
521: for $j=1: n-1 ; y=y . * v ; ~ e n d$
22: end
523 .
524:
525:
526: function v=trapsum(a,b,y,n)
527: \%
528: \% v=trapsum (a, b, y, n)
529: \%
530: \%
531: \% This function evaluates:
532: \%
533: \% integral (a=>x, $y(x) * d x)$ for $a<=x<=b$
534: \%
535: \% by the trapezoidal rule (which assumes linear
536: \% function variation between succesive function
537: \% values).
538: \%
539: \% a,b - limits of integration
$540: \%$ y - integrand that can be a vector-valued
541: \% function returning a matrix such that
542: \% function values vary from row to row.
543: \% It can also be input as a matrix with
544: \% the row size being the number of
$545: \% \quad$ function values and the column size
546: \%
547: \% vector function.
$548: \% \mathrm{n}$ - the number of function values used to
$549: \%$ perform the integration. When $y$ is a
550: \% matrix then n is computed as the number
551: \% of rows in matrix $y$.
552: \%
553: \% v - integral value
554: \%

```
```

% User m functions called: none
if isstr(y)
% y is an externally defined function
x=linspace(a,b,n)'; h=x(2)-x(1);
Y=feval(y,x); % Function values must vary in
% row order rather than column
% order or computed results
% will be wrong.
m=size(Y,2);
else
% y is column vector or a matrix
Y=y; [n,m]=size(Y); h=(b-a)/(n-1);
end
v=[zeros(1,m);
h/2*cumsum(Y(1:n-1,:)+Y(2:n,:))];
%=============================================
% function y=lintrp(xd,yd,x)
% See Appendix B

```

\section*{Chapter 12}

\section*{Applications of Analytic Functions}

\subsection*{12.1 Properties of Analytic Functions}

Complex valued functions of a single complex variable are useful in various disciplines such as physics and numerical approximation theory. The current chapter summarizes a number of attractive properties of analytic functions and presents some applications in which MATLAB is helpful. Excellent textbooks presenting the theory of analytic functions [18, 75, 119] are available which fully develop various theoretical concepts employed in this chapter. Therefore, only the properties which may be helpful in subsequent discussions are included.

\subsection*{12.2 Definition of Analyticity}

We consider a complex valued function
\[
F(z)=u(x, y)+i v(x, y) \quad, \quad z=x+i y
\]
which depends on the complex variable \(z\). The function \(F(z)\) is analytic at point \(z\) if it is differentiable in the neighborhood of \(z\). Differentiability requires that the limit
\[
\lim _{|\Delta z| \rightarrow 0}\left[\frac{F(z+\Delta z)-F(z)}{\Delta z}\right]=F^{\prime}(z)
\]
exists independent of how \(|\Delta z|\) approaches zero. Necessary and sufficient conditions for analyticity are continuity of the first partial derivatives of \(u\) and \(v\) and satisfaction of the Cauchy-Riemann conditions (CRC)
\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \quad, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}=-\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}
\]

These conditions can be put in more general form as follows. Let \(n\) denote an arbitrary direction in the \(z\)-plane and let \(s\) be the direction obtained by a \(90^{\circ}\) counterclockwise rotation from the direction of \(n\). The generalized CRC are:
\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}=\frac{\partial v}{\partial s} \quad, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial s}=-\frac{\partial v}{\partial n}
\]

Satisfaction of the CRC implies that both \(u\) and \(v\) are solutions of Laplace's equation
\[
\nabla^{2} u=\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}}=0
\]
and
\[
\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial y^{2}}=0
\]

These functions are called harmonic. Functions related by the CRC are also said to be harmonic conjugates. When one function \(u\) is known, its harmonic conjugate \(v\) can be found within an additive constant by using
\[
v=\int d v=\int \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} d x+\int \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} d y=\int\left(-\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} d x+\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} d y\right)+\text { constant }
\]

Harmonic conjugates also have the properties that curves \(u=\) constant and \(v=\) constant intersect orthogonally. This follows because \(u=\) constant implies \(\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}\) is zero in a direction tangent to the curve. However \(\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}=\frac{\partial v}{\partial s}\) so \(v=\) constant along a curve intersecting \(u=\) constant orthogonally.

Sometimes it is helpful to regard a function of \(x\) and \(y\) as a function of \(z=x+i y\) and \(\bar{z}=x-i y\). The inverse is \(x=(z+\bar{z}) / 2\) and \(y=(z-\bar{z}) /(2 i)\). Chain rule differentiation applied to a general function \(\phi\) yields
\[
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}=\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}+\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{z}} \quad, \quad \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}=i \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}-i \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{z}}
\]
so that
\[
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}-i \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) \phi=2 \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \quad, \quad\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}+i \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) \phi=2 \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{z}}
\]

So Laplace's equation becomes
\[
\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial y^{2}}=4 \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}}=0
\]

It is straightforward to show the condition that a function \(F\) be an analytic function of \(z\) is expressible as
\[
\frac{\partial F}{\partial \bar{z}}=0
\]

It is important to note that most of the functions routinely employed with real arguments are analytic in some part of the \(z\)-plane. These include:
\[
z^{n}, \sqrt{z}, \log (z), e^{z}, \sin (z), \cos (z), \arctan (z)
\]
to mention a few. The real and imaginary parts of these functions are harmonic and they arise in various physical applications. The integral powers of \(z\) are especially significant. We can write
\[
z=r e^{\imath \theta} \quad, \quad r=\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}} \quad, \quad \theta=\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{y}{x}\right)
\]
and get
\[
z^{n}=u+i v \quad, \quad u=r^{n} \cos (n \theta) \quad, \quad v=r^{n} \sin (n \theta)
\]

The reader can verify by direct differentiation that both \(u\) and \(v\) are harmonic.
Points where \(F(z)\) is nondifferentiable are called singular points and these are categorized as isolated or nonisolated. Isolated singularities are termed either poles or essential singularities. Branch points are the most common type of nonisolated singularity. Singular points and their significance are discussed further below.

\subsection*{12.3 Series Expansions}

If \(F(z)\) is analytic inside and on the boundary of an annulus defined by \(a \leq\) \(\left|z-z_{0}\right| \leq b\) then \(F(z)\) is representable in a Laurent series of the form
\[
F(z)=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{n}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{n} \quad, \quad a \leq\left|z-z_{0}\right| \leq b
\]
where
\[
F(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi \imath} \int_{L} \frac{F(t) d t}{\left(t-z_{0}\right)^{n+1}}
\]
and \(L\) represents any closed curve encircling \(z_{0}\) and lying between the inner circle \(\left|z-z_{0}\right|=a\) and the outer circle \(\left|z-z_{0}\right|=b\). The direction of integration along the curve is counterclockwise. If \(F(z)\) is also analytic for \(\left|z-z_{0}\right|<a\), the negative powers in the Laurent series drop out to give Taylor's series
\[
F(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{n} \quad, \quad\left|z-z_{0}\right| \leq b
\]

Special cases of the Laurent series lead to classification of isolated singularities as poles or essential singularities. Suppose the inner radius can be made arbitrarily small but nonzero. If the coefficients below some order, say \(-m\), vanish but \(a_{-m} \neq\) 0 , we classify \(z_{0}\) as a pole of order \(m\). Otherwise, we say \(z_{0}\) is an essential singularity.

Another term of importance in connection with Laurent series is \(a_{-1}\), the coefficient of \(\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{-1}\). This coefficient, called the residue at \(z_{0}\), is sometimes useful for evaluating integrals.

\subsection*{12.4 Integral Properties}

Analytic functions have many useful integral properties. One of these properties that concerns integrals around closed curves is:

Cauchy-Goursat Theorem: If \(F(z)\) is analytic at all points in a simply connected region \(R\), then
\[
\int_{L} F(z) d z=0
\]
for every closed curve \(L\) in the region.
An immediate consequence of this theorem is that the integral of \(F(z)\) along any path between two end points \(z_{1}\) and \(z_{2}\) is independent of the path (this only applies for simply connected regions).

\subsection*{12.4.1 Cauchy Integral Formula}

If \(F(z)\) is analytic inside and on a closed curve \(L\) bounding a simply connected region \(R\) then
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
F(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi \imath} \int_{L} \frac{F(t) d t}{t-z} & \text { for } z \text { inside } L \\
F(z)=0 & \\
\text { for } z \text { outside } L
\end{array}
\]

The Cauchy integral formula provides a simple means for computing \(F(z)\) at interior points when its boundary values are known. We refer to any integral of the form
\[
I(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{L} \frac{F(t) d t}{t-z}
\]
as a Cauchy integral, regardless of whether \(F(t)\) is the boundary value of an analytic function. \(I(z)\) defines a function analytic in the complex plane cut along the curve \(L\). When \(F(t)\) is the boundary value of a function analytic inside a closed curve \(L, I(z)\) is evidently discontinuous across \(L\) since \(I(z)\) approaches \(F(z)\) as \(z\) approaches \(L\) from the inside but gives zero for an approach from the outside. The theory of Cauchy integrals for both open and closed curves is extensively developed in Muskhelishvili's texts [72,73] and is used to solve many practical problems.

\subsection*{12.4.2 Residue Theorem}

If \(F(z)\) is analytic inside and on a closed curve \(L\) except at isolated singularities \(z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}\) where it has Laurent expansions, then
\[
\int_{L} F(z) d z=2 \pi \imath \sum_{j=1}^{j=n} B_{j}
\]
where \(B_{j}\) is the residue of \(F(z)\) at \(z=z_{j}\). In the instance where \(z_{\imath}\) is a pole of order \(m\), the residue can be computed as
\[
a_{-1}=\frac{1}{(m-1)!}\left\{\frac{d^{m-1}}{d z^{m-1}}\left[F(z)\left(z-z_{\imath}\right)^{m}\right]\right\}_{z \rightarrow z_{2}}
\]

\subsection*{12.5 Physical Problems Leading to Analytic Functions}

Several physical phenomena require solutions involving real valued functions satisfying Laplace's equation. Since an analytic function has harmonic real and imaginary parts, a harmonic function can often be expressed concisely as the real part of an analytic function. Useful tools such as Taylor series can yield effective computational devices. One of the simplest practical examples involves determining a function \(u\) harmonic inside the unit disk \(|z| \leq 1\) and having boundary values described by a Fourier series. In the following equations, and in subsequent articles, we will often refer to a function defined inside and on the unit circle in terms of polar coordinates as \(u(r, \theta)\) while we may, simultaneously, think of it as a function of the complex variable \(z=r \sigma\) where \(\sigma=e^{i \theta}\). Hence we write the boundary condition for the circular disk as
\[
u(1, \theta)=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_{n} \sigma^{n}, \sigma=e^{\imath \theta}
\]
with \(c_{-n}=\bar{c}_{n}\) because \(u\) is real. The desired function can be found as
\[
u(r, \theta)=\operatorname{mbox} \mathbf{r e a l}(F(z))
\]
where
\[
F(z)=c_{0}+2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n} z^{n} \quad, \quad|z| \leq 1
\]

This solution is useful because the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) can be employed to generate Fourier coefficients for quite general boundary conditions, and the series for \(F(z)\) converges rapidly when \(|z|<1\). This series will be employed below to solve both the problem where boundary values are given (the Dirichlet problem) and where normal derivative values are known on the boundary (the Neumann problem). Several applications where analytic functions occur are mentioned below.

\subsection*{12.5.1 Steady-State Heat Conduction}

The steady-state temperature distribution in a homogeneous two-dimensional body is harmonic. We can take \(u=\operatorname{Real}[F(z)]\). Boundary curves where \(u=\) constant lead to conditions
\[
F(z)+\overline{F(z)}=\text { constant }
\]
in the complex plane. Boundary curves insulated to prevent transverse heat flow lead to \(\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}=0\), which implies
\[
F(z)-\overline{F(z)}=\text { constant }
\]

\subsection*{12.5.2 Incompressible Inviscid Fluid Flow}

Some flow problems for incompressible, nonviscous fluids involve velocity components obtainable in terms of the first derivative of an analytic function. A complex velocity potential \(F(z)\) exists such that
\[
u-i v=F^{\prime}(z)
\]

At impermeable boundaries the flow normal to the boundary must vanish which implies
\[
F(z)-\overline{F(z)}=\text { constant }
\]

Furthermore, a uniform flow field with \(u=U, v=V\) is easily described by
\[
F(z)=(U-i V) z
\]

\subsection*{12.5.3 Torsion and Flexure of Elastic Beams}

The distribution of stresses in a cylindrical elastic beam subjected to torsion or bending can be computed using analytic functions [90]. For example, in the torsion problem shear stresses \(\tau_{X Z}\) and \(\tau_{Y Z}\) can be sought as
\[
\tau_{X Z}-i \tau_{Y Z}=\mu \varepsilon\left[f^{\prime}(z)-i \bar{z}\right]
\]
and the condition of zero traction on the lateral faces of the beam is described by
\[
f(z)-\overline{f(z)}=i z \bar{z}
\]

If the function \(z=\omega(\zeta)\) which maps \(|\zeta| \leq 1\) onto the beam cross section is known, then an explicit integral formula solution can be written as
\[
f(\zeta)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{|\sigma|=1} \frac{\omega(\sigma) \overline{\omega(\sigma)} d \sigma}{\sigma-\zeta}
\]

Consequently, the torsion problem for a beam of simply connected cross section is represented concisely in terms of the function which maps a circular disk onto the cross section.

\subsection*{12.5.4 Plane Elastostatics}

Analyzing the elastic equilibrium of two-dimensional bodies satisfying conditions of plane stress or plane strain can be reduced to determining two analytic functions. The formulas to find three stress components and two displacement components are more involved than the ones just stated. They will be investigated later when stress concentrations in a plate having a circular or elliptic hole are discussed.

\subsection*{12.5.5 Electric Field Intensity}

Electromagnetic field theory is concerned with the field intensity \(\epsilon\) which is described in terms of the electrostatic potential \(\mathcal{E}\) [92] such that
\[
\mathcal{E}=E_{x}+i E_{y}=-\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}-i \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}
\]
where \(\phi\) is a harmonic function at all points not occupied by charge. Consequently a complex electrostatic potential \(\Omega(z)\) exists such that
\[
\mathcal{E}=-\overline{\Omega^{\prime}(z)}
\]

The electromagnetic problem is analogous to inviscid incompressible fluid flow problems. We will also find that harmonic functions remain harmonic under the geometry change of a conformal transformation, which will be discussed later. This produces interesting situations where solutions for new problems can sometimes be derived by simple geometry changes.

\subsection*{12.6 Branch Points and Multivalued Behavior}

Before specific types of maps are examined, we need to consider the concept of branch points. A type of singular point quite different from isolated singularities such as poles arises when a singular point of \(F(z)\) cannot be made the interior of a small circle on which \(F(z)\) is single valued. Such singularities are called branch points and the related behavior is typified by functions such as \(\sqrt{z-z_{0}}\) and \(\log \left(z-z_{0}\right)\). To define \(p=\log \left(z-z_{0}\right)\), we accept any value \(p\) such that \(e^{p}\) produces the value \(z-z_{0}\). Using polar form we can write
\[
\left(z-z_{0}\right)=\left|z-z_{0}\right| e^{i(\theta+2 \pi k)} \quad \text { where } \quad \theta=\arg \left(z-z_{0}\right)
\]
with \(k\) being any integer. Taking
\[
p=\log \left|z-z_{0}\right|+i(\theta+2 \pi k)
\]
yields an infinity of values all satisfying \(e^{p}=z-z_{0}\). Furthermore, if \(z\) traverses a counterclockwise circuit around a circle \(\left|z-z_{0}\right|=\delta, \theta\) increases by \(2 \pi\) and \(\log (z-\) \(\left.z_{0}\right)\) does not return to its initial value. This shows that \(\log \left(z-z_{0}\right)\) is discontinuous on a path containing \(z_{0}\). A similar behavior is exhibited by \(\sqrt{z-z_{0}}\), which changes sign for a circuit about \(\left|z-z_{0}\right|=\delta\).

Functions with branch points have the characteristic behavior that the relevant functions are discontinuous on contours enclosing the branch points. Computing the function involves selection among a multiplicity of possible values. Hence \(\sqrt{4}\) can equal +2 or -2 , and choosing the proper value depends on the functions involved.

For sake of definiteness MATLAB uses what are called principal branch definitions such that
\[
\sqrt{z}=|z|^{1 / 2} e^{\imath \theta / 2} \quad, \quad-\pi<\theta=\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{y}{x}\right) \leq \pi
\]
and
\[
\log (z)=\log |z|+i \theta
\]

The functions defined this way have discontinuities across the negative real axis. Futhermore, \(\log (z)\) becomes infinite at \(z=0\).

Dealing carelessly with multivalued functions can produce strange results. Consider the function
\[
p=\sqrt{z^{2}-1}
\]
which will have discontinuities on lines such that \(z^{2}-1=-|h|\), where \(h\) is a general parameter. Discontinuity trouble occurs when
\[
z= \pm \sqrt{1-|h|}
\]

Taking \(0 \leq|h| \leq 1\) gives a discontinuity line on the real axis between -1 and +1 , and taking \(|h|>1\) leads to a discontinuity on the imaginary axis. Figure 12.1 illustrates the odd behavior exhibited by sqrt (z.^2-1). The reader can easily verify that using
\[
\operatorname{sqrt}(z-1) . * \operatorname{sqrt}(z+1)
\]
defines a different function that is continuous in the plane cut along a straight line between -1 and +1 .

Multivalued functions arise quite naturally in solutions of boundary value problems, and the choices of branch cuts and branch values are usually evident from physical circumstances. For instance, consider a steady-state temperature problem for the region \(|z|<1\) with boundary conditions requiring
\[
u(1, \theta)=1 \quad, 0<\theta<\pi \quad \text { and } \frac{\partial u(1, \theta)}{\partial r}=0 \quad, \pi<\theta<2 \pi .
\]

It can be shown that the desired solution is
\[
u=\text { real }\left\{\frac{1}{\pi i}[\log (z+1)-\log (z-1)]\right\}+\frac{3}{2}
\]
where the logarithms must be defined so \(u\) is continuous inside the unit circle and \(u\) equals \(1 / 2\) at \(z=0\). Appropriate definitions result by taking
\[
-\pi<\arg (z+1) \leq \pi \quad, \quad 0 \leq \arg (z-1) \leq 2 \pi
\]

MATLAB does not provide this definition intrinsically; so, the user must handle each problem individually when branch points arise.


Figure 12.1: \(\quad\) Discontinuous Surface for imag \(\left(\mathbf{s q r t}\left(z^{2}-1\right)^{1 / 2}\right)\)

\subsection*{12.7 Conformal Mapping and Harmonic Functions}

A transformation of the form
\[
x=x(\xi, \eta), \quad y=y(\xi, \eta)
\]
is said to be conformal if the angle between intersecting curves in the \((\xi, \eta)\) plane remains the same for corresponding mapped curves in the \((x, y)\) plane. Consider the transformation implied by \(z=\omega(\zeta)\) where \(\omega\) is an analytic function of \(\zeta\). Since
\[
d z=\omega^{\prime}(\zeta) d \zeta
\]
it follows that
\[
|d z|=\left|\omega^{\prime}(\zeta)\right||d \zeta| \quad \text { and } \quad \arg (d z)=\arg \left(\omega^{\prime}(\zeta)\right)+\arg (d \zeta)
\]

This implies that the element of length \(|d \zeta|\) is stretched by a factor of \(\left|\omega^{\prime}(\zeta)\right|\) and the line element \(d \zeta\) is rotated by an angle \(\arg \left[\omega^{\prime}(\zeta)\right]\). The transformation is conformal at all points where \(\omega^{\prime}(\zeta)\) exists and is nonzero.

Much of the interest in conformal mapping results from the fact that harmonic functions remain harmonic under a conformal transformation. To see why this is true, examine Laplace's equation written in the form
\[
\nabla_{x y}^{2} u=4 \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}}=0
\]

For a conformal map we have
\[
\begin{gathered}
z=\omega(\zeta) \quad, \quad \bar{z}=\overline{\omega(\zeta)} \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial z}=\frac{1}{\omega^{\prime}(\zeta)} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \zeta} \quad, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{z}}=\frac{1}{\overline{\omega^{\prime}(\zeta)}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{\zeta}}
\end{gathered}
\]

Since \(z\) depends only on \(\zeta\) and \(\bar{z}\) depends only on \(\bar{\zeta}\) we find that
\[
\nabla_{x y}^{2} u=4 \frac{1}{\omega^{\prime}(\zeta) \overline{\omega^{\prime}(\zeta)}} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial \zeta \partial \bar{\zeta}}=\frac{1}{\left|\omega^{\prime}(\zeta)\right|^{2}} \nabla_{\xi \eta}^{2} u
\]

It follows that
\[
\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}}=0 \quad \text { implies } \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial \xi^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial \eta^{2}}=0
\]
wherever \(\omega^{\prime}(\zeta) \neq 0\). The transformed differential equation in the new variables is identical to that of the original differential equation. Hence, when \(u(x, y)\) is a harmonic function of \((x, y)\), then \(u(x(\xi, \eta), y(\xi, \eta))\) is a harmonic function of \((\xi, \eta)\), provided \(\omega(\zeta)\) is an analytic function. This is a remarkable and highly useful property. Normally, changing the independent variables in a differential equation changes the form of the equation greatly. For instance, with the polar coordinate transformation
\[
x=r \cos (\theta), \quad y=r \sin (\theta)
\]
the Laplace equation becomes
\[
\nabla^{2} u=\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial \theta^{2}}=0
\]

The appearance of this equation is very different from the Cartesian form because \(x+i y\) is not an analytic function of \(r+i \theta\). On the other hand, using the transformation
\[
z=\log (\zeta)=\log (|\zeta|)+i \arg (\zeta)
\]
gives
\[
\nabla_{x y}^{2} u=(\zeta \bar{\zeta}) \nabla_{\xi \eta}^{2} u
\]
and \(\nabla_{x y}^{2} u=0\) implies \(\nabla_{\xi \eta}^{2} u=0\) at points other than \(\zeta=0\) or \(\zeta=\infty\).
Because solutions to Laplace's equation are important in physical applications, and such functions remain harmonic under a conformal map, an analogy between problems in two regions often can be useful. This is particularly attractive for problems where the harmonic function has constant values or zero normal gradient on critical boundaries. An instance pertaining to inviscid fluid flow about an elliptic cylinder will be used later to illustrate the harmonic function analogy. In the subsequent sections we discuss several transformations and their relevant geometrical interpretation.

\subsection*{12.8 Mapping onto the Exterior or the Interior of an Ellipse}

We will examine in some detail the transformation
\[
z=\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \zeta+\left(\frac{a-b}{2}\right) \zeta^{-1}=R\left(\zeta+m \zeta^{-1}\right) \quad, \quad \zeta \geq 1
\]
where \(R=(a+b) / 2\) and \(m=(a-b) /(a+b)\). The derivative
\[
z^{\prime}(\zeta)=R\left(1-m \zeta^{-2}\right)
\]
becomes nonconformal when \(z^{\prime}(\zeta)=0\) or \(\zeta= \pm \sqrt{m}\). For sake of discussion, we temporarily assume \(a \geq b\) to make \(\sqrt{m}\) real rather than purely imaginary. A circle \(\zeta=\rho_{0} e^{2 \theta}\) transforms into
\[
x+\imath y=R\left(\rho_{0}+m \rho_{0}^{-1}\right) \cos (\theta)+i R\left(\rho_{0}-m \rho_{0}^{-1}\right) \sin (\theta)
\]
yielding an ellipse. When \(\rho_{0}=1\) we get \(x=a \cos (\theta), y=b \sin (\theta)\). This mapping function is useful in problems such as inviscid flow around an elliptic cylinder or stress concentration around an elliptic hole in a plate. Furthermore, the mapping function is easy to invert by solving a quadratic equation to give
\[
\zeta=\frac{z+\sqrt{(z-\alpha)(z+\alpha)}}{a+b} \quad, \quad \alpha=\sqrt{a^{2}-b^{2}}
\]

The radical should be defined to have a branch cut on the \(x\)-axis from \(-\alpha\) to \(\alpha\) and to behave like \(+z\) for large \(|z|\). Computing the radical in MATLAB as
sqrt(z-alpha).*sqrt(z+alpha)
works fine when \(\alpha\) is real because MATLAB uses
\[
-\pi<\arg (z \pm \alpha) \leq \pi
\]
and the sign change discontinuities experienced by both factors on the negative real axis cancel to make the product of radicals continuous. However, when \(a<b\) the branch points occur at \(\pm z_{0}\) where \(z_{0}=i \sqrt{b^{2}-a^{2}}\), and a branch cut is needed along the imaginary axis. We can give a satisfactory definition by requiring
\[
-\frac{\pi}{2}<\arg \left(z \pm z_{0}\right) \leq \frac{3 \pi}{2}
\]

The function elipinvr provided below handles general \(a\) and \(b\).
Before leaving the problem of ellipse mapping we mention the fact that mapping the interior of a circle onto the interior of an ellipse is rather complicated but can be formulated by use of elliptic functions [75]. However, a simple solution to compute boundary point correspondence between points on the circle and points on the ellipse
appears in [52]. This can be used to obtain mapping functions in rational form which are quite accurate. The function elipdplt produces the mapping. Results showing how a polar coordinate grid in the \(\zeta\)-plane maps onto a two to one ellipse appears in Figure 12.2. In these examples and other similar ones, grid networks in polar coordinates always use constant radial increments and constant angular increments. Only the region corresponding to \(0.3 \leq|\zeta| \leq 1\) and \(0 \leq \arg (\zeta) \leq \frac{\pi}{2}\) is shown. Note that the distortion of line elements at different points of the grid is surprisingly large. This implies that the stretching effect, depending on \(\left|\omega^{\prime}(\zeta)\right|\), varies more than might at first be expected.

Often it is desirable to see how a rectangular or polar coordinate grid distorts under a mapping transformation. This is accomplished by taking the point arrays and simultaneously plotting rows against rows and columns against columns as computed by the following function gridview which works for general input arrays \(x, y\). If the input data are vectors instead of arrays, then the routine draws a single curve instead of a surface. When gridview is executed with no input, it generates the plot in Figure 12.3 which shows how a polar coordinate grid in the \(\zeta\)-plane maps under the transformation
\[
z=R\left(\zeta+\frac{m}{\zeta}\right)
\]

The new grid consists of a system of confocal ellipses orthogonally intersecting a system of hyperbolas.


Figure 12.2: Mapping \(|z|<1\) onto an Elliptic Disk


Figure 12.3: Circular Annulus Mapped onto an Elliptic Annulus

\subsection*{12.8.1 Program Output and Code}

\section*{Function sqrtsurf}
```

function sqrtsurf
%
% sqrtsurf
%
%
% This function illustrates the discontinuity
% in the function w=sqrt(z*z-1).
xx=linspace(-2,2,41); [x,y]=meshgrid(xx,xx);
z=x+i*y; w=sqrt(z.*z-1); close
surf(x,y,imag(w)); view(-40,50);
xlabel('real axis'); ylabel('imaginary axis');
zlabel('imag( sqrt( z^2-1 ) )');
title(['Discontinuous Surface for imag( sqrt', ...
'( z^2 - 1 ) )']);
grid on; figure(gcf);
%print -deps sqrtsurf

```

\section*{Function elipinvr}
```

function zeta=elipinvr(a,b,z)
%
% zeta=elipinvr(a,b,z)
%
%
% This function inverts the transformation
% z=(a+b)/2*zeta+(a-b)/2/zeta which maps
% abs(zeta)>=1 onto (x/a).^2+(y/b).^2 >= 1
%
% a - semi-diameter on x-axis
% b - semi-diameter on y-axis
% z - array of complex values
%
% zeta - array of complex values for the
% inverse mapping function
%
% User m functions called: none

```
\(18:\)
```

z0=sqrt(a^2-b^2); ab=a+b;
if a==b
zeta=z/a;
elseif a>b % branch cut along the real axis
zeta=(z+sqrt(z-z0).*sqrt(z+z0))/ab;
else % branch cut along the imaginary axis
ap=angle(z+z0); ap=ap+2*pi*(ap<=-pi/2);
am=angle(z-z0); am=am+2*pi*(am<=-pi/2);
zeta=(z+sqrt(abs(z.^2-z0.^2)).*exp(...
i/2*(ap+am)))/ab;
end

```

\section*{Function elipdplt}
```

function [z,a,b]=elipdplt(rx,ry)
% [z,a,b]=elipdplt(rx,ry)
% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
% This function plots contour lines showing
% how a polar coordinate grid in a circular
% disk maps onto an elliptic disk.
%
% User m functions called: elipdisk, gridview
if nargin==0, rx=2; ry=1; end
zeta=linspace(.3,1,12)'* ...
exp(i*linspace(0,pi/2,61));
[z,a,b]=elipdisk(zeta,rx,ry);
x=real(z); y=imag(z);
gridview(x,y,'x axis','y axis',...
'Mapping abs(ZETA)<1 onto an Elliptic Disk');
colormap([1 1 1]); shg
print -deps elipdisk
%==============================================
function gridview(x,y,xlabl,ylabl,titl)
%
% gridview(x,y,xlabl,ylabl,titl)
%
%
% This function views a surface from the top

```
```

\% to show the coordinate lines of the surface.
\% It is useful for illustrating how coordinate
$\%$ lines distort under a conformal transformation.
\% Calling gridview with no arguments depicts the
\% mapping of a polar coordinate grid map under
$\%$ a transformation of the form
$\% z=R *(z e t a+m / z e t a)$.
\%
\% x,y - real matrices defining a
\% curvilinear coordinate system
$\%$ xlabl,ylabl - labels for $x$ and $y$ axes
$\%$ titl - title for the graph
\%
\% User m functions called: cubrange
$\%$---------------------------------------
\% close
if nargin<5
xlabl='real axis'; ylabl='imaginary axis';
titl='';
end
\% Default example using $z=R *(z e t a+m / z e t a)$
if nargin==0
zeta=linspace $(1,3,10)$ '* ...
$\exp (i * \operatorname{linspace}(0,2 * p i, 81))$;
$\mathrm{a}=2$; $\mathrm{b}=1$; $\mathrm{R}=(\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}) / 2$; $\mathrm{m}=(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}) /(\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b})$;
$z=R *(z e t a+m . / z e t a) ; x=r e a l(z) ; y=i m a g(z)$;
titl=['Circular Annulus Mapped onto an ', ...
'Elliptical Annulus'];
end
range=cubrange $([x(:), y(:)], 1.1)$;
\% The data defin a curve
if $\operatorname{size}(x, 1)==1 \mid \operatorname{size}(x, 2)==1$
plot (x,y,'-k'); xlabel(xlabl); ylabel(ylabl);
title(titl); axis('equal'); axis(range);
grid on; figure(gcf);
if nargin==0
print -deps gridviewl
end
\% The data defin a surface
else
plot( $\left.x, y,{ }^{\prime} k^{-}, x^{\prime}, y^{\prime},{ }^{\prime} k^{-}\right)$

```
```

        xlabel(xlabl); ylabel(ylabl); title(titl);
        axis('equal'); axis(range); grid on;
        figure(gcf);
        if nargin==0
            print -deps gridview
        end
    end
$\%===========================================$
function $[z, a, b]=e l i p d i s k(z e t a, r x, r y)$
\%
\% [z,a,b]=elipdisk(zeta,rx,ry)
\%
\%
\% This function computes a rational function
\% mapping abs(zeta)<=1 onto an elliptical disk
$\%$ defined by $(x / r x)^{\wedge} 2+(y / r y)^{\wedge} 2<=1$. Boundary
\% points are computed using theory from
\% P. Henrici, Applied Complex Analysis,
\% Vol 3, p391.
\% The rational function approximation has the
\% form:
$\% \quad z=\operatorname{sum}\left(a(j) * z e t a^{\wedge}(2 * j-1)\right) /$
$\% \quad(1+\operatorname{sum}(b(j) * z e t a \wedge(2 * j))$;
$\%$ zeta - matrix of points with abs (zeta)<=1
$\% \quad r x, r y$ - ellipse semidiameters on $x$ and $y$
axes
- points into which zeta maps
\% a,b - coefficients in the rational
function defining the map
6:
\% User m functions called: ratcof

```

```

110: ntrms=100; ntheta=251;
111: $\operatorname{tau}=(0: 2 * \mathrm{pi} / \text { ntheta: } 2 * \mathrm{pi})^{\prime}$;
112: $e p=(r x-r y) /(r x+r y)$;
$z=\exp (i * t a u) ; \quad z=z+e p * \operatorname{conj}(z)$;
j=1:ntrms; ep=ep.^j; ep=ep./(j.*(1+ep.*ep));
theta=tau+2*( sin ((2*tau+pi)*j)*ep');
$z t a=\exp (i *$ theta) ; $z=r x / \max (r e a l(z)) * z$;
[a,b]=ratcof(zta.^2,z./zta,8);

```
109:
```

a=fix(real(1e8*a))/1e8; b=fix(real(1e8*b))/1e8;
af=flipud(a(:)); bf=flipud([1;b(:)]);
zta2=zeta.^2;
z=zeta.*polyval(af,zta2)./polyval(bf,zta2);
%=============================================
function [a,b]=ratcof(xdata,ydata,ntop,nbot)
%
% [a,b]=ratcof(xdata,ydata,ntop,nbot)
%
%
% Determine a and b to approximate ydata as
% a rational function of the variable xdata.
% The function has the form:
%
% y(x) = sum(1=>ntop) (a(j)*x^(j-1) ) /
( 1 + sum(1=>nbot) ( b(j)*x^(j)) )
% xdata,ydata - input data vectors (real or
% complex)
% ntop,nbot - number of series terms used in
the numerator and the
% denominator.
%
% User m functions called: none
%-----------------------------------------------
ydata=ydata(:); xdata=xdata(:);
m=length(ydata);
if nargin==3, nbot=ntop; end;
x=ones(m,ntop+nbot); x(:,ntop+1)=-ydata.*xdata;
for i=2:ntop, x(:,i)=xdata.*x(:,i-1); end
for i=2:nbot
x(:,i+ntop)=xdata.*x(:,i+ntop-1);
end
ab=x\ydata;
a=ab(1:ntop); b=ab(ntop+1:ntop+nbot);
%===============================================
% function range=cubrange(xyz,ovrsiz)
% See Appendix B

```

\subsection*{12.9 Linear Fractional Transformations}

The mapping function defined by
\[
w=\frac{a z+b}{c z+d}
\]
is called a linear fractional, or bilinear, transformation where \(a, b, c\), and \(d\) are constants. It can be inverted to yield
\[
z=\frac{-d w+b}{c w-a}
\]

If \(c\) is zero the transformation is linear. Otherwise, we can divide out \(c\) to get
\[
w=\frac{A z+B}{z+D}
\]

The three remaining constants can be found by making three points in the \(z\)-plane map to three given points in the \(w\)-plane. Note that \(z=\infty\) maps to \(w=A\) and \(z=-D\) maps to \(w=\infty\).

The transformation has the attractive property that circles or straight lines map into circles or straight lines. An equation defining a circle or straight line in the \(z\)-plane has the form
\[
P z \bar{z}+Q z+\bar{Q} \bar{z}+S=0
\]
where \(P\) and \(S\) are real. A straight line is obtained when \(P\) is zero. Expressing \(z\) in terms of \(w\) and clearing fractions leads to an equation of the form
\[
P_{0} w \bar{w}+Q_{0} w+\bar{Q}_{0} \bar{w}_{0}+S_{0}=0
\]
which defines a circle in the \(w\)-plane when \(P_{0}\) is nonzero. Otherwise, a straight line in the \(w\)-plane results.

Determining the bilinear transformation to take three \(z\)-points to three \(w\)-points is straightforward except for special cases. Let
\[
Z=[z 1 ; z 2 ; z 3] \quad \text { and } \quad W=[w 1 ; w 2 ; w 3]
\]

If det \(([Z, W\), ones \((3,1)])\) vanishes then a linear transformation with \(c=0\) and \(d=1\) applies. If \(z=\infty\) maps to \(w_{1}\) we take \(a=w_{1}, c=1\). If \(z=z_{1}\) maps to \(w=\infty\) we take \(c=1, d=-z_{1}\). In the usual situation we simply write \(w(z+D)=A z+B\) and solve the system
\[
[Z, \text { ones }(3,1),-W] *[A ; B ; D]=W . * Z
\]

Function linfrac, used to compute the coefficients in the transformation, is provided at the end of this section. Points at infinity are handled by including \(\infty\) (represented in MATLAB by inf) as a legitimate value in the components of \(z\) or \(w\). For example, the transformation \(w=(2 z+3) /(z-1)\) takes \(z=\infty\) to \(w=2, z=1\) to \(w=\infty\), and \(z=1+\imath\) to \(w=2-5 \imath\). The expression
```

cz=linfrac([inf,1,1+i],[2,inf,2-5i]);

```
produces the coefficients in the transformation. Similarly, the transformation is inverted by
```

cw=linfrac([2,inf,2-5i],[inf,1,1+i]);

```
or equivalently by
```

cw=linfrac([0,1,2i],[-1.5,-4,-0.25-1.25i]);

```

Another type of problem of interest in connection with a known bilinear transformation is to find the circle or straight line into which a given circle or straight line maps. Function cre2cre performs this task. The coefficients \(c\) are given along with three points lying on a circle or a straight line. Then parameters \(w_{0}, r_{0}\) pertaining to the \(w\)-plane are computed. If parameter type equals 1 , then \(w_{0}\) and \(r_{0}\) specify the center and radius of a circle. Otherwise, \(w_{0}\) and \(r_{0}\) are two points defining a straight line.

The linear fractional transformation can be used to map an eccentric annulus such as that in Figure 12.4 onto a concentric annulus. Suppose a region \(1 \leq|z| \leq R\) is to be mapped onto the region defined by
\[
|w| \geq R_{1} \quad, \quad\left|w-w_{0}\right| \leq R_{0}
\]

The radius \(R\) and mapping coefficients \(c\) can be obtained by solving a system of nonlinear simultaneous equations. Function ecentric accomplishes the task. A function call of
```

[c,r]=ecentric(0.25,-0.25,1);

```
produces
\[
w=\frac{3.4821 z+0.25}{z+13.9282} \quad, \quad R=3.7321
\]
and the plot in Figure 12.4 shows the mapped image of a polar coordinate grid using


Figure 12.4: Concentric Annulus Mapped onto Eccentric Annulus
constant radial and angular increment in the \(z\) plane.
To demonstrate the utility of the transformation just discussed, consider the problem of determining the steady-state temperature field in an eccentric annulus with the inner and outer boundaries held at \(u_{1}\) and \(u_{0}\), respectively. The temperature field will be a harmonic function that remains harmonic under a conformal transformation. The related problem for the concentric annulus has the simple form
\[
u=u_{1}+\frac{\left(u_{0}-u_{1}\right) \ln (r)}{\ln (R)} \quad, \quad 1 \leq r \leq R
\]

By analogy, expressing \(r=|z|\) in terms of \(w\) gives the temperature distribution at points in the \(w\)-plane.

\subsection*{12.9.1 Program Output and Code}

\section*{Function linfrac}
```

function c=linfrac(z,w)
%
% c=linfrac(z,w)
%
%
% This function determines the linear
% fractional transformation to map any three
% points in the z-plane into any three points
% in the w plane. Not more than one point in
% either the z or w plane may be located at
% infinity.
%
% z - vector of complex values [z1,z2,z3]
% w - vector of complex values [w1,w2,w3]
%
% c - vector defining the bilinear
% transformation
% w=(c(1)*z + c(2))/(c(3)*z + c(4))
%
% User m functions called: none
%----------------------------------------------
z=z(:); w=w(:); c=ones(4,1);
k=find(z==inf); j=find(w==inf); kj=[k;j];
% z and w both contain points at infinity
if length(kj)==2
c(1)=w(k); c(4)=-z(j); w(kj)=[]; z(kj)=[];
c(2)=(w-c(1))*z+w*c(4);
return
end
% z=infinity maps to a finite w point
if ~isempty(k) \& isempty(j)
c(1)=w(k); z(k)=[]; w(k)=[];

        c([2 4])=[[1;1],-w]\[(w-c(1)).*z];
    return
    end
% a finite z point maps to w = infinity

```
```

if ~isempty(j) \& isempty(k)
c(4)=-z(j); z(j)=[]; w(j)=[];

    c([1 2]) =[z,[1;1]]\[w.*(z+c(4))];
    return
    end
% case where all points are finite
mat=[z, ones (3,1), -w] ;
% case for a general transformation
if det(mat) ~}=
c([lllll
% case where transformation is linear
else
c(3)=0; c([[1 2]) =[z,ones (3,1)]\w;
end

```

\section*{Function cre2cre}
```

function [w0,r0,type]=crc2crc(c,z)
%
% [w0,r0,type]=crc2crc(c,z)
% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

```

```

%
% This function determines the circle or
% straight line into which a circle or straight
% line maps under a linear fractional
% transformation.
%
% c - coefficients defining a linear
% fractional transformation
% W=(c(1)*z+c(2))/(c(3)*z*c(4))
% where c(2)*c(3)-c(1)*c(4) is nonzero
% z - a vector of three complex values
% lying on a circle or a straight line
%
% w0 - center of a circle in the w plane
9: if type=1, or a point on a straight
% line if type=2
1:% r0 - radius of a circle in the w plane
% if type=1, or a point on a straight
% line if type=2
4: % type - equals 1 to denote a circle or 2 to

```
```

% denote a straight line in the w plane
%
% User m functions called: none
%------------------------------------------------
% check for degenerate transformation
if c(2)*c(3)==c(1)*c(4)
disp(['Degenerate transformation in ', ...
'function crc2crc']);
w0=[]; r0=[]; type=[]; return;
end
% evaluate the mapping of the z points
w=(c(1)*z(:)+c(2))./(c(3)*z(:)+c(4));
% check whether a point passes to infinity or
% the three z points define a straight line
k=find(w==inf);
dt=det([real(w),imag(w),ones(3,1)]);
if ^isempty(k); w(k)=[]; end
% case for a straight line in the w plane
% defined by two points on the line
if dt==0 | ~isempty(k)
type=2; w0=w(1); r0=w(2);
% case for a circle in the w plane defined by
% a center point and the circle radius
else
type =1;
v=[2*real(w),2*imag(w),ones(3,1)]\abs(w).^2;
w0=v(1)+i*v(2); r0=sqrt(v(3)+abs(w0)^2);
end

```

\section*{Function ecentric}
```

function [c,r]=ecentric(ri,wo,ro,nopl)
%
% [c,r]=ecentric(ri,wo,ro,nopl)
%
%
6: % This function determines the bilinear
7:% transformation which maps the region
8:% 1<=abs(z)<=r onto an eccentric annulus

```
```

% defined by
% abs(w)>=ri \& abs(w-wo)<=ro
%
% The coefficients c in the transformation
% w=(c(1)*z+c(2))/(c(3)*z+c(4))
% must be found as well as the outer radius r
% of the annulus in the z plane.
%
% ri - radius of inner circle abs(w)=ri
% wo - center of outer circle abs(w-wo)=ro
% ro - radius of outer circle
%
% c - coefficients in the mapping function
% r - radius of outer circle abs(z)=r
% nopl- no plot is given if nopl is input
%
% User m functions called: gridview
if nargin==0, ri=.25; wo=-.25; ro=1; end
if wo }=
c1=(wo+ro)/ri; c2=(wo-ro)/ri; c3=2/(c1+c2);
c4=(c2-c1)/(c1+c2); c5=c3-c1-c1*c4; c6=1-c1*c3;
rt=sqrt(c5^2-4*c4*c6);
r1=(-c5+rt)/(2*c4); r2=(-c5-rt)/(2*c4);
r=max([r1,r2]); d=c3+c4*r; c=[ri*d;ri;1;d];
else
c=[ri;0;0;1]; r=ro/ri;
end
if nargin > 3, return, end
% Show the region onto which a polar coordinate
% grid in the z-plane maps.
z=linspace(1,r,20)'*exp(i*linspace(0,2*pi,81));
w=(c(1)*z+c(2))./(c(3)*z+c(4));
titl=['Concentric Annulus Mapped onto ', ...
'Eccentric Annulus'];
gridview(real(w),imag(w),...
'real axis','imaginary axis',titl); shg
% print -deps ecentric

```

\subsection*{12.10 Schwarz-Christoffel Mapping onto a Square}

The Schwarz-Christoffel transformation [75] provides integral formulas defining transformations to map the interior of a circle onto the interior or exterior of a polygon. Special cases obtained by allowing selected vertices to pass to infinity lead to a variety of results [58]. In general situations, evaluating the parameters and integrals in the Schwarz-Christoffel transformation is difficult and requires use of special software [35]. We will examine only two cases: a) where the interior of a circle is mapped onto the interior of a square, and \(b\) ) where the exterior of a circle is mapped onto the exterior of a square. The function
\[
z=C \int_{0}^{\zeta}\left(1+t^{4}\right)^{-1 / 2} d t
\]
where \(C\) is a scaling constant, maps \(|\zeta| \leq 1\) inside the square defined by
\[
(|x| \leq 1) \cap(|y| \leq 1)
\]

Expanding this radical by the binominal expansion and integrating gives
\[
z=c \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{n}\left[\frac{\Gamma\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{n!(4 n+1)}\right] \zeta^{1+4 n} \quad, \quad|\zeta| \leq 1
\]

A reasonably good approximation to the mapping function can be obtained by taking several hundred terms in the mapping function and adjusting the constant \(c\) to make \(\zeta=1\) match \(z=1\). This series expansion converges slowly and rounds the corners of the square because the derivative of the mapping function behaves like \(\left(\zeta-\zeta_{o}\right)^{-1 / 2}\) at \(\zeta_{o}= \pm e^{ \pm \imath \pi / 4}\).

The transformation to map \(|\zeta| \geq 1\) onto the square exterior defined by
\[
(|x| \geq 1) \cup(|y| \geq 1)
\]
has the form
\[
z=c_{0} \int_{1}^{\zeta}\left(1+t^{-4}\right)^{1 / 2} d t+c_{1}
\]
where \(c_{0}\) and \(c_{1}\) are arbitrary constants. Using the binomial expansion again and term by term integration leads to
\[
z=c \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{n}\left[\frac{\Gamma\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)}{n!(4 n-1)}\right] \zeta^{1-4 n} \quad, \quad|\zeta| \geq 1
\]

The function swcsqmap provides both interior and exterior polynomial maps. Once again, truncating the series after a specified number of terms and making \(\zeta=1\) map to \(z=1\) gives an approximate mapping function which converges much more
rapidly than the series for the interior problem. Rounding of the square corners is greatly reduced because the mapping function derivative behaves like \(\left(\zeta-\zeta_{o}\right)^{1 / 2}\) at \(\zeta_{o}= \pm e^{ \pm \imath \pi / 4}\). Figure 12.5 illustrates results produced by the ten term series for both interior and exterior regions. Using rational functions to produce better results than polynomials was discussed earlier in Chapter 3. The function squarat, which provides both interior and exterior maps, appears below.

It should be noted that inverting a mapping function \(z=\omega(\zeta)\) to get \(\zeta=g(z)\) explicitly is often difficult, if not impossible. For example, consider the form
\[
z=\frac{\zeta\left(a+b \zeta^{4}+c \zeta^{8}\right)}{1+d \zeta^{4}+e \zeta^{8}} \quad, \quad|\zeta| \leq 1
\]
which requires solving the polynomial
\[
c \zeta^{9}-e z \zeta^{8}+b \zeta^{5}-d z \zeta^{4}+a \zeta-z=0
\]
and picking the root inside or on the unit circle. Although the MATLAB function roots efficiently factors polynomials with complex coefficients, inverting the mapping function for hundreds or thousands of values can be time consuming.

Interior Map of a Square Using a 10-term Series


Exterior Map of a Square Using a 10-term Series


Figure 12.5: \(\quad\) Square Maps Using a 10-term Series

\subsection*{12.10.1 Program Output and Code}

\section*{Function swcsq10}
```

function swcsq10
% Example: swcsq10
%
%
% This example demonstrates square map
% approximations pertaining to truncated
% Schwarz-Christoffel transformations.
%
% User m functions called: swcsqmap, gridview
zeta=linspace(0.2,1,8)'* ...
exp(i*linspace(0,pi/2,61));
[z,a]=swcsqmap(zeta,10);
subplot(211)
gridview(real(z),imag(z),'x axis','y axis', ...
['Interior Map of a Square Using', ...
' a 10-term Series']);
subplot(212)
zeta=linspace(1,1.25,8)'* ...
exp(i*linspace(0,pi/2,61));
[z0,a]=swcsqmap(zeta,10,1);
gridview(real(z0),imag(z0),'x axis','y axis', ...
['Exterior Map of a Square Using ', ...
'a 10-term Series']);
print -deps sqrplt10
subplot
%===============================================
function [z,a]=swcsqmap(zeta,ntrms,ifout)
%
% [z,a]=swcsqmap(zeta,ntrms,ifout)
%
%
% This function evaluates power series
% approximations for mapping either the inside
% of a circle onto the inside of a square, or
% mapping the outside of a circle onto the
% outside of a square. The Schwarz-Christoffel
% integrals defining the mapping functions are

```

51: \% The side length of the square is adjusted 52: \% to equal 2.
53: \%
54: \% zeta - complex values where the mapping 5: \% function is evaluated
\(56: \%\) ntrms - number of terms used in the 57: \% truncated series
58: \% ifout - a parameter omitted if an interior
    9: \% map applies. ifout can have any
    60: \% value (such as 1) to show that an
61: \% exterior map is to be performed.
62: \%
63: \% z - values of the mapping function
64: \% a - coefficients in the mapping series
65: \%
6: \% User m functions called: none
\%
n=0:ntrms-2;
if nargin==2 \(\%\) recursion formula for mapping
                                \% interior on interior
    \(\mathrm{p} 1=(\mathrm{n}+1 / 2) . /(\mathrm{n}+1) ; \mathrm{p} 2=(\mathrm{n}+1 / 4) . /(\mathrm{n}+5 / 4)\);
else \(\quad \%\) recursion formula for mapping
                                \%exterior on exterior
    \(\mathrm{p} 1=(\mathrm{n}-1 / 2) . /(\mathrm{n}+1) ; \mathrm{p} 2=(\mathrm{n}-1 / 4) . /(\mathrm{n}+3 / 4)\);
end
\(\mathrm{a}=[1, \operatorname{cumprod}(-\mathrm{p} 1 . * \mathrm{p} 2)] ; \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{a}(:) / \operatorname{sum}(\mathrm{a})\);
z4=zeta. ^4;
if nargin \(==3, \quad z 4=1 . / z 4\); end;
z=zeta.*polyval(flipud(a(:)),z4);
\(\%=========================================\)
\% function gridview(x,y,xlabl,ylabl,titl)

\section*{\% See Appendix B}

\section*{Function squarat}
```

function [z,a,b]=squarat(zeta,ifout)
%
% [z,a,b]=squarat(zeta,ifout)
%
%
% This function maps either the interior of a
% circle onto the interior of a square, or maps
% the exterior of a circle onto the exterior of
% a square using a rational function having the
% approximate form:
%
% z(zeta) = zeta *
%
% Sum(a(j)*zeta4^j)/(1+Sum(b(j)*zeta4^j),
%
% where zeta4=zeta^4 for an interior problem,
% or zeta4=zeta^(-4) for an exterior problem.
%
% zeta - matrix of complex values such that
% abs(zeta)<=1 for an interior map,
% or abs(zeta)>=1 for an exterior map
% ifout - parameter present in the call list
% only when an exterior mapping is
% required
%
% z - matrix of values of the mapping
% function
% a,b - coefficients of the polynomials
% defining the rational mapping
% function
%
% User m functions called: none
%-------------------------------------------------
zeta4=zeta.^4;
if nargin==1 % map interior on interior
a=[ 1.07835, 1.37751,-0.02642, -0.09129, ...
0.13460,-0.15763, 0.07430, 0.14858, ...

```
```

        0.01878,-0.00354 ]';
        b}=[1.37743,0.07157,-0.11085, 0.12778, ..
        -0.13750, 0.05313, 0.14931, 0.02683, ...
        -0.00350,-0.000120 ]';
    else % map exterior on exterior
    a = [1.18038, 1.10892, 0.13365, -0.02910]';
    b = [1.10612, 0.27972, 0.00788]';
    zeta4=1./zeta4;
    end
    % Evaluate the mapping function
    af=flipud(a); bf=flipud([1;b]);
    z=zeta.*polyval(af,zeta4)./polyval(bf,zeta4);
    ```

\subsection*{12.11 Determining Harmonic Functions in a Circular Disk}

The problem of determining a function that is harmonic for \(|z|<1\) and satisfies certain boundary conditions can be analyzed effectively using series methods. In problems pertaining to the unit circle, it is often convenient to consider a function \(u\), in polar cordinates, and write \(u(r, \theta)\). Simultaneously, we may wish to think in terms of the related complex variable \(z=r \sigma\) where \(\sigma=e^{i \theta}\). Three basic problems will be considered.
I) Dirichlet Problem
\[
\begin{aligned}
\nabla^{2} u=0 & , \quad|z|<1 \\
u(1, \theta)=f(\theta) & , \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq 2 \pi
\end{aligned}
\]

We assume \(f(\theta)\) is a real piecewise continuous function expandable in a Fourier series as
\[
f(\theta)=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f_{n} \sigma^{n} \quad, \quad f_{-n}=\bar{f}_{n}
\]

Then \(u\) is given by the series
\[
u=f_{0}+2 \operatorname{real}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n} z^{n}\right) \quad, \quad|z| \leq 1
\]
II) Neumann Problem
\[
\begin{gathered}
\nabla^{2} u=0 \quad, \quad|z|<1 \\
\frac{\partial u(1, \theta)}{\partial r}=g(\theta) \quad, \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq 2 \pi
\end{gathered}
\]

We assume that the gradient function \(g\) is expandable in a Fourier series as
\[
g(\theta)=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} g_{n} \sigma^{n} \quad, \quad g_{-n}=\bar{g}_{n}
\]

The solution only exists if the integral of \(g(\theta)\) with respect to arc length around the boundary is zero. Hence, when
\[
g_{0}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} g(\theta) d \theta=0
\]
then the series solution is
\[
u=2 \operatorname{real}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{g_{n}}{n}\right) z^{n}\right)+c \quad, \quad|z| \leq 1
\]
where \(c\) is an arbitrary real constant.

\section*{III) Mixed Problem}

In the third type of problem the function value is specified on one part of the boundary and the normal gradient is specified on the remainder. In the general situation a solution can be constructed by methods using Cauchy integrals [73]. Only a simple case will be examined here. We require
\[
\begin{array}{cc}
\nabla^{2} u=0 & |z|<1 \\
u(1, \theta)=f(\theta) & , \quad \theta_{1}<\theta<\theta_{2} \\
\frac{\partial u(1, \theta)}{\partial r}=g(\theta) \quad, \quad \theta_{2}<\theta<\left(2 \pi+\theta_{1}\right)
\end{array}
\]

For convenience use the notation
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
L: & z=e^{2 \theta}, & \theta_{1}<\theta<\theta_{2} \\
L^{\prime}: & z=e^{\imath \theta}, & \theta_{2}<\theta<\left(2 \pi+\theta_{1}\right)
\end{array}
\]

The mixed problem can be reduced to a case where \(g\) is zero by first solving a Neumann problem for a harmonic function \(v\) such that
\[
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}=g(\theta) \quad, \quad z \in L^{\prime} \\
\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}=-\frac{\int_{\theta_{2}}^{2 \pi+\theta_{1}} g(\theta) d \theta}{\theta_{2}-\theta_{1}} \quad, \quad z \in L
\end{gathered}
\]

Then we replace \(f(\theta)\) by \(f(\theta)-v(1, \theta)\) to get a problem where
\[
u=f(\theta)-v(1, \theta) \quad, \quad z \in L
\]
\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}=0 \quad, \quad z \in L^{\prime}
\]

The complete solution then equals the sum of \(u\) and \(v\). Consequently, no loss of generality results in dealing with the problem
\[
\begin{aligned}
u=f & , \quad z \in L \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}=0 & , \quad z \in L^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
\]

Consider the function
\[
R(z)=\sqrt{(z-a)(z-b)} \quad, \quad a=e^{\imath \theta_{1}} \quad, \quad b=e^{\imath \theta_{2}}
\]
defined in the complex plane cut along \(L\). We choose the branch of \(R\) satisfying
\[
R(0)=e^{i\left(\theta_{1}+\theta_{2}\right) / 2}
\]

The solution to the mixed boundary value problem can be expressed as
\[
u=\operatorname{real}\left(\frac{R(z)}{\pi i} \int_{L} \frac{f(t) d t}{R^{+}(t)(t-z)}\right) \quad, \quad t=e^{\imath \theta} \quad, \quad \theta_{1}<\theta<\theta_{2}
\]
where \(R^{+}(t)\) means the boundary value of \(R(z)\) on the inside of the arc. As an example take
\[
\begin{gathered}
\theta_{1}=-\frac{\pi}{2} \quad, \quad \theta_{2}=\frac{\pi}{2} \\
R(z)=\sqrt{z^{2}+1} \quad, \quad R(0)=1 \\
u=\cos (\theta) \quad, \quad-\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}
\end{gathered}
\]

Carrying out the integration gives
\[
u=\operatorname{real}(F(z))
\]
where
\[
F(z)=\frac{z+z^{-1}+\left(1-z^{-1}\right) \sqrt{z^{2}+1}}{2} \quad, \quad|z| \leq 1
\]
and the square root equals +1 at \(z=0\). This function is employed as a test case in subsequent calculations. The exact solution is evaluated in function mbvtest.

\subsection*{12.11.1 Numerical Results}

The function lapercl solves either Dirichlet or Neumann problems for the unit disk. The boundary values are specified as piecewise linear functions of the polar angle. Then function lintrp is used to obtain a dense set of boundary values which are transformed by the FFT to produce coefficients in the series solution. When
lapcrel is executed with no input data, a Dirichlet problem is solved having the boundary condition
\[
\begin{gathered}
u(1, \theta)=1+\frac{\cos (16 \theta)}{10} \quad, \quad-\frac{\pi}{2}<\theta<\frac{\pi}{2} \\
u(1, \theta)=\frac{\cos (16 \theta)}{10} \quad, \quad \frac{\pi}{2}<\theta<\frac{3 \pi}{2}
\end{gathered}
\]

This chosen boundary condition produces the interesting surface plot shown in Figure 12.6 where the solution was evaluated on a polar coordinate grid employing constant radial and angular increments.

The mixed boundary value problem is more difficult to handle than the Dirichlet or Neumann problems because numerical evaluation of the Cauchy integral must be performed cautiously. As \(z\) approaches a point on \(L\), the integrand becomes singular. Theoretical developments involving Cauchy principal value integrals and the Plemelj formulas are needed to handle this situation thoroughly [73]. Even when \(z\) is close to the boundary, large integrand magnitude may cause inaccurate numerical integration. Furthermore, the integrand will have square root type singularities at the ends of \(L\) unless \(f(a)=f(b)=0\). Regularization procedures that can cope fully with these difficulties [26] will not be investigated in this text. Instead a simplified approach is presented.

The function cauchint was written to evaluate a contour integral involving a general density function \(f(\zeta)\) defined on a curve \(L\) of general shape. We consider
\[
F(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{L} \frac{f(\zeta) d \zeta}{\zeta-z}
\]
with both the density function \(f\) and the shape of \(L\) being defined using cubic spline interpolation. A set of points
\[
\left[\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}, \ldots, \zeta_{m}\right] \quad, \quad \zeta=\xi+i \eta
\]
lying on \(L\), along with boundary values
\[
\left[f\left(\zeta_{1}\right), f\left(\zeta_{2}\right), \ldots, f\left(\zeta_{m}\right)\right]=\left[f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{m}\right]
\]
are given. Spline functions \(\zeta(t), f(t)\) are defined for \(1 \leq t \leq m\) such that
\[
\zeta(\jmath)=\zeta_{\jmath} \quad \text { and } \quad f(\jmath)=f_{\jmath} \quad \jmath=1,2, \ldots, n
\]

The integrand in parametric form becomes
\[
F(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{1}^{n} \frac{f(t)\left[\xi^{\prime}(t)+i \eta^{\prime}(t)\right] d t}{\zeta(t)-z}
\]
and this integral is evaluated using function gcquad which computes Gaussian base points and weight factors using eigenvalue methods. It should be remembered that


Figure 12.6: Dirichlet Problem Inside the Unit Circle
when \(z\) is a point on the contour of integration, the integrand has a first order singularity. Hence, procedures to regularize the integrand would be needed to achieve accurate numerical integration in such cases.

Function cauchtst was employed to produce an approximate solution of the problem cited above. A surface plot of the exact solution appears in Figure 12.7. A plot of the difference between the exact and approximate solutions for \(0 \leq r \leq 0.99\) is shown in Figure 12.8. This error is about three orders-of-magnitude smaller than the maximum function values in the solution. The reader can verify that using \(r=0.999\) and \(-\pi / 2<\theta<\pi / 2\) leads to much larger errors. The authors have found function cauchint to be helpful if proper caution is exercised for results involving points near the boundary.

Approximate Solution to a Mixed Boundary Value Problem


Figure 12.7: Approximate Solution to a Mixed Boundary Value Problem


Figure 12.8: Difference Between Exact and Approximate Solutions

\subsection*{12.11.2 Program Output and Code}

\section*{Function lapcrel}

1: function [u,r,th]=lapcrcl ...
(bvtyp, bvdat, rvec, thvec, nsum)
\%
\% [u,r,th]=lapcrcl(bvtyp,bvdat,rvec,thvec, nsum)
\%
\%
\% This function solves Laplace's equation
\% inside a circle of unit radius. Either a
\% Dirichlet problem or a Neumann problem can be
\% analyzed using boundary values defined by
\% piecewise linear interpolation of data
\% specified in terms of the polar angle.
\%
\% bvtyp - parameter determining what type of boundary value problem is solved. If bvtyp equals one, values and a Dirichlet problem is solved. Otherwise, the boundary data specify values of normal gradient, and a Neumann problem is solved if, in accord with the existence conditions for this problem, the average value of gradient on the boundary is zero (negligibly small in an approximate solution).
\(28: \%\) bvdat - a matrix of boundary data. Each bvdat(j,:) gives a function value and polar angle (in degrees) of a data point used by function lintrp to linearly interpolate for all other boundary values needed to generate the solution.
35: \% rvec, thvec - vectors of radii and polar coordinate values used to form a polar coordinate grid of points inside the unit circle. No values of \(r\) exceeding unity are allowed.
```

    % series expansion of the analytic
    42:%
    43: %
    44: %
45: %
46: %
47: % u
48: %
49: %
50: %
% r,th
%
%
%
% User m functions called: lintrp
% Default test case solves a Dirichlet problem
% for a function having the following exact
% solution:
%
% -1/2+imag(log((z-i)/(z+i))/pi)+real(z^16)/10
%
if nargin ==0
bvtyp=1; th=linspace(0,2*pi,201)';
bv=1-(th>pi/2)+(th>3*pi/2)+cos(16*th)/10;
bvdat=[bv,180/pi*th];
rvec=linspace(1,0,10);
thvec=linspace(0,360,161); nsum=200;
end
nft=512;
thfft=linspace(0,2*pi*(nft-1)/nft,nft);
if nargin<5, nsum=200; end;
nsum=min(nsum,nft/2-1);
fbv=bvdat(:,1); thbv=pi/180*bvdat(:,2);
nev=size(bvdat,1); nr=length(rvec);
nth=length(thvec); neval=nr*nth;
[R,Th]=meshgrid(rvec,pi/180*thvec);
r=R(:); th=Th(:);
% Check for any erroneous points outside the
% unit circle
rvec=rvec(:);
kout=find(rvec>1); nout=length(kout);
if length(kout)>0

```
```

    print('Input data are incorrect. The ');
    print('following r values lie outside the ');
    print('unit circle:'); disp(rvec(kout)');
    return
    end
if bvtyp==1 % Solve a Dirichlet problem
% Check for points on the boundary where
% function values are known. Interpolate
% these directly
konbd=find(r==1); onbndry=length(konbd);
if onbndry > 0
u(konbd)=lintrp(thbv,fbv,th(konbd));
end
% Evaluate the series solution
kinsid=find(r<1); inside=length(kinsid);
if inside > 0
a=fft(lintrp(thbv,fbv,thfft));
a=a(1:nsum)/(nft/2);
a(1)=a(1)/2; Z=r(kinsid).*exp(i*th(kinsid));
u(kinsid)=real(polyval(flipud(a(:)),Z));
end
titl= ...
'Dirichlet Problem Inside the Unit Circle';
else % Solve a Neumann problem
gbv=lintrp(thbv,fbv,thfft);
a=fft(gbv)/(nft/2);
erchek=abs(a(1))/sum(abs(gbv));
if erchek>1e-3
disp(' ');
disp('ERROR DUE TO NONZERO AVERAGE VALUE');
disp('OF NORMAL GRADIENT ON THE BOUNDARY.');
disp('CORRECT THE INPUT DATA AND RERUN.');
disp(' '); u=[]; r=[]; th=[]; return;
end
a=a(2:nsum)./(1:nsum-1)'; z=r.*exp(i*th);
u=real(polyval(flipud([0;a(:)]),z));
titl='Neumann Problem Inside the Unit Circle';
end
u=reshape(u,nth,nr); r=R; th=Th;

```
```

surf(r.*\operatorname{cos(th),r.*sin(th),u);}
xlabel('x axis'); ylabel('y axis');
zlabel('function u'); title(titl);
colormap('default');
grid on; figure(gcf);
% print -deps dirich
%============================================
% function y=lintrp(xd,yd,x)
% See Appendix B

```

\section*{Function cauchtst}
```

function u=cauchtst(z,nquad)
%
% u=cauchtst(z,nquad)
% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
%
% This function solves a mixed boundary
% value problem for the interior of a circle
% by numerically evaluating a Cauchy integral.
%
% z - matrix of complex coordinates where
% function values are computed
% nquad - order of Gauss quadrature used to
perform numerical integration
%
% u - computed values of the approximate
% solution
%
% User m functions called: cauchint, mbvtest,
% gcquad, splined
if nargin<2, nquad=50; end; nbdat=61;
if nargin==0
z=linspace(0,.99,10)'* ...
exp(i*linspace(0,2*pi,91));
end
th=linspace(-pi/2,pi/2,nbdat); zb=exp(i*th);
fb=sqrt(zb-i).*sqrt(zb+i); fb(1)=1; fb(nbdat)=1;

```
```

fb=cos(th)./fb; fb(1)=0; fb(end)=0;
F=cauchint(fb,zb,z,nquad);
F=F.*sqrt(z-i).*sqrt(z+i); u=2*real(F);
surf(real(z),imag(z),u); xlabel('x axis');
ylabel('y axis'); zlabel('Solution Value')
title(['Approximate Solution to ', ...
'a Mixed Boundary Value Problem']);
grid on; figure(gcf); %gra(.4);
fprintf('\nPress [Enter] to solution error\n');
pause
%print -deps caucher1
uexact=mbvtest(z,1); udif=u-uexact;
clf; surf(real(z),imag(z),udif);
title(['Difference Between Exact and ', ...
'Approximate Solutions']);
xlabel('x axis'); ylabel('y axis');
zlabel('Solution Error')
grid on; figure(gcf); %gra(.4)
%print -deps caucher2
%===============================================
function u=mbvtest(z,noplot)
%
% u=mbvtest(z,noplot)
%
%
% This function determines a function which is
% harmonic for abs(z)<1 and satisfies at r=1,
% u=cos(theta), -pi/2<theta<pi/2
% du/dr=0, pi/2<theta<3*pi/2
% The solution only applies for points inside
% or on the unit circle.
%
6: % z - matrix of complex values where the
% solution is computed.
65: % noplot - option set to one if no plot is
66:% requested, otherwise option is not
67:% required.
69: % u - values of the harmonic function
70:% defined inside the unit circle
1:%
% User m functions called: none

```
68: \%
```

\%
if nargin==0
noplot=0;
z=linspace(0,1,10)'* ...
$\exp (i * l i n s p a c e(0,2 * p i, 81))$;
end
$[\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m}]=\operatorname{size}(\mathrm{z}) ; \mathrm{z=}(:)$; $u=1 / 2$ *ones (size $(\mathrm{z}))$;
$\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{find}(\mathrm{abs}(\mathrm{z})>0)$; $\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{z}(\mathrm{k})$;
$\mathrm{U}=(\mathrm{Z}+1 . / \mathrm{Z}+(1-1 . / \mathrm{Z}) . * \operatorname{sqrt}(\mathrm{Z}-\mathrm{i}) . * \operatorname{sqrt}(\mathrm{Z}+\mathrm{i})) / 2$;
$u(k)=r e a l(U) ; u=r e s h a p e(u, n, m)$;
if nargin==1 | noplot==0
$z=r e s h a p e(z, n, m)$;
surf(real(z),imag(z),u); xlabel('x axis');
ylabel('y axis');
title(['Mixed Boundary Value Problem ', ...
'for a Circular Disk']);
grid; figure(gcf); \%gra(.4), pause
\%print -deps mbvtest
end
$\%===========================================$
function $F=c a u c h i n t(f b, z b, z$, nquad)
\%
$\% \mathrm{~F}=\mathrm{cauchint}(\mathrm{fb}, \mathrm{zb}, \mathrm{z}$, nquad)
\%
\%
\% This function numerically evaluates a Cauchy
\% integral of the form:
\%
$F(z)=1 /(2 * \mathrm{pi} * \mathrm{i}) *$ Integral $(\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{t}) /(\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{z}) * \mathrm{dt})$
\%
\% where t denotes points on a curve in the
107: \% complex plane. The boundary curve is defined
108: \% by spline interpolation through data points
109: \% zb lying on the curve. The values of $f(t)$
110: \% are also specified by spline interpolation
111: \% through values fb corresponding to the
112: \% points zb. Numerical evaluation of the
113: \% integral is performed using a composite
114: \% Gauss formula of arbitrary order.
116: $\% \mathrm{fb} \quad-\quad$ values of density function $f$
117: \% at point on the curve

```
115: \%
```

% zb - points where fb is given. The number of values of zb must be adequate to define the curve accurately.

- a matrix of values at which the Cauchy integral is to be evaluated. If any of the $z$-values lie on path of integration or too close to the path of integration, incorrect results will be obtained.
\% nquad - the order of Gauss quadrature formula used to perform numerical integration
- The value of the Cauchy integral corresponding to matrix argument z
% User m functions called: gcquad splined
%
n=length(fb); [nr,nc]=size(z); z=z(:).';
nz=length(z); t=1:n;
[dummy,bp,wf]=gcquad('',1,n,nquad,n-1);
fq=spline(t,fb,bp); zq=spline(t,zb,bp);
zqd=splined(t,zb,bp); nq=length(fq);
fq=fq(:).*zqd(:);
bdrylen=sum(abs(zq(2:nq)-zq(1:nq-1)));
closnes=1e100; bigz=max(abs(z));
for j=1:nq
closnes=min([closnes,abs(zq(j)-z)]);
end
if closnes/bdrylen<.01 | closnes/bigz<.01
disp(' ')
disp(['WARNING! SOME DATA VALUES ARE ', ...
'EITHER NEAR OR ON']);
disp(['THE BOUNDARY. COMPUTED RESULTS ', ...
'MAY BE INACCURATE']); disp(' ')
end
F=wf(:)'*(fq(:,ones(1,nz))./(zq(:,ones (1,nz))...
-z(ones(nq,1),:)));
F=reshape(F,nr,nc)/(2*pi*i);
%===============================================

```
```

63:
% function [val,bp,wf]=gcquad(func,xlow,...
% xhigh,nquad,mparts,varargin)
% See Appendix B
%==============================================
% function val=splined(xd,yd,x,if2)
% See Appendix B

```

\subsection*{12.12 Inviscid Fluid Flow around an Elliptic Cylinder}

This section analyzes inviscid flow around an elliptic cylinder in an infinite field. Flow around a circular cylinder is treated first. Then the function conformally mapping the exterior of a circle onto the exterior of an ellipse is used in conjunction with the invariance of harmonic functions under a conformal transformation. Results describing the elliptic cylinder flow field for uniform velocity components at infinity are presented.

Let us solve for the flow around a circular cylinder in the region \(|\zeta| \geq 1, \zeta=\xi+i \eta\) with the requirement that the velocity components at infinity have constant values
\[
u=U \quad, \quad v=V
\]
where \((u, v)\) are the horizontal and vertical components of velocity. These components are derivable from a potential function \(\phi\) such that
\[
u=\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \xi} \quad, \quad v=\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \eta}
\]
where \(\phi\) is a harmonic function. The velocity normal to the cylinder boundary must be zero. This requires that the function \(\psi\), the harmonic conjugate of \(\phi\), must be constant on the boundary. The constant can be taken as zero without loss of generality. In terms of the complex velocity potential
\[
f(\zeta)=\phi+i \psi
\]
we need
\[
f(\zeta)-\overline{f(\zeta)}=0 \quad \text { on } \quad|\zeta|=1
\]

The velocity field is related to the complex velocity potential by
\[
u-i v=f^{\prime}(\zeta)
\]
so the flow condition at infinity is satisfied by
\[
f(\zeta)=p \zeta+O(1) \quad \text { where } \quad p=U-i V
\]

A Laurent series can be used to represent \(f(\zeta)\) in the form
\[
f(\zeta)=p \zeta+a_{0}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} \zeta^{-n}
\]

Imposition of the boundary condition on the cylinder surface requiring
\[
f(\sigma)-\overline{f(\sigma)}=0 \quad \text { where } \quad \sigma=e^{\imath \theta}
\]
leads to
\[
p \sigma+a_{0}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} \sigma^{-n}-\bar{p} \sigma^{-1}-\overline{a_{0}}-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{a_{n}} \sigma^{n}=0
\]

Taking \(a_{0}=0, a_{1}=\bar{p}\), and \(a_{n}=0, n \geq 2\) satisfies all conditions of the problem and yields
\[
f(\zeta)=p \zeta+\bar{p} \zeta^{-1}
\]
as the desired complex potential function giving the velocity field as
\[
u-i v=f^{\prime}(\zeta)=p-\bar{p} \zeta^{-2} \quad, \quad|\zeta| \geq 1
\]

Now consider flow about an elliptic cylinder lying in the \(z\)-plane. If the velocity at infinity has components \((U, V)\) then we need a velocity potential \(F(z)\) such that \(F^{\prime}(\infty)=U-i V\) and
\[
F(z)-\overline{F(z)}=0 \quad \text { for } \quad\left(\frac{x}{a}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{y}{b}\right)^{2}=1
\]

This is nearly the same problem as was already solved in the \(\zeta\)-plane except that
\[
\frac{d F}{d z}=\frac{d \zeta}{d z} \frac{d F}{d \zeta}=\frac{1}{\omega^{\prime}(\zeta)} \frac{d F}{d \zeta}
\]
where \(\omega(\zeta)\) is the mapping function
\[
z=\omega(\zeta)=R\left(\zeta+m \zeta^{-1}\right) \quad, \quad R=\frac{a+b}{2} \quad, \quad m=\frac{a-b}{a+b}
\]

In terms of \(\zeta\) we would need
\[
\frac{d F}{d \zeta}=\omega^{\prime}(\infty)[U-i V]=R(U-i V) \quad \text { at } \quad \zeta=\infty
\]

Consequently, the velocity potential for the elliptic cylinder problem expressed in terms of \(\zeta\) is
\[
F=p \zeta+\bar{p} \zeta^{-1} \quad, \quad p=R(U-\imath V)
\]
and the velocity components in the \(z\)-plane are given by
\[
u-i v=\frac{1}{\omega^{\prime}(\zeta)}\left[p-\bar{p} \zeta^{-2}\right]=\frac{(U-i V)-(U-i V) \zeta^{-2}}{1-m \zeta^{-2}}
\]

To get values for a particular choice of \(z\) we can use the inverse mapping function
\[
\zeta=\frac{z+\sqrt{z^{2}-4 m R^{2}}}{2 R}
\]
to eliminate \(\zeta\) or we can compute results in terms of \(\zeta\).
To complete our discussion of this flow problem we will graph the lines characterizing the directions of flow. The velocity potential \(F=\phi+i \psi\) satisfies
\[
u=\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}=\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \quad, \quad v=\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}=-\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}
\]
so a curve tangent to the velocity field obeys
\[
\frac{d y}{d x}=\frac{v}{u}=-\frac{\partial \psi / \partial x}{\partial \psi / \partial y}
\]
or
\[
\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} d x+\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} d y=0 \quad, \quad \psi=\mathrm{constant}
\]

Consequently, the flow lines are the contours of function \(\psi\), which is called the stream function. The function we want to contour does not exist inside the ellipse, but we can circumvent this problem by computing \(\psi\) in the ellipse exterior and then setting \(\psi\) to zero inside the ellipse. The function elipcyl analyzes the cylinder flow and produces the accompanying contour plot shown in Figure 12.9.


Figure 12.9: \(\quad\) Elliptic Cylinder Flow Field for Angle \(=30^{\circ}\)

\subsection*{12.12.1 Program Output and Code}

\section*{Function elipcyl}

1: function \([x, y, F]=e l i p c y l(a, n, r x, r y, a n g)\)
2: \%
3: \% [x,y, F]=elipcyl (a,n,rx,ry,ang)
4: \%
5: \%
6: \% This function computes the flow field around
7: \% an elliptic cylinder. The velocity direction
8: \% at infinity is arbitrary.
9: \%
10: \% a - defines the region \(-a<x<a,-a<y<a\)
11: \% within which the flow field is
12: \% computed
13: \(\% \mathrm{n}\) - this determines the grid size which
4: \% uses \(n\) by \(n\) points
15: \% rx, ry - major and minor semi-diameters af the
16: \% ellipse lying on the x and y axes,
```

    % respectively
    % ang - the angle in degrees which the
% velocity at infinity makes with the
x axis

- matrices of points where the velocity
potential is computed
% F
- matrix of complex velocity potential
values. This function is set to zero
inside the ellipse, where the
potential is actually not defined
User m functions called: none
% default data for a 2 by 1 ellipse
if nargin==0
a=5; n=81; rx=2; ry=1; ang=30;
end
% Compute a square grid in the z plane.
ar=pi/180*ang; p=(rx+ry)/2*exp(-i*ar);
cp=conj(p); d=linspace(-a,a,n);
[x,y]=meshgrid(d,d); m=sqrt(rx^2-ry^2);
% Obtain points in the zeta plane outside
% the ellipse
z=x(:)+i*y(:); k=find((x/rx).^2+(y/ry). ^2>=1);
Z=z(k); zeta=(Z+sqrt(Z-m).*sqrt(Z+m))/(rx+ry);
F=zeros(n*n,1);
% Evaluate the potential for a circular
% cylinder
F(k)=p*zeta+cp./zeta; F=reshape(F,n,n);
% Contour the stream function to show the
% direction of flow
clf; contourf(x(1,:),y(:,1),abs(imag(F)),30);
axis('square'); zb=exp(i*linspace(0,2*pi,101));
xb=rx*real(zb); yb=ry*imag(zb);
xb(end)=xb(1); yb(end)=yb(1);
hold on; fill(xb,yb,[127/255 1 212/255]);
xlabel('x axis'); ylabel('y axis');
title(['Elliptic Cylinder Flow Field for ', ...
'Angle = ',num2str(ang),' Degrees']);

```
```

colormap hsv; figure(gcf); hold off;
%print -deps elipcyl

```

\subsection*{12.13 Torsional Stresses in a Beam Mapped onto a Unit Disk}

Torsional stresses in a cylindrical beam can be computed from an integral formula when the function \(z=\omega(\zeta)\) mapping the unit disk, \(|\zeta| \leq 1\), onto the beam cross section is known [90]. The complex stress function
\[
f(\zeta)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\gamma} \frac{\omega(\sigma) \overline{\omega(\sigma)} d \sigma}{\sigma-\zeta}+\text { constant }
\]
where \(\gamma\) denotes the unit circle, can be evaluated exactly by contour integration in some cases. However, an approach employing series methods is easy to implement and gives satisfactory results if enough series terms are taken. When \(\omega(\zeta)\) is a polynomial, \(f(\zeta)\) is a polynomial of the same order as \(\omega(\zeta)\). Furthermore, when \(\omega(\zeta)\) is a rational function, residue calculus can be employed to compute \(f(\zeta)\) exactly, provided the poles of \(\bar{\omega}(1 / \zeta)\) can be found. A much simpler approach is to use the FFT to expand \(\omega(\sigma) \overline{\omega(\sigma)}\) in a complex Fourier series and write
\[
\omega(\sigma) \overline{\omega(\sigma)}=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_{n} \sigma^{n} \quad, \quad \sigma=e^{\imath \theta}
\]

Then the complex stress function is
\[
f(\zeta)=i \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n} \zeta^{n}+\text { constant }
\]
where the constant has no influence on the stress state. The shear stresses relative to the curvilinear coordinate system are obtainable from the formula
\[
\frac{\tau_{\rho Z}-i \tau_{\alpha Z}}{\mu \varepsilon}=\frac{\left[f^{\prime}(\zeta)-i \overline{\omega(\zeta)} \omega^{\prime}(\zeta)\right] \zeta}{\left|\zeta \omega^{\prime}(\zeta)\right|}
\]
where \(\mu\) is the shear modulus and \(\varepsilon\) is the angle of twist per unit length. The capital \(Z\) subscript on shear stresses refers to the direction of the beam axis normal to the \(x y\) plane rather than the complex variable \(z=x+\imath y\). The series expansion gives
\[
f^{\prime}(\zeta)=i \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n c_{n} \zeta^{n-1}
\]
and this can be used to compute stresses. Differentiated series expansions often converge slowly or may even be divergent. To test the series expansion solution, a


Figure 12.10: Torsional Shear Stresses on a Square Cross Section
rational function mapping \(|\zeta|<1\) onto a square defined by \(|x| \leq 1\) and \(|y| \leq 1\) was employed. Function mapsqr which computes \(z(\zeta)\) and \(z^{\prime}(\zeta)\) is used by function torstres to evaluate stresses in terms of \(\zeta\). A short driver program runtors evaluates stresses on the boundary for \(x=1,0 \leq y \leq 1\). Stresses divided by the side length of 2 are plotted and results produced from a highly accurate solution [90] are compared with values produced using 800 terms in \(f(\zeta)\). Results depicted in Figure 12.10 show that the error in maximum shear stress was only \(0.44 \%\) and the torsional stiffness was accurate within \(0.05 \%\). The numerical solution gives a nonzero stress value for \(y=1\), which disagree with the exact solution. This error is probably due more to the mapping function giving slightly rounded corners than to slow convergence of the series solution. Even though the differentiated series converges slowly, computation time is still small. The reader can verify that using 1500 terms reduces the boundary stress oscillations to negligible magnitude and produces a maximum stress error of \(0.03 \%\). Although taking 1500 terms to achieve accurate results seems excessive, less than 400 nonzero terms are actually involved because geometrical symmetry implies a series increasing in powers of four. For simplicity and generality, no attempt was made to account for geometrical symmetry exhibited by a particular mapping function. It appears that a series solution employing a mapping function is a viable computational tool to deal with torsion problems.

\subsection*{12.13.1 Program Output and Code}

\section*{Program runtors}
```

function runtors(ntrms)
% Example: runtors(ntrms)
%
%
% Example showing torsional stress computation
% for a beam of square cross section using
% conformal mapping and a complex stress
% function.
%
% ntrms - number of series terms used to
% represent abs(w(zeta))^2
%
% User m functions called: torstres, mapsqr
% Generate zeta values defining half of a side
theta=linspace(0,pi/4,501); zeta=exp(i*theta);
if nargin==0, ntrms=800; end
% Compute stresses using an approximate rational
% function mapping function for the square
[tr,ta,z,c,C]= ...
torstres('mapsqr',zeta,ntrms,4*1024);
% Results from the exact solution
n=1:2:13;
tmexact=1-8/pi^2*sum(1./(n.^2.*cosh(n*pi/2)));
err=abs(ta(1)/2-tmexact)*100/tmexact;
stfexct=16/3-1024/pi^5*sum(tanh(pi/2*n)./n. ^5);
stfaprx=8/3-pi*sum((1:ntrms)'.* ...
abs(C(2:ntrms+1)). ^2);
ster=100*abs(stfaprx-stfexct)/stfexct;
% Plot circumferential and normal stresses at
% the boundary
th=180/pi*theta;
clf; plot(imag(z),tr/2,'k:',imag(z),ta/2,'k-')
xlabel('y distance along the side');
ylabel('shear stresses at the boundary');
title(['Torsional Shear Stresses on a ', ...
'Square Cross Section']);

```
```

text(.05,.40, ...
['Max Shear Stress = ',num2str(max(ta)/2)]);
text(.05,.34, ...
['Number of Series Terms = ',num2str(ntrms)]);
text(.05,.28, ...
['Maximum Stress Error = ',num2str(err),'%']);
text(.05,.22,['Stiffness Factor Error = ', ...
num2str(ster),'%']);
legend('Radial shear stress',...
'Tangential shear stress');
figure(gcf);
%disp('Use mouse to locate legend block');
%disp('Press [Enter] when finished');
%print -deps torsion
%==============================================
function [trho,talpha,z,c,C]= ...
torstres(mapfun,zeta,ntrms,nft)
%
% [trho,talpha,z,c,C]= ...
% torstres(mapfun,zeta,ntrms,nft)
%
%
% This function computes torsional stresses in
% a beam such that abs(zeta)<=1 is mapped onto
% the beam cross section by a function named
mapfun.
%
% mapfun - a character string giving the name
of the mapping function
% zeta - values in the zeta plane
% corresponding to which torsional
stresses are computed
ntrms - the number of terms used in the
series expansion of the mapping
function

- the number of function values
employed to compute Fourier
coefficients of the complex stress
function
% trho - torsional stresses in directions
normal to the lines into which
abs(zeta)=const map. These values

```
```

86: %
should be zero at the boundary
corresponding to abs(zeta)=1.
torsional stresses in directions
tangent to the curves into which
abs(zeta)=const map. The maximum
value of shear stress always occurs
at some point on the boundary defined
by abs(zeta)=1.
94: % z
- values of z where stresses are
computed
- coefficients in the series expansion
of the complex stress function
- complex Fourier coefficients of
z.*conj(z) on the boundary of the
beam cross section
101: %
102: % User m functions called: none
%--------------------------------------------------
104:
105: if nargin<4, nft=4096; end;
106: if nargin<3, ntrms=800; end
107:
108: % Compute boundary values of the mapping
109: % function needed to construct the complex
10: % stress function
11: zetab=exp(i*linspace(0,2*pi*(nft-1)/nft,nft));
zb=feval(mapfun,zetab); zb=zb(:);
% Evaluate z and z'(zeta) at other
% desired points
[z,zp]=feval(mapfun,zeta);
% Compute Fourier coefficients for the complex
% stress function and its derivative
C=fft(zb.*conj(zb))/nft;
c=i*C(2:ntrms+1).*(1:ntrms)';
fp=polyval(flipud(c),zeta);
% Evaluate stresses relative to the curvilinear
% coordinate system
tcplx=zeta./abs(zeta.*zp).*(fp-i*conj(z).*zp);
% trho is the radial shear stress that should
% vanish at the boundary
trho=real(tcplx);

```
133: \% gives the maximum stress of interest at the
134: \% boundary
135: talpha=-imag(tcplx);
36
137:
138
139: function \([z, z p]=m a p s q r(z e t a) ;\)
140: \%
141: \% [z,zp]=mapsqr (zeta)
142: \%
143: \%
144: \% This function maps the interior of a circle
145: \% onto the interior of a square using a rational
146: \% function of the approximate form:
147: \%
48: \% z(zeta) \(=\) zeta \(* \operatorname{Sum}(\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{j}) * \ldots\)
149: \% zeta4^(j-1)/(1+Sum(b(j)*zeta4^(j-1))
50: \%
151: \% where zeta4=zeta^4
\%
\% zeta - matrix of complex values such that
\(\% \quad\) abs (zeta) <=1
\(\% \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{zp}\) - matrices of values of the mapping
                                    function and its first derivative
\%
\% User m functions called: none

\(a=[1.07835,1.37751,-0.02642,-0.09129, \ldots\)
    \(0.13460,-0.15763,0.07430,0.14858, \ldots\)
    0.01878, -0.00354 ]';
\(\mathrm{b}=[1.37743,0.07157,-0.11085,0.12778, \ldots\)
    \(-0.13750, \quad 0.05313, \quad 0.14931, \quad 0.02683, \ldots\)
    \(-0.00350,-0.000120]^{\prime} ;\)
\% Evaluate the mapping function
zeta4=zeta.^4; p=zeta.*polyval(flipud(a),zeta4);
q=polyval(flipud([1;b]),zeta4); z=p./q;
\% Exit if the derivative of \(z\) is not needed
if nargout==1, return, end
174:
175: \% evaluate z'(zeta)
```

na=length(a); nb=length(b);
pp=polyval(flipud((4*(1:na)'-3).*a),zeta4);
qp=4*zeta.^3.*polyval(flipud((1:nb)'.*b),zeta4);
zp=(q.*pp-p.*qp)./q.^2;

```

\subsection*{12.14 Stress Analysis by the Kolosov-Muskhelishvili Method}

Two-dimensional problems in linear elastostatics of homogeneous bodies can be analyzed with the use of analytic functions. The primary quantities of interest are cartesian stress components \(\tau_{x x}, \tau_{y y}\), and \(\tau_{x y}\) and displacement components \(u\) and \(v\). These can be expressed as
\[
\begin{gathered}
\tau_{x x}+\tau_{y y}=2[\Phi(z)+\overline{\Phi(z)}] \\
-\tau_{x x}+\tau_{y y}+2 i \tau_{x y}=2\left[\bar{z} \Phi^{\prime}(z)+\Psi(z)\right] \\
2 \mu(u+i v)=\kappa \phi(z)-z \overline{\Phi(z)}-\overline{\psi(z)} \\
\phi(z)=\int \Phi(z) d z \quad, \quad \psi(z)=\int \Psi(z) d z
\end{gathered}
\]
where \(\mu\) is the shear modulus and \(\kappa\) depends on Poisson's ratio \(\nu\) according to \(\kappa=3-4 \nu\) for plane strain or \(\kappa=(3-\nu) /(1+\nu)\) for plane stress. The above relations are known as the Kolosov-Muskhelishvili formulas [73] and they have been used to solve many practical problems employing series or integral methods. Bodies such as a circular disk, a plate with a circular hole, and a circular annulus can be handled for quite general boundary conditions. Solutions can also be developed for geometries where a rational function is known that maps the interior of a circle onto the desired geometry. Futhermore, complex variable methods provide the most general techniques available for solving a meaningful class of mixed boundary value problems such as contact problems typified by pressing a rigid punch into a half plane.

Fully understanding all of the analyses presented in [72, 73] requires familiarity with contour integration, conformal mapping, and multivalued functions. However, some of the closed form solutions given in these texts can be used without extensive background in complex variable methods or the physical concepts of elasticity theory. With that perspective let us examine the problem of computing stresses in an infinite plate uniformly stressed at infinity and having a general normal stress \(N(\theta)\) and tangential shear \(T(\theta)\) applied to the hole. We will use the general solution of Muskhelishvili \({ }^{1}\) [72] to evaluate stresses anywhere in the plate with particular interest on stress concentrations occurring around the hole. The stress functions \(\Psi\) and \(\Phi\)

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Chapter 20.
}
can be represented as follows
\[
\Phi(z)=-\frac{1}{2 \pi \imath} \int_{\gamma} \frac{(N+\imath T) d \sigma}{\sigma-z}+\alpha+\beta z^{-1}+\delta z^{-2} \quad, \quad \sigma=e^{\imath \theta}
\]
where \(\gamma\) denotes counterclockwise contour integration around the boundary of the hole and the other constants are given by
\[
\begin{gathered}
\alpha=\frac{\tau_{x x}^{\infty}+\tau_{y y}^{\infty}}{4} \quad, \quad \delta=\frac{-\tau_{x x}^{\infty}+\tau_{y y}^{\infty}+2 \imath \tau_{x y}^{\infty}}{2} \\
\beta=-\frac{\kappa}{1+\kappa} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}(N+\imath T) e^{i \theta} d \theta
\end{gathered}
\]

Parameters \(\alpha\) and \(\delta\) depend only on the components of stress at infinity, while \(\beta\) is determined by the force resultant on the hole caused by the applied loading. The quantity \(N+\imath T\) is the boundary value of radial stress \(\tau_{r r}\) and shear stress \(\tau_{r \theta}\) in polar coordinates. Hence
\[
N+\imath T=\tau_{r r}+i \tau_{r \theta} \quad, \quad|z|=1
\]

The transformation formulas relating Cartesian stresses \(\tau_{x x}, \tau_{y y}, \tau_{x y}\) and polar coordinate stresses \(\tau_{r r}, \tau_{\theta \theta}, \tau_{r \theta}\) are
\[
\tau_{r r}+\tau_{\theta \theta}=\tau_{x x}+\tau_{y y} \quad, \quad-\tau_{r r}+\tau_{\theta \theta}+2 \imath \tau_{r \theta}=\left(-\tau_{x x}+\tau_{y y}+2 i \tau_{x y}\right) e^{22 \theta}
\]

Let us assume that \(N+\imath T\) is expandable in a Fourier series of the form
\[
N+\imath T=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_{n} \sigma^{n} \quad, \quad \sigma=e^{i \theta}
\]
where \(c_{n}\) can be obtained by integration as
\[
c_{n}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}(N+i T) \sigma^{-n} d \theta
\]
or we can compute the approximate coefficients more readily using the FFT.
The stress function \(\Psi(z)\) is related to \(\Phi(z)\) according to
\[
\Psi=\frac{1}{z^{2}} \overline{\Phi\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)}-\frac{d}{d z}\left[\frac{1}{z} \Phi(z)\right] \quad, \quad|z| \geq 1
\]

Substituting the complex Fourier series into the integral formula for \(\Phi\) gives
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\Phi=-\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{n} z^{n}+\alpha+\beta z^{-1}+\delta z^{-2} & , \quad|z| \leq 1 \\
\Phi=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{-n} z^{-n}+\alpha+\beta z^{-1}+\delta z^{-2} & , \quad|z| \geq 1
\end{array}
\]
which has the form
\[
\Phi=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{-n} \quad, \quad|z| \geq 1
\]

These two relations then determine \(\Psi\) as
\[
\Psi=\bar{\delta}+\bar{\beta} z^{-1}+\left(\alpha+a_{0}-\overline{c_{0}}\right) z^{-2}+\sum_{n=3}^{\infty}\left[(n-1) a_{n-2}-\overline{c_{n-2}}\right] z^{-n}
\]

The last equation has the form
\[
\Psi=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{-n} \quad, \quad|z| \geq 1
\]
where the coefficients \(b_{n}\) are obtainable by comparing coefficients of corresponding powers in the two series. Hence, the series expansions of functions \(\Phi(z)\) and \(\Psi(z)\) can be generated in terms of the coefficients \(c_{n}\) and the stress components at infinity. The stresses can be evaluated by using the stress functions. Displacements can also be obtained by integrating \(\Phi\) and \(\Psi\), but this straightforward calculation is not discussed here.

The program runplate was written to evaluate the above formulas by expanding \(N+i T\) using the FFT. Truncating the series for harmonics above some specified order, say \(n p\), gives approximations for \(\Phi(z)\) and \(\Psi(z)\), which exactly represent the solution corresponding to the boundary loading defined by the truncated Fourier series. Using the same approach employed in Chapter 6 we can define \(N\) and \(T\) as piecewise linear functions of the polar angle \(\theta\).

The program utilizes several routines described in the table below.
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline \hline runplate & \begin{tabular}{l} 
define \(N, T\), stresses at infinity, \(z\)-points \\
where results are requested, and the number \\
of series terms used. \\
platecre \\
stress functions. series coefficients defining the \\
strfun \\
cartstrs \\
evaluates \(\Phi, \Psi\), and \(\Phi^{\prime}\). \\
evaluates Cartesian stresses for given values \\
of \(z\) and the stress functions. \\
transforms from Cartesian stresses to polar \\
coordinate stresses. \\
simplified interface to function polyval.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline polflip
\end{tabular}

The program solves two sample problems. The first one analyzes a plate having no loading on the hole, and stresses at infinity given by \(\tau_{y y}^{\infty}=1, \tau_{x x}^{\infty}=\tau_{x y}^{\infty}=0\). Figure 12.11 shows that the circumferential stress on the hole varies between -1 and


Figure 12.11: Stress Concentration around a Circular Hole in a Plate

3 , producing a stress concentration factor of three due to the presence of the hole. The second problem applies a sinusoidally varying normal stress on the hole while the stresses at infinity are zero. Taking
```

T=0; ti=[0,0,0];
th=linspace(0,2*pi,81);
N=[cos(4*th), 180/pi*th];

```
gives the results depicted in Figure 12.12. Readers may find it interesting to investigate how stresses around the hole change with different combinations of stress at infinity and normal stress distributions on the hole.


Figure 12.12: Harmonic Loading on a Circular Hole in a Plate

\subsection*{12.14.1 Program Output and Code}

\section*{Program runplate}
```

function runplate(WhichProblem)
\% Example: runplate(WhichProblem)
\%
\%
\% Example to compute stresses around a
\% circular hole in a plate using the
\% Kolosov-Muskhelishvili method.
\%
\% User m functions required:
\% platecrc, strfun, cartstrs,
\% rec2polr, polflip, lintrp
if nargin==0
titl=['Stress Concentration Around a ', ...
'Circular Hole in a Plate'];
$\mathrm{N}=0$; $\mathrm{T}=0$; ti=[0,1,0]; kapa=2; np=50;
Nn='N = $0^{\prime} ; ~ T t=' T=0 ' ;$
rz=linspace (1,3,20)'; tz=linspace(0,2*pi,81);
$z=r z * \exp (i * t z) ; x=r e a l(z) ; y=i m a g(z) ;$
viewpnt=[-40,10];
else
titl=['Harmonic Loading on a Circular', ...
' Hole in a Plate'];
th=linspace ( $0,2 *$ pi, 81 )';
$\mathrm{N}=[\cos (4 * \mathrm{th}), 180 / \mathrm{pi} * \mathrm{th}]$;
Nn='N = cos ( $4 *$ theta)'; Tt='T = 0';
$\mathrm{T}=0$; $\mathrm{ti}=[0,0,0]$; kapa=2; $\mathrm{np}=10$;
rz=linspace (1,2,10)'; tz=linspace (0,2*pi,81);
$z=r z * \exp (i * t z)$; $x=r e a l(z) ; y=i m a g(z)$;
viewpnt=[-20,20];
end
fprintf('\nSTRESSES IN A PLATE WITH A ')
fprintf('CIRCULAR HOLE')
fprintf('\n\nStress components at infinity ')
fprintf('are: '); fprintf( $\% \%$ g , ti) ;
fprintf('\nNormal stresses on the hole are ')
fprintf(['defined by ',Nn]);
fprintf('\nTangential stresses on the hole ')
fprintf(['are defined by ', Tt])

```
```

fprintf('\nElastic constant kappa equals: ')
fprintf('%s',num2str(kapa));
fprintf('\nHighest harmonic order used is: ')
fprintf('%s',num2str(np));
[a,b,c]=platecrc(N,T,ti,kapa,np);
fprintf('\n');
fprintf('\nThe Kolosov-Muskhelishvili stress ');
fprintf('functions have\nthe series forms:');
fprintf('\nPhi=sum(a(k)*z^(-k+1), k=1:np+1)');
fprintf('\nPsi=sum(b(k)*z^(-k+1), k=1:np+3)');
fprintf('\n');
fprintf('\nCoefficients defining stress ');
fprintf('function Phi are:\n');
disp(a(:));
fprintf('Coefficients defining stress ');
fprintf('function Psi are:\n');
disp(b(:));
% Evaluate the stress functions
[Phi,Psi,Phip]=strfun(a,b,z);
% Compute the Cartesian stresses and the
% principal stresses
[tx,ty,txy,pt1,pt2]=cartstrs(z,Phi,Psi,Phip);
theta=angle(z./abs(z)); x=real(z); y=imag(z);
[tr,tt,trt]=rec2polr(tx,ty,txy,theta);
pmin=num2str(min([pt1(:);pt2(:)]));
pmax=num2str(max([pt1(:);pt2(:)]));
disp(...
['Minimum Principal Stress = ',num2str(pmin)]);
disp(...
['Maximum Principal Stress = ',num2str(pmax)]);
fprintf('\nPress [Enter] for a surface ');
fprintf('plot of the\ncircumferential stress ');
fprintf('in the plate\n'); input('','s'); clf;
close; colormap('hsv');
surf(x,y,tt); xlabel('x axis'); ylabel('y axis');
zlabel('Circumferential Stress');
title(titl); grid on; view(viewpnt); figure(gcf);
%if nargin==0, print -deps strconc1
%else, print -deps strconc2; end
fprintf('All Done\n');

```

86:
87:
88:
89: function \([\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}]=\mathrm{platecrc}(\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{ti}, \mathrm{kapa}, \mathrm{np})\)
90: \%
91: \% [a,b, c]=platecrc(N,T,ti,kapa,np)
92: \%
93: \(\%\)
4: This function computes coefficients in the
95: \% series expansions that define the Kolosov-
96: \% Muskhelishvili stress functions for a plate
97: \% having a circular hole of unit radius. The
98: \% plate is uniformly stressed at infinity. On
99: \% the surface of the hole, normal and tangential
100: \% stress distributions N and T defined as
101: \% piecewise linear functions are applied.
102: \%
103: \% N - a two column matrix with each row containing a value of normal stress and polar angle in degrees used to specify \(N\) as a piecewise linear function of the polar angle. Step discontinuities can be included by using successive values of N with the same polar angle values. The data should cover the range of theta from 0 to 360. N represents boundary values of the polar coordinate radial stress. A single constant value can be input when N is constant (including zero if desired).
117: \% T - a two column matrix defining values of the polar coordinate shear stress on the hole defined as a piecewise linear function. The points where function values of \(T\) are specified do not need to be the same as as those used to specify N. Input a single constant when T is constant on the boundary.
125: \% ti - vector of Cartesian stress components [tx,ty,txy] at infinity.
127: \% kapa - a constant depending on Poisson's ratio

128: \%
129: \%
130: \%
kapa=3-4*nu for plane strain
kapa=(3-nu)/(1+nu) for plane stress
```

131:
132:%
133: %
When the resultant force on the hole is zero, then kapa has no effect on
% the solution.
134. % np
135: %
136: %
37: %
38: % a
139: %
140: %
- coefficients in the series expansion
defining the stress function
Psi=sum(b(k)*z^(-k+1), k=1:np+3)
in the series expansion of N+i*T. This
should not exceed 255.
a - coefficients in the series expansion
defining the stress function
Phi=sum(a(k)*z^(-k+1), k=1:np+1)
b
defining the stress function
%
145: % User m functions called: lintrp
146: %-----------------------------------------------------

```

```

148: % Handle case of constant boundary stresses
149: if length(N(:))==1; N=[N,0;N,360]; end
150: if length(T(:))==1; T=[T,0;T,360]; end
151:
152: % Expand the boundary stresses in a Fourier
153: % series
154: f=pi/180; nft=512; np=min(np,nft/2-1);
155: thta=linspace(0,2*pi*(nft-1)/nft,nft);
156:
157: % Interpolate linearly for values at the
158: % Fourier points
159: Nft=lintrp(f*N(:,2),N(:,1),thta);
160: Tft=lintrp(f*T(:,2),T(:,1),thta);
161: C=fft(Nft(:)+i*Tft(:))/nft;
162:
163: % Evaluate auxiliary parameters in the
164: % series solutions
165: alp=(ti(1)+ti(2))/4; bet=-kapa*c(nft)/(1+kapa);
166: sig=(-ti(1)+ti(2)-2*i*ti(3))/2;
167:
168: % Generate a and b coefficients using the
169: % Fourier coefficients of N+i*T.
170: a=zeros(np+1,1); b=zeros(np+3,1); j=(1:np)';
171: a(j+1)=c(nft+1-j); a(1)=alp;
172: a(2)=bet+c(nft); a(3)=sig+c(nft-1);
173: j=(3:np+2)'; b (j+1)=(j-1).*a(j-1)-conj(c(j-1));
174: b(1)=conj(sig); b(2)=conj(bet);
175: b(3)=alp+a(1)-conj(c(1));

```
180: ka=max(find(abs(a)>tol));
81: if isempty(ka), \(a=0\); else, \(a(k a+1: n p+1)=[]\); end
82: \(\mathrm{kb}=\max (\mathrm{find}(\mathrm{abs}(\mathrm{b})>\) tol) \()\);
183: if isempty \((k b), b=0\); else, \(b(k b+1: n p+3)=[]\); end
189: \% [Phi,Psi,Phip]=strfun(a,b,z)
\% This function evaluates the complex
\% stress functions Phi(z) and Psi(z)
\(\%\) as well as the derivative function Phi'(z)
\% using series coefficients determined from
\% function platecrc. The calculation also
\% uses a function polflip defined such that
\% polflip(a,z)=polyval(flipud(a(:)),z).
199: \%
200: \% a,b - series coefficients defining Phi
201: \%
and Psi
\(\%\) z - matrix of complex values
203: \%
204: \% Phi,Psi - complex stress function values
205: \% Phip - derivative Phi'(z)
206: \%
207: \% User m functions called: polflip
208:
209:
210:
211:
212:
213:
214:
215:
216:
217:
218: \%
220: \%
```

219: % [tx (x,ty, txiv~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
zi=1./z; np=length(a); a=a(:);
Phi=polflip(a,zi); Psi=polflip(b,zi);
Phip=-polflip((1:np-1)'.*a(2:np),zi)./z.^2;
%==============================================
function [tx,ty,txy,tp1,tp2]= ...
cartstrs(z,Phi,Psi,Phip)

```

221: \%
222: \% This function uses values of the complex
223: \% stress functions to evaluate Cartesian stress
224: \% components relative to the \(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\) axes.
\(225: \%\)
226: \% z - matrix of complex values where
227: \% stresses are required
228: \% Phi,Psi - matrices containing complex stress
229: \% function values
230: \% Phip - values of Phi'(z)
231: \%
232: \% tx,ty,txy - values of the Cartesian stress
233: \% components for the \(x, y\) axes
234: \% tp1,tp2 - values of maximum and minimum
235: \% principal stresses
236 : \%
237: \% User m functions called: none
238:
239:
240: \(A=2 * r e a l(P h i) ; B=\operatorname{conj}(z) . * P h i p+P s i ;\)
241: \(C=A-B ; R=a b s(B)\);
242: tx=real (C) ; ty=2*A-tx; txy=-imag(C);
243: \(\mathrm{tp} 1=\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{R}\); \(\mathrm{tp} 2=\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{R}\);
244:
245:
246:
247: function [tr,tt,trt]=rec2polr(tx,ty,txy,theta)
248: \%
249: \% [tr, tt,trt]=rec2polr(tx,ty,txy,theta)
250 : \%
251: \%
252: \% This function transforms Cartesian stress
253: \% components tx,ty,txy to polar coordinate
254: \% stresses tr,tt,trt.
255 : \%
256: \% tx,ty,txy - matrices of Cartesian stress
257: \% components
258: \% theta - a matrix of polar coordinate
259: \% values. This can also be a
\(260 \% \quad\) single value if all stress
261: \% components are rotated by the
262: \% same angle.
263: \%
264: \% tr,tt,trt - matrices of polar coordinate
265: \% stresses
```

%
% User m functions called: none
%--------------------------------------------------
if length(theta(:))==1
theta=theta*ones(size(tx)); end
a=(tx+ty)/2;
b=((tx-ty)/2-i*txy).*exp(2*i*theta);
c=a+b; tr=real(c); tt=2*a-tr; trt=-imag(c);
%==============================================
function y=polflip(a,x)
%
% y=polflip(a,x)
%
%
% This function evaluates polyval(a,x) with
% the order of the elements reversed.
%
%----------------------------------------------------
y=polyval(a(end:-1:1),x);
%===============================================
% function y=lintrp(xd,yd,x)
% See Appendix B

```

\subsection*{12.14.2 Stressed Plate with an Elliptic Hole}

This chapter is concluded with an example using conformal mapping in elasticity theory. We discussed earlier the useful property that harmonic functions remain harmonic under a conformal transformation. However, linear elasticity leads to the biharmonic Airy stress function which satisfies
\[
\left[\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}\right]^{2} U=0
\]

Unfortunately, a conformal transformation \(x+i y=\omega(\xi+i \eta)\) does not imply
\[
\left[\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \xi^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \eta^{2}}\right]^{2} U=0
\]
except when the mapping function has the trivial linear form \(z=c_{1} \zeta+c_{0}\). Consequently, the analogy employed in the ideal flow problem is not applicable in linear
elasticity. This does not preclude use of conformal mapping in elasticity, but we encounter equations of very different structure in the mapped variables. We will examine that problem enough to illustrate the kind of differences involved. Let a mapping function \(z=\omega(\zeta)\) define curvilinear coordinate lines in the \(z\)-plane. A polar coordinate grid corresponding to \(\arg (\zeta)=\) constant and \(|\zeta|=\) constant maps into curves we term \(\rho\) lines and \(\alpha\) lines, respectively. Plotting of such lines was demonstrated previously with function gridview (mapping the exterior of a circle onto the exterior of an ellipse). It can be shown that curvilinear coordinate stresses \(\tau_{\rho \rho}, \tau_{\alpha \alpha}\), \(\tau_{\rho \alpha}\) are related to cartesian stresses according to
\[
\tau_{\rho \rho}+\tau_{\alpha \alpha}=\tau_{x x}+\tau_{y y} \quad, \quad-\tau_{\rho \rho}+\tau_{\alpha \alpha}+2 i \tau_{\rho \alpha}=h\left(-\tau_{x x}+\tau_{y y}+2 i \tau_{x y}\right)
\]
where
\[
h=\frac{\zeta \omega^{\prime}(\zeta)}{\overline{\zeta \omega^{\prime}(\zeta)}}
\]

Muskhelishvili [72] has developed a general solution for a plate with an elliptic hole allowing general boundary tractions. Here we use one solution from his text which employs the mapping function
\[
z=\omega(\zeta)=R\left(\zeta+\frac{m}{\zeta}\right)
\]
and the stress functions
\[
\phi(z)=\int \Phi(z) d z \quad \psi(z)=\int \Psi(z) d z
\]

When \(\zeta\) is selected as the primary reference variable, we have to perform chain rule differentiation and write
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi(z)=\frac{\phi^{\prime}(\zeta)}{\omega^{\prime}(\zeta)} \quad \Psi(z)=\frac{\psi^{\prime}(\zeta)}{\omega^{\prime}(\zeta)} \\
& \Phi^{\prime}(z)=\frac{\omega^{\prime}(\zeta) \phi^{\prime \prime}(\zeta)-\omega^{\prime \prime}(\zeta) \phi^{\prime}(\zeta)}{\omega^{\prime}(\zeta)^{3}}
\end{aligned}
\]
in order to compute stresses in terms of the \(\zeta\)-variable. Readers unaccustomed to using conformal mapping in this context should remember that there is no stress state in the \(\zeta\)-plane comparable to the analogous velocity components which can be envisioned in a potential flow problem. We are simply using \(\zeta\) as a convenient reference variable to analyze physical stress and displacement quantities existing only in the \(z\)-plane.

Suppose the infinite plate has an elliptic hole defined by
\[
\left(\frac{x}{r_{x}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{y}{r_{y}}\right)^{2}=1
\]
and the hole is free of applied tractions. The stress state at infinity consists of a tension \(p\) inclined at angle \(\lambda\) with the \(x\)-axis. The stress functions relating to that problem are found to be ([72], page 338)
\[
\begin{gathered}
\phi(\zeta)=b \zeta+\frac{c}{\zeta} \\
\psi(\zeta)=d \zeta+\frac{e}{\zeta}+\frac{f \zeta}{\zeta^{2}-m} \quad, \quad|\zeta| \geq 1 \\
a=e^{22 \lambda} \quad, \quad b=\frac{p r}{4} \quad, \quad c=b(2 a-m) \\
d=-\frac{p r \bar{a}}{2} \quad, \quad e=-\frac{p r a}{2 m} \quad, \quad f=\frac{p r\left(m+\frac{1}{m}\right)}{2}
\end{gathered}
\]

Clearly these functions have no obvious relation to the simpler results shown earlier for a plate with a circular hole. The function eliphole computes curvilinear coordinate stresses in the \(z\)-plane expressed in terms of the \(\zeta\)-variable. When \(\lambda=\pi / 2\), the plate tension acts along the \(y\)-axis and the maximum circumferential stress occurs at \(z=r_{x}\) corresponding to \(\zeta=1\). A surface plot produced by eliphole for the default data case using \(r_{x}=2\) and \(r_{y}=1\) is shown in Figure 12.13. It is also interesting to graph \(\tau_{\alpha \alpha}^{\max } / \tau_{y y}^{\infty}\) as a function of \(r_{x} / r_{y}\). The program elpmaxst produces the plot in Figure 12.14 showing that the circumferential stress concentration increases linearly according to
\[
\frac{\tau_{\alpha \alpha}^{\max }}{p}=1+2\left(\frac{r_{x}}{r_{y}}\right)
\]
which can also be verified directly from the stress functions.


Figure 12.13: Circumferential Stress around an Elliptical Hole


Figure 12.14: Stress Concentration around an Elliptical Hole

\subsection*{12.14.3 Program Output and Code}

\section*{Program elpmaxst}
```

function elpmaxst
% Example: elpmaxst
%
%
% MATLAB example to plot the stress
% concentration around an elliptic hole
% as a function of the semi-diameter ratio.
%
% User m functions required: eliphole
rx=2; ry=1; p=1; ang=90; ifplot=1;
zeta=linspace(1,2,11)'* ...
exp(i*linspace(0,2*pi,121));
eliphole(rx,ry,p,ang,zeta,1);
r=linspace(1.001,10,19); tamax=zeros(size(r));
for j=1:19
[tr,tamax(j)]=eliphole(r(j),1,1,90,1);
end
plot(r,tamax,'-',r,tamax,'o');
title(['Stress Concentration Around an ', ...
'Elliptical Hole']);
xlabel(['ratio ( max diameter ) / ', ...
'( min diameter )']);
ylabel(['( max circumferential stress ) / ',...
'( plate tension at infinity )']);
grid on; figure(gcf);
%print -deps elpmaxst
%==============================================
function [tr,ta,tra,z]=eliphole...
(rx,ry,p,ang,zeta,ifplot)
%
% [tr,ta,tra,z]=eliphole(rx,ry,p,ang,
% zeta,ifplot)
7: %
8:%
9: % This function determines curvilinear
% coordinate stresses around an elliptic hole

```
```

41:
42:%
43: % rx,ry - ellipse semidiameters on the x and
4:%
45: % p
46: %
47: % ang
48: %
49: % zeta
50: %
ifplot

- optional parameter that is given
a value if a surface plot of the
circumferential stress is desired
tr
- tensile stress normal to an
elliptical coordinate line
- tensile stress in a direction
tangential to the elliptical
coordinate line
- shear stress complementary to the
normal stresses
1:%
% z
%
%
%: User m functions called: none
%--------------------------------------------------
67:
68: if nargin<6, ifplot=0; end
69: if nargin==0
0: rx=2; ry=1; p=1; ang=90; ifplot=1;
1: zeta=linspace(1,2,11)'* ...
end
% The complex stress functions and mapping
% function have the form
% phi(zeta)=b*zeta+c/zeta
% psi(zeta)=d*zeta+e/zeta+f*zeta/(zeta^2-m)
% z=w (zeta)=r(zeta+m/zeta)
% Phi (zeta)=phi'(zeta)/W'(zeta)
% Psi(zeta)=psi'(zeta)/W'(zeta)
% d(Phi)/dz=(w'(zeta)*phi''(zeta)-...
% w''(zeta)*phi'(zeta))/w'(zeta)^3
84:
85: r=(rx+ry)/2; m=(rx-ry)/(rx+ry);

```
```

z=r*(zeta+m./zeta); zeta2=zeta.^2;
zeta3=zeta.^3; wp=r*(1-m./zeta2);
wpp $=2 * r * m . / z e t a 3 ; ~ a=\exp (2 * i * p i / 180 * a n g) ;$
$\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{p} * \mathrm{r} / 4 ; \mathrm{c}=\mathrm{b} *(2 * \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{m})$; $\mathrm{d}=-\mathrm{p} * \mathrm{r} / 2 * \operatorname{conj}(\mathrm{a})$;
$\mathrm{e}=-\mathrm{p} * \mathrm{r} / 2 * \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{f}=\mathrm{p} * \mathrm{r} / 2 *(\mathrm{~m}+1 / \mathrm{m}) *(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{m})$;
phip=b-c./zeta2; phipp=2*c./zeta3;
h=wp.*zeta; h=h./conj(h);
Phi=phip./wp; Phipz=(wp.*phipp-wpp.*phip)./wp.^3;
Psi=(d-e./zeta2-f* (zeta2+m)./(zeta2-m). 2 ) ./wp;
$A=2 * r e a l(P h i) ; B=(\operatorname{conj}(z) . * P h i p z+P s i) . * h ;$
$\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{B}$; tr=real (C) ; ta=2*A-tr; tra=imag (B) ;
if ifplot>0
\%colormap('gray') ; brighten(.95) ;
surf (real (z),imag(z),ta);
xlabel('x axis'); ylabel('y axis');
zlabel('circumferential stress');
title(['Circumferential Stress Around ', ...
'an Elliptical Hole']);
grid on; figure(gcf); input('','s');
\%print -deps eliphole
end

```

\section*{Chapter 13}

\section*{Nonlinear Optimization Applications}

\subsection*{13.1 Basic Concepts}

Optimization problems occur for a diverse range of topics. Perhaps the simplest type of optimization problem involves a scalar function of several variables. For example, the cost of a product having several ingredients may need to be minimized. This problem can be represented by a function \(F(x)\) which depends on the vector \(x=\left[x_{1} ; x_{2} ; \ldots ; x_{n}\right]\) in \(n\)-dimensional space. Function \(F\) is called the objective function and cases where the independent variables \(x_{\imath}\) can vary arbitrarily are considered unconstrained. Most problems have constraints requiring \(x_{\imath}\) to remain within given bounds or satisfy other functional equations. Different analysis procedures exist for solving problems depending on whether they are linear or nonlinear, constrained or unconstrained. General solutions are available to handle linear objective functions with linear equality and inequality constraints. The discipline devoted to such problems is known as linear programming [41] and applications involving thousands of independent variables can be analyzed. \({ }^{1}\) Although this class of linear problems is important, it does not offer the versatility of methods used to address nonlinear problems (which are more compute intensive for problems of similar dimensionality). \({ }^{2}\) The material in this chapter addresses nonlinear problems with a few independent variables which are either constrained or restricted to lie within bounds of the form
\[
a_{\imath} \leq x_{\imath} \leq b_{\imath} .
\]

This type of constraint can be satisfied by taking
\[
x_{\imath}=a_{\imath}+\left(b_{\imath}-a_{\imath}\right) \sin ^{2}\left(z_{\imath}\right)
\]
and letting \(z_{2}\) vary arbitrarily. The MATLAB intrinsic functions fminbnd and fminsearch are employed for solving this class of problems. The following five examples are presented to illustrate the nature of nonlinear optimization methods:
1. Computing the inclination angle necessary to cause a projectile to strike a stationary distant object;

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) High dimensionality linear problems should always be solved using the appropriate specialized software.
\({ }^{2}\) The MathWorks markets an "Optimization Toolbox" intended to satisfy a number of specialized optimization needs.
}
2. Finding parameters of a nonlinear equation to closely fit a set of data values;
3. Determining components of end force on a statically loaded cable necessary to make the endpoint assume a desired position;
4. Computing the shape of a curve between two points such that a smooth particle slides from one end to the other in the minimum time;
5. Determining the closest points on two surfaces.

Before addressing specific problems, some of the general concepts of optimization will be discussed.

The minimum of an unconstrained differentiable function
\[
F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
\]
will occur at a point where the function has a zero gradient. Thus the condition
\[
\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{\imath}}=0,1 \leq \imath \leq n
\]
leads to \(n\) nonlinear simultaneous equations. Such systems often have multiple solutions, and a zero gradient indicates either a maximum, or a minimum, or a saddle point. No reliable general methods currently exist to obtain all solutions to a general system of nonlinear equations. However, practical situations do occur where one unique point providing a relative minimum is expected. In such cases \(F(x)\) is called unimodal and we seek \(x_{0}\) which makes
\[
F\left(x_{0}\right)<F\left(x_{0}+\Delta\right) \text { for }|\Delta|>0 .
\]

Most unconstrained nonlinear programming software starts from an initial point and searches iteratively for a point where the gradient vanishes. Multimodal, or nonunimodal, functions can sometimes be solved by initiating searches from multiple starting points and using the best result obtained among all the searches. Since situations such as false convergence are fairly common with nonlinear optimization methods, results obtained warrant greater scrutiny than might be necessary for linear problems.

The intrinsic MATLAB functions fminbnd and fminsearch are adequate to address many optimization problems. Readers should study the documentation available for fminbnd, which performs a one-dimensional search within specified limits, and fminsearch, which performs an unconstrained multi-dimensional search starting from a user selected point. Both functions require objective functions of acceptable syntactical form. Various options controlling convergence tolerances and function evaluation counts should be studied to insure that the parameter choices are appropriately defined.


Figure 13.1: Projectile Trajectory for \(v^{2}\) Drag Condition

\subsection*{13.2 Initial Angle for a Projectile}

In Chapter 8, equations of motion for motion of a projectile with atmospheric drag were formulated and a function traject producing a solution \(y(x)\) passing through \((x, y)=(0,0)\) with arbitrary inclination was developed. The solution is generated for \(0 \leq x \leq x_{f}\) assuming the initial velocity is large enough for the projectile to reach \(x_{f}\). Therefore, program execution terminates if \(d x / d t\) goes to zero. In order to hit a target at position \(\left(x_{f}, y_{f}\right)\), the starting angle of the trajectory must be selected iteratively because the equations of motion cannot be solved exactly (except for the undamped case). With the aid of an optimization method we calculate \(\left.\mid y\left(x_{f}\right)-y_{f}\right) \mid\) and minimize this quantity (described in function missdis which has the firing angle as its argument). Function fminbnd seeks the angle to minimize the "miss" distance. Program runtraj illustrates the solution to the problem described and Figure 13.1 shows the trajectory required for the projectile to strike the object.

Depending on the starting conditions, zero, one, or two solutions exist to cause the "miss" distance to approach zero. Function fminbnd terminates at either a local minimum or at one of the search limits. The reader will need to examine how the initial data correlate to the final answers. For example, if the projectile misses the target by a significant amount, the initial projectile velocity was not large enough to reach the target.

\section*{Program Output and Code}

\section*{Trajectory Analysis Program}
```

function runtraj
% Example: runtraj
%
%
% This program integrates the differential
% equations governing two-dimensional motion
% of a projectile subjected to gravity loading
% and atmospheric drag proportional to the
% velocity squared. The initial inclination
% angle needed to hit a distant target is
% computed repeatedly and function fmin is
% employed to minimize the square of the
% distance by which the target is missed. The
% optimal value of the miss distance is zero
% and the optimum angle will typically be found
% unless the initial velocity is too small
% and the horizontal velocity becomes zero
% before the target is passed. The initial
% velocity of the projectile must be large
% enough to make the problem well posed.
% Otherwise, the program will terminate with
% an error message.
%
% User m functions called: missdis, traject,
% projcteq
clear all;
global Vinit Gravty Cdrag Xfinl Yfinl
vinit=600; gravty=32.2; cdrag=0.002;
xfinl=1000; yfinl=100;
disp(' ');
disp('SEARCH FOR INITIAL INCLINATION ANGLE ');
disp('TO MAKE A PROJECTILE STRIKE A DISTANT');
disp('OBJECT'); disp(' ');
disp(['Initial velocity = ',num2str(vinit)]);
disp(['Gravity constant = ',num2str(gravty)]);
disp(['Drag coefficient = ',num2str(cdrag)]);
disp(['Coordinates of target = (', ...

```
```

    num2str(xfinl),',',...
    num2str(yfinl),')']); disp(' ');
    % Replicate input data as global variables
    Vinit=vinit; Gravty=gravty; Cdrag=cdrag;
    Xfinl=xfinl; Yfinl=yfinl;
    % Perform the minimization search
    fstart=180/pi*atan(yfinl/xfinl); fend=75;
disp('Please wait for completion of the')
disp('minimization search');
bestang=fminbnd(@missdis,fstart,fend);
% Display final results
[y,x,t]=traject ...
(bestang,vinit,gravty,cdrag,xfinl);
dmiss=abs(yfinl-y(length(y))); disp(' ')
disp(['Final miss distance is ', ...
num2str(dmiss),' when the']);
disp(['initial inclination angle is ', ...
num2str(bestang),...
' degrees']);
%==============================================
function [dsq,x,y]=missdis(angle)
%
% [dsq,x,y]=missdis(angle)
%
%
% This function is used by fminbnd. It returns
% an error measure indicating how much the
% target is missed for a particular initial
% inclination angle of the projectile.
%
% angle - the initial inclination angle of
% the projectile in degrees
%
% dsq - the square of the difference between
% Yfinal and the final value of y found
% using function traject.
% x,y - points on the trajectory.
%
4:% Several global parameters (Vinit, Gravty,
5: % Cdrag, Xfinl) are passed to missdis by the

```

86:
87: \%
88: \% User m functions called: traject
89:
90:
: global Vinit Gravty Cdrag Xfinl Yfinl
[y,x,t]=traject ...
(angle, Vinit, Gravty, Cdrag, Xfinl, 1) ;
dsq=(y(length(y))-Yfinl) \({ }^{\wedge} 2\);
\(\%===========================================\)
97:
98:

100: \%
101: \% [y,x,t]=traject ...
102: \% (angle, vinit,gravty, cdrag, xfinl, noplot)
103: \%
104: \%
105: \% This function integrates the dynamical
106: \% equations for a projectile subjected to
107: \% gravity loading and atmospheric drag
108: \% proportional to the square of the velocity.
109: \%
110: \% angle - initial inclination of the projectile in degrees
112: \% vinit - initial velocity of the projectile
113: (muzzle velocity)
114: \% gravty - the gravitational constant
115: \% cdrag - drag coefficient specifying the
116: \% drag force per unit mass which
117: \% equals cdrag*velocityへ2.
118: \% xfinl - the projectile is fired toward the
119: \% right from x=0. xfinl is the
120 \% largest \(x\) value for which the
121: \(\%\) solution is computed. The initial
122 \% velocity must be large enough that
123: \(\% \quad\) atmospheric damping does not reduce
\(124: \% \quad\) the horizontal velocity to zero
125: \% before xfinl is reached. Otherwise
126: \% an error termination will occur.
127: \% noplot - plotting of the trajectory is
128: \% omitted when this parameter is
129 \% given an input value
130: \%
\% driver program runtraj.

function \([y, x, t]=t r a j e c t .\).
                                    (angle, vinit, gravty, cdrag, xfinl, noplot)
```

% y,x,t - the y, x and time vectors produced
132: %
33:%
%
% Global variables:
%
137:% grav, - two constants replicating gravty and
138: % dragc cdrag, for use in function projcteq
139:% vtol - equal to vinit/1e6, used in projcteq
% to check whether the horizontal
% velocity has been reduced to zero
%
% User m functions called: projcteq
%----------------------------------------------
145:
14: global grav dragc vtol
147:
% Default data case generated when input is null
if nargin ==0
angle=45; vinit=600; gravty=32.2;
cdrag=0.002; xfinl=1000;
end;
% Assign global variables and evaluate
% initial velocity
grav=gravty; dragc=cdrag; ang=pi/180*angle;
vtol=vinit/1e6;
z0=[vinit*cos(ang); vinit*sin(ang); 0; 0];
% Integrate the equations of motion defined
% in function projcteq
deoptn=odeset('RelTol',1e-6);
[x,z]=ode45('projcteq',[0,xfinl],z0,deoptn);
y=z(:,3); t=z(:,4); n=length(x);
xf=x(n); yf=y(n);
% Plot the trajectory curve
if nargin < 6
plot(x,y,'k-',xf,yf,'ko');
xlabel('x axis'); ylabel('y axis');
title(['Projectile Trajectory for ', ...
'Velocity Squared Drag']);
axis('equal'); grid on; figure(gcf);
%print -deps trajplot

```
end
177:

80: function zp=projcteq \((x, z)\)
181: \%
182: \% zp=projcteq ( \(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{z}\) )
83: \%
84: \%
185: \% This function defines the equation of motion
: \% for a projectile loaded by gravity and
187: \% atmospheric drag proportional to the square
188: \% of the velocity.
189: \%
90: \% x - the horizontal spatial variable
191: \% z - a vector containing [vx; vy; y; t];
192: \%
3: zp - the derivative dz/dx which equals
94: \% [vx'(x) ; vy' (x) ; y' (x) ; t' (x)];
195: \%
\% Global variables:
\%
\% grav - the gravity constant
\% dragc - the drag coefficient divided by
\% gravity
\% vtol - a global variable used to check whether vx is zero
\%
\% User m functions called: none
```

%

```
global grav dragc vtol
\(v x=z(1) ; \quad v y=z(2) ; v=s q r t\left(v x^{\wedge} 2+v y^{\wedge} 2\right)\);
\% Check to see whether drag reduced the
\% horizontal velocity to zero before the
\% xfinl was reached.
if abs(vx) < vtol
disp(' ');
\(\operatorname{disp}(' * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * ')\);
disp('ERROR in function projcteq. The ');
disp(' initial velocity of the projectile');
disp(' was not large enough for xfinal to');
disp(' be reached.');
disp('EXECUTION IS TERMINATED.');
```

        disp('*************************************');
    ```
        disp('*************************************');
        disp(' '),error(' ');
        disp(' '),error(' ');
    end
    end
    zp=[-dragc*v; -(grav+dragc*v*vy)/vx; ...
    zp=[-dragc*v; -(grav+dragc*v*vy)/vx; ...
    vy/vx; 1/vx];
```

    vy/vx; 1/vx];
    ```

\subsection*{13.3 Fitting Nonlinear Equations to Data}

Often an equation of known form is needed to approximately fit some given data values. An equation \(y(t)\) to fit \(m\) data values \(\left(t_{i}, y_{\imath}\right)\) might be sought from an equation expressible as
\[
y=f\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}, t\right)
\]
where \(n\) parameters \(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\) are needed to minimize the least squares error
\[
\epsilon\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)=\sum_{\jmath=1}^{n}\left[y_{\jmath}-f\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}, t_{\jmath}\right)\right]^{2} .
\]

The smallest possible error would be zero when the equation passes exactly through all the data values. Function \(\epsilon\) can be minimized with an optimizer such as fminsearch, or conditions seeking a zero gradient of \(\epsilon\) which require
\[
\frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial a_{\imath}}=2 \sum_{\jmath=1}^{n}\left[f\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}, t_{\jmath}\right)-y_{\jmath}\right]\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial a_{\imath}}\right)
\]
can be written. Note that the problem of minimizing a function and the problem of solving a set of nonlinear simultaneous equations are closely related. Solving large systems of nonlinear equations is difficult. Therefore, data fitting by use of function minimization procedures is typically more effective.

The formulation assuming \(y\) depends on a single independent variable could just as easily have involved several independent variables \(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N}\), which would yield an equation of the form
\[
y=f\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N}\right) .
\]

For instance, we might choose the simplest useful equation depending linearly on the independent variables
\[
y=\sum_{k=0}^{N} x_{k} a_{k}
\]
where \(x_{0}=1\). The least squares error can be expressed as
\[
\epsilon\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)=\sum_{\jmath=1}^{n}\left[y_{\jmath}-\sum_{k=0}^{N} X_{\jmath k} a_{k}\right]^{2}
\]
where \(X_{j k}\) means the value of the \(k^{\text {th }}\) independent variable at the \(j^{\text {th }}\) data point. The condition that \(\epsilon\) have a zero gradient gives
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{N}\left[\sum_{\jmath=1}^{n} X_{\jmath \imath} X_{\jmath k}\right] a_{k}=\sum_{\jmath=1}^{n} X_{\jmath \imath} y_{\jmath}, 1 \leq \imath \leq N
\]

This linear system can be solved using traditional methods. Since the multiple indices in the equation are slightly cryptic, expressing the relationship in matrix notation is helpful. We get
\[
Y \approx X A
\]
where
\[
Y=\left[\begin{array}{c}
y_{1} \\
y_{2} \\
\vdots \\
y_{n}
\end{array}\right], X=\left[1, X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{N}\right], A=\left[\begin{array}{c}
a_{0} \\
a_{1} \\
\vdots \\
a_{N}
\end{array}\right]
\]
with \(X_{\imath}\) being the column matrix \(\left[x_{\imath 1}, x_{\imath 2}, \ldots, x_{\imath n}\right]\) and the first column of \(X\) contains all ones. The requirement to minimize \(\epsilon\) is simply
\[
\left(X^{T} X\right) A=X^{T} Y
\]
and MATLAB produces the desired solution using
\(A=X \backslash Y ;\)

Although taking \(y\) as a linear function of parameters \(a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{N}\) produces solvable linear equations, the general situation yields nonlinear equations, and a minimization search procedure has greater appeal. We conclude this section with an example employing a minimization search.

Consider an experiment where data values \(\left(t_{\imath}, y_{\imath}\right)\) are expected to conform to the transient response of a linear harmonic oscillator governed by the differential equation
\[
m_{0} \ddot{y}+c_{0} \dot{y}+k_{0} y=0 .
\]

This equation has a solution representable as
\[
y=a_{1} e^{-\left|a_{2}\right| t} \cos \left(\left|a_{3}\right| t+a_{4}\right)
\]
where \(\left|a_{2}\right|\) makes the response decay exponentially and \(\left|a_{3}\right|\) assures that the damped natural frequency is positive. Minimizing the error function
\[
\epsilon\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right)=\sum_{\jmath=1}^{n}\left[y_{\jmath}-a_{1} e^{-1\left|a_{2}\right| t_{\jmath}} \cos \left(\left|a_{3}\right| t_{\jmath}+a_{4}\right)\right]^{2}
\]
requires a four-dimensional search.


Figure 13.2: Data Approximating \(y=1.5 \exp (-0.1 t) \cos (2.5 t+\pi / 4)\)

The program vibfit tests data deviating slightly from an equation employing specific values of \(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\). Then function fminsearch is used to verify whether the coefficients can be recovered from the data points. Figure 13.2 shows the data values and the equation resulting from the nonlinear least square fit. The results produced are quite acceptable.

\section*{Program Output and Code}

\section*{Program vibfit}

1: function vibfit
2: \%
3: \% Example: vibfit
4: \%
5: \%
6: \% This program illustrates use of the Nelder
7: \% and Mead multi-dimensional function
\(8: \%\) minimization method to determine an equation
\(9: \%\) for \(y(t)\) which depends nonlinearly on several
10: \% parameters chosen to closely fit known data
\% values. The program minimizes the sum of the
\% squares of error deviations between the data
\% values and results produced by the chosen
\% equation. The example pertains to the time
\% response curve characterizing free vibrations
\% of a damped linear harmonic oscillator.
\%
\% User m functions called: vibfun
\%
\% Make the data vectors global to allow
\% access from function vibfun
global timdat ydat
echo off;
disp(' ');
disp(' CHOOSING PARAMETERS');
disp(' IN THE THE NONLINEAR EQUATION');
disp( \(\quad \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{A} * \operatorname{EXP}(\mathrm{~B} * \mathrm{~T}) * \operatorname{COS}(\mathrm{C} * \mathrm{~T}+\mathrm{D})\) ');
disp('TO OBTAIN THE BEST FIT TO GIVEN DATA');
fprintf('\nPress [Enter] to list function\n');
fprintf('vibfun which is to be minimized \(\backslash n\) ');
pause;
\% Generate a set of data to be fitted by a
\% chosen equation.
\(\mathrm{a}=1.5 ; \mathrm{b}=-1\); \(\mathrm{c}=2.5\); \(\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{pi} / 5\);
timdat=0:.2:20;
ydat \(=\mathrm{a} * \exp (\mathrm{~b} *\) timdat \() . * \cos (\mathrm{c} *\) timdat +d\()\);
\% Add some random noise to the data
ydat=ydat+. \(1 *(-.5+r a n d(\) size(ydat))) ;
\% Function vibfun defines the quantity to be
\% minimized by a search using function fmins.
disp(' ');
disp('The function to be minimized is:');
type vibfun.m; disp(' ');
disp('The input data will be plotted next.');
disp('Press [Enter] to continue'); pause;
plot(timdat, ydat, 'k.') ;
title('Input Data') ; xlabel('time');
ylabel('y axis'); grid off; figure(gcf);
input('','s');
\% Initiate the four-dimensional search
```

$\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{fminsearch}\left(@ v i b f u n,\left[\begin{array}{llll}1 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right]\right)$;
\% Check how well the computed parameters
\% fit the data.
$a \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{x}(1)$; $\mathrm{bb}=-\mathrm{abs}(\mathrm{x}(2))$; $\mathrm{cc=abs}(\mathrm{x}(3))$; $\mathrm{dd}=\mathrm{x}(4)$;
as=num2str(aa) ; bs=num2str(bb);
cs=num2str(cc); ds=num2str(dd);
ttrp=0:.05:20;
ytrp=aa*exp(bb*ttrp).*cos(cc*ttrp+dd);
disp(' ');
disp('Press [Enter] to see how well');
disp('the equation fits the data'); pause;
plot(ttrp,ytrp, 'k-',timdat,ydat,'k.');
str1=['Approx. equation is $\mathrm{y}=$ ', ...
' $\mathrm{a} * \exp (\mathrm{~b} * \mathrm{t}) * \cos (\mathrm{c} * \mathrm{t}+\mathrm{d})$ '];
str2=['a = ',as,' b = ',bs,' c = ', ...
cs,' d = ',ds];
text (6,-1.1,str1); text(6,-1.25,str2);
xlabel('time'); ylabel('y axis');
title(['Data Approximating ', ...
'y $\left.\left.=1.5 * \exp (-.1 * \mathrm{t}) * \cos (2.5 * \mathrm{t}+\mathrm{pi} / 4)^{\prime}\right]\right)$;
grid off; figure(gcf);
print -deps apprxdat
$\%=========================================$
function $z=v i b f u n(x)$
\%
\% $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{vibfun(x)}$
\%
\%
\% This function evalautes the least square
$\%$ error for a set of vibration data. The data
\% vectors timdat and ydat are passed as global
\% variables. The function to be fitted is:
\%
$\% \quad y=a * \exp (b * t) * \cos (c * t+d)$
x - a vector defining $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}$ and d
\%
z - the square of the norm for the vector
: \% of error deviations between the data and
98: \% results the equation gives for current
99: \% parameter values

```
```

\% User m functions called: none

```

```

global timdat ydat
$a=x(1) ; b=-\operatorname{abs}(x(2)) ; \quad c=a b s(x(3)) ; d=x(4) ;$
$z=a * \exp (b * t i m d a t) . * \cos (c * t i m d a t+d) ;$
$z=\operatorname{norm}(z-y d a t) \sim 2$;

```

\subsection*{13.4 Nonlinear Deflections of a Cable}

We will now present an optimization procedure to determine the static equilibrium position of a perfectly flexible inextensible cable having given end positions and a known distributed load per unit length. If \(\boldsymbol{R}(s)\) is the position of any point on the cable as a function of arc length \(0 \leq s \leq L\), then the internal tension at position \(s\) is
\[
\boldsymbol{T}(s)=\boldsymbol{F}_{e}+\int_{s}^{L} \boldsymbol{q}(s) d s
\]
with \(\boldsymbol{q}(s)\) being the applied force per unit length and \(\boldsymbol{F}_{e}\) being the support force at \(s=L\). The end force to produce a desired end deflection has to be determined in the analysis. However, the end deflection resulting from any particular choice of end force can be computed by observing that the tangent to the deflection curve will point along the direction of the cable tension. This means
\[
\frac{d \boldsymbol{R}}{d s}=\frac{\boldsymbol{T}(s)}{|\boldsymbol{T}(s)|}
\]
and
\[
\boldsymbol{R}(s)=\int_{0}^{s} \frac{\boldsymbol{T}(s) d s}{|\boldsymbol{T}(s)|}=\int_{0}^{s} \frac{\left(\boldsymbol{F}_{e}+\int_{s}^{L} \boldsymbol{q} d s\right) d s}{\left|\boldsymbol{F}_{e}+\int_{s}^{L} \boldsymbol{q} d s\right|}
\]
where \(\boldsymbol{R}(0)=0\) is taken as the position at the starting end. The deflection at \(s=L\) will have some specified position \(\boldsymbol{R}_{e}\) so that requiring \(\boldsymbol{R}(L)=\boldsymbol{R}_{e}\) gives a vector equation depending parametrically on \(\boldsymbol{F}_{e}\). Thus, we need to solve three nonlinear simultaneous equations in the Cartesian components of force \(\boldsymbol{F}_{e}\). A reasonable analytical approach is to employ an optimization search to minimize \(\left|\boldsymbol{R}(L)-\boldsymbol{R}_{e}\right|\) in terms of the components of \(\boldsymbol{F}_{e}\).

The procedure described for a cable with continuous loading extends easily to a cable having several rigid links connected at frictionless joints where arbitrary concentrated forces are applied. The function cabldefl evaluates the position of each joint when the joint forces and outer end force are given. With the end force on the last link treated as a parameter, function endfl computes an error measure \(\mid \boldsymbol{F}(L)\) \(\left.\boldsymbol{R}_{E}\right|^{2}\) to be minimized using function fminsearch. The optimization search seeks the components of \(\boldsymbol{F}_{e}\) needed to reduce the error measure to zero. Specifying a sensible problem obviously requires that \(\left|\boldsymbol{R}_{e}\right|\) must not exceed the total length of all members


Figure 13.3: Deflected Shape for a Loaded Cable
in the chain. Initiating the search with a randomly generated starting force leads to a final force produced by fminsearch, which is then employed in another call to cabldefl to determine and plot the final deflection position as shown in Figure 13.3. Using a random initial guess for the end force was done to show that choosing bad starting data, insufficiently stringent convergence tolerances, or too few allowable function iterations can sometimes produce erroneous results. This emphasizes the need to always examine the results from nonlinear search procedures to assure that satisfactory answers are obtained.

\section*{Program Output and Code}

\section*{Program cablsolv}

1: function [r,t,pends]=cablsolv(Len, P, Rend)
2: \%
3: \% [r,t,pends]=cablsolv(Len, P, Rend)
4: \%
5: \%
6: \% This function computes the equilibrium
7: \% position for a cable composed of rigid
\% weightless links with loads applied at the
\(\%\) frictionless joints. The ends of the cable
\(\%\) are assumed to have a known position.
\%
```

\% Len - a vector containing the lengths
\% Len(1), ..., Len(n)
$\% \mathrm{P}$ - matrix of force components applied
$\% \quad$ at the interior joints. P(:,i)
16: \%
contains the Cartesian components of
the force at joint i.
\% Rend - the coordinate vector giving the
$\% \quad$ position of the outer end of the last
$\% \quad$ link, assuming the outer end of the
$\% \quad$ first link is at $[0,0,0]$.
22: \%
\% r - a matrix with rows giving the
$\% \quad$ computed equilibrium positions of all
$\% \quad$ ends
$\% \mathrm{t}$ - a vector of tension values in the
\% links
\% pends - a matrix having two rows which
$\% \quad$ contain the force components acting
$\% \quad$ at both ends of the chain to maintain
$\% \quad$ equilibrium
\%
\% User m functions called: endfl, cabldefl
if nargin < 3
\% Example for a ten link cable with vertical
\% and lateral loads
Len=1.5*ones (10, 1) ; Rend=[10,0,0];
$\mathrm{P}=$ ones $(9,1) *[0,-2,-1]$;
end
global len p rend
len=Len; rend=Rend; $p=P$; tol=sum(Len)/1e8;
\% Start the search with a random force applied
\% at the far end
\% Perform several searches to minimize the
\% length of the vector from the outer end of
\% the last link to the desired position Rend
\% where the link is to be connected to a
\% support. The final end force should reduce
\% the deflection error to zero if the search
\% is successful.
opts=optimset('tolx',tol,'tolfun',tol,...

```
34:
```

        'maxfunevals',2000);
    endval=realmax;
    % Iterate several times to avoid false
    % convergence
    for k=1:5
        p0=10*max(abs(p(:)))*rand(size(p,2),1);
        [pendk,endvalk,exitf]=...
        fminsearch(@endfl,p0,opts);
            if endvalk < endval
                pend=pendk(:); endval=endvalk;
            end
    end
% Use the computed end force to obtain the
% final deflection. Also return the
% support forces.
[r,t,pstart]=cabldefl(len,[p;pend']);
x=r(:,1); y=r(:,2); z=r(:,3);
pends=[pstart(:)';pend(:)'];
% Plot the deflection curve of the cable
plot3(x,y,z,'k-',x,y,z,'ko'); xlabel('x axis');
ylabel('yaxis'); zlabel('z axis');
title('Deflection Shape for a Loaded Cable');
axis('equal'); grid on; figure(gcf);
print -deps defcable
%==============================================
function enderr=endfl(pend)
%
% enderr=endfl(pend)
%
%
% This function computes how much the
% position of the outer end of the last link
% deviates from the desired position when an
% arbitrary force pend acts at the cable end.
%
% pend - vector of force components applied
% at the outer end of the last link
%
% enderr - the deflection error defined by the
square of the norm of the vector

```

103: \%
104: \%
105: \%
106: \%

108:
109:

14:

120:
121:
122:

124: \%

126: \%
127: \%

134: \%

107: \% User m functions called: cabldefl

110: \% Pass the lengths, the interior forces and the
111: \% desired position of the outer end of the last
\% link as global variables.
global len \(p\) rend
115: \% use function cabldefl to compute the
116: \% desired error
117: r=cabldefl(len, [p;pend(:)']);
118: rlast=r(size(r,1),:);
119: \(\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{rlast}(:)-r e n d(:)\); enderr=d'*d;

123: function [r,t,pbegin]=cabldefl(len,p)
125: \% [r,t,pbegin]=cabldefl(len,p)

128: \% This function computes the static equilibrium
129: \% position for a cable of rigid weightless
130: \% links having concentrated loads applied at
131: \% the joints and the outside of the last link.
132: \% The outside of the first link is positioned
133: \% at the origin.
135: \% len - a vector of link lengths
136: \% len(1), ..., len(n)
137: \% p - a matrix with rows giving the force components acting at the interior joints and at the outer end of the last link

142: \% r - matrix having rows which give the
143: \% final positions of each node
144: \% t - vector of member tensions
145: \% pbegin - force acting at the outer end of
146: \% the first link to achieve
from the computed end position and the desired end position. This error should be zero for the final equilibrium position
\(\qquad\)
(
\(\%===================================\)
\% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
P

                                    thins
```

% equilibrium
%
% User m functions called: none
%----------------------------------------------
n=length(len); len=len(:); nd=size(p,2);
% Compute the forces in the links
T=flipud(cumsum(flipud(p)));
t=sqrt(sum((T.^2)')');
% Obtain the deflections of the outer ends
% and the interior joints
r=cumsum(T./t(:,ones(1,nd)).*len(:,ones(1,nd)));
r=[zeros(1,nd);r]; pbegin=-t(1)*r(2,:)/len(1);

```

\subsection*{13.5 Quickest Time Descent Curve (the Brachistochrone)}

The subject of variational calculus addresses methods to find a function producing the minimum value for an integral depending parametrically on the function. Typically, we have a relationship of the form
\[
I(y)=\int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} G\left(x, y, y^{\prime}(x)\right) d x
\]
where values of \(y\) at \(x=x_{1}\) and \(x=x_{2}\) are known, and \(y(x)\) for \(x_{1}<x<x_{2}\) is sought to minimize \(I\). A classical example in this subject is determining a curve starting at \((0,0)\) and ending at \((a, b)\) so that a smooth particle will slide from one end to the other in the shortest possible time. Let \(X\) and \(Y\) be measured positive to the right and downward. Then the descent time for frictionless movement along the curve will be
\[
t=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 g}} \int_{0}^{a} \sqrt{\frac{1+Y^{\prime}(X)^{2}}{Y(X)}} d X, Y(0)=0, Y(a)=b
\]

This problem is solved in various advanced calculus books. \({ }^{3}\) The curve is a cycloid expressed in parametric form as
\[
X=k[\theta-\sin (\theta)], Y=k[1-\cos (\theta)]
\]

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{3}\) Weinstock [105] provides an excellent discussion of the brachistochrone problem using calculus of variation methods.
}
where \(0<\theta<\theta_{f}\). Values of \(\theta_{f}\) and \(k\) are found to make \(x\left(\theta_{f}\right)=a\) and \(Y\left(\theta_{f}\right)=b\). The exact descent time is
\[
t_{\text {best }}=\theta_{f} \sqrt{\frac{k}{g}}
\]
which is significantly smaller than the descent time for a straight line, which is
\[
t_{\text {line }}=\sqrt{\frac{2\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)}{g b}} .
\]

Two functions, brfaltim and bracifun, are used to compute points on the brachistochrone curve and evaluate the descent time.

The main purpose of this section is to illustrate how optimization search can be used to minimize an integral depending parametrically on a function. The method used chooses a set of base points through which an interpolation curve is constructed to specify the function. Using numerical integration gives a value for the integral. Holding the \(x\) values for the interpolation points constant and allowing the \(y\) values to vary expresses the integral as a function of the \(y\) values at a finite number of points. Then a multi-dimensional search function such as fminsearch can optimize the choice of \(Y\) values. Before carrying out this process for the brachistochrone problem it is convenient to change variables so that \(x=X / a\) and
\[
Y(X)=b[x+y(x)], 0 \leq x \leq 1
\]
with
\[
y(0)=y(1)=0 .
\]

Then the descent integral becomes
\[
t=\frac{a}{\sqrt{2 g b}} \int_{0}^{1} \sqrt{\frac{1+(b / a)^{2}\left[1+y^{\prime}(x)\right]^{2}}{x+y}} d x
\]

For any selected set of interpolation points, functions spline and splined can evaluate \(y(x)\) and \(y^{\prime}(x)\) needed in the integrand, and function gcquad can be used to perform Gaussian integration. An optimization search employing fminsearch produces the curve heights yielding an approximation to the brachistochrone as shown in Figure 13.4.


Figure 13.4: Brachistochrone Curve for \(\frac{a}{b}=3\)

\section*{Program Output and Code}

\section*{Program brachist}
```

function brachist
% Example: brachist
% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
% This program determines the shape of a
% smooth curve down which a particle can slide
% in minimum possible time. The analysis
% employs a piecewise cubic spline to define
% the curve by interpolation using a fixed set
% of base point positions. The curve shape
% becomes a function of the heights chosen at
% the base points. These heights are determined
% by computing the descent time as a function
% of the heights and minimizing the descent
% time by use of an optimization program. The
% Nelder and Mead unconstrained search
% procedure is used to compute the minimum.
%
% User m functions called:
% chbpts, brfaltim, fltim, gcquad,
% bracifun, splined
global cbp cwf cofs n xc yc a b b_over_a ...
grav nparts nquad nfcls
fprintf(...
'\nBRACHISTOCHRONE DETERMINATION BY NONLINEAR');
fprintf('\n OPTIMIZATION SEARCH \n');
fprintf(['\nPlease wait. The ',...
'calculation takes a while.\n']);
% Initialize
a=30; b=10; grav=32.2; nparts=1; nquad=50;
tol=1e-4; n=6; b_over_a = b/a;
[dummy,cbp,cwf]=gcquad('',0,1,nquad,nparts);
xc=chbpts(0,1,n); xc=xc(:);
y0=5*sin(pi*xc); xc=[0;xc;1];
% Calculate results from the exact solution
[texact,xexact, yexact]=brfaltim(a,b,grav,100);

```
```

\% Perform minimization search for
\% approximate solution
opts=optimset('tolx',tol, 'tolfun',tol);
[yfmin,fmin,flag,outp] =...
fminsearch(@fltim,y0,opts);
\% Evaluate final position and approximate
\% descent time
Xfmin=xc; Yfmin=Xfmin+[0;yfmin(:);0];
$\% \operatorname{tfmin}=a / s q r t(2 * g r a v * b) * f l t i m(y f m i n(:)) ;$
tfmin=a/sqrt(2*grav*b)*fmin;
nfcls=1+outp.funcCount;
\% Summary of calculations
fprintf('\nBrachistochrone Summary');
fprintf(' $\backslash n$-------------------------');
fprintf('\nNumber of function calls: ');
fprintf( $/ \%$ g',nfcls);
fprintf('\nDescent time: ');
fprintf( $/ \% \mathrm{~g}$ ', tfmin), fprintf('\n')
\% Plot results comparing the approximate
\% and exact solutions
xplot=linspace ( $0,1,100$ ) ;
yplot=spline(Xfmin, Yfmin, xplot);
plot(xplot,-yplot,'-',Xfmin,-Yfmin, $o$ ', ...
xexact/a,-yexact/b,'--');
xlabel('x/a'); ylabel('y/b'); \% grid
title(['Brachistochrone Curve for ', ...
'a/b = ', num2str (a/b)]);
text (.5,-.1, 'Descent time (secs)')
text(.5,-.175, ['Approximate: ', num2str (tfmin)])
text (.5,-.25, ['Exact: , num2str (texact)]);
text (.5,-.325, ...
sprintf('Error tolerance: \%g',tol));
legend('Approximate Curve', ...
'Computed Points','Exact Curve',3);
figure(gcf);
print -deps brachist
$\%=========================================$
function [tfall, xbrac,ybrac]=brfaltim ...
(a,b,grav, npts)

```
88: \% [tfall,xbrac,ybrac]=brfaltim(a,b,grav,npts)

91: \% This function determines the descent time
92: \% and a set of points on the brachistochrone
93: \% curve passing through \((0,0)\) and (a,b).
94: \% The curve is a cycloid expressible in
95: \% parametric form as
96: \%
97: \% \(\quad \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{k} *(\mathrm{th}-\sin (\mathrm{th}))\),
\(98: \% \quad y=k *(1-\cos (t h))\) for \(0<=\) th \(<=\operatorname{thf}\)
99: \%
100: \%
101: \%
102: \%
where thf is found by solving the equation

103: \%
104: \%
105: \%
106: \% \(\quad k=a /(t h-\sin (t h))\).
107: \%
108: \% The exact value of the descent time is given
109: \% by
110: \%
111: \% tfall=sqrt(k/g)*thf
112: \%
113: \% a, b - final values of ( \(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\) ) on the curve
114: \% grav - the gravity constant
115: \% npts - the number of points computed on
116: \% the curve
117: \%
118: \% tfall - the time required for a smooth
119: \(\%\) particle to slide along the curve
120: \% from ( 0,0 ) to ( \(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\) )
121: \% xbrac - x points on the curve with x
122: \% increasing to the right
123: \% ybrac - y points on the curve with y
124: \% increasing downward
125: \%
126: \% User m functions called: none
127: \%----------------------------------------------------
128:
129: brfn=inline('cos(th)-1+cof*(th-sin(th))','th','cof');
130:
```

ba=b/a; [th,fval,flag]=fzero(...
brfn, [.01, 10], optimset('fzero'), ba);
$\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{a} /(\mathrm{th}-\sin (\mathrm{th}))$; tfall=sqrt(k/grav)*th;
if nargin==4
thvec $=(0: n p t s-1)$ ' $*($ th/ (npts-1) );
xbrac=k*(thvec-sin(thvec));
ybrac=k*(1-cos(thvec));
end
$\%===========================================$
function $\mathrm{x}=$ chbpts (xmin, $\mathrm{xmax}, \mathrm{n}$ )
\%
\% x=chbpts (xmin, xmax, $n$ )
\% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
\% Determine n points with Chebyshev spacing
\% between xmin and xmax.
\%
\% User m functions called: none

```

```

    \(\mathrm{x}=(\mathrm{xmin}+\mathrm{xmax}) / 2+((\mathrm{xmin}-x \max ) / 2) * \ldots\)
    \(\cos \left(\mathrm{pi} / \mathrm{n} *\left((0: n-1)^{\prime}+.5\right)\right) ;\)
    \(\%==========================================\)
    function \(t=f l\) lim ( \(y\) )
    \%
    \(\% \mathrm{t}=\mathrm{fl} \mathrm{lim}(\mathrm{y})\)
    61: \%
    62: \%
    163: \% This function evaluates the time descent
164: \% integral for a spline curve having heights
165: \% stored in y.
167: \% y - vector defining the curve heights at
168: \% interior points corresponding to base
169: \% positions in xc
171: \% t - the numerically integrated time descent
172: \% integral evaluated by use of base points
173: \% cbp and weight factors cwf passed as
174: \% global variables

```
166: \%
70: \%
```

% User m functions called: splined
%------------------------------------------------
global xc cofs nparts bp wf nfcls cbp cwf ...
b_over_a
nfcls=nfcls+1; x=cbp;
% Generate coefficients used in spline
% interpolation
yc=[0;y(:);0];
y=spline(xc,yc,x) ; yp=splined(xc,yc,x);
% Evaluate the integrand
f=(1+(b_over_a*(1+yp)). ^2)./(x+y); f=sqrt(f);
% Evaluate the integral
t=cwf(:)'*f(:);
%================================================
% function [val,bp,wf]=gcquad(func,xlow, . .
% xhigh,nquad,mparts,varargin)
% See Appendix B
%===============================================
% function val=splined(xd,yd,x,if2)
% See Appendix B

```

\subsection*{13.6 Determining the Closest Points on Two Surfaces}

Determining the closest points on two surfaces arises in applications such as robotic collision avoidance and container packing. Many types of surfaces can be parameterized using two curvilinear coordinates; so, the problem reduces to a four dimensional search to minimize the length of a line from a point on one surface to a point on the other surface. We call this the proximity problem and will consider typical instances involving two circular cylinders arbitrarily positioned in space as illustrated by the test examples of Figure 13.5. This application illustrates that, despite the apparent simplicity of this problem, convergence difficulties can occur with minimization search procedures, and several runs may be needed to get correct results.

An elementary way to analyze the proximity of two surfaces is to describe each surface by a grid of points and find the smallest element in a matrix describing the distance from point \(\mathbf{i}\) of the first surface to a point \(\mathbf{j}\) of the second surface. Large array
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Figure 13.5: Geometry for Four Test Cases
dimensions can occur since a typical 100 by 100 surface grid involves 10,000 points and 30,000 coordinate values. The adjacency matrix for two surfaces, each using 10,000 points, has one hundred million points and would consume 2400 megabytes of memory when stored unpartitioned. However, memory limitations can be overcome by processing a few points at a time. In the program given below, a function surf2surf is presented to perform exhaustive search. It works well for the cylinder to cylinder problem and also handles some special cases. Since points and space curves are degenerate examples of surfaces, surf2surf can solve problems like obtaining the point on a curve closest to an arbitrary point in space.

For surfaces described by equations of the form \(\boldsymbol{r}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)\) and \(\boldsymbol{R}\left(s_{3}, s_{4}\right)\), the proximity problem can be treated by minimizing norm \((\boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{R})^{2}\) as a function of \(\left[s_{1}, s_{2}\right.\), \(\left.s_{3}, s_{4}\right]\). In this context, let us discuss briefly the concepts used in function fminsearch based on the flexible polyhedron search procedure developed by Nelder and Mead [ ]. The search employs a polyhedron having \(n+1\) corners in \(n\) space, which are initially aggregated about a starting point \(x_{0}\). A sequence of moves repeatedly replaces corners at which the objective function has maximum values, with new corners corresponding to smaller values. Ultimately, the polyhedron is reduced in size and contracts to a point where the objective function is perceived to have a relative minimum. The algorithm embodied in fminsearch is useful but it sometimes gives false convergence. This experience led the authors to implement, for comparison purposes, a somewhat shorter version of the Nelder and Mead algorithm given in function nelmed shown in the following program cylclose. This program is designed to solve four test problems using functions fminsearch, nelmed, or surf2surf. Both implementations of the flexible polyhedron search are vulnerable to false convergence; so, it is necessary to initiate the search several times using random starting points. By making enough trials so that the same best result is obtained several times, reasonable confidence in the answers can be achieved. Furthermore, the program shows images of the cylinders and connecting minimum distance lines. These images can be rotated interactively to observe the validity of results. In the test cases considered, about eight trials was sufficient to produce the same best results at least twice. Some results showing computer output for case 4 are typical.
cylclose(1);

CASE 4 USING FUNCTION NELMED
\begin{tabular}{ccc} 
Trial & Minimum & Function \\
Number & Distance & Evaluations \\
1 & 1.915 & 163 \\
2 & 1.916 & 161 \\
3 & 1.710 & 207 \\
4 & 2.293 & 156 \\
5 & 1.710 & 154 \\
6 & 2.165 & 139 \\
7 & 2.165 & 122 \\
8 & 1.710 & 182
\end{tabular}
```

The analysis used FUNCTION NELMED
Shortest Distance = 1.710
Function Evaluations = 1284
Compute Time = 4.450 secs
cylclose(1);
CASE 4 USING FUNCTION FMINSEARCH
Trial Minimum Function
Number Distance Evaluations
1 1.710 223
2 2.293 472
3 2.293 693
4 2.293 295
5 2.165 286
6 2.165 585
7 1.710 265
8 1.915 231
The analysis used FUNCTION FMINSEARCH
Shortest Distance = 1.710
Function Evaluations = 3050
Compute Time = 10.930 secs
cylclose(3);
CASE 4 USING EXHAUSTIVE SEARCH
Shortest Distance = 1.729
Function Evaluations = 546
Compute Time = 0.440 secs

```

Note that incorrect answers were obtained repeatedly by fminsearch and nelmed, whereas exhaustive search gave the fastest and most reliable solution. Readers interested in exploring the convergence problems occurring with the Nelder and Mead search will find it instructive to run program cylclose to observe the variations in results produced from randomly chosen starting points. This example problem shows clearly that, unless the best result among a number of trials is taken, an incorrect answer may occur.

\subsection*{13.6.1 Discussion of the Computer Code}

Program cylclose uses minimization search to determine the closest points on two arbitrarily positioned circular cylinders. Three solution methods are provided using functions fminsearch, nelmed, or surf2surf. Four test cases are included, and other
geometries can be analyzed by modifying data lines in function cylclose. The various modules in the program are listed in the following table.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Routine & Line & Operation \\
\hline cylclose & 1-155 & several functions are called to plot the geometry and perform the minimization search \\
\hline cylpoint & 159-178 & gives the position of a point on a cylinder surface \\
\hline dcyl2cyl & 182-197 & computes the distance between points on two cylinders \\
\hline cylfigs & 201-244 & plots the geometries for four data cases \\
\hline plot2cyls & 248-276 & plots the geometry for two cylinders \\
\hline cylpts & 280-300 & generates a grid of points on a cylinder surface \\
\hline crnrpts & 304-321 & generates a dense set of points in an increasing set of data set \\
\hline ortbas & 325-332 & creates orthonormal base vectors needed to define cylinder geometry \\
\hline nelmed & 336-475 & function which performs the Nelder-Mead search \\
\hline surf2surf & 479-513 & uses discrete search to compute closest points on two surfaces defined by coordinate grids. Large grids can be handled by calling function srf2srf \\
\hline srf2srf & \begin{tabular}{|c}
\(517-534\) \\
\(538-550\)
\end{tabular} & uses discrete search to compute closest points on two surfaces defined by coordinate grids \\
\hline rads & 538-550 & gives base radii for example problems \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Program cylclose}
```

function [dbest,r,R]= cylclose(srchtype,...
ntrials,sidlen,tolx,tolf)
% [dbest,r,R]= cylclose(srchtype,ntrials,...
% sidlen,tolx,tolf)
%
%
% This program locates the points closest
% together on the surfaces of two circular
% cylinders arbitrarily positioned in space.
% A four-dimensional unconstrained search
% is performed using functions NELMED,
% FMINSEARCH, or SURF2SURF. The quantity

```
```

\% minimized is the square of the distance
\% from an arbitrary point on one cylinder
\% to an arbitrary point on the other cylinder.
\% The search parameters specify axial and
\% circumferential coordinates of points on
$\%$ the cylinder surfaces.
\%
\% srchtype - selects the solution method. Use
1,2, or 3 for NELMED, FMINSEARCH,
or SURF2SURF

- Number of times the solution is
repeated to avoid false
convergence
- initial polyhedron side length
- Convergence tolerance on solution
vector
tolf - Convergence tolerance on function
value
\%
\%
\% User m functions called:
\% cylpoint, dcyl2cyl, cylfigs, plot2cyls
\% cylpts, cornrpts, ortbasis, nelmed,
cylpts, cornrpts, ortbasis, nelmed,
surfmany, surf2surf, srf2srf, rads
if nargin<5, tolf=1e-4; end
if nargin<4, tolx=1e-2; end
if nargin<3, sidlen=.5; end
if nargin<2, ntrials=8; end
if nargin<1, srchtype=1; end
if srchtype==1
fname='FUNCTION NELMED';
elseif srchtype==2
fname='FUNCTION FMINSEARCH';
else
fname='EXHAUSTIVE SEARCH';
end
disp(' '),
disp(' CYLINDER PROXIMITY ANALYSIS')
disp('USING A FOUR-DIMENSIONAL SEARCH')
cylfigs, drawnow, disp(' '), dumy=input(...
'Press return to begin the search','s');
close; ncases=4;

```
```

for jcase=1:ncases
disp(' '), disp(['CASE ',...
num2str(jcase),' USING ',fname])
% Define several data cases
switch jcase
case 1
rad=1; len=3; r0= [4,0,0]; v=[0,0,1];
Rad=1; Len=3; R0=[0,4,0]; V=[0,0,1];
case 2
rad=1; len=3; r0=[4,0,0]; v=[3,0,4];
Rad=1; Len=3; R0=[0,4,0]; V=[0,3,4];
case 3
rad=1; len=5; r0=[4,0,0]; v=[-4,0,3];
Rad=1; Len=5; R0=[0,4,0]; V=[0,0,1];
case 4
rad=1; len=4*sqrt(2); r0=[4,0,0];
v=[-1,1,0];
Rad=1; Len=3; R0=[0,0,-2]; V=[0,0,-1];
end
% Create data parameters used repeatedly
% during the search process
% First cylinder
dat=cumsum([0;rad;len;rad]);
dat=dat/max(dat); zdat=[dat,[0;0;len;len]];
rdat=[dat,[0;rad;rad;0]]; m=ortbasis(v);
% Second cylinder
dat=cumsum([0;Rad;Len;Rad]);
dat=dat/max(dat); Zdat=[dat,[0;0;Len;Len]];
Rdat=[dat,[0;Rad;Rad;0]]; M=ortbasis(V);
% Make several searches starting from
% randomly chosen points and keep
% the best answer obtained
ntotal=0; ntype=zeros(1,5); disp(' ')
tic; dbest=realmax; opts=optimset;
if srchtype<3
disp('Trial Minimum Function')
disp('Number Distance Evaluations')
for k=1:ntrials
winit=2*pi*rand(4,1);

```
if srchtype==1 \% Search using nelmed [w,fmin, nvals, ntyp]=nelmed(@dcyl2cyl,...
winit, sidlen, tolx,tolf,2000,0,...
r0,m,rdat,zdat,R0, M, Rdat,Zdat); elseif srchtype==2 \% Search using fminsearch [w,fmin, xflag, outp]=fminsearch (@dcyl2cyl,... winit,opts, r0,m,rdat, zdat, RO, M, Rdat, Zdat); nvals=outp.funcCount; ntyp=zeros(1,5);
end
dk=sqrt(dcyl2cyl(w,r0,m,rdat,zdat,...
R0,M,Rdat, Zdat));
fprintf( \(\%\) \%i \(\left.\quad \% 8.3 f \quad \% 7 i \backslash n^{\prime}, k, d k, n v a l s\right)\)
if \(\mathrm{dk}<\mathrm{dbest}, \mathrm{dbest}=\mathrm{dk}\); \(\mathrm{W}=\mathrm{w}\); end ntotal=ntotal+nvals; ntype=ntype+ntyp;
end
w=W; r=cylpoint(w(1),w(2),r0,m,rdat,zdat);
R=cylpoint(w(3),w(4),R0,M, Rdat, Zdat);
\(\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{toc}\);
fprintf(['\nThe analysis used ',fname,'\n'])
\%if srchtype==1
\% fprintf(['\nReflect Expand Contract ',...
\% 'Shrink \(\backslash n \% 4 i\) \%7i \%9i \%7i\n'], ntype(2),...
\% ntype(3), ntype(4), ntype(5))
\%end
else
dplot=0.3; tic;
[ \(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Z}]=\mathrm{plot} 2 \mathrm{cyls}(\mathrm{rad}, \mathrm{len}, \mathrm{r} 0, \mathrm{v}, \ldots\).
Rad,Len,RO,V,dplot,' '); close;
[dbest, \(r, R]=\operatorname{surf} 2 \operatorname{surf}(x, y, z, X, Y, Z)\);
ntotal=length(x)*length(X); t=toc;
end
fprintf(...
['Shortest Distance \(=\% 8.3 f \backslash n ', .\).
'Function Evaluations \(=\% 8 i \backslash n ', .\).
'Compute Time \(\quad=\% 8.3 f\) secs \(\backslash n '], .\).
dbest,ntotal,t)
\(\mathrm{n}=1\); \(\mathrm{Rr}=\mathrm{repmat}(\mathrm{R}, 1, \mathrm{n}+1)+(\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{R}) *(0: \mathrm{n}) / \mathrm{n}\);
hold off; clf,
titl=['CASE ',num2str(jcase),' USING ',fname]; dplot=0.3; plot2cyls(...
rad,len,r0,v,Rad,Len,R0,V,dplot,titl);
colormap([11 10\(])\), hold on,
plot3(Rr(1,:), \(\operatorname{Rr}(2,:), \operatorname{Rr}(3,:), ' l i n e w i d t h ', 2)\)
title([titl,' : DISTANCE = ',...
```

        num2str(dbest),', CPU TIME = ',...
        num2str(t),' SECS'])
        rotate3d on, shg, disp(' ')
        disp('Rotate the figure or press')
        disp('return to continue')
        dumy=input(' ','s'); close
    end
%============================================
function r=cylpoint(w1,w2,r0,m,rdat,zdat)
% r=cylpoint(w1,w2,v,r0,m,rdat,zdat)
% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
% This function computes the position of a
% point on the surface of a circular cylinder
% arbitrarily positioned in space. The argument
% list parameters have the following form,
% where rad means cylinder radius, and len
% means cylinder length.
%b=2*rad+len;
% zdat=[[0,0]; [rad/b, 0];
[(rad+len)/b, len]; [ 1, len]];
% rdat=zdat; rdat(2,2)=rad;
% rdat (3,2)=rad; rdat (4,2)=0;
u=2*pi*sin(w1)^2; v=sin(w2)^2;
z=interp1(zdat(:, 1),zdat(:, 2),v);
rho=interp1(rdat(:,1),rdat(:, 2),v);
x=rho*cos(u); y=rho*sin(u);
r=r0(:)+m*[x;y;z];
%============================================
function dsqr=dcyl2cyl(...
w,r0,m,rdat,zdat, R0,M, Rdat, Zdat)
%
% dsqr=dcyl2cyl(w,r0,m,rdat,zdat,R0,M,Rdat,Zdat)
% This function computes the square of the
% distance between generic points on the
% surfaces of two circular cylinders in three
% dimensions.
%
% User m functions called: cylpoint

```
192:
```

global fcount
fcount=fcount+1;
r=cylpoint(w(1),w(2),r0,m,rdat,zdat);
R=cylpoint(w(3),w(4),R0,M,Rdat,Zdat);
dsqr=norm(r-R)^2;
%=ニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニニ==ニ=================
function cylfigs
% cylfigs
% ~~~~~~~
% This function plots the geometries
% pertaining to four data cases used
% to test closest proximity problems
% involving two circular cylinders
%
% User m functions called: plot2cyls
w=rads; p=1:2; q=3:4; s=5:6; t=7:8;
rad=1; len=3; r0=[4,0,0]; v=[0,0,1];
Rad=1; Len=3; RO=[0,4,0]; V=[0,0,1];
d=.4; subplot(2,2,1)
[x,y,z,X,Y,Z]=plot2cyls(rad,len,r0,v,Rad,Len,...
RO,V,d,'CASE 1'); hold on
plot3(w(p,1),w(p,2),w(p,3),'linewidth',2')
hold off
rad=1; len=3; r0=[4,0,0]; v=[3,0,4];
Rad=1; Len=3; R0=[0,4,0]; V=[0,3,4];
d=.4; subplot(2,2,2);
[x,y,z,X,Y,Z]=plot2cyls(rad,len,r0,v,Rad,Len,...
RO,V,d,'CASE 2'); hold on
plot3(w(q,1),w(q,2),w(q,3),'linewidth',2')
hold off
rad=1; len=5; r0=[4,0,0]; v=[-4,0,3];
Rad=1; Len=5; R0=[0,4,0]; V=[0,0,1];
d=.4; subplot (2,2,3)
[x,y,z,X,Y,Z]=plot2cyls(rad,len,r0,v,Rad,Len,...
RO,V,d,'CASE 3'); hold on
plot3(w(s,1),w(s,2),w(s,3),'linewidth',2')
hold off
rad=1; len=4*sqrt(2); r0=[4,0,0]; v=[-1,1,0];

```
```

    Rad=1; Len=3; R0=[0,0,-2]; V=[0,0,-1];
    d=.4; subplot(2,2,4);
    [x,y,z,X,Y,Z]=plot2cyls(rad,len,r0,v,Rad,Len, ...
        R0,V,d,'CASE 4'); hold on
    plot3(w(t,1),w(t,2),w(t,3),'linewidth', 2')
    hold off, subplot
    % print -deps cylclose
    %=============================================
    function [x,y,z,X,Y,Z]=plot2cyls(...
                rad,len,r0, vc,Rad,Len, R0,Vc,d,titl)
    % [x,y,z,X,Y,Z]=plot2cyls(rad,len,r0,vc,Rad,...
% Len,R0,Vc,d,titl)
% This function generates point grids on the
% surfaces of two circular cylinders and plots
% both cylinders together
%
% User m functions called: cornrpts surfmany
% cylpts
if nargin==0
titl='TWO CYLINDERS';
rad=1; len=3; r0=[4,0,0]; vc=[3,0,4];
Rad=1; Len=3; RO=[0,4,0]; Vc=[0,3,4]; d=.2;
end
if isempty(titl), titl=' '; end
u=2*rad+len; v=2*pi*rad;
nu=ceil(u/d); nv=ceil(v/d);
u=cornrpts([0,rad,rad+len,u],nu)/u;
v=linspace(0,1,nv);
[x,y,z]=cylpts(u,v,rad,len,r0,vc);
U=2*Rad+Len; V=2*pi*Rad;
Nu=ceil(U/d); Nv=ceil(V/d);
U=cornrpts([0,Rad,Rad+Len,U],Nu)/U;
V=linspace(0,1,Nv);
[X,Y,Z]=cylpts(U,V,Rad,Len,R0,Vc);
surfmany(x,y,z,X,Y,Z), title(titl)
colormap([1 1 0 0]), shg
%==============================================
function [x,y,z]=cylpts(...
axial,circum,rad,len,r0,vectax)
% [x,y,z]=cylpts(axial,circum,rad,len,r0,vectax)

```
```

%
% This function computes a grid of points on the
% surface of a circular cylinder
%
% User m functions called: ortbasis
U=2*rad+len; u=U*axial(:); n=length(u);
v=2*pi*circum(:)'; m=length(v);
ud=[0,rad,rad+len,U];
r=interp1(ud, [0,rad,rad,0],u);
z=interp1(ud, [0,0,len,len],u);
x=r*\operatorname{cos(v); y=r*sin(v); z=repmat(z,1,m);}
% w=basis(vectax)*[x(:),y(:),z(:)]';
w=ortbasis(vectax)*[x(:),y(:),z(:)]';
x=r0(1)+reshape(w(1,:),n,m);
y=r0(2)+reshape(w (2,:),n,m);
z=r0(3)+reshape(w (3,:),n,m);
%=============================================
function v=cornrpts(u,N)
% v=cornrpts(u,N)
%
% This function generates approximately N
% points between min(u) and max(u) including
% all points in u plus additional points evenly
% spaced in each successive interval.
% u - vector of points
% N - approximate number of output points
% between min(u(:)) and max(u(:))
% v - vector of points in increasing order
u=sort(u(:))'; np=length(u);
d=u(np)-u(1); v=u(1);
for j=1:np-1
dj=u(j+1)-u(j); nj=max(1,fix(N*dj/d));
v=[v,[u(j)+dj/nj*(1:nj)]];
end
%=============================================
function mat=ortbasis(v)
% mat=ortbasis(v)
%

```

328: \% This function generates a rotation matrix
329: \% having v(:)/norm(v) as the third column
330:
331: \(\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{v}(:) / \mathrm{norm}(\mathrm{v})\); mat=[null(v'), v] ;
332: if \(\operatorname{det}(m a t)<0, \operatorname{mat}(:, 1)=-m a t(:, 1)\); end
333:
334:
335:
336: function [xmin,fmin,m,ntype]=nelmed(...
\[
\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{x0} 0 \mathrm{dx}, \text { epsx,epsf,M,ifpr, varargin) }
\]

337:
338: \% [xmin,fmin,m,ntype]=nelmed (...
339: \% F,x0,dx,epsx,epsf,M,ifpr,varargin)
340: \%
341: \% This function performs multidimensional
342: \% unconstrained function minimization using the
343: \% direct search procedure developed by
344: \% J. A. Nelder and R. Mead. The method is
345: \% described in various books such as:
346: \% 'Nonlinear Optimization', by M. Avriel
347: \%
348: \% F - objective function of the form
349: \% \(\quad \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{p} 1, \mathrm{p} 2, \ldots)\) where x is vector
\(350: \% \quad\) in n space and \(\mathrm{p} 1, \mathrm{p} 2, \ldots\) are any
351: \% auxiliary parameters needed to
352: \%
define F
353: \% x0
354: \%
- starting vector to initiate the search
355: \% dx - initial polyhedron side length
356: \% epsx - convergence tolerance on \(x\)
357: \% epsf - convergence tolerance on
358: \% function values
359: \% M
360: \%
- function evaluation limit to terminate search
361: \% ifpr - when this parameter equals one,
362: \% different stages in the search
363: \% are printed
364: \% varargin - variable length list of parameters
365: \%
366: \% xmin - coordinates of the smallest
367: \% function value
368: \% fmin - smallest function value found
369: \% m - total number of function
370: \%
evaluations made
371: \% ntype - a vector containing
372: \% [ninit,nrefl,nexpn, ncontr, nshrnk]

374: \%
375 : \%
376: \%
377 \% User m functions called: objective function
378 : \%
379:
380:
386. \(\mathrm{x} 0=\mathrm{x} 0(\cdot) \cdot \mathrm{n}=1\) enoth \((\mathrm{x} 0) \cdot \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{n}+1\)
```

387:
$x=r e p m a t(x 0,1, N)+[\operatorname{zeros}(n, 1), d x * e y e(n, n)]$;

```
which tells the number of reflections, expansions, contractions,and shrinkages performed
if isempty(ifpr), ifpr=0; end
if isempty(M), M=500; end;
if isempty(epsf), epsf=1e-5; end
if isempty(epsx), epsx=1e-5; end
\% Initialize the simplex array
\(\mathrm{x} 0=\mathrm{x} 0(:)\); \(\mathrm{n}=1\) ength( x 0 ) ; \(\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{n}+1\); \(\mathrm{f}=\mathrm{zeros}(1, \mathrm{~N})\);
for \(\mathrm{k}=1\) :N
    \(f(k)=f e v a l(F, x(:, k)\), varargin\{:\});
    end
    ninit=N; nrefl=0; nexpn=0; ncontr=0;
    nshrnk=0; \(m=N\);
    Erx=realmax; Erf=realmax;
    alpha=1.0; \% Reflection coefficient
    beta= 0.5; \% Contraction coefficient
    gamma=2.0; \% Expansion coefficient
    \% Top of the minimization loop
    while Erx>epsx | Erf>epsf
    [f,k]=sort(f); \(x=x(:, k)\);
        \% Exit if maximum allowable number of
    \% function values is exceeded
    if \(m>M\), xmin=x(:,1); fmin=f(1); return; end
    \% Generate the reflected point and
    \% function value

    fr=feval(F,xr,varargin\{:\}); m=m+1;
    nrefl=nrefl+1;
    if ifpr==1, fprintf(' :RFL \n'); end
    if \(\mathrm{fr}<\mathrm{f}(1)\)
```

    % Expand and take best from expansion
    % or reflection
    xe=c+gamma*(xr-c);
    fe=feval(F,xe,varargin{:});
    m=m+1; nexpn=nexpn+1;
    if ifpr==1, fprintf(' :EXP \n'); end
    if fr<fe
        % The reflected point was best
        f(N)=fr; x(:,N)=xr;
    else
        % The expanded point was best
        f(N)=fe; x(:,N)=xe;
    end
    elseif fr<=f(n) % In the middle zone
f(N)=fr; x(:,N)=xr;
else
% Reflected point exceeds the second
% highest value so either use contraction
% or shrinkage
if fr<f(N)
xx=xr; ff=fr;
else
xx=x(:,N); ff=f(N);
end
xc=c+beta*(xx-c);
fc=feval(F,xc,varargin{:});
m=m+1; ncontr=ncontr+1;
if fc<=ff
% Accept the contracted value
x(:,N)=xc; f(N)=fc;
if ifpr==1, fprintf(' :CNT \n'); end
else
% Shrink the simplex toward
% the best point
x=(x+repmat (x (:, 1), 1,N))/2;
for j=2:N
f(j)=feval(F,x(:,j),varargin{:});
end
m=m+n; nshrnk=nshrnk+n;

```

487: \% \(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}\) - arrays of points on the first surface
88: \% X,Y,Z - arrays of points on the second surface
489: \% d - the minimum distance between the surfaces
490: \% r, R - vectors containing the coordinates of the
\(\% \quad\) nearest points on the first and the second surface
- length of subvectors used to process the data arrays. Sending vectors of length n to srf2srf and taking the best of the subresults allows processing of large arrays of data points
\(\%\)
\% User m functions used: srf2srf
if nargin<7, \(n=500\); end
\(N=\operatorname{prod}(\operatorname{size}(x)) ; M=p r o d(\operatorname{size}(X)) ; d=r e a l m a x ;\)
\(\mathrm{kN}=\max (1, \mathrm{floor}(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{n}))\); \(\mathrm{kM}=\max (1, \mathrm{floor}(\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{n}))\);
for \(i=1: k N\)
\(i 1=1+(i-1) * n ; i 2=m i n(i 1+n, N) ; i 12=i 1: i 2 ;\)
xi=x(i12); yi=y(i12); zi=z(i12);
for \(j=1: k M\)
```

        j1=1+(j-1)*n; j2=min(j1+n,M); j12=j1:j2;
        [dij,rij,Rij]=srf2srf(...
                xi,yi,zi,X(j12),Y(j12),Z(j12));
        if dij<d, d=dij; r=rij; R=Rij; end
        end
    end
%===================================================
function [d,r,R]=srf2srf(x,y,z,X,Y,Z)
% [d,r,R]=srf2srf(x,y,z,X,Y,Z)
% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
520: % This function determines the closest points on two
521: % surfaces and the distance between these points.
522: % x,y,z - arrays of points on the first surface
523: % X,Y,Z - arrays of points on the second surface
524: % d - the minimum distance between the surfaces
525:%r,R - vectors containing the coordinates of the
526:% nearest points on the first and the
527: % second surface
529: x=x(:); y=y(:); z=z(:); n=length(x); v=ones(n,1);
530: X=X(:)'; Y=Y(:)'; Z=Z(:)'; N=length(X); h=ones(1,N);
531: d2=(x(:,h)-X(v,:)).^2; d2=d2+(y(:,h)-Y(v,:)).^2;
532: d2=d2+(z(:,h)-Z(v,:)).^2;
533: [u,i]=min(d2); [d,j]=min(u); i=i(j); d=sqrt(d);
534: r=[x(i);y(i);z(i)]; R=[X(j);Y(j);Z(j)];
%====================================================
538: function R=rads
539: % R=rads
540: % Radii for the problem solutions
542: R=[.. .
543: 0.7045
3.2903
0.8263
544: 3.2932 0.7074 0.8295
545: 0.7783 3.4977 0.3767
546:3.4994 0.7800 0.3755
547:0.0026 3.0000 2.9934
548:0.0028 1.0000 3.0001
549: 0.7034 0.7107 -2.0000
550:1.5139 1.5320 -0.7382];
%===================================================

```
528:
535:
537:
541:
551:

554: \% surfmany (x1, y1, z1, x2 , y2, z2, x3, y3, z3, ..
555: \% \(\quad\) xn,yn,zn)
556: \% See Appendix B

\section*{Appendix A}

\section*{List of MATLAB Routines with Descriptions}

Table A.1: Description of MATLAB Programs and Selected Functions
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|l|}
\hline Routine & Chapter & Description \\
\hline finance & 1 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Financial analysis program illustrating \\
programming methods. \\
Function to read several data items on \\
polyplot \\
squar line.
\end{tabular} \\
squarmap & 2 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Program comparing polynomial and \\
spline Interpolation. \\
Program illustrating conformal map- \\
ping of a square. \\
Function for Schwarz-Christoffel map- \\
cubrange
\end{tabular} \\
pendulum & 2 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
ping of a circular disk inside a square. \\
Function to compute data range limits \\
for 2D or 3D data. \\
Program showing animated large oscil- \\
lations of a pendulum. \\
Function showing pendulum anima- \\
animpen \\
tion. \\
Program to animate forced motion of a \\
spring-mass-damper system. \\
Function to solve a constant coefficient
\end{tabular} \\
smdsolve & 2 & 2
\end{tabular} \begin{tabular}{l}
\begin{tabular}{l} 
linear second order differential equa- \\
tion with a harmonic forcing function. \\
Program animating wave motion in a \\
string with given initial deflection. \\
Function to compute deflections of a \\
vibrating string. \\
Function to show animation of a vibrat- \\
ing string.
\end{tabular} \\
strngrun
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Routine & Chapter & Description \\
\hline splinerr & 2 & Program showing differential geometry properties of a space curve. \\
\hline curvprpsp & 2 & Function using spline interpolation to compute differential properties of a space curve. \\
\hline splined & 2 & Function to compute first or second derivatives of a cubic spline. \\
\hline srfex & 2 & Program illustrating combined plotting of several surfaces. \\
\hline frus & 2 & Function to compute points on a frustum. \\
\hline surfmany & 2 & Function to plot several functions together without distortion. \\
\hline rgdbodmo & 2 & Program illustrating 3D rigid body rotation and translation. \\
\hline rotatran & 2 & Function to perform coordinate rotation. \\
\hline membran & 3 & Program illustrating static deflection of a membrane. \\
\hline mbvprun & 3 & Program to solve a mixed boundary value problem for a circular disk. \\
\hline makratsq & 3 & Program showing conformal mapping of a square using rational functions. \\
\hline ratcof & 3 & Function to compute coefficients for rational function interpolation. \\
\hline raterp & 3 & Function to evaluate a rational function using coefficients from function raterp. \\
\hline strdyneq & 3 & Program to solve the structural dynamics equation using eigenvalueeigenvector methods. \\
\hline fhrmek & 3 & Function to solve a linear second order matrix differential equation having a harmonic forcing function. \\
\hline recmemfr & 3 & Program illustrating use of functions null and eig to compute rectangular membrane frequencies. \\
\hline & & continued on next page \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Routine & Chapter & Description \\
\hline multimer & 3 & Program comparing execution of intrinsic MATLAB matrix multiplication and slow Fortran style using loops. \\
\hline lintrp & 4 & Function for piecewise linear interpolation allowing finite jump discontinuities. \\
\hline curvprop & 4 & Program to compute the length and area bounded by a curve defined by spline interpolation. \\
\hline spcof & 4 & Function to compute spline interpolation coefficients used by function spterp. \\
\hline spterp & 4 & Function to interpolate, differentiate, and integrate a cubic spline having general end conditions. \\
\hline powermat & 4 & Function used by functions spcof and spterp. \\
\hline splineq & 4 & Function to interpolate, integrate, and differentiate using the intrinsic function spline. \\
\hline splincof & 4 & Function that computes coefficients used by splineg to handle general end conditions. \\
\hline matlbdat & 4 & Program that draws the word MATLAB using a spline. \\
\hline finitdif & 4 & Program to compute finite difference formulas. \\
\hline findifco & 4 & Function to compute finite difference formulas for derivatives of arbitrary order. \\
\hline simpson & 5 & Function using Simpson's rule to integrate an exact function or one defined by spline interpolation. \\
\hline gequad & 5 & Function to perform composite Gauss integration of arbitrary order, and return the base points and weight factors. \\
\hline quadtest & 5 & Program comparing the performance of gequad and quadl for several test functions. \\
\hline & & continued on next page \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Routine & Chapter & Description \\
\hline areaprog & 5 & Program to compute area, centroidal coordinates and inertial properties of general areas bounded by spline curves. \\
\hline aprop & 5 & Function to compute geometrical properties of general areas. \\
\hline volrevol & 5 & Program to compute geometrical properties of partial volumes of revolution bounded by spline curves. \\
\hline volrev & 5 & Function to compute geometrical properties of partial volumes of revolution. \\
\hline rotasurf & 5 & Function to plot a partial surface of revolution. \\
\hline ropesymu & 5 & Program using numerical and symbolic computation to evaluate geometrical properties of a rope shaped solid. \\
\hline ropedraw & 5 & Function to draw a twisted rope shaped surface. \\
\hline twistprop & 5 & Function using symbolic computation to obtain geometrical properties. \\
\hline srfv & 5 & Function to compute geometrical properties of a solid specified by general surface coordinates. \\
\hline polhdrun & 5 & Program to produce geometrical properties and a surface plot of an arbitrary polyhedron. \\
\hline polhedron & 5 & Function for geometrical properties of a polyhedron. \\
\hline polyxy & 5 & Function for geometrical properties of a polygon. \\
\hline sqriquadtest & 5 & Program using quadl and gequad to evaluate integrals having square root type singularities at the integration end points. \\
\hline quadqsqrit & 5 & Function applying gequad to integrals having square root type singularities. \\
\hline quadlsqrt & 5 & Function applying quadl to integrals having square root type singularities. \\
\hline & & continued on next page \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Routine & Chapter & Description \\
\hline triplint & 5 & Program applying Gauss quadrature to evaluate a triple integral with variable integration limits. \\
\hline plotjrun & 6 & Program to compute and plot integer order Bessel functions using the FFT. \\
\hline runimpv & 6 & Program using the FFT to analyze earthquake data. \\
\hline fouapprox & 6 & Function for Fourier series approximation of a general function. \\
\hline fouseris & 6 & Program to plot truncated Fourier series expansions of general functions. \\
\hline fousum & 6 & Function to sum a Fourier series and include coefficient smoothing. \\
\hline cablinea & 7 & Program showing modal superposition analysis of a swinging cable. \\
\hline udfrevib & 7 & Function computing undamped response of a second order matrix differential equation with general initial conditions. \\
\hline strdynrk & 7 & Function using ode45 to solve a second order matrix differential equation. \\
\hline deislner & 7 & Program comparing implicit second and fourth order integrators which use fixed stepsize. \\
\hline mckde2i & 7 & Function to solve a matrix ODE using a second order fixed stepsize integrator. \\
\hline mckde4i & 7 & Function to solve a matrix ODE using a fourth order fixed stepsize integrator. \\
\hline rkdestab & 8 & Program to plot stability zones for Runge-Kutta integrators. \\
\hline prun & 8 & Program illustrating ode45 response calculation of an inverted pendulum. \\
\hline toprun & 8 & Program for dynamic response of a spinning top. \\
\hline traject & 8 & Program for a projectile trajectory. \\
\hline cablenl & 8 & Program illustrating animated nonlinear dynamic response for a multi-link cable of rigid links. \\
\hline & & continued on next page \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|l|}
\hline Routine & Chapter & Description \\
\hline plotmotn & 8 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Function to animate the dynamic re- \\
sponse of a cable. \\
Program for animated nonlinear dy- \\
namics of an elastic cable shaken at \\
both ends. \\
Program using Fourier series to solve \\
the Laplace equation in a rectangle
\end{tabular} \\
recseris & 9 & 9 \\
stringft & 9 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
having general boundary conditions. \\
Function to compute a harmonic func- \\
tion and gradient components in a rect- \\
angular region. \\
Program for Fourier series solution and \\
animated response for a string with \\
given initial displacement. \\
Program for response of a string sub- \\
jected to a moving concentrated load. \\
Program animating the response of a \\
rectangular or circular membrane sub- \\
jected to an oscillating concentrated
\end{tabular} \\
membwave & 9 & 9 \\
memrecwv & 9 & 9 \\
memcirwv & 9 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Function for dynamic response of a \\
rectangular membrane. \\
Function for dynamic response of a cir- \\
cular membrane. \\
Function to compute a table of integer \\
order Bessel function roots. \\
function to show animated membrane \\
response. \\
Program showing wave propagation in \\
a simply supported beam subjected to \\
an oscillating end moment. \\
Function to animate the motion of a vi- \\
brating beam. \\
Program illustrating the response of a \\
pile embedded in an oscillating elastic \\
foundation. \\
Program for heat conduction in a slab \\
having sinusoidally varying end tem- \\
perature.
\end{tabular} \\
membanim & 9 & 9
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Routine & Chapter & Description \\
\hline heatcyln & 9 & Program analyzing transient heat conduction in a circular cylinder. \\
\hline tempstdy & 9 & Function for the steady-state temperature in a circular cylinder with general boundary conditions. \\
\hline foubesco & 9 & Function to compute coefficients in a Fourier-Bessel series. \\
\hline besjtabl & 9 & Function giving a table of integer order Bessel function roots. \\
\hline rector & 9 & Program to compute torsional stresses in a beam of rectangular cross section. \\
\hline eigverr & 10 & Program comparing eigenvalues of a second order differential equation computed using finite difference methods and using collocation with spline interpolation. \\
\hline prnstres & 10 & Function to compute principal stresses and principal directions for a symmetric second order stress tensor. \\
\hline trusvibs & 10 & Program to compute and show animation of the natural vibration modes of a general pin connected truss. \\
\hline drawtruss & 10 & Function to draw the deflection modes of a truss. \\
\hline eigsym & 10 & Function solving the constrained eigenvalue problem associated with an elastic structure fixed as selected points. \\
\hline elmstf & 10 & Function to form mass and stiffness matrices of a pin connected truss. \\
\hline colbuc & 10 & Program to compute buckling loads of a variable depth column with general end conditions. \\
\hline cbfreq & 10 & Program comparing cantilever beam natural frequencies computed by exact, finite difference, and finite element methods. \\
\hline & & continued on next page \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Routine & Chapter & Description \\
\hline cbfrqnwm & 10 & Function to compute exact cantilever beam frequencies. \\
\hline cbfrqfdm & 10 & Function to compute cantilever beam frequencies using finite difference methods. \\
\hline cbfrqfem & 10 & Function to compute cantilever beam frequencies using the finite element method. \\
\hline elipfreq & 10 & Program for natural frequencies and animation of the mode shapes of an elliptic membrane. \\
\hline frqsimpl & 10 & Function to compute elliptic membrane natural frequencies and mode shapes. \\
\hline eigenrec & 10 & Function to solve a rectangular eigenvalue problem of the form: \(X A+\) \(B X=\lambda(X C+D X)\). \\
\hline plotmode & 10 & Function to plot the mode shapes of the membrane. \\
\hline vdb & 11 & Program to compute shear, moment, slope, and deflection in a variable depth multi-support beam with general external loading conditions. \\
\hline extload & 11 & Function to compute load and deformation quantities for distributed and concentrated loading on a beam. \\
\hline sngf & 11 & Singularity function used to describe beam loads. \\
\hline trapsum & 11 & Trapezoidal rule function used to integrate beam functions. \\
\hline sqrtsurf & 12 & Function used to illustrate branch cut discontinuities for an analytic function. \\
\hline elipinvr & 12 & Function to invert the function mapping the exterior of a circle onto the exterior of an ellipse. \\
\hline elipdplt & 12 & Program showing grid lines for conformal mapping of a circular disk onto an elliptic disk. \\
\hline & & continued on next page \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|l|}
\hline Routine & Chapter & Description \\
\hline gridview & 12 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Function mapping an elliptic disk onto \\
a circular disk. \\
Function to plot a curvilinear coordi- \\
nate grid. \\
linfrac \\
crc2crc
\end{tabular} \\
ecentric & 12 & 12 \\
Fwnction to perform linear fractional \\
transformations. \\
Function analyzing mapping of circles \\
and straight lines under a linear frac- \\
tional transformation. \\
Function to determine a concentric an- \\
nulus which maps onto a given eccen- \\
tric annulus. \\
Program illustrating both interior and \\
exterior maps regarding a circle and a \\
square. \\
Rational function map taking the inside \\
of a circle onto the interior of a square
\end{tabular}\(|\)\begin{tabular}{l} 
or the exterior of a square onto the ex- \\
terior of a square. \\
swcsqmap
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|l|}
\hline Routine & Chapter & Description \\
\hline platecrc & 12 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Function computing series coefficients \\
for complex stress functions pertaining \\
to a plate with a circular hole. \\
Function to evaluate stress functions \\
phi and psi.
\end{tabular} \\
rec2polr & 12 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Function using complex stress func- \\
tions to evaluate Cartesian stress com- \\
ponents.
\end{tabular} \\
elipmaxst & 12 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Function transforming stress compo- \\
nents from Cartesian to polar coordi- \\
nates. \\
Program using conformal mapping and \\
complex stress functions to compute \\
stress in a plate with an elliptic hole.
\end{tabular} \\
runtraj & 13 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Program using one-dimensional search \\
to optimize a projectile trajectory. \\
Program using multi-dimensional \\
search to fit a nonlinear equation to \\
vibration response data. \\
Program to compute large deflection
\end{tabular} \\
cabfit & 13 & 13 \\
brachist & 13 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
static equilibrium of a loaded cable. \\
Program to determine a minimum time \\
descent curve (brachistochrone). \\
Program using multi-dimensional \\
search to find the closest points on two \\
adjacent circular cylinders. \\
function using exhaustive search to \\
find the closest points on two surfaces.
\end{tabular} \\
surf2surf & nelmed & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Function similar to fminsearch which \\
implements the Nelder and Mead algo- \\
rithm for multi-dimensional search.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Appendix B}

\section*{Selected Utility and Application Functions}

\section*{Function animate}
```

function animate(x,y,titl,tim,trace)
%
% animate(x,y,titl,tim,trace)
% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
% This function performs animation of a 2D curve
% x,y - arrays with columns containing curve positions
% for successive times. x can also be a single
% vector if x values do not change. The animation
% is done by plotting (x(:,j),y(:,j)) for
% j=1:size(y,2).
% titl- title for the graph
% tim - the time in seconds between successive plots
if nargin<5, trace=0; else, trace=1; end;
if nargin<4, tim=.05; end
if nargin<3, trac=''; end; [np,nt]=size(y);
if min(size(x))==1, j=ones(1,nt); x=x(:);
else, j=1:nt; end; ax=newplot;
if trace, XOR='none'; else, XOR='xor'; end
r=[min(x(:)),max(x(:)),min(y(:)),max (y(:))];
%axis('equal') % Needed for an undistorted plot
axis(r), % axis('off')
curve = line('color','k','linestyle','-',...
'erase',XOR, 'xdata',[],'ydata',[]);
xlabel('x axis'), ylabel('y axis'), title(titl)
for k = 1:nt
set(curve,'xdata',x(:,j(k)),'ydata',y(:,k))
if tim>0, pause(tim), end, drawnow, shg
end

```

\section*{Function aprop}
```

function [p,zplot]=aprop(xd,yd,kn)
%
% [p,zplot]=aprop(xd,yd,kn)
% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
% This function determines geometrical properties
% of a general plane area bounded by a spline
% curve
%
% xd,yd - data points for spline interpolation
with the boundary traversed in counter-
clockwise direction. The first and last
points must match for boundary closure.
kn - vector of indices of points where the
% slope is discontinuous to handle corners
% like those needed for shapes such as a
% rectangle.
% p - the vector [a,xcg,ycg,axx,axy,ayy]
% containing the area, centroid coordinates,
% moment of inertia about the y-axis,
% product of inertia, and moment of inertia
% about the x-axis.
% zplot - complex vector of boundary points for
% plotting the spline interpolated geometry.
% The points include the numerical quadrature
% points interspersed with data values.
%
% User functions called: gcquad, curve2d
if nargin==0
td=linspace(0,2*pi,13); kn=[1,13];
xd=cos(td)+1; yd=sin(td)+1;
end
nd=length(xd); nseg=nd-1;
[dum,bp,wf]=gcquad([],1,nd,6,nseg);
[z,zplot,zp]=curve2d(xd,yd,kn,bp);
w=[ones(size(z)), z, z.*conj(z), z.^2].*...
repmat(imag(conj(z).*zp),1,4);
v=(wf'*w)./[2,3,8,8]; vr=real(v); vi=imag(v);
p=[vr(1:2),vi(2),vr(3)+vr(4),vi(4),vr(3)-vr(4)];
p(2)=p(2)/p(1); p(3)=p(3)/p(1);

```

\section*{Function besjroot}
```

function rts=besjroot(norder,nrts,tol)
%
% rts=besjroot(norder,nrts,tol)
%
% This function computes an array of positive roots
% of the integer order Bessel functions besselj of
% the first kind for various orders. A chosen number
% of roots is computed for each order
% norder - a vector of function orders for which
% roots are to be computed. Taking 3:5
% for norder would use orders 3,4, and 5.
% nrts - the number of positive roots computed for
% each order. Roots at x=0 are ignored.
% rts - an array of roots having length(norder)
% rows and nrts columns. The element in
% column k and row i is the k'th root of
% the function besselj(norder(i),x).
% tol - error tolerance for root computation.
if nargin<3, tol=1e-5; end
jn=inline('besselj(n,x)','x','n');
N=length(norder); rts=ones(N,nrts)*nan;
opt=optimset('TolFun',tol,'TolX',tol);
for k=1:N
n=norder(k); xmax=1.25*pi*(nrts-1/4+n/2);
xsrch=.1:pi/4:xmax; fb=besselj(n,xsrch);
nf=length(fb); K=find(fb(1:nf-1).*fb(2:nf)<=0);
if length(K)<nrts
disp('Search error in function besjroot')
rts=nan; return
else
K=K(1:nrts);
for i=1:nrts
interval=xsrch(K(i):K(i)+1);
rts(k,i)=fzero(jn,interval,opt,n);
end
end
end

```

\section*{Function cubrange}
```

function range=cubrange(xyz,ovrsiz)
%
% range=cubrange(xyz,ovrsiz)
% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
% This function determines limits for a square
% or cube shaped region for plotting data values
% in the columns of array xyz to an undistorted
% scale
%
% xyz - a matrix of the form [x,y] or [x,y,z]
% where x,y,z are vectors of coordinate
% points
% ovrsiz - a scale factor for increasing the
% window size. This parameter is set to
% one if only one input is given.
%
% range - a vector used by function axis to set
% window limits to plot x,y,z points
% undistorted. This vector has the form
% [xmin,xmax,ymin,ymax] when xyz has
% only two columns or the form
% [xmin,xmax,ymin,ymax,zmin,zmax]
% when xyz has three columns.
%
% User m functions called: none
%-------------------------------------------------
if nargin==1, ovrsiz=1; end
pmin=min(xyz); pmax=max(xyz); pm=(pmin+pmax)/2;
pd=max(ovrsiz/2*(pmax-pmin));
if length(pmin)==2
range =pm([1, 1, 2, 2]) +pd*[-1, 1, -1 , 1];
else

```

```

end

```

\section*{Function curve2d}
```

function [z,zplot,zp]=curve2d(xd,yd,kn,t)
%

```

```

8: zp=[zp;splined(K,zd(K),t(k))];
49: end
end
end
zplot=[zplot;zd(end)];

```

\section*{Function eigenrec}
```

function [eigs,vecs,Amat,Bmat]=eigenrec(A,B,C,D)
\% [eigs,vecs,Amat, Bmat]=eigenrec(A,B,C,D)
\% Solve a rectangular eigenvalue problem of the
\% form: X*A+B*X=1ambda*(X*C+D*X)
$\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{size}(\mathrm{B}, 1)$; $\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{size}(\mathrm{A}, 2)$; $\mathrm{s}=[\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m}]$; $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{n} * \mathrm{~m}$;
Amat=zeros(N,N) ; Bmat=Amat; kn=1:n; km=1:m;
for $\mathrm{i}=1$ :n
IK=sub2ind(s,i*ones(1,m),km);
Bikn=B(i,kn); Dikn=D(i,kn);
for $\mathrm{j}=1$ :m
I=sub2ind(s,i,j);
Amat (I,IK)=A(km,j)'; Bmat(I,IK)=C(km,j)';
$\mathrm{KJ}=$ sub2ind ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{kn}, \mathrm{j} *$ ones $(1, \mathrm{n})$ );
Amat(I,KJ)=Amat(I,KJ)+ Bikn;
Bmat (I,KJ)=Bmat(I,KJ)+ Dikn;
end
end
[vecs,eigs]=eig(Bmat \Amat);
[eigs,k]=sort(diag(eigs));
vecs=reshape(vecs(:,k) ,n,m,N);

```

\section*{Function eigsym}

1: function [evecs,eigvals]=eigsym(k,m,c)
\%
\% [evecs,eigvals]=eigsym \((k, m, c)\)
\% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
\% This function solves the eigenvalue of the
\% constrained eigenvalue problem
\(\% \quad \mathrm{k} * \mathrm{x}=(\mathrm{l}\) lambda) \(* \mathrm{~m} * \mathrm{x}\), with \(\mathrm{c} * \mathrm{x}=0\).
\% Matrix k must be real symmetric and matrix
\% m must be symmetric and positive definite;
\% otherwise, computed results will be wrong.
```

%
k - a real symmetric matrix
% m - a real symmetric positive
% definite matrix
% c - a matrix defining the constraint
% condition c*x=0. This matrix is
omitted if no constraint exists.
evecs - matrix of eigenvectors orthogonal
with respect to k and m. The
following relations apply:
evecs'*m*evecs=identity_matrix
evecs'*k*evecs=diag(eigvals).
eigvals - a vector of the eigenvalues
sorted in increasing order
%
% User m functions called: trifacsm
%--------------------------------------------------
if nargin==3
q=null(c); m=q'*m*q; k=q'*k*q;
end
u=trifacsm(m); k=u'\k/u; k=(k+k')/2;
[evecs,eigvals]=eig(k);
[eigvals,j]=sort(diag(eigvals));
evecs=evecs(:,j); evecs=u\evecs;
if nargin==3, evecs=q*evecs; end

```

\section*{Function fhrmck}
```

function [t,y,lam]=fhrmck(m,c,k,f1,f2,w,tlim,nt,y0,v0)
%
% [t,y,lam]=fhrmck(m, c,k,f1,f2,w,tlim,nt,y0,v0)
%
% This function uses eigenfunction analysis to solve
% the matrix differential equation
% m*y''(t)+c*y'(t)+k*y(t)=f1*\operatorname{cos}(w*t)+f2*\operatorname{sin}(\textrm{w}*\textrm{t})
% with initial conditions of y(0)=y0, y'(0)=v0
% The solution is general unless 1) a zero or repeated
% eigenvalue occurs or 2) the system is undamped and
% the forcing function matches a natural frequency.
% If either error condition occurs, program execution
% terminates with t and y set to nan.

```
\%
\(\% \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{k}\) - mass, damping, and stiffness matrices
\(\% \mathrm{f} 1, \mathrm{f} 2\) - amplitude vectors for the sine and cosine
\%
\% w forcing function components
- frequency of the forcing function
\% tlim
\%
\%
\% nt - the number of times at which the solution
\%
\%
\(\% \mathrm{y} 0, \mathrm{v0}\) - initial position and velocity vectors
\%
\(\%\) t vector of time values for the solution
\(\%\) y - matrix of solution values where \(y(i, j)\) is the value of component \(j\) at time \(t(i)\)
\% lam - the complex natural frequencies arranged in order of increasing absolute value
if nargin==0 \% Generate default data using 2 masses
    \(\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{eye}(2,2)\); \(\mathrm{k}=[2,-1 ;-1,1]\); \(\mathrm{c}=.3 * \mathrm{k}\);
    f1=[0;1]; f2=[0;0]; w=0.6; tlim=[0,100]; nt=400;
end
\(\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{size}(\mathrm{m}, 1)\); t=linspace(tlim(1),tlim(2),nt);
if nargin<10, \(y 0=z e r o s(n, 1)\); \(v 0=y 0\); end
\% Determine eigenvalues and eigenvectors for
\% the homogeneous solution
\(A=[\operatorname{zeros}(n, n)\), eye \((n, n) ;-m \backslash[k, c]] ;\)
[U,lam]=eig(A); [lam,j]=sort(diag(lam)); U=U(:,j);
\% Check for zero or repeated eigenvalues and
\% for undamped resonance
wmin=abs(lam(1)); tol=wmin/1e6;
[dif,J]=min(abs(lam-i*w)); lj=num2str(lam(J));
if wmin==0, disp(' ')
    disp('The homogeneous equation has a zero')
    disp('eigenvalue which is not allowed.')
    disp('Execution is terminated'), disp(' ')
    t=nan; y=nan; return
elseif any (abs(diff(lam))<tol)
    disp('A repeated eigenvalue occurred.')
    disp('Execution is terminated'), disp(' ')
    t=nan; y=nan; return
elseif dif<tol \& sum(abs(c(:)))==0
```

        disp('The system is undamped and the forcing')
        disp(['function resonates with ',...
            'eigenvalue ',lj])
        disp('Execution is terminated.')
        disp(' '), t=nan; y=nan; return
    else
% Determine the particular solution
a=(-w^2*m+k+i*w*c)\(f1-i*f2);
yp=real(a*exp(i*w*t));
yp0=real(a); vp0=real(i*w*a);
end
% Scale the homogeneous solution to satisfy the
% initial conditions
U=U*diag(U\[y0-yp0; v0-vp0]);
yh=real(U(1:n,:)*exp(lam*t));
% Combine results to obtain the total solution
t=t(:); y=[yp+yh]';
% Show data graphically only for default case
if nargin==0
waterfall(t,(1:n),y'), xlabel('time axis')
ylabel('mass index'), zlabel('Displacements')
title(['DISPLACEMENT HISTORY FOR A ',...
int2str(n),'-MASS SYSTEM'])
colormap([1,0,0]), shg
end

```

\section*{Function findifco}
```

function [c,e,m,crat]=findifco(k,a)
%
% [c,e,m,crat]=findifco(k,a)
%
% This function approximates the k'th derivative
% of a function using function values at n
% interpolation points. Let f(x) be a general
% function having its k'th derivative denoted
% by F(x,k). The finite difference approximation
% for the k'th derivative employing a stepsize h
% is given by:
% F(x,k)=Sum(c(j)*f(x+a(j)*h), j=1:n)/h^k +

```
```

13:
% TruncationError
% with m=n-k being the order of truncation
% error which decreases like h^m and
% TruncationError=(h^m)*(e(1)*F(x,n)+...
% e(2)*F(x,n+1)*h+e(3)*F(x,n+2)*h^2+0(h^3))
%
% a - a vector of length n defining the
% interpolation points x+a(j)*h where
x is an arbitrary parameter point
% k - order of derivative evaluated at x
% c - the weighting coeffients in the
% difference formula above. c(j) is
% the multiplier for value f(x+a(j)*h)
% e - error component vector in the above
% difference formula
% m - order of truncation order in the
% formula. The relation m=n-k applies.
% crat - a matrix of integers such that c is
% approximated by crat(1,:)./crat(2,:)
a=a(:); n=length(a); m=n-k; mat=ones(n,n+4);
for j=2:n+4; mat(:,j)=a/(j-1).*mat(:,j-1); end
A=pinv(mat(:,1:n)); ec=-A*mat(:,n+1:n+4);
c=A(k+1,:); e=-ec(k+1,:);
[ctop,cbot]=rat(c,1e-8); crat=[ctop(:)';cbot(:)'];

```

\section*{Function gequad}
```

function [val,bp,wf]=gcquad(func,xlow,...
xhigh,nquad,mparts,varargin)
%
% [val,bp,wf]=gcquad(func,xlow,...
% xhigh,nquad,mparts,varargin)
%
%
% This function integrates a general function using
% a composite Gauss formula of arbitrary order. The
% integral value is returned along with base points
% and weight factors obtained by an eigenvalue based
% method. The integration interval is divided into
% mparts subintervals of equal length and integration
% over each part is performed with a Gauss formula

```
```

16: % making nquad function evaluations. Results are
17: % exact for polynomials of degree up to 2*nquad-1.
18: %
19:%
20: %
21:%
22:%
23: %
24:%
25: %
26: %
28: %
29:%
30: %
1:%
%
% val

- numerical value of the integral
% bp,wf
%
3:%
37: %
38:%
39: % Fun=inline('(sin(w*t).^2).*exp(c*t)','t','w','c');
% A=0; B=12; nquad=21; mparts=10; w=10; c=8;
[value,pcterr]=integrate(Fun,A,B,nquad,mparts,w,c);
gives value = 1.935685556078172e+040 which is
% accurate within an error of 1.9e-13 percent.
%
% User m functions called: the function name passed
% in the argument list
%------------------------------------------------
if isempty(nquad), nquad=10; end
if isempty(mparts), mparts=1; end
% Compute base points and weight factors
% for the single interval [-1,1]. (Ref:
% 'Methods of Numerical Integration' by
% P. Davis and P. Rabinowitz, page 93)
u=(1:nquad-1)./sqrt((2*(1:nquad-1)).^2-1);
[vc,bp]=eig(diag(u,-1)+diag(u,1));
60: [bp,k]=sort(diag(bp)); wf=2*vc(1,k)'.^2;

```
```

\% Modify the base points and weight factors
\% to apply for a composite interval
$\mathrm{d}=($ xhigh-xlow) $/ m p a r t s ; \quad \mathrm{d} 1=\mathrm{d} / 2$;
$d b p=d 1 * b p(:) ; d w f=d 1 * w f(:) ; \quad d r=d *(1: m p a r t s) ;$
$\operatorname{cbp}=\operatorname{dbp}(:$, ones $(1$, mparts $))+\ldots$
dr (ones (nquad, 1), : ) + (xlow-d1);
cwf $=\operatorname{dwf(:,ones(1,mparts));~wf=cwf(:);~bp=cbp(:);~}$
\% Compute the integral
if isempty(func)
val=[];
else
f=feval(func,bp, varargin\{:\}); val=wf'*f(:);
end

```

\section*{Function gridview}
```

function gridview(x,y,xlabl,ylabl,titl)
%
% gridview(x,y,xlabl,ylabl,titl)
%
%
% This function views a surface from the top
% to show the coordinate lines of the surface.
% It is useful for illustrating how coordinate
% lines distort in a conformal transformation.
% Calling gridview with no arguments depicts the
% mapping of a polar coordinate grid map under
% a transformation of the form
% z=R*(zeta+m/zeta).
%
% x,y - real matrices defining a
% curvilinear coordinate system
% xlabl,ylabl - labels for x and y axes
% titl - title for the graph
%
% User m functions called: cubrange
%------------------------------------------------
% close
if nargin<5
xlabl='real axis'; ylabl='imaginary axis';

```
```

    titl='';
    end
% Default example using z=R*(zeta+m/zeta)
if nargin==0
zeta=linspace(1,3,10)'* ...
exp(i*linspace(0,2*pi,81));
a=2; b=1; R=(a+b)/2; m=(a-b)/(a+b);
z=R*(zeta+m./zeta); x=real(z); y=imag(z);
titl=['Circular Annulus Mapped onto an ', ...
'Elliptical Annulus'];
end
range=cubrange([x(:),y(:)],1.1);
% The data define a curve
if size(x,1)==1 | size(x,2)==1
plot(x,y,'-k'); xlabel(xlabl); ylabel(ylabl);
title(titl); axis('equal'); axis(range);
grid on; figure(gcf);
if nargin==0
print -deps gridviewl;
end
% The data define a surface
else
plot(x,y,'k-',}\mp@subsup{x}{}{\prime},\mp@subsup{y}{}{\prime},',k-'
xlabel(xlabl); ylabel(ylabl); title(titl);
axis('equal'); axis(range); grid on;
figure(gcf);
if nargin==0
print -deps gridview;
end
end
%===============================================
function range=cubrange(xyz,ovrsiz)
%
% range=cubrange(xyz,ovrsiz)
%
% This function determines limits for a square
% or cube shaped region for plotting data values
% in the columns of array xyz to an undistorted
% scale
%

```
```

% xyz - a matrix of the form [x,y] or [x,y,z]
% where x,y,z are vectors of coordinate
points
ovrsiz - a scale factor for increasing the
window size. This parameter is set to
one if only one input is given.
range - a vector used by function axis to set
window limits to plot x,y,z points
undistorted. This vector has the form
[xmin,xmax,ymin,ymax] when xyz has
only two columns or the form
[xmin, xmax,ymin, ymax,zmin, zmax]
when xyz has three columns.
% User m functions called: none
%-------------------------------------------------
if nargin==1, ovrsiz=1; end
pmin=min(xyz); pmax=max(xyz); pm=(pmin+pmax)/2;
pd=max(ovrsiz/2*(pmax-pmin));
if length(pmin)==2
range =pm([1, 1, 2, 2]) +pd*[-1, 1, -1, 1];
else
range }=\textrm{pm}([$$
\begin{array}{llllll}{1}&{1}&{2}&{2}&{3}&{3}\end{array}
$$])+pd*[-1,1,-1,1,-1,1]
end

```

\section*{Function inputv}
```

function varargout=inputv(prompt)
%
% [a1,a2,...,a_nargout]=inputv(prompt)
%~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
%
%
% This function reads several values on one line.
% The items should be separated by commas or
blanks.
%
% prompt - A string preceding the
data entry. It is set
to ' ? ' if no value of
prompt is given.
% a1,a2,...,a_nargout - The output variables

```
```

    %
    %
    %
    %
    %
    %
%
% A typical function call is:
% [A,B,C,D]=inputv('Enter values of A,B,C,D: ')
%
%-------------------------------------------------
if nargin==0, prompt=' ? '; end
u=input(prompt,'s'); v=eval(['[',u,']']);
ni=length(v); no=nargout;
varargout=cell(1,no); k=min(ni,no);
for j=1:k, varargout{j}=v(j); end
if no>ni
for j=ni+1:no, varargout{j}=nan; end
end

```

\section*{Function lintrp}
```

function $y=l i n t r p(x d, y d, x)$
\%
\% y=lintrp(xd,yd,x)
\% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
\% This function performs piecewise linear
\% interpolation through data values stored in
\% xd, yd, where xd values are arranged in
\% nondecreasing order. The function can handle
\% discontinuous functions specified when some
$\%$ successive values in xd are equal. Then the
\% repeated xd values are shifted by a small
\% amount to remove the discontinuities.
\% Interpolation for any points outside the range
\% of $x d$ is also performed by continuing the line
\% segments through the outermost data pairs.
\%
\% xd,yd - vectors of interpolation data values
$\% \mathrm{x}$ - matrix of values where interpolated
$\% \quad$ values are required
\%

```
```

:% y - matrix of interpolated values
22:
k=find(diff(xd)==0);
if length(k) ~}=
xd(k+1)=xd(k+1)+(xd(end) -xd(1))*1e3*eps;
end
y=interp1(xd,yd,x,'linear','extrap');

```

\section*{Function manyrts}
```

function roots=manyrts(func,a,b,nsteps,...
maxrts,tol,varargin)
%
% roots=manyrts(func,a,b,nsteps,maxrts,tol,...
% varargin)
% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
% This function attempts to find multiple roots
% of a function by searching an interval in steps
% of equal length and finding a root in each
% interval where a sign change occurs
% func - name of a function of the form
func(x,p1,p2,...) where additional
parameters after the first are
passed through varargin
a,b - upper and lower limits of the
% search interval
% nsteps - number of intervals from a to b
which are checked to detect a
sign change
- maximum number of roots sought
within the search limits. The
search terminates when the number
of roots found equals maxrts.
- the root tolerance passed to
function fzero. A default value of
1e-10 is used if no value is given
varargin - the cell variable provided to pass
multiple arguments to function func
if nargin<6, tol=1e-10; end;
if nargin<5, maxrts=100; end
if isstruct(tol), options=tol;
else

```
```

        options=optimset('tolfun',tol,'tolx',tol);
    end
x=linspace(a,b,nsteps); roots=[];
rtlast=-realmax;
for j=1:nsteps-1
xj=x(j); xj1=x(j+1);
fj=feval(func,xj,varargin{:});
fj1=feval(func,xj1,varargin{:});
if fj.*fj1<=0
rt=fzero(func,[xj,xj1],...
options,varargin{:});
if (rt-rtlast)>tol
roots=[roots,rt]; rtlast=rt;
end
end
if length(roots)==maxrts, break, end
end

```

Function membanim
```

function membanim(u,x,y,t)
%
% function membanim(u,x,y,t)
% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
% This function animates the motion of a
% vibrating membrane
%
% u array in which component u(i,j,k) is the
% displacement for y(i),x(j),t(k)
% x,y arrays of x and y coordinates
% t vector of time values
% Compute the plot range
if nargin==0;
[u,x,y,t]=memrecwv (2, 1, 1, 15.5,1.5,.5,5);
end
xmin=min(x(:)); xmax=max(x(:));
ymin=min(y(:)); ymax=max(y(:));
xmid=(xmin+xmax)/2; ymid=(ymin+ymax)/2;
d=max(xmax-xmin,ymax-ymin)/2; Nt=length(t);
range=[xmid-d,xmid+d,ymid-d,ymid+d, . .
3*min(u(:)),3*max(u(:))];

```
```

while 1 \% Show the animation repeatedly
disp(' '), disp('Press return for animation')
dumy=input('or enter 0 to stop > ? ','s');
if ~isempty(dumy)
disp(' '), disp('All done'), break
end
\% Plot positions for successive times
for $\mathrm{j}=1$ : Nt
$\operatorname{surf}(x, y, u(:,:, j)), \operatorname{axis}(r a n g e)$
xlabel('x axis'), ylabel('y axis')
zlabel('u axis'), titl=sprintf(...
'MEMBRANE POSITION AT T=\% $\%$.2f', $\mathrm{t}(\mathrm{j})$ );
title(titl), colormap([11 1])
colormap([127/255 1 212/255])
\% axis off
drawnow, shg, pause(.1)
end
end

```

\section*{Function plotmotn}
```

function plotmotn(x,y,titl,isave)
\%
\% plotmotn(x,y,titl,isave)
\%
\% This function plots the cable time
\% history described by coordinate values
\% stored in the rows of matrices x and y .
\%
x,y - matrices having successive rows
which describe position
configurations for the cable
titl - a title shown on the plots
isave - parameter controlling the form
of output. When isave is not input,
only one position at a time is shown
in rapid succession to animate the
motion. If isave is given a value,
then successive are all shown at
once to illustrate a kinematic
trace of the motion history.

```
```

% User m functions called: none
%-------------------------------------------------
% Set a square window to contain all
% possible positions
[nt,n]=size(x);
if nargin==4, save =1; else, save=0; end
xmin=min(x(:)); xmax=max(x(:));
ymin=min(y(:)); ymax=max(y(:));
w=max(xmax-xmin, ymax-ymin)/2;
xmd=(xmin+xmax)/2; ymd=(ymin+ymax)/2;
hold off; clf; axis('normal'); axis('equal');
range = [xmd-w, xmd+w,ymd-w,ymd+w];
title(titl)
xlabel('x axis'); ylabel('y axis')
if save==0
for j=1:nt
xj=x(j,:); yj=y(j,:);
plot(xj,yj,'-k',xj,yj,'ok');
axis(range), axis off
title(titl)
figure(gcf), drawnow, pause(.1)
end
pause(2)
else
hold off; close
for j=1:nt
xj=x(j,:); yj=y(j,:);
plot(xj,yj,'-k',xj,yj,'ok');
axis(range), axis off, hold on
end
title(titl)
figure(gcf), drawnow, hold off, pause(2)
end
% Save plot history for subsequent printing
% print -deps plotmotn

```

\section*{Function polhedrn}
```

function [v,rc,vrr,irr]=polhedrn(x,y,z,idface)
%
% [v,rc,vrr,irr]=polhedrn(x,y,z,idface)

```
```

%
%
% This function determines the volume,
% centroidal coordinates and inertial moments
% for an arbitrary polyhedron.
%
x,y,z - vectors containing the corner
indices of the polyhedron
idface - a matrix in which row j defines the
corner indices of the j'th face.
Each face is traversed in a
counterclockwise sense relative to
the outward normal. The column
dimension equals the largest number
of indices needed to define a face.
Rows requiring fewer than the
maximum number of corner indices are
padded with zeros on the right.
v - the volume of the polyhedron
% rc - the centroidal radius
% vrr - the integral of R*R'*d(vol)
% irr - the inertia tensor for a rigid body
of unit mass obtained from vrr as
eye(3,3)*sum(diag(vrr))-vrr
%
% User m functions called: pyramid
%-------------------------------------------------
r=[x(:),y(:),z(:)]; nf=size(idface,1);
v=0; vr=0; vrr=0;
for k=1:nf
i=idface(k,:); i=i(find(i>0));
[u,ur,urr]=pyramid(r(i,:));
v=v+u; vr=vr+ur; vrr=vrr+urr;
end
rc=vr/v; irr=eye(3,3)*sum(diag(vrr))-vrr;

```

\section*{Function polyxy}
```

function [area,xbar,ybar,axx,axy,ayy]=polyxy(x,y)
%
% [area, xbar, ybar, axx , axy, ayy]=polyxy(x,y)

```
```

%
%
% This function computes the area, centroidal
% coordinates, and inertial moments of an
arbitrary polygon.
%
x,y - vectors containing the corner
% coordinates. The boundary is
% traversed in a counterclockwise
% direction
%
% area - the polygon area
% xbar,ybar - the centroidal coordinates
% axx - integral of x^2*dxdy
% axy - integral of xy*dxdy
% ayy - integral of y^2*dxdy
%
% User m functions called: none
%-----------------------------------------------
n=1:length(x); n1=n+1;
x=[x(:);x(1)]; y=[y(:);y(1)];
a=(x(n).*y(n1)-y(n).*x(n1))';
area=sum(a)/2; a6=6*area;
xbar=a*(x(n)+x(n1))/a6; ybar=a*(y(n)+y(n1))/a6;
ауу=a*(y(n).^2+y(n).*y(n1)+y(n1).^2)/12;
axy=a*(x(n).*(2*y(n)+y(n1))+x(n1).* ...
(2*y(n1)+y(n)))/24;
axx=a*(x(n).^2+x(n).*x(n1)+x(n1).^2)/12;

```

\section*{Function quadlsqrt}
```

function v=quadlsqrt(fname,type,a,b,tol,trace,varargin)
%
% v=quadlsqrt(fname,type,a,b,tol,trace,varargin)
%
%
% This function uses the MATLAB integrator quadl
% to evaluate integrals having square root type
% singularities at one or both ends of the
% integration interval a < x < b.
% The integrand has the form:
% func(x)/sqrt(x-a) if type==1.

```
```

% func(x)/sqrt(b-x) if type==2.
% func(x)/sqrt((x-a)*(b-x)) if type==3.
%
% func - the handle for a function continuous
% from x=a to x=b
% type - 1 if the integrand is singular at x=a
% 2 if the integrand is singular at x=b
% 3 if the integrand is singular at both
% x=a and x=b.
% a,b - integration limits with b > a
if nargin<6 | isempty(trace), trace=0; end
if nargin<5 | isempty(tol), tol=1e-8; end
if nargin<7
varargin{1}=type; varargin{2}=[a,b];
varargin{3}=fname;
else
n=length(varargin); c=[a,b]; varargin{n+1}=type;
varargin{n+2}=c; varargin{n+3}=fname;
end
if type==1 | type==2
v=2*quadl(@fshift,0,sqrt(b-a),...
tol,trace,varargin{:});
else
v=quadl(@fshift,0,pi,tol,trace,varargin{:});
end
%==========================================
function u=fshift(x,varargin)
% u=fshift(x,varargin)
% This function shifts arguments to produce
% a nonsingular integrand called by quadl
N=length(varargin); fname=varargin{N};
c=varargin{N-1}; type=varargin{N-2};
a=c(1); b=c(2); c1=(b+a)/2; c2=(b-a)/2;
switch type
case 1, t=a+x.^2; case 2, t=b-x.^2;
case 3, t=c1+c2*\operatorname{cos}(x);
end
if N>3, u=feval(fname,t,varargin{1:N-3});
else, u=feval(fname,t); end

```

\section*{Function ratcof}
```

function [a,b]=ratcof(xdata,ydata,ntop,nbot)
%
% [a,b]=ratcof(xdata,ydata,ntop,nbot)
%
%
% Determine a and b to approximate ydata as
% a rational function of the variable xdata.
% The function has the form:
%
% y(x) = sum(1=>ntop) (a(j)*x^(j-1) ) /
% ( 1 + sum(1=>nbot) ( b(j)*x^(j)) )
%
% xdata,ydata - input data vectors (real or
% complex)
% ntop,nbot - number of series terms used in
% the numerator and the
% denominator.
%
%-------------------------------------------------
ydata=ydata(:); xdata=xdata(:);
m=length(ydata);
if nargin==3, nbot=ntop; end;
x=ones(m,ntop+nbot) ; x(:,ntop+1)=-ydata.*xdata;
for i=2:ntop, x(:,i)=xdata.*x(:,i-1); end
for i=2:nbot
x(:,i+ntop)=xdata.*x(:,i+ntop-1);
end
ab=pinv(x)*ydata; %ab=x\ydata;
a=ab(1:ntop); b=ab(ntop+1:ntop+nbot);

```

\section*{Function raterp}
```

function y=raterp(a,b,x)
%
% y=raterp(a,b,x)
% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
% This function interpolates using coefficients
% from function ratcof.
%

```
```

% a,b - polynomial coefficients from function
% ratcof
% x - argument at which function is evaluated
% y - computed rational function values
%
%-
a=flipud(a(:)); b=flipud(b(:));
y=polyval(a,x)./(1+x.*polyval(b,x));

```

\section*{Function smdsolve}
```

function [x,v]=smdsolve(m,c,k,f1,f2,w,x0,v0,t)
%
% [x,v]=smdsolve(m, c,k,f1,f2,w,x0,v0,t)
%
% This function solves the differential equation
%m*x''(t)+c*x'(t)+k*x(t)=f1*cos(w*t)+f2*sin(w*t)
% with }\textrm{x}(0)=\textrm{x}0\mathrm{ and }\mp@subsup{\textrm{x}}{}{\prime}(0)=v
%
% m,c,k - mass, damping and stiffness coefficients
% f1,f2 - magnitudes of cosine and sine terms in
% the forcing function
% w - frequency of the forcing function
% t - vector of times to evaluate the solution
% x,v - computed position and velocity vectors
ccrit=2*sqrt(m*k); wn=sqrt(k/m);
% If the system is undamped and resonance will
% occur, add a little damping
if c==0 \& w==wn; c=ccrit/1e6; end;
% If damping is critical, modify the damping
% very slightly to avoid repeated roots
if c==ccrit; c=c*(1+1e-6); end
% Forced response solution
a=(f1-i*f2)/(k-m*w^2+i*c*w);
X0=real(a); V0=real(i*w*a);
X=real(a*exp(i*W*t)); V=real(i*W*a*exp(i*W*t));
% Homogeneous solution

```
```

r=sqrt(c^2-4*m*k);
s1=(-c+r)/(2*m); s2=(-c-r)/(2*m);

p=[1,1;s1,s2]\[x0-X0;v0-V0];
% Total solution satisfying the initial conditions
x=X+real (p (1)*exp (s1*t) +p(2)*exp (s2*t));
v}=V+real(p(1)*s1*\operatorname{exp}(s1*t)+p(2)*s2*exp(s2*t))

```

\section*{Function splined}
```

function val=splined(xd,yd,x,if2)
%
% val=splined(xd,yd,x,if2)
%
%
% This function evaluates the first or second
% derivative of the piecewise cubic
% interpolation curve defined by the intrinsic
% function spline provided in MATLAB.If fewer
% than four data points are input, then simple
% polynomial interpolation is employed
%
% xd,yd - data vectors determining the spline
% curve produced by function spline
% x - vector of values where the first or
% the second derivative are desired
% if2 - a parameter which is input only if
y''(x) is required. Otherwise, y'(x)
is returned.
%
% val - the first or second derivative values
% for the spline
%
% User m functions called: none
n=length(xd); [b,c]=unmkpp(spline(xd,yd));
if n>3 % Use a cubic spline
if nargin==3, c=[3*c(:,1),2*c(:, 2), c(:,3)];
else, c=[6*c(:,1),2*c(:,2)]; end
val=ppval(mkpp(b, c), x);
else % Use a simple polynomial
c=polyder(polyfit(xd(:),yd(:),n-1));
if nargin==4, c=polyder(c); end

```
```

34: val=polyval(c,x);

```
35: end

\section*{Function splineg}

1: function [val, \(b, c]=s p l i n e g(x d, y d, x, d e r i v, e n d c, b, c)\)
2: \%
3: \% [val, b, c]=splineg (xd,yd, x, deriv,endc, b, c)
4: \%
\%
6: \% For a cubic spline curve through data points
7: \% xd,yd, this function evaluates \(y(x), y^{\prime}(x)\),
\(8: \% y^{\prime \prime}(x)\), or integral ( \(y(x) * d x, x d(1)\) to \(\left.x(j)\right)\)
\(\%\) for \(j=1: l e n g t h(x)\). The coefficients needed to
evaluate the spline are also computed.
\%
\% xd,yd - data vectors defining the cubic spline curve
\(x \quad-\quad v e c t o r ~ o f ~ p o i n t s ~ w h e r e ~ c u r v e ~\) properties are computed.
deriv - denoting the spline curve as \(y(x)\),
deriv=0 gives a vector for \(y(x)\)
deriv=1 gives a vector for \(y^{\prime}(x)\)
deriv=2 gives a vector for \(y^{\prime}\) ' ( \(x\) )
deriv=3 gives a vector of values for integral (y (z)*dz) from \(x d(1)\) to \(x(j)\) for \(j=1:\) length \((x)\)
endc - endc=1 makes \(y\) '' ' ( \(x\) ) continuous at \(x d(2)\) and \(x d(e n d-1)\).
endc=[2,left_slope,right_slope] imposes slope values at both ends. endc=[3,left_slope] imposes the left end slope and makes the discontinuity of \(y\) ',' at \(x d(e n d-1)\) small. endc=[4,right_slope] imposes the right end slope and makes the discontinuity of \(y\) ',' at \(x d(2)\) small.
coefficients needed to perform the spline interpolation. If these are not given, function unmkpp is called to generate them.
\% val values \(y(x), y^{\prime}(x), y\) ' \((x)\) or integral( \(y(z) d z, \quad z=x d(1) . . x)\) for
```

%
% User m files called: splincof
% --------------------------------------------
if nargin<5 | isempty(endc), endc=1; end
if nargin<7, [b,c]=splincof(xd,yd,endc); end
n=length(xd); [N,M]=size(c);
switch deriv
case 0 % Function value
val=ppval(mkpp(b,c),x);
case 1 % First derivative
C=[3*c(:,1),2*c(:,2),c(:,3)];
val=ppval(mkpp(b,C),x);
case 2 % Second derivative
C=[6*c(:,1),2*c(:,2)];
val=ppval(mkpp(b,C),x);
case 3 % Integral values from xd(1) to x
k=M:-1:1;
C=[c./k(ones(N,1),:),zeros(N,1)];
dx=xd(2:n)-xd(1:n-1); s=zeros(n-2,1);
for j=1:n-2, s(j)=polyval(C(j,:),dx(j)); end
C(:,5)=[0;cumsum(s)]; val=ppval(mkpp(b,C),x);
end
%==============================================
function [b,c]=splincof(xd,yd,endc)
%
% [b,c]=splincof(xd,yd,endc)
%
% This function determines coefficients for
% cubic spline interpolation allowing four
% different types of end conditions.
% xd,yd - data vectors for the interpolation
% endc - endc=1 makes y'''(x) continuous at
% xd(2) and xd(end-1).
endc=[2,left_slope,right_slope]
imposes slope values at both ends.
endc=[3,left_slope] imposes the left

```
```

% end slope and makes the discontinuity
of y''' at xd(end-1) small.
endc=[4,right_slope] imposes the right
end slope and makes the discontinuity
of y'', at xd(2) small.
%
if nargin<3, endc=1; end;
type=endc(1); xd=xd(:); yd=yd(:);
switch type
case 1
% y'''(x) continuous at the xd(2) and xd(end-1)
[b, c]=unmkpp(spline(xd,yd));
case 2
% Slope given at both ends
[b, c]=unmkpp(spline(xd,[endc(2);yd;endc(3)]));
case 3
% Slope at left end given. Compute right end
% slope.
[b, c]=unmkpp(spline(xd,yd));
c=[3*c(:, 1), 2*c(:, 2) , c(:, 3)];
sright=ppval(mkpp(b,c), xd (end));
[b,c]=unmkpp(spline(xd, [endc(2);yd;sright]));
case 4
% Slope at right end known. Compute left end
% slope.
[b,c]=unmkpp(spline(xd,yd));
c=[3*c(:, 1), 2*c(:, 2), c(:, 3)];
sleft=ppval(mkpp(b,c),xd(1));
[b, c]=unmkpp(spline(xd, [sleft;yd;endc(2)]));
end

```

\section*{Function spterp}

1: function \([v, c]=\) spterp ( \(x d, y d, i d, x, e n d v, c\) )
\% [v, c] =spterp(xd,yd,id,x,endv,c)
\% This function performs cubic spline interpo-
```

\% lation. Values of $y(x), y^{\prime}(x), y$ '' $(x)$ or the
$\%$ integral(y(t)*dt, xd(1)..x) are obtained.
$\%$ xd, yd - data vectors with xd arranged in
$\% \quad$ ascending order.
$\%$ id - id equals $0,1,2,3$ to compute $y(x)$,
$\% \quad y^{\prime}(x)$, integral $(y(t) * d t, t=x d(1) \ldots x)$,
$\% \quad$ respectively.
$\%$ v - values of the function, first deriva-
$\% \quad$ tive, second derivative, or integral
\% from $x d(1)$ to $x$
\% c - the coefficients defining the spline
\% curve.
\% endv - vector giving the end conditions in
\% one of the following five forms:
$\% \quad$ endv=1 or endv omitted makes
$\% \quad c(2)$ and $c(n-1)$ zero
$\% \quad$ endv=[2,left_end_slope,...
right_end_slope] to impose slope
values at each end
endv=[3,left_end_slope] imposes the
left end slope value and makes
$c(n-1)$ zero
endv=[4,right_end_slope] imposes the
right end slope value and makes
c(2) zero
endv=5 defines a periodic spline by
making $\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}$ ',y" match at both ends
if nargin<5 | isempty(endv), endv=1; end

```

```

if nargin<6, $c=s p c o f(x d, y d, e n d v) ; ~ e n d$
$C=c(1: n) ; s 1=c(n+1) ; m 1=c(n+2) ; X=x-x d(1) ;$
if id==0 $\quad \mathrm{y}(\mathrm{x})$
$\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{yd}(1)+\mathrm{s} 1 * \mathrm{X}+\mathrm{m} 1 / 2 * \mathrm{X} . * \mathrm{X}+\ldots$
powermat $(x, x d, 3) * C / 6$;
elseif id==1 \% y' (x)
$\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{s} 1+\mathrm{m} 1 * \mathrm{X}+$ powermat $(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{xd}, 2) * \mathrm{C} / 2$;
elseif id==2 \% y'' (x)
$\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{m} 1+$ powermat $(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{xd}, 1) * \mathrm{C}$;
else $\%$ integral $(y(t) * d t, \quad t=x d(1) . . x)$
$\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{yd}(1) * \mathrm{X}+\mathrm{s} 1 / 2 * \mathrm{X} . * \mathrm{X}+\mathrm{m} 1 / 6 * \mathrm{X} .{ }^{\wedge} 3+\ldots$
powermat ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{xd}, 4$ ) $* \mathrm{C} / 24$;

```
```

end
v=reshape(v,sx);
%================================================
function c=spcof(x,y,endv)
% c=spcof(x,y,endv)
% This function determines spline interpolation
% coefficients consisting of the support
% reactions concatenated with y' and y'' at
% the left end.
% x,y - data vectors of interplation points.
% Denote n as the length of x.
% endv - vector of data for end conditions
% described in function spterp.
%
% c - a vector [c(1);...;c(n+2)] where the
% first n components are support
reactions and the last two are
values of y'(x(1)) and y''(x(1)).
if nargin<3, endv=1; end
x=x(:); y=y(:); n=length(x); u=x(2:n)-x(1);
a=zeros(n+2,n+2); a(1,1:n)=1;
a(2:n,:)=[powermat(x(2:n),x,3)/6,u,u.*u/2];
b=zeros(n+2,1); b(2:n)=y(2:n)-y(1);
if endv(1)==1 % Force, force condition
a(n+1,2)=1; a(n+2,n-1)=1;
elseif endv(1)==2 % Slope, slope condition
b(n+1)=endv (2); a(n+1,n+1)=1;
b(n+2)=endv (3); a(n+2,:)=...
[((x(n)-x').^2)/2,1,x(n)-x(1)];
elseif endv(1)==3 % Slope, force condition
b(n+1)=endv (2); a(n+1,n+1)=1; a(n+2,n-1)=1;
elseif endv(1)==4 % Force, slope condition
a(n+1,2)=1; b (n+2)=endv (2);
a(n+2,: )=[((x(n)-x').^2)/2,1,x(n)-x(1)];
elseif endv(1)==5
a(n+1,1:n)=x(n)-x'; b (n)=0;
a(n+2,1:n)=1/2*(x(n)-x').^2;
a(n+2,n+2)=x(n)-x(1);
else
error(...
'Invalid value of endv in function spcof')
end

```
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    if endv(1)==1 & n<4, c=pinv(a)*b;
    else, c=a\b; end
    %=================================================
function a=powermat(x,X,p)
% a=powermat(x,X,p)
% This function evaluates various powers of a
% matrix used in cubic spline interpolation.
%
% x,X - arbitrary vectors of length n and N
% a - an n by M matrix of elements such that
% a(i,j)=(x(i)>X(j))*abs(x(i)-X(j)) ^p
x=x(:); n=length(x); X=X(:)'; N=length(X);
a=x(:,ones(1,N))-X(ones(n,1),:); a=a.*(a>0);
switch p, case 0, a=sign(a); case 1, return;
case 2, a=a.*a; case 3; a=a.*a.*a;
case 4, a=a.*a; a=a.*a; otherwise, a=a.^ p; end

```

\section*{Function srfv}
```

function $[\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{rc}, \mathrm{vrr}]=\operatorname{srfv}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z})$
\%
\% [v,rc,vrr]=srfv(x,y,z)
\% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
\%
\% This function computes the volume, centroidal
\% coordinates, and inertial tensor for a volume
\% covered by surface coordinates contained in
\% arrays $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$
\%
$\% \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ - matrices containing the coordinates
$\% \quad$ of a grid of points covering the
\% surface of the solid
$\%$ v - volume of the solid
\% rc - centroidal coordinate vector of the
\% solid
\% vrr - inertial tensor for the solid with the
$\% \quad$ mass density taken as unity
\%
\% User functions called: scatripl proptet

```

```

% p=inline(...
% 'v*(eye(3)*(r(:)''*r(:))-r(:)*r(:)'')','v','r');
%d=mean([x(:),y(:),z(:)]);
%x=x-d(1); y=y-d(2); z=z-d(3);
[n,m]=size(x); i=1:n-1; I=i+1; j=1:m-1; J=j+1;
xij=x(i,j); yij=y(i,j); zij=z(i,j);
xIj=x(I,j); yIj=y(I,j); zIj=z(I,j);
xIJ=x(I,J); yIJ=y(I,J); zIJ=z(I,J);
xiJ=x(i,J); yiJ=y(i,J); ziJ=z(i,J);
% Tetrahedron volumes
v1=scatripl(xij,yij,zij,xIj,yIj,zIj,xIJ,yIJ,zIJ);
v2=scatripl(xij,yij,zij,xIJ,yIJ,zIJ,xiJ,yiJ,ziJ);
v=sum(sum(v1+v2));
% First moments of volume
X1=xij+xIj+xIJ; X2=xij+xIJ+xiJ;
Y1=yij+yIj+yIJ; Y2=yij+yIJ+yiJ;
Z1=zij+zIj+zIJ; Z2=zij+zIJ+ziJ;
vx=sum(sum(v1.*X1+v2.*X2));
vy=sum(sum(v1.*Y1+v2.*Y2));
vz=sum(sum(v1.*Z1+v2.*Z2));
% Second moments of volume
vrr=proptet(v1,xij,yij,zij,xIj,yIj,zIj,...
xIJ,yIJ,zIJ,X1,Y1,Z1)+...
proptet(v2,xij,yij,zij,xIJ,yIJ,zIJ,...
xiJ,yiJ,ziJ,X2,Y2,Z2);
rc=[vx,vy,vz]/v/4; vs=sign(v);
v=abs(v)/6; vrr=vs*vrr/120;
vrr=[vrr([1 4 5]), vrr([4 2 6]), vrr([5 6 3])]';
vrr=eye(3,3)*sum(diag(vrr))-vrr;
%vrr=vrr-p(v,rc)+p(v,rc+d); rc=rc+d;

```

\section*{Function strdynrk}

1: function [ \(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{v}\) ]=strdynrk( \(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{x} 0, \mathrm{v} 0, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{k}\), functim)
2: \% [t,x,v]=strdynrk(t, x0, v0,m, c,k,functim)
3: \% This function uses ode45 to solve the matrix
4: \% differential equation: \(M * X^{\prime \prime}+C * X^{\prime}+K * X=F(t)\)
```

% t - vector of solution times
% x0,v0 - initial position and velocity vectors
%m,c,k - mass, damping and stiffness matrices
% functim - character name for the driving force
% x,v - arrays containing solution values for
% position and velocity
%
% A typical call to strdynrk function is:
% m=eye(3,3); k=[2,-1,0;-1,2,-1;0,-1,2];
% c=.05*k; x0=zeros(3,1); v0=zeros(3,1);
% t=linspace(0,10,101);
% [t,x,v]=strdynrk(t,x0,v0,m,c,k,'func');
global Mi C K F n n1 n2
Mi=inv(m); C=c; K=k; F=functim;
n=size(m,1); n1=1:n; n2=n+1:2*n;
[t,z]=ode45(@sde,t,[x0(:);v0(:)]);
x=z(:,n1); v=z(:,n2);
%=================================
function zp=sde(t,z)
% zp=sde(t,z)
global Mi C K F n n1 n2
zp=[z(n2); Mi*(feval(F,t)-C*z(n2)-K*z(n1))];
%=================================
function f=func(t)
% f=func(t)
% This is an example forcing function for
% function strdynrk in the case of three
% degrees of freedom.
f=[-1;0;2]*\operatorname{sin}(1.413*t);

```

\section*{Function surf2surf}
```

function [d,r,R]=surf2surf(x,y,z,X,Y,Z,n)
% [d,r,R]=surf2surf(x,y,z,X,Y,Z,n)
% [~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
% This function determines the closest points on two
% surfaces and the distance between these points. It
% is similar to function srf2srf except that large

```
```

% arrays can be processed.
%
% x,y,z - arrays of points on the first surface
% X,Y,Z - arrays of points on the second surface
% d - the minimum distance between the surfaces
% r,R - vectors containing the coordinates of the
% nearest points on the first and the
% second surface
% n - length of subvectors used to process the
%
%
%
%
%
% User m functions used: srf2srf
if nargin<7, n=500; end
N=prod(size(x)); M=prod(size(X)); d=realmax;
kN=max(1,floor(N/n)); kM=max(1,floor(M/n));
for i=1:kN
i1=1+(i-1)*n; i2=min(i1+n,N); i12=i1:i2;
xi=x(i12); yi=y(i12); zi=z(i12);
for j=1:kM
j1=1+(j-1)*n; j2=min(j1+n,M); j12=j1:j2;
[dij,rij,Rij]=srf2srf(...
xi,yi,zi,X(j12),Y(j12),Z(j12));
if dij<d, d=dij; r=rij; R=Rij; end
end
end
%===================================================
function [d,r,R]=srf2srf(x,y,z,X,Y,Z)
% [d,r,R]=srf2srf(x,y,z,X,Y,Z)
% ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
% This function determines the closest points on two
% surfaces and the distance between these points.
% x,y,z - arrays of points on the first surface
% X,Y,Z - arrays of points on the second surface
% d - the minimum distance between the surfaces
%r,R - vectors containing the coordinates of the
% nearest points on the first and the
% second surface
x=x(:); y=y(:); z=z(:); n=length(x); v=ones(n,1);

```
```

X=X(:)'; Y=Y(:)'; Z=Z(:)'; N=length(X); h=ones(1,N);
d2=(x(:,h)-X(v,:)).^2; d2=d2+(y(:,h)-Y(v,:)).^2;
d2=d2+(z(:,h)-Z(v,:)).^2
[u,i]=min(d2); [d,j]=min(u); i=i(j); d=sqrt(d);
r=[x(i);y(i);z(i)]; R=[X(j);Y(j);Z(j)];

```

\section*{Function surfmany}
```

function surfmany(varargin)
%function surfmany(x1,y1,z1,x2,y2,z2,···
% x3,y3,z3,···,xn,yn,zn)
% This function plots any number of surfaces
% on the same set of axes without shape
% distortion. When no input is given, then a
% six-legged solid composed of spheres and
% cylinders is shown.
%
% User m functions called: none
%-------------------------------------------------
if nargin==0
% Default data for a six-legged solid
n=10; rs=.25; d=7; rs=2; rc=.75;
[xs,ys,zs]=sphere; [xc,yc,zc]=cylinder;
xs=rs*xs; ys=rs*ys; zs=rs*zs;
xc=rc*xc; yc=rc*yc; zc=2*d*zc-d;
x1=xs; y1=ys; z1=zs;
x2=zs+d; y2=ys; z2=xs;
x3=zs-d; y3=ys; z3=xs;
x4=xs; y4=zs-d; z4=ys;
x5=xs; y5=zs+d; z5=ys;
x6=xs; y6=ys; z6=zs+d;
x7=xs; y7=ys; z7=zs-d;
x8=xc; y8=yc; z8=zc;
x9=zc; y9=xc; z9=yc;
x10=yc; y10=zc; z10=xc;
varargin={x1,y1,z1,x2,y2,z2,x3,y3,z3,...
x4,y4,z4,x5,y5,z5,x6,y6,z6,x7,y7,z7,···
x8,y8,z8,x9,y9,z9,x10,y10,z10};
end
% Find the data range
n=length(varargin);

```
```

$r=r e a l m a x *[1,-1,1,-1,1,-1]$;
s=inline('min([a;b])','a','b');
b=inline('max([a;b])','a','b');
for $k=1: 3: n$
$\mathrm{x}=$ varargin\{k\}; $\mathrm{y}=$ varargin\{k+1\};
$z=$ varargin $\{k+2\}$;
$\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}(:)$; $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{y}(:)$; $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{z}(:)$;
$r(1)=s(r(1), x) ; r(2)=b(r(2), x)$;
$r(3)=s(r(3), y) ; r(4)=b(r(4), y)$;
$r(5)=s(r(5), z) ; r(6)=b(r(6), z)$;
end
\% Plot each surface
hold off, newplot
for $k=1: 3$ : $n$
$\mathrm{x}=$ varargin $\{\mathrm{k}\}$; $\mathrm{y}=$ varargin $\{\mathrm{k}+1\}$;
$\mathrm{z}=$ varargin $\{\mathrm{k}+2\}$;
$\operatorname{surf}(x, y, z)$; axis(r), hold on
end
\% Set axes and display the combined plot
axis equal, axis(r), grid on
xlabel('x axis'), ylabel('y axis')
zlabel('z axis')
title('SEVERAL SURFACES COMBINED')
\% colormap([127/255 1 212/255]); \% aquamarine
colormap([1 11$]$ ); figure(gcf), hold off

```

\section*{Function volrevol}

1: function \([v, r g, \operatorname{Irr}, \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Z}\), aprop, \(\mathrm{xd}, \mathrm{zd}, \mathrm{kn}]=\ldots\)
volrev(xd,zd,kn,th,nth,noplot)
\%
\% [v,rg, Irr, X, Y, Z, aprop, xd, zd,kn]=...
\% volrev(xd,zd,kn,th,nth,noplot)
\%~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
\% This function computes geometrical properties
\% for a volume of revolution resulting when a
\% closed curve in the ( \(x, z\) ) plane is rotated,
\% through given angular limits, about the \(z\) axis.
\% The cross section of the volume is defined by
\% a spline curve passed through data points
```

14:
15:%
6:
% xd,zd - data vectors defining the spline
interpolated boundary, which is
traversed in a counterclockwise
direction
- indices of any points where slope
discontinuity is allowed to turn
sharp corners
- vector of volume properties containing
[v, xcg, ycg, zcg, vxx, vyy, vzz,...
vxy, vyz, vzx] where v is the volume,
(xcg,ycg,zcg) are coordinates of the
centroid, and the remaining properties
are volume integrals of the following
integrand:
[x.^, y.^2, z.^2, xy, yz, zx]*dxdyxz
X,Y,Z - data arrays containing points on the
surface of revolution. Plotting these
points shows the solid volume with
the ends left open. Function fill3
is used to plot the surface with ends
closed
aprop - a vector containing properties of the
area in the (x,z) plane that was used
to generate the volume. aprop=[area,...
xcentroidal, ycentroidal, axx, axz, azz].
% User m functions called: rotasurf, gcquad,
% curve2d, anglefun, splined
%------------------------------------------------
if nargin==0
t1=-pi:pi/6:0; t2=0:pi/6:pi;
Zd=[0, exp(i*t1),1/2+i+exp(i*t2)/2,0,-1];
xd=real(Zd)+4; zd=imag(Zd);
kn=[1,2,8,9,15,16];
th=[-pi/2,pi]; nth=31;
end
% Plot a surface of revolution based on the
% input data points
if nargin==6
[X,Y,Z]=rotasurf(xd,zd,th,nth,1);
else

```
```

        [X,Y,Z]=rotasurf(xd,zd,th,nth); pause
    end
    % Obtain base points and weight factors for the
    % composite Gauss formula of order seven used in
    % the numerical integration
    nd=length(xd); nseg=nd-1;
    [dum,bp,wf]=gcquad([],1,nd,7,nseg);
    % Evaluate complex points and derivative values
    % on the spline curve which is rotated to form
    % the volume of revolution
    [u,uplot,up]=curve2d(xd,zd,kn,bp) ;
    % plot(real(uplot),imag(uplot)), axis equal,shg
    u=u(:); up=up(:); n=length(bp);
x=real(u); dx=real(up); z=imag(u);
dz=imag(up); da=x.*dz-z.*dx;
% Evaluate line integrals for area properties
p=[ones(n,1), x, z, x.^2, x.*z, z.`2, x.`3,...
(x.^2).*z, x.*(z.^2)].*repmat(da,1,9);
p=(wf(:)'*p)./[2 [ 3 3 4 4 4 5 5 5];
% Scale area properties by multipliers involving
% the rotation angle for the volume
f=anglefun(th(2))-anglefun(th(1));
v=f(1)*p(2); rg=f([2 3 1]).*p([4 4 5])/v;
vrr=[f([$$
\begin{array}{lll}{4}&{2}\end{array}
$$]); f([5 6 3]); f([$$
\begin{array}{lll}{2}&{3}&{1}\end{array}
$$])].*...
[p([$$
\begin{array}{llll}{7}&{8}\end{array}
$$); p([$$
\begin{array}{lll}{7}&{8}\end{array}
$$]); p([8 8 9])];
Irr=eye(3)*sum(diag(vrr))-vrr;
aprop=[p(1),p(2:3)/p(1),p(4:6)];

```
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ These functions are included with MATLAB and are a subset of the more comprehensive Spline Toolbox also available from The MathWorks.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ In this section the quantities $v, r, \Omega, H, M$, and $a$ all represent vector quantities.

